PROTEOMIC ANALYSIS OF *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. FROM DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS IN RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE VARIATION

NURLIZAH BINTI ABU BAKAR

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA KUALA LUMPUR

2021

PROTEOMIC ANALYSIS OF *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. FROM DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS IN RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE VARIATION

NURLIZAH BINTI ABU BAKAR

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA KUALA LUMPUR

2021

UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA ORIGINAL LITERARY WORK DECLARATION

Name of Candidate: Nurlizah binti Abu Bakar

Matric No: HHQ1600004

Name of Degree: Doctor of Philosophy

Title of Thesis:

Proteomic analysis of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. from different geographical regions

in response to temperature variation.

Field of Study: Biochemistry; fungal physiology; mass spectrometry.

I do solemnly and sincerely declare that:

- (1) I am the sole author/writer of this Work;
- (2) This Work is original;
- (3) Any use of any work in which copyright exists was done by way of fair dealing and for permitted purposes and any excerpt or extract from, or reference to or reproduction of any copyright work has been disclosed expressly and sufficiently and the title of the Work and its authorship have been acknowledged in this Work;
- (4) I do not have any actual knowledge nor do I ought reasonably to know that the making of this work constitutes an infringement of any copyright work;
- (5) I hereby assign all and every rights in the copyright to this Work to the University of Malaya ("UM"), who henceforth shall be owner of the copyright in this Work and that any reproduction or use in any form or by any means whatsoever is prohibited without the written consent of UM having been first had and obtained;
- (6) I am fully aware that if in the course of making this Work I have infringed any copyright whether intentionally or otherwise, I may be subject to legal action or any other action as may be determined by UM.

Candidate's Signature

Date: 31.08.2021

Subscribed and solemnly declared before,

Witness's Signature

Date: 31.08.2021

Name:

Designation:

PROTEOMIC ANALYSIS OF PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS SPP. FROM DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS IN RESPONSE TO TEMPERATURE VARIATION

ABSTRACT

The need for understanding the detrimental effects of environmental stress for soil microorganisms is becoming more significant with current global warming issues. Temperature may alter the abundance of species in soil ecosystems, leading to consequential changes in microbial communities. Under temperature stress, fungi undergo numerous physiological changes in their proteome in order to survive. Understanding the changes in fungal proteomes can give insights into the complex protein responses that occur under high and low temperature stress. Mass spectrometry (MS)based proteomics is a powerful tool that has helped researchers to identify and quantify complex protein mixtures in various cell systems. Pseudogymnoascus, a soil fungal genus that occurs in polar and temperate regions, is also a known producer of many extracellular hydrolase enzymes that contribute to soil decomposition. It is not known whether the mechanisms of temperature stress response of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. differ in strains isolated from different regions and exposed to different environmental conditions. In this study, *Pseudogymnoascus* was chosen as a model taxon to characterise changes in fungal proteomic profiles in response to temperature stress. Analyses of the thermal tolerance and sensitivity of six isolates of Pseudogymnoascus spp. were carried out using temperature-dependent growth studies and colony morphological changes. Description of proteome profiles of *Pseudogymnoacus* spp. cultured at a non-stressful temperature (15°C) was carried out using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC MS/MS) to provide baseline information and knowledge of the phenotypic diversity of all six isolates. Bioinformatic analyses of differentially expressed proteins and Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment were used to identify the pathways that were significantly enriched in response to temperature variation (cold and heat stress studies). All six

isolates were characterised as psychrotolerant fungi with lower and upper temperature limits for growth of 5°C and 25°C, respectively. The proteome profiles of all six isolates showed that the majority of proteins identified were clustered into groups representing metabolic functions and catalytic activities. Temperature stress response of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. involved a wide range of pathways being enriched, with no suggestion of response mechanisms following specific geographical patterns. The data obtained in this study provide new information on how *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. respond to temperature variation in their environment and increase our understanding of how these temperature stress responses in the context of global climate change may affect decomposition processes in soil ecosystems.

Keywords: Soil microfungi, temperature-stress response, proteomic approach

ANALISIS PROTEOMIK TERHADAP TINDAKBALAS KEPELBAGAIAN SUHU PADA KULAT *PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS* SPP. YANG DIPENCIL DARI PELBAGAI KAWASAN GEOGRAFIK

ABSTRAK

Keperluan untuk memahami kesan buruk terhadap mikroorganisma tanah akibat dari tekanan persekitaran menjadi penting dalam isu pemanasan global semasa. Perubahan suhu merupakan faktor utama yang mempengaruhi komuniti mikroorganisma di dalam ekosistem tanah. Di bawah pengaruh suhu, kulat mengalami perubahan fisiologi proteom untuk kekal hidup. Pemahaman berkaitan dengan perubahan proteom kulat dapat memberi maklumat tentang tindakbalas kompleks protin di bawah tekanan suhu yang berbeza. Analysis proteomik berasaskan spektrometri massa (MS) merupakan teknik terkini yang membantu penyelidik mengenal pasti dan mengukur kompleks protin dalam pelbagai sel. Pseudogymnoascus merupakan kulat tanah yang terdapat di kawasan polar dan beriklim sederhana. Ia juga merupakan penghasil kebanyakan enzim hidrolisis ekstraselular yang membantu dalam proses penguraian. Mekanisme tindak balas terhadap suhu dan persekitaran yang berbeza oleh *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. dari strain dan kawasan yang berbeza masih tidak dapat dipastikan. Dalam kajian penyelidikan ini, Pseudogymnoascus dipilih sebagai model organisma untuk mencirikan tindak balas perubahan profil proteomik kulat terhadap tekanan suhu. Analisis toleransi dan kepekaan terhadap perubahan suhu oleh enam Pseudogymnoascus spp. telah dijalankan berdasarkan kajian kebergantungan pertumbuhan kulat terhadap suhu dan perubahan morfologi koloni. Perincian profil proteom *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. yang dikultur pada suhu tanpa-tekanan (15°C) dilakukan dengan menggunakan tandem spektrometri massa kromatografi cecair (LC MS/MS) dapat memberikan maklumat dan pengetahuan asas mengenai kepelbagaian fenotip keenam-enam kulat berkenaan. Analisis bioinformatik terhadap perubahan protin yang diekspresikan dan pengkayaan jaringan Gene Ontology (GO) telah digunakan untuk mengenalpasti laluan tapak yang ketara dalam proses tindak balas perubahan suhu (kajian suhu rendah dan tinggi). Keenam-enam kulat telah dikategorikan sebagai kulat psikrotoleran dengan had untuk pertumbuhan bagi suhu bawah dan atas masing-masing ialah 5°C dan 25°C. Profil proteom dari keenam-enam kulat menunjukkan bahawa sebahagian besar protin yang dikenalpasti adalah dikategorikan di bawah kelompok fungsi metabolik dan aktiviti pemangkinan. Tindak balas terhadap perubahan suhu oleh *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. adalah melibatkan pelbagai tapak yang diperkaya. Namun begitu, mekanisma tindak balas tidak mengikuti sebarang corak pembahagian geografi yang tertentu. Data yang diperolehi dalam kajian ini memberikan maklumat baru tentang bagaimana *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. bertindak balas terhadap perubahan suhu di persekitaran mereka, sekaligus meningkatkan pemahaman kita tentang bagaimana tindak balas perubahan suhu ini memainkan peranan dalam konteks perubahan iklim global yang akhirnya mempengaruhi proses penguraian dalam ekosistem tanah.

Kata kunci: Kulat tanah, tindakbalas perubahan suhu, pendekatan proteomik

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I finally made it. Cheers.

For Ummar and Sarah,

I pulled through only for you guys.

Love always,

Ibu

Nurlizah Abu Bakar

Institute of Ocean and Earth Sciences, University of Malaya. 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABST | TRACTIII |
|--------|---|
| ABS | ΓRAKv |
| Ackn | owledgementsvii |
| Table | of Contentsviii |
| List o | f Figuresxiii |
| List o | f Tablesxv |
| List o | of Symbols and Abbreviationsxvi |
| | |
| CHA | PTER 1: INTRODUCTION |
| 1.1 | Research background1 |
| 1.2 | Research questions |
| 1.3 | General research objectives4 |
| | |
| CHA | PTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW |
| 2.1 | The evolution of proteomics |
| 2.2 | High temperature stress |
| 2.3 | Low temperature stress |
| 2.4 | Effects of temperature stress on fungal proteomes |
| | 2.4.1 Effects of temperature stress on metabolic pathways20 |
| | 2.4.2 Effects of temperature stress on heat shock proteins (HSPs)22 |
| 2.5 | Pseudogymnoascus spp |
| | |

