

GENETIC AND PHENOTYPIC ESTIMATES OF GROWTH AND REPRODUCTIVE TRAITS OF THAI LONG TAIL AND ITS CROSSES WITH CAMEROON HAIR SHEEP

NORAIDA BINTI ISMAIL

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

INSTITUTE OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA KUALA LUMPUR

NOVEMBER 2004



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My heartiest gratitude is especially expressed to my main supervisor Prof. Dr. T.K. Mukherjee, for his tremendous supervisions and patience in supervising me from the start of the study until the completion of this thesis. It has been a very great pleasure to work with him and to be his research associate.

Thank you to Prof. Dr. Ramli Abdullah for being my co-supervisor, and as supervisor since July 2004.

My thanks are also due to the farm staff of the Institute of Biological Science, University of Malaya for their assistance in handling and managing the animals, to Dr. Mohd Khusahry Mohd Yusoff and Dr. Azizan Abd. Rashid from MARDI for their assistance with the SAS/STAT programming and software facilities, the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment for the IRPA research grant, to Prof. Dr. Peter Horst from the Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany, who has been the German collaborator for this joint crossbreeding project and to all the personnel who have been directly and indirectly involved in the project.

Thank you to the Institute of Postgraduate Studies and Research (now Institute of Postgraduate Studies) and the University of Malaya for the facilities and funds used when doing this research and pursuing this degree.

Thank you to my children, Nur Khairunnisa, Muhammad Alif and Muhammad Azim and to my parents for their moral support.

My very special thanks are due to my husband, Dr. Mohd Khanafiah Ismail for his help in the data programming and interpretation, as well as in the writing up of this thesis. But most of all, for his love, patience and understanding while I'm doing this thesis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My heartiest gratitude is especially expressed to my main supervisor Prof. Dr. T.K. Mukherjee, for his tremendous supervisions and patience in supervising me from the start of the study until the completion of this thesis. It has been a very great pleasure to work with him and to be his research associate.

Thank you to Prof. Dr. Ramli Abdullah for being my co-supervisor, and as supervisor since July 2004.

My thanks are also due to the farm staff of the Institute of Biological Science, University of Malaya for their assistance in handling and managing the animals, to Dr. Mohd Khusahry Mohd Yusoff and Dr. Azizan Abd. Rashid from MARDI for their assistance with the SAS/STAT programming and software facilities, the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment for the IRPA research grant, to Prof. Dr. Peter Horst from the Humboldt University of Berlin, Germany, who has been the German collaborator for this joint crossbreeding project and to all the personnel who have been directly and indirectly involved in the project.

Thank you to the Institute of Postgraduate Studies and Research (now Institute of Postgraduate Studies) and the University of Malaya for the facilities and funds used when doing this research and pursuing this degree.

Thank you to my children, Nur Khairunnisa, Muhammad Alif and Muhammad Azim and to my parents for their moral support.

My very special thanks are due to my husband, Dr. Mohd Khanafiah Ismail for his help in the data programming and interpretation, as well as in the writing up of this thesis. But most of all, for his love, patience and understanding while I'm doing this thesis.

ACK!	NOWL	EDGEN	MENT		i
TABI	LE OF	CONTE	ENTS		ii
LIST	OF TA	BLES			х
LIST	OF FIG	GURES			x
LIST	OF PL	ATES			xv
LIST	OF AP	PENDI	CES		xv
ABST	RACT				xi
1.	INT	RODU	CTION		1
2.	LIT	ERATU	JRE REV	IEW	9
	2.1	Tropi	cal hair sh	еер	9
		2.1.1	Meat pro	oduction from hair sheep	10
		2.1.2	Physiolo	ogical traits	12
		2.1.3	Hair coa	t	12
	2.2	Grow	th		13
		2.2.1	Birth we	ight	16
			2.2.1.1	Effect of sex on birth weight	26
			2.2.1.2	Effect of the type of birth on birth weight	27
			2.2.1.3	Effect of ewe body weight on birth weight	28
		2.2.2	Weaning	weight and subsequent body weights	29
		2.2.3	Average	daily weight gain (ADG) for growth	33
			performa	ance	
		2.2.4	Effect of	nutrition on body weights	35
		2.2.5	Lamb mo	ortality	37

