

R

ACH - 8158  
INVC. nms 6/2/01

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYA

**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MORAL MATURITY AND ACADEMIC  
ACHIEVEMENT OF FORM FOUR STUDENTS IN A  
SECONDARY SCHOOL**

**Lim Tong Eng**

**Research Report Submitted to the Faculty of Education,  
University of Malaya in Partial Fulfilment of  
the Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Education**

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A510143070

1999

OK

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research was to investigate the moral maturity of form four students of Moral Education (16 years old) in a secondary school in Kuala Lumpur. Three groups of students comprising 60 boys from three different academic levels of achievements (high, average and low) were selected based on their form three public examination (*Penilaian Menengah Rendah*) results. Twenty students from each group completed the Sociomoral Reflection Measure – Short Form (SRM-SF) questionnaires to assess their moral maturity in moral reasoning.

The results showed that 5% ( $n = 3$ ) of the 60 respondents were scored at the mature level of moral reasoning and 95% ( $n = 57$ ) of them were at the immature level of moral reasoning. The highest stage reached by the respondent was scored at stage 3. Among the high achievement group, only 15% ( $n = 3$ ) attained stage 3 (mature level) while 85% ( $n = 17$ ) of the students were at stages 1 and 2 (immature level). Among the average achievement group, 55% ( $n = 11$ ) of the respondents reached stage 1 while 45% ( $n = 9$ ) of them attained stage 2. Among the low achievement group, 95% ( $n = 19$ ) of the respondents were at stage 1 while only 5% ( $n = 1$ ) of them attained stage 2. None of the average and low achievement groups reasoned at mature level of moral reasoning.

The overall results thus suggest some relationship between moral maturity and level of academic achievements among the form four students. The SRM-SF questionnaire and scoring manual is found to be suitable but relevant responses that are not included in the manual be taken into considerations, particularly those that are related to non-western culture.

## HUBUNGAN ANTARA KEMATANGAN MORAL DAN PENCAPAIAN AKADEMIK BAGI PELAJAR-PELAJAR TINGKATAN EMPAT DI SEBUAH SEKOLAH MENENGAH

### ABSTRAK

Matlamat kajian penyelidikan ini adalah untuk menyiasat kematangan moral pelajar-pelajar Tingkatan Empat yang mengambil mata pelajaran Pendidikan Moral (16 tahun) di sebuah sekolah di Kuala Lumpur. Tiga kumpulan pelajar yang terdiri daripada 60 orang pelajar daripada pencapaian akademik yang berbeza (tinggi, sederhana, dan rendah) telah dipilih berdasarkan keputusan *Penilaian Menengah Rendah* (PMR). Dalam setiap kumpulan, 20 orang pelajar telah berjaya menyiapkan soal selidik (*Sociomoral Reflection Measure-Short Form* (SRM-SF) bagi menguji kematangan moral mereka dalam penaakulan moral.

Dari keputusan yang didapati, hanya 5% ( $n = 3$ ) dari 60 orang pelajar berada di tahap penaakulan kematangan moral dan 95% ( $n = 59$ ) yang lain berada di tahap kurang kematangan dalam penaakulan moral. Kumpulan pencapaian akademik yang tinggi mempunyai 15% ( $n = 3$ ) orang pelajar yang mencapai peringkat 3 manakala 85% lagi berada di peringkat 1 dan 2 (tahap kurang kematangan). Bagi kumpulan pencapaian akademik yang sederhana, 55% ( $n = 11$ ) daripada pelajar berada di peringkat 1 dan 45% ( $n = 9$ ) mencapai peringkat 2. Bagi kumpulan pencapaian akademik rendah didapati 95% ( $n = 19$ ) daripada pelajar berada di peringkat 1 dan hanya 5% ( $n = 1$ ) berada di peringkat 2. Tiada pelajar daripada kedua-dua kumpulan pencapaian akademik sederhana dan rendah ini mencapai tahap kematangan.

Keputusan secara keseluruhan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perkaitan di antara kematangan moral dan peringkat pencapaian akademik di kalangan pelajar Tingkatan Empat. Soal selidik SRM-SF dan manual permarkahan didapati sesuai bagi menguji kematangan moral pelajar dalam penaakulan moral. Namun demikian jawapan-jawapan pelajar yang tidak terkandung di dalam manual permarkahan perlu diberi pertimbangan terutamanya jawapan yang berkaitan dengan unsur-unsur budaya timur.