CHAPTER 3: THERMAL TOLERANCE AND SENSITIVITY OF

PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS SPP. FROM DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS

| 3.1 | Introdu | action | 26 |
|------|---------|--|----|
| 3.2 | Literat | ure review | 26 |
| 3.3 | Metho | dology | 28 |
| | 3.3.1 | Selection of study strains and maintenance of cultures | 28 |
| | 3.3.2 | Temperature-dependent growth curve studies | 30 |
| 3.4 | Results | S | 30 |
| 3.5 | Discus | sion | 37 |
| 3.6 | Conclu | isions | 38 |
| | | | |
| CHA | APTER 4 | 4: PROTEOMIC CHARACTERISATION OF PSEUDOGYMNOASC | US |
| SPP. | . USING | TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY | 39 |
| 4.1 | Introdu | action | 39 |
| 4.2 | Literat | ure review | 39 |
| 4.3 | Metho | dology | 42 |
| | 4.3.1 | Total protein extraction methods | 42 |
| | | 4.3.1.1 TCA-acetone extraction | 42 |
| | | 4.3.1.2 TCA-acetone-phenol extraction | 42 |
| | | 4.3.1.3 Phenol-guanidine isothiocyanate extraction | 43 |
| | 4.3.2 | Determination of protein content | 44 |
| | 4.3.3 | One-Dimensional Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (1D-PAGE) | 44 |
| | 4.3.4 | In-solution peptide digestion | 44 |
| | 4.3.5 | Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis | 45 |
| | 4.3.6 | Protein identification and bioinformatic analysis | 46 |
| | 4.3.7 | Statistical analysis | 46 |
| 4.4 | Result | S | 47 |
| | 4.4.1 | Optimisation of extraction methods | 47 |

| | 4.4.2 | Whole proteome profiling of <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> spp. strains from various |
|-----|---------|---|
| | | geographical regions49 |
| 4.5 | Discus | sion |
| | 4.5.1 | Evaluation of protein quality and yield based on different extraction |
| | | methods |
| | 4.5.2 | The importance of Pseudogymnoascus spp. in decomposition processes in |
| | | the soil |
| 4.6 | Conclu | usion |
| | | |
| CHA | APTER : | 5: HEAT STRESS RESPONSE OF <i>PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS</i> SPP. |
| ISO | LATED | FROM DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS |
| 5.1 | Introdu | action60 |
| 5.2 | Literat | ure review60 |
| 5.3 | Metho | dology63 |
| | 5.3.1 | Fungal cultivation and heat stress experimental design63 |
| | 5.3.2 | Peptide identification, quantification and bioinformatic analysis |
| | 5.3.3 | Gene Ontology enrichment analysis |
| 5.4 | Result | s64 |
| | 5.4.1 | Proteomic profiles of Pseudogymnoascus spp. in response to heat |
| | | stress64 |
| | 5.4.2 | Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up-regulated proteins |
| | | in Pseudogymnoascus spp67 |
| | 5.4.3 | Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly down-regulated |
| | | proteins in <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> spp75 |
| 5.5 | Discus | sion |
| | 5.5.1 | Variation of proteomic profiles of Pseudogymnoascus spp. isolates in |
| | | response to heat stress |

| | 5.5.2 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up- and down- |
|-----|---|
| | regulated proteins83 |
| 5.6 | Conclusions |
| CHA | APTER 6: EFFECTS OF COLD STRESS ON PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS SPP. |
| PRO | TEOME |
| 6.1 | Introduction |
| 6.2 | Literature review |
| 6.3 | Methodology |
| | 6.3.1 Fungal cultivation and cold stress experimental design |
| | 6.3.2 Peptide identification, quantification and bioinformatic analysis90 |
| | 6.3.3 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis |
| 6.4 | Results |
| | 6.4.1 Proteomic profiles of Pseudogymnoascus spp. in response to cold |
| | stress |
| | 6.4.2 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up-regulated proteins |
| | in Pseudogymnoascus spp97 |
| | 6.4.3 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly down-regulated |
| | proteins in <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> spp106 |
| 6.5 | Discussion114 |
| | 6.5.1 Variation in proteomic profiles of <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> spp. isolates in |
| | response to cold stress114 |
| | 6.5.2 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up- and down- |
| | regulated proteins115 |
| 6.6 | Conclusions |
| | |
| | |

| 118 |
|-----|
| 11 |

| REF | ERENCES |
|------|--|
| LIST | OF PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS |
| 7.1 | Publications |
| 7.2 | Presentations |
| APP | ENDIX |
| 7.3 | Google Drive link to Supplementary files |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 3.1: Colony morphological changes of isolate <i>sp1</i> after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures |
|---|
| Figure 3.2: Colony morphological changes of isolate <i>sp2</i> after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures |
| Figure 3.3: Colony morphological changes of isolate <i>sp3</i> after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures |
| Figure 3.4: Colony morphological changes of isolate <i>sp4</i> after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures |
| Figure 3.5: Colony morphological changes of isolate <i>C106</i> after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures |
| Figure 3.6: Colony morphological changes of isolate <i>C107</i> after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures |
| Figure 3.7: Temperature-dependent growth curves of six <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> spp. isolates originating from different global geographical regions |
| Figure 4.1 Total proteins yielded from three different extraction methods |
| Figure 4.2: Visual comparison between protein extraction methods using 1D-PAGE of <i>sp2</i> |
| Figure 4.3: Pie charts representing the whole proteome identified in <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> spp |
| Figure 4.4: Venn diagram showing the numbers of overlapping and unique identified proteins (% of proteins identified) for isolates clustered according to their geographical regions of isolation |
| Figure 4.5: The molecular function clustering of unique proteins from each region according to their Gene Ontology annotation |
| Figure 5.1 The MA plot representing the distribution profiles of proteins identified in all six isolates of <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> spp |
| Figure 5.2 Bar graph representing number of proteins up- (red bars) and down-regulated (blue bars) in each isolate |
| Figure 5.3 Venn diagram showing the relationship of up- and down-regulated proteins of each isolate within each region |

Figure 5.6 Simplified visualization of significantly enriched pathways in all isolates...86

Figure 6.4 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up-regulated proteins of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in response to cold stress (top 10 pathways)......105

Figure 6.5. Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly down-regulated proteins of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates in response to cold stress (the top 10 pathways)..113

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1: Summary of fungal proteomic profiling analysis when exposed to high temperature stress (work published from 2008-2020) 11 |
|---|
| Table 2.2: Studies of fungal proteomic profiling in response to low temperature stress(published from 2008-2020)18 |
| Table 3.1 Pseudogymnoascus isolates with information on their origin and identification codes. 29 |
| Table 4.1: List of unique proteins from each region classified under transferase and hydrolase activities. 53 |
| Table 5.1 List of significantly upregulated proteins under heat stress (fold change, \log_2 ratios of ≥ 1.5) |
| Table 5.2 List of significantly downregulated proteins under heat stress (fold change, \log_2 ratios of \leq -1.5) |
| Table 6.1 List of significantly upregulated proteins under cold stress (fold change, \log_2 ratios of ≥ 1.5) |
| Table 6.2 List of significantly downregulated proteins under cold stress (fold change, log_2 ratios of \leq -1.5) |

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| 1D-PAGE | : | One-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis |
|----------|---|---|
| ACN | : | Acetonitrile |
| ATP | : | Adenosine triphosphate |
| cAMP | : | Cyclic adenosine monophosphate |
| CS | : | cold stress |
| DEP | : | differentially expressed protein |
| Ea | : | Activation energy |
| ESI | : | Electron spray ionization |
| ETC | : | electron transport chain |
| FA | : | Formic acid |
| FDR | : | False discovery rate |
| GAPDH | : | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase |
| GO | : | Gene Ontology |
| HS | : | heat stress |
| HSP | : | Heat shock protein |
| HSR | : | Heat stress response |
| IPCC | : | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| IPCC | : | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| i-TRAQ | : | Isobaric tag for relative and absolute quantitation |
| kDa | : | KiloDalton |
| KEGG | : | Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes |
| KOBAS | : | KEGG Orthology Based Annotation System |
| LC MS/MS | : | Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry |
| LSU | : | 28S nuclear ribosomal large subunit rRNA gene |

| MA | : | microarray |
|------------------|---|--|
| MALDI-TOF | : | Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization – time of flight |
| MANOVA | : | multivariate analysis of variance |
| МАРК | : | Mitogen-activated protein kinase |
| MFS | : | Major facilitator superfamily |
| mRNA | : | messenger ribonucleic acid |
| NCBI | : | National Center for Biotechnology Information |
| nLC-ESI- | | nano liquid chromatography electrospray ionisation tandem mass |
| MS/MS | • | spectrometry |
| NMD | : | Nucleoside-mediated decay |
| РАН | : | polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon |
| PCA | : | Principal Component Analysis |
| РКА | : | protein kinase A |
| SMD | : | Spliceosome-mediated decay |
| TCA | : | trichloroacetic acid |
| TCA cycle | : | Tricarboxylic acid cycle |
| TER | ÷ | transitional endoplasmic reticulum |
| T _{max} | ÷ | Maximum growth temperature |
| T _{opt} | : | Optimum growth temperature |
| UPGMA | : | unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean |
| UPR | : | Unfolded protein response |
| v/v | : | volume/volume |
| vol | : | Volume |
| w/v | : | weight/volume |
| WNS | : | White-nose syndrome |

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research background

Global warming and associated environmental changes impact living organisms in various ways. The recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2018) Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5°C, predicted that extreme hot day events in midlatitudes may warm by up to \sim 3°C with global warming of 1.5°C. It is also predicted that extreme night-time low temperatures at high latitudes will warm by ~4.5°C (IPCC, 2018). If current trends persist, global ice loss will continue, the temperate regions will experience more frequent extreme high and low temperature events (Francis & Skific, 2015), and different parts of the tropics will experience longer drought and wet seasons (Overland et al., 2015). This can potentially lead to the breakdown of ecosystem structure and functions, making them yet more sensitive to future climate changes. There have already been some drastic changes in rainfall patterns and patterns of temperature variation (Kundzewicz et al., 2005), already leading to significant reductions in land surface area suitable for crop cultivation (Lesk et al., 2016). Several reviews have addressed research approaches for the development of improved crops in low-quality soils, and of soil management systems to improve resilience to current climate change challenges (Fan et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013; Rao et al., 2016b). Major outcomes of these include the use of better-adapted phenotypes (Rao et al., 2016a; Simova-Stoilova et al., 2016), integrated soil-crop system management (Zhang et al., 2013) and maintenance of functional diversity in the soil fungal community by retaining a capacity for symbiosisdriven recycling of organic nutrient pools (Kyaschenko et al., 2017).