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	2.3	Body	conformation traits (BCT)	39)
		2.3.1	Measurements of growth	40)
		2.3.2	Biometrical measurements of growth	41	
		2.3.3	Body conformation in various breeds	43	5
		2.3.4	Effect of the type of lambing and month of lambing	44	į
	2.4	Repre	oductive traits	45	
		2.4.1	Effect of ewe genotype on reproductive traits	46	,
		2.4.2	Age at first oestrus and sexual maturity	49	,
		2.4.3	Age at lambing, gestation length, lambing interval	51	
			and post-partum oestrus		
		2.4.4	Effects of weight, age and parity of ewes on	52	
			reproductive traits		
		2.4.5	Litter size	54	
	2.5	Estim	ates of genetic and phenotypic parameters	55	
		2.5.1	Genetic and phenotypic parameters	55	
		2.5.2	Estimates of heritability for birth weights and	55	
			subsequent body weights		
		2.5.3	Genetic and phenotypic correlations	57	
3.	MA	TERIA	LS AND METHODS	60	
	3.1	Exper	imental animals	60	
	3.2	Data c	collection and sample size	62	
	3.3	Breed	description	62	
		3.3.1	Cameroon hair sheep	62	
		3.3.2	Thai Long Tail wool sheep	65	
		3.3.3	F ₁ crossbred (Cameroon x Thai Long Tail)	65	

	3.3.4	F ₂ crossl	bred (F ₁ x F ₁)	6
	3.3.5	F ₃ crossl	bred (F ₂ x F ₂)	60
	3.3.6	Backero	sses	6
3.4	Mana	gement of	animals	6
	3.4.1	Housing		74
	3.4.2	General	husbandry	74
		3.4.2.1	Breeding males	74
		3.4.2.2	Breeding females	75
		3.4.2.3	Pregnant and lactating ewes	76
		3.4.2.4	Care of the newborn to weaning	77
	3.4.3	Feeding		78
	3.4.4	Health as	nd hygiene	80
	3.4.5	Matings		80
3.5	Traits	under stud	iy	81
	3.5.1	Growth r	measurements	81
		3.5.1.1	Birth weight	81
		3.5.1.2	Monthly body weight	82
		3.5.1.3	Average daily weight gain (ADG)	82
		3.5.1.4	Lamb mortality	83
	3.5.2	Body cor	nformation traits	83
		3.5.2.1	Height at wither	83
		3.5.2.2	Body length	84
		3,5.2.3	Heart girth	84
		3.5.2.4	Back girth	84
	3.5.3	Reproduc	etive traits	86
		3.5.3.1	Age at first oestrus	86

			3.5.3.2	Age at first successful mating	87
			3.5.3.3	Age at first and second parturition	87
			3.5.3.4	The first and the second gestation periods	87
			3.5.3.5	The first and the second post-partum oestrus	88
	3.6	Estim	ates of ge	netic and phenotypic parameters	88
	3.7	Statis	tical analy	rsis of data	88
١.	RES	ULTS			93
	4.1	Grow	th perform	nance	93
		4.1.1	Least sq	uare analysis of variance for birth weight	93
			and subs	sequent body weights	
		4.1.2	Birth we	ight	96
			4.1.2.1	Least square analysis of variance for birth	96
				weight	
			4.1.2.2	Effect of genotypes on birth weight	96
			4.1.2.3	Effect of sex on birth weight	101
			4.1.2.4	Effect of the type of birth on birth weight	101
			4.1.2.5	Effect of the parity of birth on birth weight	105
			4.1.2.6	Effect of the year of birth on birth weight	107
			4.1.2.7	Regression of lamb birth weights on ewes	110
				weight at parturition	
			4.1.2.8	Regression of lamb weights at later ages on	110
				birth weight	
		4.1.3	Weaning	weight (90-days weight)	111
			4.1.3.1	Least square analysis of variance for	111

weaning weight

		4.1.3.2	Interaction effect of genotype and type of	113
			birth on weaning weight	
		4.1.3.3	Effect of sex on weaning weight	117
		4.1.3.4	Effect of the parity of birth on weaning	120
			weight	
	4.1.4	Post-we	aning weights	122
		4.1.4.1	Least square analysis of variance for post-	122
			weaning weights	
		4.1.4.2	Interaction effect of genotype and sex on	124
			post-weaning weights	
		4.1.4.3	Interaction effect of genotype and type of	131
			birth on post-weaning weights	
		4.1.4.4	Effect of the parity of birth on post-weaning	135
			weights	
	4.1.5	Average	daily weight gain (ADG) as an indicator for	137
		growth p	performance	
		4.1.5.1	Least square analysis of variance on the	137
			average daily weight gain (ADG) for growth	
			performance	
		4.1.5.2	Interaction effect between genotype and sex	141
			on ADG	
		4.1.5.3	Interaction effect between genotype and type	148
			of birth on ADG	
		4.1.5.4	Effect of the parity of birth on ADG	151
	4.1.6	Lamb mo	ortality	155
4.2	Body	conformat	ion traits (BCT)	158

