

R

ABX0537

INVC.....

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYA



A504966505

216

THE USE OF SUBORDINATING CONJUNCTIONS IN ENGLISH  
AMONG UPPER SECONDARY MALAY STUDENTS

HEMALATHA A/P BALA SUBRAMANIAM

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Modern Languages

Language Centre  
University of Malaya  
Kuala Lumpur

1995

Dimikrofiskan pada ..... 30.08.1995  
No. Mikrofis ..... 1H165  
Jumlah Mikrofis ..... 3

HAMSIAH BT. MOHAMAD ZAHARI  
**UPR** UNIT REPROGRAFI  
PERPUSTAKAAN UTAMA  
UNIVERSITI MALAYA

In memory of my father, B.S. Maniam, who gave  
me the BEST of two worlds - Singapore, my  
beginning and Malaysia, my destiny.

*Words are things, and a small drop of ink,  
Falling like dew upon a thought,  
produces that which makes thousands  
perhaps millions, THINK.*

*Byron*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

"The cistern contains; the fountain overflows."

- W. Blake.

A thesis is never created solely by the efforts of its author. Thanks are due to many wonderful people who taught me that no padlocks, bolts or bars can imprison the mind, if there is determination.

I would like to thank my mentor and supervisor Dr. P. Balasubramaniam who encouraged and guided me. I would also like to thank Che Puteh bt. Ismail (the Librarian) and Mr. Choo (her assistant). They gave me access to the relevant reference books.

My appreciation is also extended to my mother, Mdm. Radhabai and my son, Reveik for their patience and support throughout the course.

Last but not least I would like to record my gratitude to Cik Zaulin bt. Ghani for typing out this thesis.

## **Abstract**

This study was an exploratory study. The focus of the study was the investigation of the difficulties faced by the upper secondary Malay students in learning and using subordinating conjunctions in the English Language.

This study involved fifty ethnic Malay informants from Sekolah Menengah Taman Dato' Harun, Km 12, Old Klang Road, Petaling Jaya. Four assignments and a questionnaire formed the test instruments. A total of twenty subordinating conjunctions were tested in the written assignments.

Data analysis was based on the Error Analysis Framework. A total of 2566 errors (42.07%) were accounted for in the usage of English subordinating conjunctions against the total occurrence of 6,100 times in this research. A breakdown of the errors in the usage of the seven categories of conjunctions is given below:-

(i) Conditional Conjunctions	- 85.1 %
(ii) Conjunctions of Manner	- 57.0 %
(iii) Conjunctions of Purpose	- 45.7 %
(iv) Concessive Conjunctions	- 41.1 %
	(iv)

- (v) Conjunctions of Reason and Result - 33.4 %
- (vi) Temporal Conjunctions - 32.7 %
- (vii) Conjunctions of Place - 16.5 %

The majority of the errors made by the subjects indicated their lack of knowledge on the usage of these conjunctions. This study provided sufficient basis for the view that these errors were significantly, due to intralingual interference and not interlingual interference (i.e., the negative transfer of grammatical patterns from the mother tongue). Most of these intralingual errors reflected the students' ignorance of rule restrictions, overgeneralizations and their failure to understand the nature of the syntactic and semantic relationships signalled by the conjunctions within a given context, due to their inadequate vocabulary and general lack of proficiency in the English Language.

Based on these findings, the researcher has proposed some pedagogical suggestions and sample exercises to help facilitate the usage of subordinating conjunctions in the classroom. These suggestions and exercises are not exhaustive.

## Abstrak

Penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji masalah-masalah yang dihadapi oleh pelajar-pelajar Melayu di peringkat sekolah menengah dalam pembelajaran dan penggunaan "subordinating conjunctions" (kata sendi) dalam Bahasa Inggeris.

Kajian ini merangkumi lima puluh pelajar Melayu dari Sekolah Menengah Taman Dato' Harun, Km 12, Jalan Kelang Lama, Petaling Jaya. Empat tugas dan satu soalselidik membentukkan alat pengujian. Sebanyak dua puluh "subordinating conjunctions" telah diuji dalam konteks penggunaan.

Data yang diperolehi dianalisa dengan menggunakan kaedah Analisis Kesilapan (Error Analysis Methodology). Kesilapan sebanyak 2,566 (42.07 %) telah direkodkan dalam penggunaan 'subordinating conjunctions' Bahasa Inggeris. Didapati penyalahgunaan 'subordinating conjunctions' adalah seperti berikut:-

- |                                       |          |
|---------------------------------------|----------|
| (i) Conjunctional Conjunctions        | - 85.1 % |
| (ii) Conjunctions of Manner           | - 57.0 % |
| (iii) Conjunctions of Purpose         | - 45.7 % |
| (iv) Concessive Conjunctions          | - 41.1 % |
| (v) Conjunctions of Reason and Result | - 33.4 % |
| (vi)                                  |          |

(vi) Temporal Conjunctions	- 32.7 %
(vii) Conjunctions of Place	- 16.5 %

Berdasarkan analisis data kajian ini, kesimpulan dapat dibuat bahawa kebanyakan informan membuat kesilapan kerana mereka tidak mengetahui fungsi semantik kata sendi Bahasa Inggeris dan pemerumusan (overgeneralisation) yang salah tentang kata sendi Bahasa Inggeris kerana informan-informan ini tidak mempunyai perbendaharaan kata yang cukup dan mereka tidak fasih dalam Bahasa Inggeris. Didapati tiada gangguan bahasa Ibunda (Bahasa Melayu) dalam penggunaan kata sendi Bahasa Inggeris.