The fundamental importance of including mycological studies in efforts to sustain agricultural industries and underpin economic growth is recognised in the European Union's common research 2014-2020 program, known as *Horizon 2020* (Lange *et al.*, 2012). Fungi are generally the most important agent of primary decomposition and

nutrient cycling in soil microbial communities (A'Bear et al., 2014b). In boreal forest management and long-term forest production, the functional diversity of the ectomycorrhizal fungal community plays an important role in facilitating symbiosisdriven recycling of organic nutrient pools (Kyaschenko et al., 2017). The key role of fungi identified in such studies highlights the importance of understanding fungal responses to temperature stress. Active fungi initiate stress tolerance strategies at the molecular level and this can be observed in the physiological changes they undergo. Under temperature stress conditions, the tertiary structure of molecules such as enzymes and other functional proteins can be damaged; they will not function properly and may be degraded. Fungi may produce heat or cold marker molecules such as heat shock proteins and chaperones to assist in the repair of functional structure (Bai *et al.*, 2015; Tiwari *et al.*, 2015). There will be substantial physiological changes that can be understood from their molecularlevel responses. Such physiological changes can include the production of anti-freeze proteins coating the cell wall (Bagwell & Ricker, 2019), the production of extracellular hydrolases such as chitinolytic enzymes (Fenice, 2016) and increased production of heat shock proteins to minimize protein misfolding (Kroll et al., 2014; Miteva-Staleva et al., 2017). Such stress tolerance strategies improve cell and organism survival in stressed and sub-optimal environments (Williams et al., 2011).

Changes in protein contents generate a signature that can be identified and characterized. Potentially, these signatures can help understand organismal responses to stress, and be used as biomarkers for monitoring environmental changes and their effects on the ecosystem. Comparative analyses of fungal proteomic profiles can be used to understand changes in protein abundance that may serve as important biomarkers of temperature stress (Kroll *et al.*, 2014). To date, most studies of fungal proteomic response towards temperature stress have been conducted on pathogenic species. Examples include the black yeast, *Exophiala dermatitidis*, that can cause serious skin infections in humans

(Tesei *et al.*, 2015); *Aspergillus flavus*, a producer of lethal aflatoxins (Bai *et al.*, 2015; Delgado *et al.*, 2015); *Aspergillus fumigatus*, a thermo-tolerant human pathogen (Albrecht *et al.*, 2010); *Ustilago maydis*, a basidiomycete that causes corn smut disease (Salmeron-Santiago *et al.*, 2011); and *Metarhizium acridum*, an entomopathogenic species that can be used as an alternative to chemical insecticides (Barros *et al.*, 2010). Several studies have also been carried out on extremophiles such as *Cryomyces antarcticus* in order to understand extreme stress tolerance from the proteomic perspective (Isola *et al.*, 2011; Tesei *et al.*, 2012; Zakharova *et al.*, 2014).

From the foregoing, it is well understood that temperature increase, as has been recorded throughout the globe, will bring negative impacts to microbial communities. The central challenge is to understand how fungi may respond to these temperature changes and ultimately be able to survive the current global warming phenomenon. *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. is one potential genus to be studied as a representative of the group of soil microfungi, with a wide geographic distribution that covers both poles and the temperate regions. *Pseudogymnoascus* is known to play an important role in soil environments, and is a producer of many extracellular hydrolases that contribute to decomposition processes in the soil, such as ureases (Zhang *et al.*, 2015), proteases (Kolomytseva *et al.*, 2017). However, it is not known whether *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. responses towards cold and heat stress differs as a result of the varied environmental conditions they have evolved under in different regions of the planet.

1.2 Research questions

Following the premise as stated above, the problem statements for this study were:

a) What are *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. protein abundances under non-stressful temperature condition (baseline)?

- b) Under cold or heat stress, are there any proteins up- or down-regulated in all isolates?
- c) What are the heat stress response mechanisms of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp.?
- d) Are there any underlying geographical patterns in the cold and heat stress responses of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp.?

Thus, the objectives of this study are as follows:

1.3 General research objectives

- i. To determine the thermal tolerance and sensitivity of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. from different geographical regions.
- ii. To investigate changes in protein abundance of polar and temperate region isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* in response to changes in temperature.

Specific Objectives

- i. To compare the thermal tolerance and sensitivity of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. using temperature-dependent growth curves and colony morphological changes.
- ii. To identify protein(s) that can potentially serve as temperature stress biomarker(s) for *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. from temperate and polar regions.
- iii. To identify potential pathway(s) involved in response to temperature stress of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. from temperate and polar regions.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The evolution of proteomics

In the last 10 years an increasing number of studies have analysed fungal temperature stress responses using proteomic approaches (Albrecht et al., 2010; Salmeron-Santiago et al., 2011; Zakharova et al., 2014; Bai et al., 2015; Tesei et al., 2015). Some have also used 'multi-omic' approaches that combine the simultaneous analysis of different molecules (proteins, mRNA, metabolites) to better understand complex biological responses (Bai et al., 2015; Su et al., 2016). Since the late 1990s, proteomic methodologies and technologies have evolved from an approach that relied on twodimensional gel electrophoresis to gel-free analyses. The protein extraction method is a fundamentally important stage in any proteomic analysis. Optimisation of protein extraction is key in maximising yield and resolution, followed by the selection of proteomic profiling methods (Isola et al., 2011; Bianco & Perrotta, 2015; Daim et al., 2015). Label-free or labelled proteomic approaches, such as isotope and fluorescent labelling, are now used extensively because of their many advantages and provide useful information on differential expression of proteins in complex biological samples (Fricker, 2018). However, proteomics remains a very costly field, and interpreting the data obtained requires advanced knowledge of the entire technical process involved, as well as understanding of appropriate statistical principles for estimation and inference (Karpievitch et al., 2010).

2.2 High temperature stress

In general, definitions of high temperature stress have primarily been made based on the optimum growth temperature (T_{opt}) and maximum growth temperature (T_{max}) (Tesei *et al.*, 2012; Su *et al.*, 2016). However, this is not always the case. For example, in the study of pathogenic fungi, experimental temperature selection is often based on the host's body temperature (Tesei et al., 2015). Temperature can also be selected based on the induction or optimisation of mycotoxin production (Salmeron-Santiago et al., 2011; Bai et al., 2015). Deviations from the optimum temperature are expected to trigger a response at the molecular level, with the fungal proteome altering to prevent damage to the cell. Another important parameter in high temperature stress studies is the total duration or interval of heat exposure. Shorter exposures of seconds or minutes can lead to different proteomic changes compared to longer, or repeat, exposures (Albrecht et al., 2010; Tesei et al., 2015). This is because native proteins take time to undergo the processes of denaturing, unfolding or refolding, or are eventually degraded under high temperature conditions (Aragno, 1981). There are two important stages in understanding the impact of high temperature stress on cells: the onset of any stress response, and its upper thermal limit. Together, these often give an indication of specific temperature stress response pathways. With an increase in environmental temperature, a higher metabolic rate is generally anticipated in cells. Thus, heat response pathways will be initiated to utilize the extra energy that is being produced from an increase in metabolic rate, and proteomic profiling can be used to understand the physiological changes taking place (Richter et al., 2010). However, on average, cells are only able to tolerate an increase in temperature of a few degrees above T_{opt} (Aragno, 1981). Further increase in temperature beyond this limit causes irreversible damage and ultimately leads to cell death. Table 2.1 summarises significant findings on fungal proteome profiling in response to high temperature stress, including the identification of proteins and suggestion of pathways that are potentially related to the identified proteins.

A recent integrated -omics study of *Mrakia psychrophila* provided insight into the adaptation mechanisms of psychrophilic (low temperature adapted) fungi (Su *et al.*, 2016). At 4°C and 20°C, *M. psychrophila* produced an increased amount of major facilitator superfamily (MFS) proteins that are involved mainly in energy metabolism,

compared to the level at 12°C (optimal growth temperature range 12°C-15°C). However, heat shock proteins were upregulated only at 20°C, which likely leads to the activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR). Consistent with this, at 20°C, there was a negative correlation between the protein level change and transcript level change. The proteome abundances of another psychrophilic fungus, *Friedmanniomyces endolithicus* (optimal growth temperature range 10°C-15°C), assessed using a classical 2D gel electrophoresis (non-comparative) approach, showed a reduction from 284 (at 28°C) to 224 (comparison between 15°C and 28°C) protein spots (Tesei *et al.*, 2012). The authors hypothesized that, with exposure to high temperature, the basic set of proteins necessary to survive is relatively stable without the help of various chaperones. It is also likely that other non-protein protective metabolites and molecules are involved in the response pathways (Keller, 2019).

For human pathogenic fungi, their pathogenic characteristics become significant at body temperature. These have been explored in many species, such as *Penicillium marneffei* and *A. flavus*. Gauthier (2017) reviewed the molecular strategies used by thermally dimorphic fungi, focusing on their ability to adapt to core body temperature (37°C) and transition to yeast morphology for virulence capabilities. These fungi used various strategies, such as upregulation of virulence factors, to promote cell adhesion to host, lysis of macrophages, avert cytokine responses, and impair host immunity. *Penicillium marneffei* is the only dimorphic species in its genus and forms its secondary cellular development, which is a uninucleate yeast, at 37°C (Chandler *et al.*, 2008). Increased levels of RanA expression, a GTP-binding nuclear protein that plays a role in nucleocytoplasmic transport, suggest that there is an additional signalling mechanism involved during phase transition in *P. marneffei*. Comparative work on extracellular proteomes of *P. marneffei* in yeast and mycelial phases showed upregulation of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and heat shock protein 60 (HSP60), respectively, that may play an important role in cell-host adherence (Lau *et al.*, 2013). Bai et al. (2015) used an integrated transcriptomic and proteomic approach to study the response towards temperature changes in *A. flavus*, a species whose growth optimum is 37° C, but that produces highest levels of mycotoxin is at 28° C. The data obtained showed that a subset of 664 proteins involved in translation-related pathways, metabolic pathways and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites were differentially expressed at the two temperatures. At 28° C, the expression pattern of proteins and transcripts related to aflatoxin biosynthesis was upregulated, and the authors suggested that change in the *aflR* transcript level was a better marker for the activation of aflatoxin biosynthesis. However, they also noted that there was a low correlation between overall transcript level and protein concentration in *A. flavus*, suggesting that the post-transcription modification processes may play a critical role in the regulation of the final protein expression level.