		4.2.1	Least so	quare analysis of variance for body conformation	158
			traits (B	CT) at the different age groups	
		4.2.2	Effect o	f genotypes on BCT	161
		4.2.3	Effect o	f sex on BCT	171
		4.2.4	Effect o	f the type of birth on BCT	175
	4.3	Repro	ductive tr	raits	175
		4.3.1	Least sq	uare analysis of variance for reproductive traits	177
		4.3.2	Age at f	īrst oestrus	177
		4.3.3	Age at f	irst successful mating	184
		4.3.4	Age at f	irst and second parturition	186
		4.3.5	The first	and the second gestation periods	194
		4.3.6	The first	and the second post-partum oestrus	197
	4.4	Estim	ates of ge	netic and phenotypic parameters	200
		4.4.1	Heritabi	lity estimates	200
		4.4.2	Estimate	es of genetic and phenotypic correlations	201
5.	DIS	CUSSIC	ON		204
	5.1	Growt	h perform	nance	204
		5.1.1	Birth we	ight	204
			5.1.1.1	Effect of genotypes on birth weight	204
			5.1.1.2	Effect of sex on birth weight	207
			5.1.1.3	Effect of the type of birth on birth weight	208
			5.1.1.4	Effect of the parity of birth on birth weight	209
		5.1.2	Weaning	weight (90 – days weight)	211
			5.1.2.1	Effect of genotypes on weaning weight	211
			5.1.2.2	Effect of sex on weaning weight	215
			5.1.2.3	Effect of the type of birth on weaning weight	217

		5.1.2.4	Effect of the parity of birth on weaning	218
			weight	
	5.1.3	Post wea	ning weights	219
		5.1.3.1	Effect of genotypes on post-weaning weight	220
		5.1.3.2	Effect of sex on post-weaning weight	222
		5.1.3.3	Effect of the type of birth on post-weaning	223
			weight	
		5.1.3.4	Effect of the parity of birth on post-weaning	224
			weight	
	5.1.4	Average	daily weight gain (ADG) for growth	225
		performa	nce	
		5.1.4.1	Effect of genotypes on ADG	226
		5.1.4.2	Effect of sex on ADG	228
		5.1.4.3	Effect of the type of birth on ADG	229
		5.1.4.4	Effect of the parity of birth on ADG	230
	5.1.5	Lamb mo	rtality	231
5.2	Body	conformati	on traits (BCT)	233
	5.2.1	Effect of	genotypes on BCT	233
	5.2.2	Effect of	sex on BCT	235
	5.2.3	Effect of	the type of birth on BCT	237
5.3	Repro	ductive trai	its	238
	5.3.1	Effect of	genotypes on reproductive traits	238
	5.3.2	Effect of	the type of birth on reproductive traits	243
	5.3.3	Effect of t	the parity of birth on reproductive traits	246
5.4	Estima	ates of gene	etic and phenotypic parameters	249
	5.4.1	Heritabili	ty estimates	249

	5.4.2 Estimates of genetic and phenotypic correlations	250
6.	GENERAL DISCUSSION	253
7.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	259
REFER	RENCES	265
APPEN	NDICES	281

LIST OF TABLES

No	Titles	Page
2.1	Comparison of the performance and performance potential of the	11
	hair sheep and the wool sheep under tropical and temperate	
	environments respectively	
2.2	Birth weights of the various hair sheep breeds and their crosses to	17
	wool sheep breeds by the effects of sex and type of birth	
3.1	Sample size of the genotypes included in the study	64
3.2	Composition (%) of the concentrate pellets used in the University	79
	of Malaya's farm	
4.1	Least square analysis of variance for the body weights of the	94
	various genotypes at different age groups	
4.2	Least square analysis of variance for birth weights	97
4.3	Overall least square means and std. errors for birth weights	99
4.4	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights for the	100
	various genotypes	
4.5	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights by the	102
	effects of genotypes and sex	
4.6	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights by the	103
	effects of genotypes and type of birth	
4.7	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights by the	106
	effects of genotypes and parity of birth	
4.8	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights by the	108
	effects of genotypes and year of birth	
4.9	Least square analysis of variance for weaning weights	112