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to express my thanks to the following individuals that have helped me in completing my research report.

I would like to thank my supervisors, Associate Professor Chang Lee Hoon and Mr. Loh Kok Wah for their advice while carrying out my study.

I would like to thank Professor John C. Gibbs for allowing me to use the SRM-SF instrument in my study on moral maturity and academic achievement.

I would like to thank the Director of Educational Planning and Research Unit and also the Director of the Federal Territory Kuala Lumpur for allowing me to carry out the research in the selected schools in Kuala Lumpur. I would also like to thank the Principal and students of the participating schools for their cooperation in this research study.

I am very grateful to Ivy Fernandez for helping me to edit and proof read the report.

Last but not least, I would also like to thank my wife and children for their patience throughout my study.

## CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	i
<b>Abstrak</b>	ii
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	iv
<b>CHAPTER 1 - BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY</b>	
Introduction	1
Kohlberg's Theory on Moral Development	2
Sociomoral Reflection Measure (SRM)	9
Moral Development and Age Level	13
Academic Achievement and Moral Maturity	15
Research Questions	17
Significance of the Research	17
Glossary of Key Terms	18
<b>CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
Introduction	19
Moral Judgement Interview (MJl)	19
Defining Issues Test (DIT)	22
Sociomoral Reflection Measure-Short Form (SRM-SF)	25
Conclusion	27

### **CHAPTER 3 - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

Introduction	28
Sample	29
The Research Instrument	33
Procedures in Data Collection	34
Analysis of Data	36
Validity and Reliability	38

### **CHAPTER 4 - RESEARCH RESULTS**

Introduction	40
Level and Stages of Moral Maturity Among Form Four Students in a Malaysian School	40
Level of Moral Maturity and Academic Achievement	45
Level and Stages of Moral Maturity for the High Achiever Group	46
Level and Stages of Moral Maturity for Average Achievement Group	47
Level and Stages of Moral Maturity for the Low Achiever Group	48
Transitional Stages in Moral Reasoning	49
U Scores in the SRM-SF Responses	53

## CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Introduction	58
Recommendations	60

## REFERENCES 62

Appendix A:	A Sociomoral Reflection Measure-Short Form (SRM-SF)	65
Appendix B:	Sociomoral Reflection Measure-Short Form (SRM-SF) Questionnaires (Translated Version)	72
Appendix C:	Letter Applying for Permission to use SRM-SF	79
Appendix D:	Permission to use SRM-SF	80
Appendix E:	Permission from the Ministry of Education	81
Appendix F:	Permission from State Department of Federal Territory Kuala Lumpur	83
Appendix G:	Sample of a Respondent's Responses to SRM-SF Question and Scoring for Moral Maturity	84
Appendix H:	An Example of a Respondent's Responses And Scoring on SRM-SF Questions	88

## TABLES

1.1	Kohlberg's Theory on Moral Development Stages	3
1.2	Level and Stage in the Sociomoral Reflections	9
1.3	Grade Obtained in <i>Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia</i> (SPM) And The Moral Knowledge Paper in Researcher's School in 1996	16
2.1	Rest's Theory of Moral Development	22
3.1	Grading System in PMR Examination Results	30



3.2	PMR Grade and the Allocated Points	31
3.3	Points Allocated for Each Academic Group According to PMR Results	31
3.4	An Example of PMR Grade And Total Points For A Respondent	32
3.5	Level of Academic Achievement of Form Four Students Doing Moral Education in the Researcher's School	33
3.6	Gibbs et al.'s SRM-SF Global Scale for Level of Maturity in Moral Reasoning	38
4.1	Level and Stages of Moral Maturity of 16 Year Old Respondents	41
4.2	Responses According to Level of Moral Maturity by Levels of Academic Achievement	45
4.3	Level and Stages of Moral Maturity for High Achievement Group	47
4.4	Level and Stages of Moral Maturity for Average Achievement Group	47
4.5	Level and Stages of Moral Maturity for Low Academic Achievement Group	48
4.6	Number of Respondents and the Transitional Stages	49
4.7	Range of Questions Attempted by Respondents	54
4.8	Total Number and Percentage of Respondents Scored "U" for all Questions Attempted	58
4.9	An Example of a Respondent's Score Obtained in Response to SMR-SF Questions	57