The plant pathogen, *U. maydis* is a well-studied causative agent for corn smut disease, but there are also reports of *Ustilago* spp. infections in humans (Teo & Tay, 2006; McNeil & Palazzi, 2012). Under various stress conditions, fungi often accumulate trehalose and initiate trehalose biosynthesis pathways (Nwaka & Holzer, 1997; Al-Bader *et al.*, 2010). In a study of the effect of temperature stress on *U. maydis*, increased production of 11 proteins, commonly up-regulated in response to osmotic and sorbitol stress, was observed (Salmeron-Santiago *et al.*, 2011). However, there were no changes in the trehalose concentration, thus suggesting that the up-regulated proteins are common proteins in the general stress response of *U. maydis*, non-specific to trehalose metabolism pathways. A more recent review (Perez-Nadales *et al.*, 2014) highlighted the overall mechanisms of pathogenesis and some unifying themes among various fungal model organisms, emphasising the importance of conserved signalling pathways such as the cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)-dependent protein kinase A and mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases, and the central roles of secondary metabolic pathways in a very wide range of pathogenic fungi. However, comparison of the effect of temperature on the pathogenicity of fungal model organisms was not a focus of that study.

Mesophilic fungi, which have T_{opt} of 25-30°C, are generally able to tolerate a wider range of temperatures and associated stress, having a relatively wider range of temperature-dependent growth curves (Dix & Webster, 1995). In a non-comparative experiment on three mesophilic fungi (Exophiala jeanselmei, Coniosporium perforans and *Penicillium chrysogenum*), high temperature exposure led to variation in their proteomic expression patterns (Tesei et al., 2012). Exposure to 40°C increased the number of expressed proteins detected in P. chrysogenum but decreased those of E. *jeanselmei* and *C. perforans*. A comparison was also made between species at 40°C by selecting *P. chrysogenum* as the reference strain for mesophilic black fungi, which revealed 50 and 62 common proteins spots in E. jeanselmei and C. perforans, respectively. The study suggested that there was a lack of a heat-shock protein response in *E. jeanselmei* and *C. perforans*. Furthermore, the disappearance of spots from the same pI and molecular mass range (respectively 5-7 and 30-90 kDa) suggested that both strains probably downregulated similar sets of proteins. Tesei et al. (2012) hypothesized that either the basic set of proteins that is important in high temperature exposure is stable without the help of heat-shock proteins or that other non-protein protective metabolites are involved. It is important to understand the limitations of such non-comparative experiments conducted through gel-based methods, where technical variations between replicates are expected. Quantification and identification of protein spots can be a challenge if differences in staining occur between gels with no normalization.

An integrated analysis of transcriptomic and proteomic responses of *A. fumigatus* exposed to high temperature stress improved understanding of the thermotolerant characteristics responsible for the pathogenicity of this fungus (Albrecht *et al.*, 2010). The

study identified 91 differentially regulated protein spots representing 64 different proteins using two-dimensional difference gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) and tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) identification methods. These included a number of previously undescribed putative targets for the heat shock regulator Hsf1, providing evidence for Hsf1-dependent regulation of mannitol biosynthesis, translation, cytoskeletal dynamics, and cell division in *A. fumigatus*. Albrecht et al. (2010) also demonstrated a negative correlation between protein expression and gene transcription levels in *A. fumigatus* when exposed to supra-optimal temperatures (from 30°C to 48°C). The synthesis of most proteins was delayed by 60 to 90 minutes (medium delay) and up to 105 minutes (strong delay) after gene transcription by exposure to supra-optimal temperatures.

High temperature exposure causes specific stress response mechanisms to be activated that can be further explored using fungal proteomic profiles. Most fungi respond to high temperature stress by increasing the production of heat-shock proteins and chaperones and initiating alternative metabolic pathways (Albrecht *et al.*, 2010; Bai *et al.*, 2015; Su *et al.*, 2016). However, some species show no significant changes in their proteome profiles, suggesting that the basic set of proteins needed for survival are highly thermotolerant (Tesei *et al.*, 2012; Tesei *et al.*, 2015). This is explained by the production of secondary metabolites and other non-protein protective metabolites that cannot be determined through proteomic approaches (Zhang *et al.*, 2016). In addition, high temperature stress may induce a negative correlation between gene transcription and protein production in fungal cells due to post-translational modification and the initiation of protein degradation pathways, such as the ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation pathway and spliceosome-mediated decay (SMD) pathway (Albrecht *et al.*, 2010; Bai *et al.*, 2015).

| T _{exp} (°C) | Topt (°C) | Time | Species | Origin | # no. of proteins | | Proteins identified | Classification/Pathways involved | Refs. |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|------|---|--|--|
| 20°C | 12°C | 1 month | Mrakia psychrophila | Qinghai- Tibet, China | 1673 | Down | Citrate synthase, DLST, LSC2 MTCP1 CLD1 | TCA cycle Oxidative phosphorylation Glycerophospholipid metabolism | (Su <i>et al.</i> , 2016) |
| | | | | | | Up | DNAJ MPSY4181, MPSY2821 | Heat shock proteins MFS transporters | |
| 28°C | 15°C | 7 days | Friedmanniomyces endolithicus ⁽²⁾ | Antarctica | 284 | Down | Reduced no. of protein spots (141) | *Reduction in total number of protein spots, indicating a lack of a heat shock response | (Tesei <i>et al.</i> , 2012) |
| 37°C | 25°C | 1 day | Penicillium marneffei (2) | Chiang Mai, Thailand | 270 | Up | Hsp 30, Hsp 70, antigenic mitochondrial protein HSP60 hypothetical protein (activator of HSP90 ATPase) succinyl-CoA synthetase alpha subunit, beta subunit of ATP synthase, NAD- dependent formate dehydrogenase adenylate kinase, phosphoglycerate kinase Ran GTPase spi1 Cdc48p UDP-N-acetylglucosamine pyrophosphorylase | Heat shock proteins Energy production and metabolism Regulate mitotic activities Cell cycle and division Synthesis of N- acetylglucosamine (monomeric component of cell-wall chitin) | (Chandler <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2008) |
| 37°C | 28°C | 1.5 days | Aspergillus flavus ⁽¹⁾ | Data not available | 3886 | Down | 2-heptaprenyl-1,4- naphthoquinone methyltransferase, esterase/lipase, predicted O- methyltransferase, aminotransferase GliI-like, and aflE | Translation and biosynthetic pathways | (Bai <i>et al.</i> , 2015) |
| 37°C | 28°C | 2 hours | Ustilago maydis ⁽²⁾ | United States of America | - | Up | Enolase, Phosphoglycerate kinase Mitochondrial HSP 70, HSP 70, HSP 60 β-succinil CoA synthetase Glutathione S-transferase V/A- ATPase-A Oxidoreductase (AKR's) | Carbohydrate metabolism Protein folding Amino acid metabolism Redox regulation Ion homeostasis Other | (Salmeron- Santiago <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2011) |

Table 2.1: Summary of fungal proteomic profiling analysis when exposed to high temperature stress (work published from 2008-2020)

| 40°C | 28°C | 7 days | Exophiala jeanselmei Coniosporium perforans ⁽²⁾ | Vienna, Austria | 174 255 | Down Down | Reduced no. of protein spots (208) Reduced no. of protein spots (70) | *Spots from the same pI (5-7) and molecular weight range (30-90 kDa) were extinct after heat stress, suggesting that both probably downregulated similar sets of proteins. | (Tesei <i>et al.</i> , 2012) |
|------|------|--|--|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|--|--|---|
| | | | Penicillium chrysogenum ⁽²⁾ | Vienna, Austria | 601 | Up | Increased no. of protein spots (220) | *Over-expression of proteins, interpreted as the synthesis of HSPs | |
| | | | | | | | Calmodulin 2-Methylcitrate synthase mitochondrial, Type 1 phosphatases regulator YPI1 Putative aryl-alcohol dehydrogenase AAD6, Phenylalanine ammonia- | Developmental process Organelle part Catalytic activity | (Zou et al. 2018) |
| 40°C | 28°C | 48 hours | Pleurotus ostreatus ⁽¹⁾ | China | 61 | Up | lyase (fragment), Peptidyl- prolyl cis-trans isomerase ATP-dependent RNA helicase ded1, Elongation factor 1-alpha, Enolase 2, Fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase HSP 60 mitochondrial, HSP SSA2 HSP82 hsp88 | Binding proteins Heat shock proteins | |
| | | | | 6 | 70 | Down | Subtilisin-like protease CPC735_066880 ATP-dependent RNA helicase DHH1, Myosin-1, Serine/threonine-protein kinase ppk8, Tubulin beta chain | Catalytic activity Binding proteins | |
| 48°C | 30°C | 0-4 minutes, Time interval (heat shock) | Aspergillus fumigatus (1) | England, United Kingdom | 1886 | Up | HSP 70 chaperone HSP88 Tubulin alpha-1 subunit, actin-bundling protein Sac6 Nuclear movement protein NudC Allergen Asp F3 Transketolase TktA, hexokinase Kxk, Adenosylhomocysteinase, Nitrite reductase NiiA Cdc48 | Heat shock protein Cell wall and cytoskeleton Transport protein Defence against oxidative and nitrosative stress Carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism Cell cycle and division | (Albrecht <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2010) |
| | | | | | | Down | Mitochondrial co-chaperone GrpE CRAL/TRIO domain protein | Chaperone Transport protein | |

| | | | | | | Cytochrome c oxidase polypeptide vib | Energy generation | |
|------------------|-------------|---|-------|-----|----|--|--|-----------------------|
| 50°C 30°C | 24 hours | Aspergillus niger 3.316 ⁽¹⁾ | China | 481 | Up | phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase type II subunit alpha β-galactosidase, carboxypeptidase β-1,6-glucanase, extracellular α-glucosidase rhamnogalacturonate lyase A | Cellular signalling Carbohydrate metabolism Cell wall organisation | (Deng et al. 2020) |

Key and abbreviations:

 T_{exp} – Temperature of exposure, T_{opt} – Temperature of optimal growth Proteomic profiling approaches. $^{(1)}$ – Gel- free methods

⁽²⁾ – Gel-based method

* - Non-conclusive remarks (no protein identification involved)

2.3 Low temperature stress

The study of low temperature stress resistance in microorganisms and other organisms has led to many beneficial findings. Proteins, such as cold-adapted enzymes, have been applied in many biotechnology industries such as in the manufacture of food and beverages, detergents, textiles and in industrial molecular biology (Sarmiento *et al.*, 2015). Research on cold-adapted proteins often involves psychrophilic or psychrotolerant microorganisms from the polar regions or deep oceans (Cavicchioli *et al.*, 2000). Psychrophiles produce cold-adapted proteins with unique characteristics that have weak protein interactions, low thermal stability and increased specific activity, in order to achieve higher protein activity and flexibility at low temperatures (Reed *et al.*, 2013). Protein structures are modified with subtle changes in the amino acid composition, thus remaining functional under extremely cold conditions. Wang et al. (2017) reviewed fungal adaptation to cold stress, providing an overview of life history strategies and highlighting the importance of cold-adapted fungi in the discovery of novel secondary metabolites and enzymes. Studies on fungal proteome profiles in response to low temperature stress are summarised in Table 2.2.