4.10	Overall least square means and std. errors for weaning weights	114
4.11	Least square means and std. errors of the weaning weights by the	115
	effects of genotypes and type of birth	
4.12	Least square means and std. errors of the weaning weights by the	118
	effects of genotypes and sex	
4.13	Least square means and std. errors of the weaning weights by the	121
	effects of genotypes and parity of birth	
4.14	Least square analysis of variance for post-weaning weights at the	123
	various age groups	
4.15	Least square means and std. errors of post-weaning weights at the	126
	various age groups by the effects of sex, type of birth and parity	
	of birth	
4.16	Least square means and std. errors of post-weaning weights at the	127
	various age groups by the effects of genotype and sex	
4.17	Least square means and std. errors of post-weaning weights at the	132
	various age groups by the effects of genotypes and the type of	
	birth	
4.18	Least square means and std. errors of post-weaning weights at the	136
	various age groups by the effects of genotypes and parity of birth	
4.19	Least square analysis of variance on the average daily weight gain	139
	(ADG) for the growth performance	
4.20	The average daily weight gain (ADG) for the growth performance	140
	by the overall effects of genotype, sex, type of birth and parity of	
	birth	
4.21	The average daily weight gain (ADG) for the growth performance	142
	by the interaction effect of genotypes and sex	

4.22	The average daily weight gain (ADG) for the growth performance	147
	of the various genotypic groups	
4.23	The average daily weight gain (ADG) for the growth performance	149
	by the interaction effect of genotypes and the type of birth	
4.24	The average daily weight gain (ADG) for the growth performance	153
	by the interaction effect of genotypes and the parity of birth	
4.25	Number of lamb mortality by the age groups and the year of birth	156
4.26	Number of lamb mortality by genotypes and the age groups	157
4.27	Least square analysis of variance for body conformation traits at	159
	the age of 90-days and 180-days	
4.28	Least square analysis of variance for body conformation traits at	160
	the age of 270-days and 360-days	
4.29	Least square means and std. errors of the body conformation traits	163
	of the various genotypes at the age of 90-days and 180-days	
4.30	Least square means and std. errors of the body conformation traits	164
	of the various genotypes at the age of 270-days and 360-days	
4.31	Least square means and std. errors of the height at wither for all	167
	the genotypes at the age of 90, 180, 270 and 360 days	
4.32	Least square means and std. errors of the body length for all the	168
	genotypes at t the age of 90, 180, 270 and 360 days	
4.33	Least square means and std. errors of the heart girth for all the	169
	genotypes at the age of 90, 180, 270 and 360 days	
4.34	Least square means and std. errors of the back girth for all the	170
	genotypes at the age of 90, 180, 270 and 360 days	
4.35	Least square means and std. errors of the body conformation traits	172
	at the different age groups by the effect of sex	

4.36	Least square means and std. errors of the body conformation traits	173
	for the interaction effect of genotypes and sex at the age of 90	
	days	
4.37	Least square means and std. errors of the body conformation traits	176
	at the different age groups by the effect of the type of birth	
4.38	Least square analysis of variance for the age at first oestrus and the	178
	age at first successful mating	
4.39	Least square means of the age at first oestrus and the age at first	180
	successful mating for the various genotypes	
4.40	Least square means of the age at first oestrus and the age at first	180
	successful mating for all the genotypes by the effects of the type of	
	birth and the parity of birth	
4.41	Least square means of the age at first oestrus and the age at first	181
	successful mating by the interaction effect of genotypes and the	
	type of birth	
4.42	Least square means of the age at first oestrus and the age at first	183
	successful mating by the interaction effect of genotypes and the	
	parity of birth	
4.43	Least square analysis of variance for the age at first and second	187
	parturition	
4.44	Least square means of the age at first and second parturition for	189
	the various genotypes	
4.45	Least square means of the age at first and second parturition for	189
	the various genotypes by the effect of the type of birth and the	
	parity of birth	