Berdasarkan maklumat daripada kajian ini, penyelidik telah mencadangkan beberapa cadangan pedagogi dan contoh latihan-latihan pengukuhan yang dapat membantu tenaga pengajar meningkatkan penguasaan dan penggunaan kata sendi Bahasa Inggeris di kalangan pelajar-pelajar Melayu di peringkat sekolah menengah atas.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
ABBREVIATIONS	xii
TABLES	xiii
GRAPHS	xv
CHAPTER 1	
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background To The Study	1
1.2 The Importance Of Conjunctions In The Learning Of English	2
1.3 A Brief Outline Of English Conjunctions	4
1.3.1 The Use Of Conjunctions	4
1.3.2 Subordinating Conjunctions	5
1.3.3 Co-ordinating Conjunctions	6
1.4 Explanation Of Terms And Their Functions	7
1.5 The Subordinating Conjunctions Investigated In This Study	12
CHAPTER 2	
2.0 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	13
2.1 The Role Of English	13
2.1.1 The Role Of English In Pre-Independent Malaya	13
2.1.2 The Present Status Of English In The Malaysian Education System	16

2.2 Discussion Of The Problem	18
2.3 The Pilot Study	22
2.4 Significance Of The Study	27
2.5 Limitations Of The Study	28
2.6 Aims Of The Study	29
CHAPTER 3	
3.0 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	31
3.1 Contrastive Analysis Hypothesis (CAH)	31
3.1.1 The Weaknesses Of The CAH	33
3.2 Error Analysis (EA)	35
3.3 Source And Types Of Errors	39
3.3.1 Interlingual Errors	39
3.3.2 Intralingual Errors And Development Errors	40
3.3.3 Global And Local Errors	40
3.3.4 IL Errors	41
3.3.5 Other Types Of Errors	43
3.4 Review Of Literature	44
3.4.1 EA Studies In General	44
3.4.2 EA Studies In Malaysia And Singapore	50
3.4.2.1 EA Studies In Other Languages	50
3.4.2.2 EA Studies In English	52
3.4.3 EA Studies On Conjunctions	56

**CHAPTER 4**

<b>4.0 RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>4.1 Introduction</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>4.2 Methodology</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>4.2.1 Sample Respondents</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>4.2.2 Test Instruments</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>4.2.3 Analysis Of The Test Instruments</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>4.2.4 Administration Of The Instruments</b>	<b>75</b>

**CHAPTER 5**

<b>5.0 DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>5.1 Introduction</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>5.2 Presentation And Analysis Of The Data</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>5.2.1 Analysis Of Assignment One</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>5.2.2 Analysis Of Assignment Two</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>5.2.3 Analysis Of Assignment Three</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>5.2.4 Analysis Of Assignment Four</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>5.3 Analysis Of Errors In Each Subordinating Conjunction Tested</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>5.4 Analysis Of Errors According To Their Functions</b>	<b>115</b>

**CHAPTER 6**

<b>6.0 FINDINGS AND PEDAGOGICAL SUGGESTIONS</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>6.1 Introduction</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>6.2 Findings</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>6.3 Pedagogical Suggestions</b>	<b>125</b>

## CHAPTER 7

7.0 CONCLUSION	134
7.1 For Further Investigation	135
BIBLIOGRAPHY	136
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A Newspaper Article	145
APPENDIX B Newspaper Article	146
APPENDIX C A Balloon That Won't Burst	147
APPENDIX D A Traffic Jam	148
APPENDIX E An Honest Man	149
APPENDIX F A River Journey	150
APPENDIX G Test Instruments	151
APPENDIX H Questionnaire	169
APPENDIX I Performance of Informants in Whole Test and Sub Tests	175
APPENDIX J Sample Exercises	176

### **Abbreviations**

NL	-	Native Language
MT	-	Mother Tongue
TL	-	Target Language
L1	-	Language One/First Language
L2/SL	-	Language Two/Second Language
EL	-	English Language
ESL	-	English as a Second Language
SLA	-	Second Language Acquisition
CA	-	Contrastive Analysis
EA	-	Error Analysis
CAH	-	Contrastive Analysis Hypothesis
SLL	-	Second Language Learning
IL	-	Interlanguage
LA	-	Language Acquisition
FL	-	Foreign Language

## Tables

No	Topic	Page
1.	Categories of Subordinating Conjunctions in the English Language	13
2.	Table showing the Structure of the Pilot Test	22
3.	Percentage of Errors of Subordinating Conjunctions made by Informants in the Pilot Study	24
4.	Action Research Framework for Data Collection	62
5.	Classification of Subordinating Conjunctions According to the Question Numbers as they Appear in the Given Assignments	71
6.	The Frequency of Subordinating Conjunctions Tested in the Four Assignments	72
7.	Classification of Subordinating Conjunctions Tested	74
8.	Analysis of Errors in Assignment One	77
9.	Analysis of Errors in Assignment Two	80
10.	Analysis of Errors in Assignment Three	82
11.	Analysis of Errors in Assignment Four	83
12.	Performance of Informants According to Items	85
13.	Performance of Informants According to Categories of Conjunctions	86
14.	Frequency of Errors in Subordinating Conjunctions According to Assignments	88
15.	Frequency of Errors in Categories of Subordinating Conjunctions	89

16.	Hierarchy of Errors According to Percentage	116
17.	Frequency of Errors in Categories of Subordinating Conjunctions	117

## **Graphs**

No	Topic	Page
I	Frequency of Errors in Categories of Subordinating Conjunctions - Bar Chart	120
II	Frequency of Errors in Categories of Subordinating Conjunctions - Pie Chart	121