In a study of black rock-inhabiting fungi exposed to low temperature stress, their proteomic profiles generally showed an increase in the total number of spots, except for *P. chrysogenum* (Tesei *et al.*, 2012). *Friedmanniomyces endolithicus* and *C. perforans* proteome profiles exhibited changes in high molecular mass protein spots (70 - 170 kDa), while that of *E. jeanselmei* showed pattern changes at slightly lower molecular mass (25 - 100 kDa). *Penicillium chrysogenum* exhibited a decrease in the total protein spots, interpreted as being due to lower metabolic activity under cold stress. *Exophiala dermatitidis*, a mesophilic fungus that is pathogenic in humans, was experimentally exposed to low temperature in order to understand the relationship between its thermotolerance properties and pathogenicity (Tesei *et al.*, 2015). The strain was exposed

to 1°C (low temperature stress) for one week and comparison was then made with proteome profiles obtained at 37°C (optimum temperature for growth) and 45°C (high temperature stress). Using 2D-DIGE and nano-scale liquid-chromatography electrosprayionisation, tandem mass spectrometry (nLC-ESI-MS/MS), the study showed an average of 1700 protein spots detected in *E. dermatitidis*. Exposure to low temperature stress led to a reduction in proteins associated with metabolic activity, mostly relating to general carbon metabolism. A large set of proteins involved in energy metabolism pathways were down-regulated, such as malate synthase, malate dehydrogenase, acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Decreased levels of proteins involved in the response to heat stress such as Hsp70s, elongation factor 1α and Hsp30 might also be related to the reduction in metabolic rate and associated reduced energy consumption. Despite utilising alternative metabolic pathways, E. dermatitidis undergoes downregulation of metabolic pathways under exposure to non-optimal temperatures, resulted in a much slower growth rate. Comparison of the proteome profiles of E. dermatitidis under high and low temperature stress demonstrated the contribution to its success as a pathogen made by regulating the expression of basic thermotolerance proteins and modulating the production of proteins in major metabolic pathways.

Mrakia psychrophila, another psychrophilic yeast, showed a slightly different response to low temperature stress compared to *E. dermatitidis*, but more similar to other cold-adapted fungi such as *Flammulina velutipes* (Liu *et al.*, 2017b) and *Mortierella isabellina* (Hu *et al.*, 2016). The responses included desaturation of fatty acids and accumulation of glycerol (Su *et al.*, 2016). At 4°C, two proteins were up-regulated (glutamine synthetase, GLNA and MFS transporter protein, MPSY), and four proteins were down-regulated (citrate synthase, succinyl-CoA ligase, pyruvate decarboxylase and ribosomal protein). Comparative transcriptomic analysis showed that genes involved in ribosome production and energy metabolism were also up-regulated at 4°C. Proteomic

analysis indicated that protein levels were positively correlated with transcription levels in some pathways under low temperature stress, suggesting the up-regulation of chaperones and energy metabolism pathways. A study of the white rot fungus, F. velutipes, showed a similar change in protein profile in response to short-term and longterm exposure to low temperature (Liu et al., 2017b). Of 63 differentially expressed proteins, 31 were up-regulated, 24 of which were involved in energy metabolic pathways, amino acid biosynthesis and metabolism, signalling pathways, transport and translation. Four up-regulated proteins were involved in energy metabolic pathways such as starch and sucrose metabolism (catalase, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase, trehalase and betaglucosidase). However, catalase was up-regulated only in the short term after exposure to low temperature, and its levels returned to normal after longer exposure to low temperature. Eleven differentially expressed proteins were involved in the biosynthesis of nine amino acids, indicating a role played in cold stress response by modifying nitrogen-containing molecule storage. Signalling molecules and processes involved in protein degradation were also up-regulated, thus suggesting the importance of these molecules in controlling F. velutipes mycelium growth and fruiting body formation under cold stress. Proteomic profiling of M. isabellina (a soil fungus involved in many biotechnological applications) under low temperature stress identified 44 differentially expressed proteins under cold stress (Hu et al., 2016). These proteins were mainly involved in the regulation of ATP synthesis (ATP synthase subunit beta, ATP synthase subunit δ), glycolytic pathways (fructose-bisphosphate aldolase), protein modification and electron transport (cytochrome c oxidase polypeptide 5B). The responses identified in *M. isabellina* also supported protein degradation pathways playing an important role in cold stress responses in fungi. Proteins such as HSPs and transitional endoplasmic reticulum ATPase (TER ATPase), that are essential in proteasome pathways, were also up-regulated under cold stress.

Integrating the findings of these different studies has led to deeper understanding of cold-adaptation mechanisms in fungi. They respond to low temperature stress by modifying their energy metabolic pathways, increasing the production of chaperones to minimise misfolded protein production, and regulating the synthesis of amino acids that are important in nitrogen storage. In contrast with studies of high temperature stress, the overall response of many fungal species indicates that low temperature does not cause irreversible damage to cells, with responses acting by modifying molecular content rather than wholesale transformation of complex protein networks. The huge amount of information that can be generated in fungal proteomic studies examining low temperature stress can be utilised for more specific approaches, for example in process optimization and purification of cold-adapted biomolecules. Future studies on cold adaptation mechanisms in fungi will benefit from a focus on identifying common proteins that act as cold stress biomarkers and exploiting the advantages of cold-adapted proteins in biotechnological applications.

| T _{exp} (°C) | Topt (°C) | Time | Species | Origin | # no. of proteins | | Proteins identified | Pathways/functions involved | Refs. |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| 1°C | 15°C | 1 week | F. endolithicus | Antarctica | 466 | - | Increased no. of protein spots (41) | *Increased in high molecular weight spots (range from 70 to 170 kDa), suggesting production of cold-acclimation proteins | (Tesei <i>et al.</i> , 2012) |
| 1°C | 28°C | 1 week | E. jeanselmei | | 387 | - | Increased no. of protein spots (5) | *Changes in expression patterns (spots with MW of 25 – 100 kDa) | • |
| | | | C. perforans | Vienna, | 494 | | Increased no. of protein spots (169) | *exhibited high molecular weight spots (range from 70 to 170 kDa), suggesting *production | |
| | | P. chrysogenum | Austria | 358 | | Decreased no. of protein spots (23) | of cold-acclimation proteins slight decreased indicates downregulation of metabolic activity | | |
| 1°C | 37°C | 1 week | E. dermatitidis | Data not | 1700 | Up | 14-3-3 family proteins | Signalling proteins | (Tesei |
| | | | | available | | | Minor allergen Alt a7 | Small allergen molecules | et al., |
| | | | | | | | Nucleoside diphosphate kinase | A I P production pathway | 2015) |
| | | | | | | | dehvdrogenase | Glycolytic pathway | |
| | | | | | | Down | Acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase | Acetate metabolism | |
| | | | | | | | Alcohol oxidase | Alcohol metabolism | |
| | | | | | | | Aldehyde dehydrogenase | Aldehyde metabolism | |
| | | | | | | | Phosphoenol pyruvate carboxykinase [ATP] | Gluconeogenesis | |
| | | | | | | | Malate synthase, glyoxysomal | Pyruvate metabolism | |
| 1°C | 45°C** | 1 week | E. dermatitidis | Data not | 1700 | Up | 14-3-3 family proteins | Signalling proteins | |
| | | | | available | | 1 | Acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase | Acetate metabolism | |
| | | | | | | | Minor allergen Alt a7 | Small allergen molecules | |
| | | | | | | | Nucleoside diphosphate kinase | ATP production pathway | |
| | | | | | | | Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate | Glycolytic pathway | |
| | | | | | | | dehydrogenase | TT (1 1) | |
| | | | | | | | Hsp30 | Heat shock proteins | |
| | | | | | | Down | Alconol 0X1dase | Alconol metabolism | |
| | | | | | | | Phosphoenol pyruvate | Gluconeogenesis | |
| | | | | | | | carboxykinase [ATP] | Chuconcogenesis | |
| | | | | | | | Beta-lactamase | Antibiotic resistance proteins | |
| | | | | | | | Hsp70-like protein | Heat shock proteins | |
| 4°C | 12°C | NA | M. psychrophila | | 1673 | Up | GLNA | Amino acid metabolism | |
| | | | · · · | | | <u>^</u> | MPSY protein | MFS transporter | |
| | | | | Qinghai- Tibet, China | | Down | citrate synthase and LSC2 proteins PDC | TCA cycle Glycolysis | (Su <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2016) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|------|--|--|--|
| 12- 15°C | 23- 25°C | 2 weeks | Flammulina velutipes | Data not available | 1198 | Up | Trehalase (Fragment) Proteasome subunit β type | Trehalose metabolism Proteosome pathway | (Liu et al., |
| | | | · | | | Down | 60s ribosomal protein Acetylornithine aminotransferase Glutathione-disulfide reductase Adenylosuccinate synthetase 1 | Heat shock proteins Urea cycle Oxidative stress pathway Purine biosynthesis | 2017Ь) |
| 12- 15°C | 23- 25°C | 3 days | Flammulina velutipes | Data not available | 1198 | Up | Heat shock cognate 70 Catalase | Heat shock proteins Catalytic enzymes | |
| | | | · | | | Down | Mitochondrial cytochrome T-complex protein eta subunit (Tcp-1-eta) Serine hydroxymethyltransferase | Electron transport chain Chaperonins Serine metabolism | |
| | | | | | | | Transketolase Methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase | Pentose phosphate pathway Amino acid metabolism | |
| 15°C | 30°C | 24 hours | Mortierella isabellina M6-22 | China | 1800 | Up | fructose-bisphosphate aldolase cytochrome c oxidase polypeptide 5B ATP synthase subunit β , ATP synthase δ subunit E3 ubiquitinprotein ligase BRE1, and histone acetyltransforase GCN5 | Glycolytic pathway Electron transport ATP production Ubiquitin–proteasome pathway | (Hu <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2016) |