4.46	Least square means of the age at first and second parturition by the	191
	interaction effect of genotypes and the type of birth	
4.47	Least square means of the age at first and second parturition by the	193
	interaction effect of genotypes and the parity of birth	
4.48	Least square analysis of variance for the first and the second	195
	gestation periods	
4.49	Least square means of the first and second gestation period of the	195
	various genotypes	
4.50	Least square means of the first and second gestation periods of the	196
	various genotypes by the effects of the type of birth and the parity	
	of birth	
4.51	Least square analysis of variance of the length of the first and the	198
	second post-partum oestrus for the various genotypes	
4.52	Least square means of the length of the first and the second post-	198
	partum oestrus for the various genotypes	
4.53	Heritability estimates and std. errors of different body weight traits	202
4.54	Genetic correlations between traits using the method of Falconer	202
	(1980)	
4.55	Phenotypic correlations between various body weights in F_1 and	203
	F ₂ offsprings	

LIST OF FIGURES

No	Titles	Page
3.1	Schematic diagram of the crossbreeding programme and	63
	the development of the various genotypes	
3.2	The sheep's body and body conformation traits	85
4.1	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights by	99
	the effects of sex, type of birth and parity of birth	
4.2	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights of	100
	the various genotypes	
4.3	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights by	102
	the effects of genotypes and sex	
4.4	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights by	103
	the effects of genotypes and type of birth	
4.5	Least square means and std. errors of the birth weights by	106
	the effects of genotypes and parity of birth	
4.6	Least square means and std. errors of the weaning	114
	weights by the effects of sex, type of birth and parity of	
	birth	
4.7	Least square means and std. errors of the weaning	115
	weights by the effects of genotypes and type of birth	
4.8	Least square means and std. errors of the weaning	118
	weights by the effects of genotypes and sex	
4.9	Least square means and std. errors of the weaning	121
	weights by the effects of genotypes and parity of birth	

4.10	Least square means and std. errors of the post-weaning	128
	weights at the various age groups	
4.11	Least square means and std. errors of the post- weaning	128
	weights by the effects of genotypes and sex	
4.12	Least square means and std. errors of the post-weaning	133
	weights by the effects of genotypes and type of birth	
4.13	Least square means and std. errors of the post-weaning	133
	weights by the effects of genotypes and parity of birth	
4.14	Number of lamb mortality by the age groups and the year	156
	of birth	
4.15	Number of lamb mortality by genotypes and the age	157
	groups	
4.16	Height at withers for all the genotypes at the age of 90.	167
	180, 270 and 360 days	
4.17	Body length for all the genotypes at the age of 90, 180,	168
	270 and 360 days	
4.18	Heart girth all the genotypes at the age of 90, 180, 270	169
	and 360 days	
4.19	Back girth for all the genotypes at the age of 90, 180, 270	170
	and 360 days	
5.1	Path diagram showing the relationship between	252
	phenotype and genotype of two traits and environmental	
	affact on the two traits	

LIST OF PLATES

No	Titles	Page
3.1	Cameroon hair sheep (male)	68
3.2	Cameroon hair sheep (female)	68
3.3	Thai Long Tail wool sheep (male)	69
3.4	Thai Long Tail wool sheep (female)	69
3.5	F ₁ crossbred (male)	70
3.6	F ₁ crossbred (female)	70
3.7	F ₂ crossbred (male)	71
3.8	F ₂ crossbred (female)	71
3.9	F ₃ crossbred (male)	72
3.10	F ₃ crossbred (female)	72
3.11	BC crossbred (male)	73
3.12	BC crossbred (female)	73

LIST OF APPENDICES

No	Titles	Pag
1	Sheep population in Peninsular Malaysia in 2002	281
2	Total livestock population 1970 - 2002 in Peninsular	282
	Malaysia	
3	Number of lamb mortality by genotypes, year of birth	283
	and the age groups	

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Studies on the growth, body conformation and reproductive traits of purebreds Cameroon hair sheep that was imported from Germany and the local Thai Long Tail wool sheep and their crossbreds were conducted at the University of Malaya's experimental farm. Crossbreeding between the hair sheep sires with the local wool sheep females was done with the aim of producing hair sheep crossbreds that are heat tolerant and have no wool or with very little wool cover, and with the assumption that at least some of the feed intake by the crossbred animals will be converted to meat instead of the very low quality wool. Various crossbreds including the F₁ (Cameroon x Thai Long Tail), F₂ (F₁ x F₁), F₃ (F₂ x F₂), BC₁ (F₁ male x Thai Long Tail female), BC₂ (Thai Long Tail male x F₁ female) have been produced in the farm and managed under an intensive management system. Data obtained in the farm from the year 1990 to 1997 was analysed and presented.

