

OOI CHENG LUAN

INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED SECRETARIES AND ADMINISTRATORS (ICSA) 1993

Submitted to the Faculty of Business and Accountancy, University of Malaya In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Business Administration

JANUARY 2001



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE	
Acknowledgements		
Abstract		
List of Tables		
List of Figures	v	
1. INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	3	
1.2. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY	4	
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5	
2.1 COMPARISON BETWEEN JURISDICTIONS	5	
2.1.1 Mandatory Appointment of The Company Secretary	6	
2.1.2 Non-Mandatory Appointment of The Company	13	
Secretary		
2.2 SUMMARY OF JURISDICTIONS COMPARISON	16	
3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	17	
3.1 TYPES OF DATA	17	
3.2 RESEARCH INSTRUMENT	19	
3.3 SAMPLE DESIGN	21	
3.3.1 Sample Size	21	
3.3.2 Data Collection Procedure	21	
3.4 DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES	23	
3.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE SAMPLING PROCEDURE	24	
4. RESEARCH RESULTS	26	
4.1 SUMMARY STATISTICS OF RESPONDENTS	26	
4.1.1 Demographic Profile of the Company	26	

4.1.2 Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents	31
4.2 LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT IN THE DUTIES	36
4.2.1 Level of Involvement in the Primary Duties	36
4.2.2 Level of Involvement in Other Duties	40
4.2.3 Level of Liaison with Professional Advisors	42
4.3 PRINCIPAL ISSUES RAISED BY RESPONDENTS	43
4.3.1 Demands for Better Involvement in Corporate	43
Governance	
4.3.2 Status of the Company Secretary	45
4.3.3 Professionalism of the Company Secretary	46
4.4 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EMPLOYMENT	46
STATUS AND DUTIES INVOLVEMENT	
4.4.1 Cross Tabulation and Its Significance	47
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	54
5.1 OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY	54
5.2 MAJOR FINDINGS	54
5.3 IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	56
5.3.1 Implications for the Company Secretary	57
5.3.2 Implications for the Chairman and the Board	57
5.3.3 Implications for MAICSA	58
5.4 PECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	50

BIBLIOGRAPHY

5.

APPENDICES:

APPENDIX A: SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

APPENDIX B : LIST OF COMPANIES

APPENDIX C: MASTER CODE FOR STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to firstly convey my heartfelt gratitude to Mr. Cyril H.Ponnu for his patience, supervision and immense guidance rendered to me throughout my research.

Moreover, I would also like to add that this research would not have come to fruition without the support and assistance shown to me by many other people. To all these people, I am indebted to them for their kindness.

To the Malaysian Association of The Institute of Chartered Secretaries and Administrators (MAICSA), I am greatly indebted to them for their detailed comments and valuable recommendations. In particular, I would like to thank Cik Norhaiza Jemon, the Assistant Manager from the Technical & Research Department.

I must also accord special appreciation to all my MBA friends for their support, in particular to Sue Lin who has extended her friendship to me in more ways she could ever imagine. Her continuous moral support has been most motivating.

I also extend my sincere appreciation to the 56 respondents who participated in the survey, without which the research would not have been possible.

Finally, I cannot close without expressing appreciation to members of my family. My parents, Mr Ooi Oh Chin and Madam Lee Bak Ngoh, who were always a constant source of inspiration. My two sisters, Suan Suan and Aik Hin, who were always giving me the moral support. To my husband, Andrew Hartanto, and my two children, Alexandrea and Richard, to whom this research is dedicated and who gave me the emotional stability and the endurance to complete the research. Their constant day-to-day support and sacrifice made it worthwhile.

i

ABSTRACT

The role and responsibilities of the company secretary may not be so well known as that of the other profession like the accountants, advocates and solicitors, architects and doctors. It is also not well defined in company legislation nor does the Act in its present state distinguish between full time and professional company secretary. Thus the role of a company secretary in this context is somewhat confusing to outside parties as far as the scope of responsibilities is concerned. From the traditional role as a mere keeper of company's documents, in recent years, the role of company secretary calls for professional knowledge and skill. Today, the recognition to the status of the company secretary is strengthened with the amendments to the Companies Act requiring them to be either a member of a professional bodies or license by the Registrar of Companies and is further legally formalised as an officer of a company.

The present study attempts to explore in details in the company secretarial function in Malaysia public listed companies.

Primary data was collected through five-page self-administered questionnaire. The sample consisted of 56 company secretaries of public listed companies in KLSE. The research is only confined to respondents in Kuala Lumpur and Selangor areas. Majority of the respondents was from companies listed in the main board. Industrial sector bagged the highest responded rate.

The literature on the work of the company secretary tends to treat all public companies as alike, and, consequently, presents a common perspective on the secretarial role. Also, the concern of the literature is typically external to the company, focusing on issues such as company law, corporate regulation and good professional practice.

By contrast, the research emphasised the strikingly different ways in which the role can be fulfilled, varying from a full time, a highly influential officer of the company, to an external agency fulfilling the minimum requirements.

The survey produced a wealth of information on the types of company involved. The questionnaire survey also produced a profile of company secretaries in public listed companies and outlined for the first time in Malaysia the extend of involvement of their duties. Relationship between the employment status of the company secretaries and the duties involved were also analysed. Principal issues raised by respondents were also discussed. Areas of major concern included the effects of demands for better corporate governance and the status of company secretary and professionalism of the company secretaryship.

Possible implications and recommendations for company secretaries, for chairmen and board members and for the MAICSA were developed. Finally, the study ends with recommendation for further research.

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
Table 3.1	Number of Companies Involved	22
Table 4.1	Industrial Classification of Companies	27
Table 4.2	Market Capitalisation of Respondents	27
Table 4.3	Annual Turnover of Respondents	28
Table 4.4	Total Assets of Respondents	29
Table 4.5	Board Listing on KLSE of Respondents	30
Table 4.6	Structure of Board of Directors of Respondents	31
Table 4.7	Demographic Characteristics of Respondents	32
Table 4.8	Level of Involvement in the Primary Duties	38
Table 4.9	Level of Involvement in Other Duties	41
Table 4.10	Level of Involvement with Professional Advisors	42
Table 4.11	Principal Issues Identified by Respondents	44
Table 4.12	Relationship between Employment Status and	50

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
Figure 4.1	Market Capitalisation of Respondents	28
Figure 4.2	Total Assets of Respondents	29
Figure 4.3	Board Listing on KLSE of Respondents	30
Figure 4.4	Employment Status of Respondents	34
Figure 4.5	Professional Qualification of Respondents	34

٧