* Non-conclusive remarks (no protein identification involved)

** 45°C is not the optimal growth temperature for *E. dermatitidis*, rather an experimental high temperature stress

2.4 Effects of temperature stress on fungal proteomes

Fungi respond to temperature stress through regulation of various proteins, that can be visualised using proteomic profiling techniques within the limitations noted above. Changes in fungal proteomes exposed to various temperature stresses (Tables 1, 2) demonstrate that many cellular functions are affected, such as the TCA cycle and energy production and metabolism, oxidative phosphorylation, regulation of mitotic activities, cell cycle and division, transcriptional and translational stages of biosynthetic pathways, cell wall and cytoskeleton reorganisation, transport protein remodelling, defence against oxidative and other stresses, and cellular signalling mechanisms. Undeniably, these proteins and their roles in cellular functions are highly significant, with the complex cellular protein network maintained through protein homeostasis (Mühlhofer et al., 2019; O' Neill et al., 2020). It is currently extremely challenging to identify any specific set of proteins as being the most affected by or vulnerable to temperature stress, or being used as potential temperature stress biomarkers. Metabolic pathways and HSPs are considered a primary focus and elaborated below. These two components are crucial in energy regulation and protein turnover, and hence for cell survival (Yan et al., 2020). More widely, biosynthesis and regulation of metabolic pathways and HSPs are of importance in many applied fields such as agriculture, food biotechnology and medicine (Ene et al., 2014; Sarmiento et al., 2015; Lamoth et al., 2016).

2.4.1 Effects of temperature stress on metabolic pathways

Fungal adaptation to temperature stress involves alterations in the utilisation of many metabolic pathways in trade-offs to compensate for the amount of energy needed or the extra energy to be utilised in delivering the stress response. As temperature increases, the rate of metabolism (of a non-endothermic organism) increases as a purely physical consequence, and then rapidly declines at higher temperatures as the metabolic systems start to fail – eventually leading to cell death. Metabolic regulation is one strategy

employed by organisms to adapt to temperature stress, which is controlled by factors such as concentration of substrates, products or allosteric effectors (Suarez & Moyes, 2012; Clarke, 2018). Understanding thermal adaptation in fungi requires an overall understanding of the effects of temperature on pathway flux, including such things as the roles and limitations of enzymes involved, concentration of substrates and products, and other protein and non-protein components (Schulte, 2015). Advances in proteomic technologies have made it possible to determine many of the proteins involved in the complex network of metabolic pathways, as low as in zeptomole-scale mixtures (Swaminathan *et al.*, 2018), but it remains challenging to accurately identify and allocate all protein molecules involved into their various pathways (Timp & Timp, 2020). However, many studies have developed illustrative diagrams of complex protein-protein interaction networks, and it is accepted that temperature stress causes quantifiable changes in these metabolic pathways compared to non-stress conditions (Kostadinova *et al.*, 2011; Bai *et al.*, 2015).

Glycolysis is an important metabolic pathway in most organisms, including fungi. Many fungi produce enzymes to break down complex polysaccharides such as cellulose, hemicellulose, pectin and starch to produce glucose (Krishnan *et al.*, 2016; Xiong *et al.*, 2017). Studies have confirmed that fungi produce a range of extracellular hydrolases to help them utilise different carbon and nitrogen sources under exposure to temperature stress (Krishnan *et al.*, 2017; Tajuddin *et al.*, 2018). The production of these enzymes responds to changing environmental temperature, in order to maintain metabolic requirements and protein turnover. As noted earlier, trehalose production is also important for fungi under temperature stress. Trehalose is known to be important in the acquisition of thermotolerance and desiccation tolerance in many fungal species (and much more widely across multiple groups of biota) (Gancedo & Flores, 2004; Tereshina, 2005; Everatt *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*, 2019). In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, trehalose and intracellular water stabilise the membrane structure and other intracellular networks under temperature stress conditions (Piper, 1993). Various studies document increased activity of trehalases, such as trehalose synthase and fructose-1,6-biphosphatase, in response to heat stress (Bonini *et al.*, 1995; Cai *et al.*, 2009). In addition, enzymes such as cAMPdependent protein kinase and plasma membrane ATPase also play significant roles in fungal thermotolerance determination (Piper, 1993; Jurick II *et al.*, 2004). Enolase, an enzyme that converts 2-phosphoglyceric acid to phosphoenolpyruvic acid in glycolysis, is also crucial in the heat stress response of many fungal species and yeasts (Ji *et al.*, 2016). It has been suggested that enolase (ENO1) is closely involved in heat stress responses, and ENO1 of yeasts and streptococcal strains isolated from rats has high thermal stability (Iida & Yahara, 1985; Kustrzeba-Wójcicka & Golczak, 2000; Cuéllar-Cruz *et al.*, 2013).

2.4.2 Effects of temperature stress on heat shock proteins (HSPs)

In the event of heat stress, HSPs are produced in cells to protect proteins from aggregation, unfold or refold aggregated proteins, or target them for the degradation pathway. Although initially named following discovery in heat stress experiments, HSPs are a part of general stress responses, produced when cells and organisms are exposed to multiple types of stressors. Most HSPs are molecular chaperones produced constitutively or induced upon cell stress, which can be triggered by a temperature change of just a few degrees (Richter *et al.*, 2010). Heat shock proteins are primarily categorised and named according to their molecular mass, which varies from 10 to 100 kDa. Their multifunctional properties have made them an important and reliable target biomarker in various fields such as crop management, plant and microbe adaptation towards environmental stress and cancer related studies (Jee, 2016). HSPs are known to play a role in temperature-stressed environments (Tiwari *et al.*, 2015), but understanding the complexity of the HSP network as a defence mechanism in the cellular environment

requires much further research. The cellular functions of the various HSPs that are involved as part of the heat shock response network in fungi, using *S. cerevisiae* as a model, have been discussed in depth (Verghese *et al.*, 2012). Evidence suggests that HSPs are involved in cell cycle arrest (Vergés *et al.*, 2007), metabolic reprogramming (Elliott *et al.*, 1996), modulating cell wall and membrane dynamics (Winkler *et al.*, 2002; Truman *et al.*, 2007; Shaner *et al.*, 2008) and protein aggregation (Nathan *et al.*, 1997).

Heat shock protein 60 (HSP60) is a highly conserved molecule in many organisms and has been found to respond to various stress conditions in fungi. This HSP is up-regulated in response to increased temperature in species such as A. fumigatus, Aspergillus terreus, P. chrysogenum, Scedosporium apiospermum, Trichophyton mentagrophytes, Candida albicans and S. cerevisiae (Raggam et al., 2011). HSP60 has also been proposed to play a role in the assembly of precursor polypeptides into oligomeric complexes following incorporation into the mitochondrial matrix (Patriarca & Maresca, 1990). Galello et al. (2014) recently described the interaction of HSP60 and Ira2 with Bcy1, a regulatory subunit of protein kinase A (PKA) in S. cerevisiae. Using an MS-based proteomic approach, this study demonstrated that HSP60 localized the entire PKA-Ras complex to mitochondria under the regulation of the cAMP-PKA-signalling pathway. There are also reports of HSP70 having a role in enhancing fungal resistance to heat stress and other abiotic stresses (Montero-Barrientos et al., 2008; 2010). The overexpression of HSPO genes in Trichoderma harzianum T34 resulted in an increase in biomass and enhanced tolerance to other abiotic stresses after heat shock treatment (Montero-Barrientos et al., 2008). Subsequently, the function of the HSP70 gene from T. harzianum T34 was further studied in the transgenic plant, Arabidopsis thaliana, showing that the transgenic plants exhibited higher tolerance towards heat stress (Montero-Barrientos et al., 2010). HSP90, one of the most ubiquitous chaperones in yeasts (Nathan et al., 1997), was found to interact with calcineurin (Imai & Yahara, 2000) and respond to heat stress indirectly through facilitating the activation of the MAPK complex (Truman *et al.*, 2007). More recent work has shown that HSP90 plays a central role in heat stress responses in *Fusarium graminearum*, in addition to its crucial roles in fungal vegetative growth, reproduction and virulence (Bui *et al.*, 2016). In *A. fumigatus*, HSP90 has been implicated in drug resistance, as disruption of the HSP90 circuitry leads to activation of the antifungal agent caspofungin (Lamoth *et al.*, 2016).

2.5 *Pseudogymnoascus* spp.