Comparative performances were made on their birth weights, weaning weights (90-days weight) and post-weaning weights (180, 270 and 360-days weights) with the effects of the year of birth, genotypes, sex, the type of birth, the parity of birth and the interactions between genotypes and sex, genotypes and type of birth. Other than the above traits, studies on the estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters on the body weight traits were also presented.

The effect of genotype was significant for birth weight ($P \le 0.05$), the interaction between genotypes and sex at 180 ($P \le 0.001$), 270 ($P \le 0.01$) and 360 ($P \le 0.001$) days' weight. The interaction effect between genotypes and the type of birth was significant at 90 ($P \le 0.01$), 180 ($P \le 0.001$), 270 ($P \le 0.01$) and 360 ($P \le 0.05$) days, the interaction effect of genotype and year of birth on ADG at 90 – 180 days ($P \le 0.01$) and 180 – 270 days ($P \le 0.05$), interaction effect between genotype and sex on ADG at the

age of 90 - 180 days (P \leq 0.01) and the interaction effect between genotype and type of birth on ADG from birth – 90 days (P \leq 0.01), height at wither (P \leq 0.01), body length (P \leq 0.01), heart girth (P \leq 0.01) and back girth (P \leq 0.01) in all the age groups, the age at first oestrus (P \leq 0.001), age at first successful mating (P \leq 0.001) and the age at first parturition (P \leq 0.001) were also significant.

Sex had significant effects for birth weight ($P \le 0.05$), 90 ($P \le 0.01$), 180 ($P \le 0.05$), 270 ($P \le 0.01$) and 360-days ($P \le 0.01$) body weight, on ADG from birth – 90 days ($P \le 0.01$). The interaction effect between genotype and sex on ADG at the age of 90 – 180 days ($P \le 0.01$), and for the height at wither at 90 ($P \le 0.01$), 180 ($P \le 0.01$) and 270 ($P \le 0.05$) days of age was also significant. The effect of the type of birth was very highly significant at birth ($P \le 0.001$), 90 ($P \le 0.001$) and 180-day weight ($P \le 0.001$) but became less significant for 270-day weight ($P \le 0.05$), on ADG from birth – 90 days ($P \le 0.001$) and for 90 – 180 days ($P \le 0.05$). The interaction effect on ADG between genotype and type of birth from birth – 90 days ($P \le 0.01$) was significant. Significant effect of parity was found for the birth weight ($P \le 0.05$) and on ADG at the age of 90 – 180 days ($P \le 0.001$).

On body conformation traits, genotype had very significant effects on the height at wither ($P\le0.01$), body length ($P\le0.01$), heart girth ($P\le0.01$) and back girth ($P\le0.01$) in all the age groups. The effect of sex was significant for height at wither at 90 ($P\le0.01$), 180 ($P\le0.01$) and 270 ($P\le0.05$) days of age only. The other main effects and the interaction effects were not significant.

Studies on reproductive traits showed that the effect of genotype was very significant for the age at first oestrus ($P \le 0.001$), age at first successful mating ($P \le 0.001$) and the age at first parturition ($P \le 0.001$). The effects of genotypes, type of birth, parity

of birth and their interaction effects were all not significant for the other reproductive traits.

The heritability of body weight traits, and genetic and phenotypic correlations between these body weight traits were estimated. The heritability estimates for birth weight, 90-day, 180-day and 270-day body weights ranged from 0.26 ± 0.82 to 0.90 ± 0.82 . The genetic correlations ranged from -0.07 to 0.25 for the F_1 offspring on Thai Long Tail ewes and from 0.06 to 0.38 for the F_2 offsprings on F_1 ewes. The phenotypic correlations ranged from 0.23 ± 0.92 in the F_1 and between 0.51 to 0.96 in the F_2 . The genetic correlations between body weight traits were in general much lower than the phenotypic correlations.