Pseudogymnoascus is a fungal genus belonging to the family Pseudeurotiaceae and phylum Ascomycota. This genus is characterised by considerable variation in morphology and the structures of peridial hyphae and ascospores (Tsuneda, 1982; Rice & Currah, 2006; Chaturvedi et al., 2010). Psychrotolerant fungi have been defined as species that can grow close to 0° C, have the optimum growth temperature > 15°C, and the maximum growth temperature of $> 20^{\circ}$ C (Morita, 1975). In general, Pseudogymnoascus spp. are psychrotolerant (cold tolerant) fungi commonly identified to be anamorphic based on the absence of evidence of sexual reproduction (Kochkina et al., 2007; Hayes, 2012). Previously known as Geomyces, many species were reclassified to Pseudogymnoascus based on molecular phylogenetic analysis (Minnis & Lindner, 2013). Since then, many studies have been carried out to further identify and reclassify *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. based on phylogenetic and genomic analyses and assembly (Shuey et al., 2014; Leushkin et al., 2015; Palmer et al., 2018). Two species, P. destructans (Blehert & Gargas) Minnis & D.L. Lindner and P. pannorum (Link) Minnis & D.L. Lindner, have gained particular interest among researchers worldwide. Pseudogymnoascus destructans is a pathogenic fungus associated with white-nose syndrome (WNS) in bats (Blehert et al., 2009; Gargas et al., 2009), while P. pannorum is found in soils and is widely distributed globally, but is only rarely associated with human and canine diseases (Christen-Zaech et al., 2008; Chaturvedi et al., 2018).

Pseudogymnoascus spp. are known producers of many extracellular hydrolases that contribute to soil decomposition processes, including ureases (Zhang *et al.*, 2015), proteases (Pannkuk *et al.*, 2015), endopeptidases (O'Donoghue *et al.*, 2015) and laccases (Kolomytseva *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. have been isolated from crude-oil contaminated soils in the Ecuadorian Amazon rainforest (Maddela *et al.*, 2015) and linked to the biodegradation of diesel oil in Antarctic soils (Donovan *et al.*, 2018). A recent study of fungal isolates from lake sediments in the Antarctic Peninsula reported that *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. demonstrate selective trypanocidal and herbicidal activities (Ogaki *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. have also been suggested for use in various biotechnological applications, such as the potential use of its lipase in detergent formulations (Sahay & Chouhan, 2018) and promising applications in the elimination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and phenols from hyperalkalophile industrial effluents (Batista-García *et al.*, 2017).

CHAPTER 3: THERMAL TOLERANCE AND SENSITIVITY OF PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS SPP. FROM DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS

3.1 Introduction

Temperature changes alter the relative abundance of species that regulate soil ecosystem processes, thus leading to further changes in community composition (Ladau et al., 2017). To humans, these changes in diversity can indirectly reduce the quality and quantity of food sources, if the ecosystems involved include agricultural areas used in crop production (Chapin et al., 2000). In the soil ecosystem, fungi are considered to be very successful and key community members because of their high plasticity and ability to adopt various forms in response to adverse or unfavorable conditions (A'Bear et al., 2014c). In the present study, the genus Pseudogymnoascus was chosen as a model organism to understand soil fungal response to temperature stress. Other than high abundance and easy to culture, members of *Pseudogymnoascus* are also well known to play important roles in the soil environment (Maddela et al., 2015; Donovan et al., 2018). They are widely reported from polar and temperate regions, where they are involved in various soil decomposition processes and play major roles in bioremediation of many pollutants (Zhang et al., 2015; Donovan et al., 2018). The phenotypic diversity of Pseudogymnoascus spp. is of great interest in understanding underlying adaptation characteristics of fungi towards temperature stress. The objective of this study was to determine the thermal tolerance and sensitivity of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. originating from different global geographical regions.

3.2 Literature review

Fungal adaptation towards any stress is as achieved through 'tolerance mechanisms'. Other than producing spores, fungi also initiate stress tolerance strategies at the molecular level. Fungi undergo biochemical changes such as an increased production of trehalose in the mycelial structures (Al-Bader et al., 2010), the production of various pigments (Sumathy et al., 2007), the production of anti-freeze proteins coating the cell wall, the production of extracellular hydrolases such as chitinolytic enzymes (Fenice, 2016) and increased production of heat shock proteins to minimize protein misfolding (Kroll et al., 2014; Miteva-Staleva et al., 2017). All of these are strategies that assist in tolerance of environmental stress and increase the chances of reproduction in non-optimal environments (Williams et al., 2011). The study of low temperature stress, or cold stress, in microorganisms has led to many beneficial findings worldwide. Proteins, such as coldadapted enzymes, have been applied in many biotechnological applications such as in manufacturing of food and beverages, detergents, textiles and industrial molecular biology (Sarmiento et al., 2015). Research on cold-adapted proteins often involves psychrophilic microorganisms from the polar regions and deep oceans (Cavicchioli et al., 2000). Psychrophiles produce cold-adapted proteins with unique characteristics that have weak protein interactions, low thermal stability and increased specific activity, in order to achieve higher protein activity and flexibility at low temperatures (Reed et al., 2013). However, in comparison with high temperature stress, the overall response of many fungal species showed that low temperature did not cause serious damage to cells, thus implying the effect of modifying biomolecular content rather than large-scale transformation of complex protein networks. A recent review of fungal adaptation to cold environments provides an overview of their life history strategies and highlights the importance of cold-adapted fungi in the discovery of novel secondary metabolites and enzymes (Wang et al., 2017).

The diversity and abundance of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in soil environments have been reported globally. Various research works have been carried out to understand their thermal tolerance or growth rates under temperature variation (Krishnan *et al.*, 2017; Misiak *et al.*, 2021). Previous studies showed that the optimum growth rate for strain HND16 R2-1 sp.2 and another *Pseudogymnoascus* strain from the Antarctic (AK07KGI503 R2-1 sp. 3) is achieved at 20°C, consistent with them being psychrotolerant (Tajuddin *et al.*, 2018; Tajuddin *et al.*, 2019). In this study, a comparison of growth rates and morphological changes of six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. originating from different geographical regions was made in order to characterise the thermal tolerance of this genus. The data obtained provide baseline information on *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. responses to temperature stress.

3.3 Methodology

3.3.1 Selection of study strains and maintenance of cultures

Four isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. from the Arctic (*Sp1* and HND16 R2-1 sp.2) and Antarctic (AK07KGI1202 R1-1 sp.3 and AK07KGI1202 R1-1 sp.4) regions were obtained from the culture collection of the National Antarctic Research Centre (NARC), Universiti Malaya, Malaysia. Isolation, identification and phylogenetic analysis of these isolates is described by (Wong, 2019). All four isolates cluster within an undescribed group of *Pseudogymnoascus* strains (Wong, 2019). Two further isolates of *P. pannorum* (CBS 106.13 and CBS 107.65) from the temperate region (Switzerland and Germany) were purchased from the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS-KNAW) Fungal Biodiversity Centre (Utrecht, The Netherlands). All isolates were grown on Czapek-Dox agar and kept at 5°C for culture maintenance purposes.

Table 3.1 *Pseudogymnoascus* isolates with information on their origin and identification codes.

| Taxon name | Isolate code | Code | Sampling | Region | Mean annual | Mean annual | Collection | GenBank |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|
| | | used in | location | | temperature | precipitation | centre | Accession |
| | | text | | | (approximate) | (approximate) | 7 | number |
| Pseudogymnoascus | HND16 R4-1 | sp1 | Hornsund, | Arctic | $3 - 12^{\circ}C$ | 15 mm w.e | NARC, Malaysia | MK443476 |
| sp. | sp.1 | | Spitsbergen | | | | | |
| Pseudogymnoascus | HND16 R2-1 | sp2 | Hornsund, | Arctic | 3 – 12°C | 15 mm w.e | NARC, Malaysia | MK443477 |
| sp. | sp.2 | | Spitsbergen | | | | | |
| Pseudogymnoascus | AK07KGI12 | sp3 | Fildes | Antarctic | -2 – 8°C | 50 mm w.e | NARC, Malaysia | MK443474 |
| sp. | 02 R1-1 sp.3 | | Peninsula, King | | | | | |
| | | | George Island | | | | | |
| Pseudogymnoascus | AK07KGI12 | sp4 | Fildes | Antarctic | -2 – 8°C | 50 mm w.e | NARC, Malaysia | MK443475 |
| sp. | 02 R1-1 sp.4 | | Peninsula, King | | | | | |
| | | | George Island | | | | | |
| P. pannorum | CBS 106.13 | <i>C106</i> | Sainte-Croix, | Temperate | 9°C | 1800 mm w.e | CBS-KNAW | MH854616 |
| | | | Switzerland | | | | Fungal | |
| | | | | | | | Biodiversity | |
| | | | | | | | Centre | |
| P. pannorum | CBS 107.65 | <i>C107</i> | Schleswig- | Temperate | 8.7° | 853 mm w.e | CBS-KNAW | MH858505 |
| | | | Holstein, Kiel- | | | | Fungal | |
| | | | Kitzeberg, | | | | Biodiversity | |
| | | | Germany | | | | Centre | |

* mm w.e : mm water equivalent

3.3.2 Temperature-dependent growth curve studies

Fungal colony plugs (diameter 5 mm) were inoculated onto fresh Czapek-Dox agar at the center of prepared Petri dishes. Fungal colony diameter was measured every 24 h over a period of 10 d. The inoculations were done in a laminar flow hood with off-airflow to minimise contamination from stray spores, as described by Misiak *et al.* (2021). Morphological changes were recorded, specifically relating to colony pigmentation and mycelial elevation. A time-course experiment was performed where plates of each fungal strain were exposed to one of a selected temperature range (5°C, 10°C, 15°C, 20°C, 25°C or 30°C) and growth (colony diameter) was recorded daily over 10 d. Colony diameters were measured using a Vernier caliper (±0.1mm) every 24 h. Fungal colony morphology assessment was performed on day 10 of growth. Temperature-dependent growth curves were plotted of radial growth measurements (mm), analysed using Gompertz and Richards' logistics growth models (Annadurai *et al.*, 2000). All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

3.4 Results

All six isolates showed significant changes in colony appearances and marginal structures with increasing temperature (Figures 3.1a-3.6a). Three isolates - *sp1*, *C106*, and *C107* - appeared as translucent colonies when exposed to 5°C and 10°C, but turned opaque with slightly yellowish pigmentation when exposed to 20°C and 25°C (Figures 3.1a, 3.5a, 3.6a). For isolates *sp2* and *sp3*, colony morphology showed an increase of yellow pigmentation with increasing temperatures and changes in marginal structures from filiform to an undulating margin (Figures 3.2a, 3.3a). For isolate *sp4*, an increase in temperature caused a change of colony pigmentation from slightly pink at 10°C and 15°C, to yellowish at 20°C and 25°C (Figure 3.4a).