The studies also reflected the advantage of removing or reducing the wool for more meat production. With less wool, the weights of the crossbred animals were higher than the Thai Long Tail wool sheep especially after the age of 270 days. This is in agreement with the assumption that the feed consumed have been converted more to the production of meat instead of wool. Body conformation traits of the crossbred animals have shown how the reduction of wool has led to more body compactness by comparing the body conformation of the crossbreds to the Thai Long Tail. The crossbreds were also found to mature earlier than the Thai Long Tail and in most cases have better reproductive performance than either the Cameroon or the Thai Long Tail or both the parental genotypes.

Generally, the results have shown that the crossbreds are better than their parental genotypes in growth, body conformation and reproductive traits.

ABSTRAK

ABSTRAK

Kajian mengenai trait tumbesaran, konformasi badan dan pembiakan baka tulin bebiri rerambut Cameroon yang asalnya diimport dari German dan baka tulin bebiri berbulu Thai Long Tail beserta anak kacukan di antara keduanya telah dijalankan di Ladang Penyelidikan Universiti Malaya. Kacukan di antara pejantan bebiri rerambut dengan betina bebiri berbulu tempatan telah dilakukan, bertujuan untuk menghasilkan anak-anak kacukan bebiri rerambut yang tahan haba, tidak mempunyai bulu atau hanya mempunyai sedikit bulu sahaja dibadannya, dengan andaian bahawa makanan yang dimakan itu akan ditukarkan lebih kepada pembentukan daging berbanding kepada pembentukan bulu yang berkualiti rendah dan tidak diperlukan. Pelbagai baka anak kacukan telah dihasilkan, ini termasuklah F₁ (Cameroon x Thai Long Tail), F₂ (F₁ x F₁), F₃ (F₂ x F₂), BC₁ (F₁ jantan x Thai Long Tail betina) dan BC₂ (Thai Long Tail jantan x F₁ betina), dan diselenggara mengikut cara sistem pengurusan intensif. Data yang dikumpul dari tahun 1990 sehingga 1997 telah dianalisa dan dibentangkan dalam tesis ini.

Perbandingan tumbesaran antara genotip telah dibuat untuk berat lahir, berat semasa penyapihan (berat pada umur 90-hari) dan berat selepas penyapihan (pada umur 180, 270 dan 360 hari) berdasarkan kepada kesan tahun kelahiran, genotip, jantina, jenis kelahiran, pariti kelahiran, kesan interaksi antara genotip dan jantina, dan kesan interaksi antara genotip dan jenis kelahiran. Selain daripada itu, hasil kajian tentang korelasi genetik dan finotipik dengan berat badan juga dibentangkan.

Kesan genotip didapati signifikan pada berat lahir ($P \le 0.05$) manakala kesan interaksi antara genotip dan jantina ke atas berat badan adalah signifikan pada umur 180 ($P \le 0.001$), 270 ($P \le 0.01$) dan 360 ($P \le 0.001$) hari. Kesan interaksi antara genotip dan jenis kelahiran terhadap berat badan adalah signifikan pada umur 90 ($P \le 0.01$), 180

(P≤0.001), 270 (P≤0.01) dan 360 (P≤0.05) hari. Kesan interaksi antara genotip dan tahun kelahiran didapati signifikan pada purata berat badan harian (ADG) di antara umur 90 – 180 hari (P≤0.01) dan 180 – 270 (P≤0.05) hari. Kesan interaksi antara genotip dan jantina pula didapati signifikan pada purata berat badan harian (ADG) di antara umur 90 – 180 (P≤0.01) hari dan kesan interaksi antara genotip dan jenis kelahiran didapati signifikan pada purata berat badan harian (ADG) diantara berat lahir – 90 (P≤0.01) hari. Kesan genotip juga didapati signifikan pada ukuran badan haiwan iaitu untuk tinggi haiwan (P≤0.01), panjang badan (P≤0.01), ukurlilit depan (P≤0.01) dan ukurlilit belakang (P≤0.01) pada umur 90, 180, 270 dan 360 hari. Dalam kajian pembiakan, didapati kesan genotip adalah signifikan untuk umur semasa estrus yang pertama (P≤0.001), umur semasa pengawanan yang berhasil (P≤0.001) dan umur semasa kelahiran pertama (P≤0.001).

Jantina haiwan mempunyai kesan yang signifikan terhadap berat lahir ($P \le 0.05$) dan berat badan pada umur 90 ($P \le 0.01$), 180 ($P \le 0.05$), 270 ($P \le 0.01$) dan 360 hari ($P \le 0.01$), purata berat badan harian pada berat lahir – 90 hari ($P \le 0.01$), kesan interaksi antara genotip dan jantina terhadap purata berat badan harian pada umur 90 –180 hari ($P \le 0.01$), tinggi haiwan pada umur 90 ($P \le 0.01$), 180 ($P \le 0.01$) dan 270 ($P \le 0.05$) hari. Kesan kelahiran didapati sangat signifikan pada berat lahir ($P \le 0.001$) dan berat pada umur 90 ($P \le 0.001$) dan 180 hari ($P \le 0.001$) tetapi kurang signifikan pada berat badan semasa umur 270 hari ($P \le 0.05$), purata berat badan harian dari berat lahir – 90 hari ($P \le 0.05$). Kesan interaksi antara genotip dan jenis kelahiran antara lahir – 90 hari ($P \le 0.01$) juga adalah signifikan. Sementara itu kesan pariti kelahiran didapati signifikan terhadap berat lahir ($P \le 0.05$) dan purata berat harian antara umur 90 – 180 hari ($P \le 0.01$).

Dalam trait konformasi badan, kesan genotip adalah sangat signifikan terhadap tinggi haiwan ($P \le 0.01$), panjang badan ($P \le 0.01$), ukurlilit depan ($P \le 0.01$) dan ukurlilit belakang ($P \le 0.01$) dalam kesemua kumpulan berat badan yang dikaji. Kesan jantina didapati signifikan ke atas tinggi haiwan pada umur 90 ($P \le 0.01$), 180 ($P \le 0.01$) dan 270 ($P \le 0.05$) hari sahaja. Kesan-kesan utama dan kesan interaksi yang lain didapati tidak mempunyai perbezaan yang signifikan terhadap konformasi badan.

Kajian trait pembiakan pula menunjukkan bahawa kesan genotip sangat signifikan terhadap umur pada estrus yang pertama (P≤0.001), umur pada pengawanan yang berhasil (P≤0.001) dan umur pada kelahiran yang pertama (P≤0.001). Kesan genotip, jenis kelahiran, pariti kelahiran dan kesan interaksi adalah tidak signifikan bagi trait-trait pembiakan yang lain.

Heritabiliti untuk trait berat badan, korelasi genetik dan korelasi finotipik antara trait berat badan telah dianggarkan. Anggaran heritabiliti untuk berat lahir dan berat badan pada umur 90-hari, 180-hari dan 270-hari adalah dalam julat $0.26\pm0.82-0.90\pm0.82$. Korelasi genetik untuk anak kacukan F_1 dan induk Thai Long Tail adalah dalam julat 0.07-0.25 dan dalam julat 0.06-0.38 untuk anak kacukan F_2 ke atas induk F_1 . Korelasi finotipik bagi F_1 adalah dalam julat 0.23-0.92 manakala bagi F_2 adalah 0.51-0.96. Secara amnya didapati anggaran korelasi genetik antara trait berat badan adalah sangat rendah berbanding dengan korelasi finotipik.

Kajian ini menunjukkan kelebihan menyahkan atau mengurangkan kehadiran bulu untuk menambah penghasilan daging. Dengan bulu yang berkurangan, berat badan haiwan kacukan didapati lebih tinggi daripada berat badan bebiri berbulu Thai Long Tail terutamanya pada umur lebih dari 270 hari. Oleh itu ia adalah sehaluan dengan andaian bahawa makanan yang dimakan telah digunakan untuk menghasilkan lebih daging berbanding bulu. Konformasi badan haiwan kacukan juga menunjukkan bahawa

kurangnya kehadiran bulu telah menghasilkan konformasi badan yang lebih padat apabila dibandingkan dengan berat badan Thai Long Tail.

Selain daripada itu, anak-anak kacukan ini juga didapati cepat matang dibandingkan dengan Thai Long Tail dan mempunyai trait pembiakan yang lebih baik daripada samaada Cameroon atau Thai Long Tail atau dengan kedua-dua induk baka tulin ini.

Pada amnya, keputusan dari kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa pencapaian dari segi trait tumbesaran, konformasi badan dan pembiakan anak kacukan adalah lebih baik berbanding dengan kedua-dua induk baka tulin.