Colony extension rates of each strain were plotted for all six temperature exposures to identify the optimum temperature for growth (Figures 3.1b-3.6b). Calculated values of colony diameter at each time point were analyzed using multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), using Bonferroni's test to make *a posteriori* comparison. As shown in Figures 3.1b-3.6b, colony diameter extension increased with increasing temperature, with the greatest colony diameters recorded at 15°C or 20°C, depending on the strain. Analysis of variance showed a significant multivariate effect for the colony diameter of different strains as a group in relation to the temperature, $F_{10,241} = 15.110$, P < 0.001, with a small effect size, $\eta 2 = 0.562$ and observed power 1.0. The Arctic strains (isolates *sp1* and *sp2*) and the temperate strains (isolates *C106* and *C107*), showed optimum growth at 20°C after 10 d. For the Antarctic strains (isolates *sp3* and *sp4*) the optimum temperature for growth was 15°C.





Figure 3.1: Colony morphological changes of isolate *sp1* after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures (a). Isolate appeared as translucent colony under cold stress (5° C and 10° C) and increased in yellowish pigmentation under heat stress (20° C and 25° C). Calculated mean and standard deviation values of colony extension of isolate recorded during 10 d growth experiments at six different temperatures (b).

Figure 3.2: Colony morphological changes of isolate *sp2* after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures (a). Increasing heat induced pigmentation and changes in marginal structure of isolate. Calculated mean and standard deviation values of colony extension of isolate recorded during 10 d growth experiments at six different temperatures (b).





Figure 3.3: Colony morphological changes of isolate sp3 after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures (a). Heat stress induced pigmentation and changes in marginal structure of isolate (20°C, 25°C and 30°C). Calculated mean and standard deviation values of colony extension of isolate recorded during 10 d growth experiments at six different temperatures (b).

Figure 3.4: Colony morphological changes of isolate *sp4* after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures (a). Isolate appeared as slightly pink colony at 10°C and 15°C, but became yellowish at 20°C and 25°C. Calculated mean and standard deviation values of colony extension of isolate recorded during 10 d growth experiments at six different temperatures (b).





Figure 3.5: Colony morphological changes of isolate *C106* after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures (a). Isolate appeared as translucent colony under cold stress (5°C and 10°C), but turned opaque with increasing temperatures (20°C and 25°C). Calculated mean and standard deviation values of colony extension of isolate recorded during 10 d growth experiments at six different temperatures (b).

Figure 3.6: Colony morphological changes of isolate *C107* after 10 d exposure to six different temperatures (a). Isolate appeared as translucent colony under cold stress (5°C and 10°C), but turned opaque with yellowish pigmentation under heat stress (25°C). Calculated mean and standard deviation values of colony extension of isolate recorded during 10 d growth experiments at six different temperatures (b).

Figure 3.7 shows the temperature-dependent growth curves of all six isolates based on mean fungal colony diameter on day 10 of incubation with individual best-fitting curves generated using Gompertz and Richards' logistics growth models. The four polar isolates had optimum growth temperature between 15°C and 20°C with no significant differences between the two temperatures (Figures 3.7a, c). There were also no significant differences in mean fungal colony diameters achieved between 25°C and 10°C for these four isolates. The two temperate isolates had optimum growth temperatures of 20°C (Figure 3.7b). The growth characteristics of all six isolates are consistent with them being psychrotolerant fungi.



Figure 3.7: Temperature-dependent growth curves of six *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates originating from different global geographical regions. a) Arctic; b) Temperate; c) Antarctic. There were no significant differences between growth at 15°C and 20°C, and 10°C and 25°C, for the four polar isolates. There was also no significant difference between 5°C and 10°C for the temperate isolate *C107*.

3.5 Discussion

The concept of environmental stress has been discussed and reviewed by many researchers (Hoffman & Parsons, 1994; Parsons, 2005; Nevo, 2011). Variation in stress may be represented in a continuum of fitness – stress, where fitness is inversely related to stress levels (Nevo, 2011). Temperature stress is a physiological stress that can hinder function and cause injury or death due to excessive heat or cold. Different biological systems vary in their range of temperature tolerance, which influences their ability to survive sudden changes in their environment. Under temperature stress, pigment production has been suggested to be one of the mechanisms of heat tolerance activated by fungi (Sumathy *et al.*, 2007). Changes in colony morphology are also regarded as a stress indicator in fungi (Sterflinger & Krumbein, 1995).

The six *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates studied here, originating from different global geographical regions, showed a wide range of phenotypic variation and differing patterns of thermal tolerance. *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolated originating from polar and temperate regions had optimum growth temperatures of between 15°C and 20°C, and 20°C, respectively.

Phylogenetic analysis of the four isolates from the polar regions were inconclusive, only being able to identify them as *Pseudogymnoascus* sp. (Wong, 2019). Initial BLAST results of 28S nuclear ribosomal large subunit rRNA gene (LSU) sequences obtained from these isolates showed 99-100% identity scores with *Geomyces pannorum/P*. *pannorum* (Wong, 2019). *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. are ubiquitous fungi in the soil ecosystem, often associated with cold environments (Barratt *et al.*, 2003; Arenz *et al.*, 2011; Hayes, 2012). They have a wide range of growth temperature (4°C to 25°C) with many species classified as psychrotolerant (Ali *et al.*, 2013; Krishnan *et al.*, 2016; Kolomytseva *et al.*, 2017). A recent study of one of the isolates used here, *sp2*, together

with another strain of *Pseudogymnoascus* sp. from the Antarctic (AK07KGI503 R2-1 sp. 3), showed both had optimum growth temperature of 20°C (Tajuddin et al., 2018). Other factors should be taken into consideration in such growth studies, such as the relationship of the activation energy (E_a) and Q_{10} values of the isolate with temperature (Tajuddin *et* al., 2018; Tajuddin et al., 2019). Although the data obtained here identified the temperature for optimum growth to be between 15°C and 20°C, with no induction of stress-related morphology at these temperatures, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. have a wide range of temperature tolerance. No growth was observed in any of the six isolates at temperature below 5°C or above 30°C. In situ analysis conducted on Alexander Island in the southern maritime Antarctic using *Pseudogymnoascus roseus* showed that the growth and extracellular enzymes activities of the fungi were inhibited when exposed to temperatures above 20°C (Misiak et al., 2021). In this work, only minimal growth was observed after 10 d incubation at 5°C and 25°C. The temperature-growth characteristics of all six isolates confirm that they are psychrotolerant and it is unlikely for these isolates to grow at temperatures of more than 25°C in their natural environment (Misiak et al., 2021).

3.6 Conclusions

Pseudogymnoascus is a genus of filamentous fungi widely distributed in soil ecosystems of the polar and temperate regions. The key role of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in decomposition processes in the soil make members of the genus ideal candidates for use as model organisms in soil environmental studies. The data obtained in this study confirm that the six *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates examined have wide phenotypic variation and optimum growth temperatures of 15-20°C and a growth temperature range of 5-25°C, defining them as psychrotolerant. The temperature-related growth characteristics documented here provide baseline criteria for the studies of proteomic responses of these isolates to cold and heat stress described in later chapters.

CHAPTER 4: PROTEOMIC CHARACTERISATION OF PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS SPP. USING TANDEM MASS SPECTROMETRY

4.1 Introduction

Proteomic approaches are increasingly being used in the field of mycology. The objective is mainly to aid in understanding the complex network of protein-protein interactions that have been implicated in certain molecular functions of fungi (Bhadauria et al., 2007). For soil fungi, the majority of proteomic research to date has been focused on fungal-plant interaction and how fungal proteomes may affect the quality of plants and soil (Kroll et al., 2014). However, it is also a useful tool to compare metabolic differences within a genus (Pigosso et al., 2013). Pseudogymnoascus is a widespread fungal genus with representatives found in many soil ecosystems, playing important roles in decomposition through the production of many extracellular hydrolase enzymes (Maddela et al., 2015; Krishnan et al., 2016). Some studies have determined specific hydrolase enzymes produced by Pseudogymnoascus spp. isolated from polar soils (Tajuddin et al., 2018; Tajuddin et al., 2019). However, despite the acknowledged importance of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in soil ecosystems, few research studies have addressed molecular functions within the genus as yet. Thus, the objectives of this study were, 1) to optimize a protein extraction method for *Pseudogymnoascus* spp., and 2) to characterise Pseudogymnoascus spp. isolated from different regions globally through proteomic profiling. The data generated are intended to contribute to the baseline information available about *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. proteomes and support research into the roles *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in soil ecosystems.

4.2 Literature review

The use of various '-omic' approaches that analyse different molecules (e.g. proteins, mRNA, metabolites) benefits research aiming to understand the complex biological networks within an organism (Bai *et al.*, 2015; Donovan *et al.*, 2018; Fricker, 2018). In

the field of soil biology and biochemistry, proteomics have been used to link between the phylogeny and functionality of soil microorganisms and advance understanding of the effects on deforestation, contamination and agricultural management regimes (Bastida & Jehmlich, 2016; Liu *et al.*, 2017a). For instance, investigation of microbial community functions in contaminated soils using a proteomic approach provided baseline information about the molecular functions that contribute to *Fusarium* wilt in infected tomatoes (Manikandan *et al.*, 2017). Proteomics have also been used to identify strategies used by various human pathogens such as *Paracoccidioides* spp. (Parente-Rocha *et al.*, 2018) and *Exophiala dermatitidis* (Tesei *et al.*, 2015) to establish infection in their host. Proteomic profiling is a very useful approach to give basic information on the spectrum of protein interactions in cells (Zakharova *et al.*, 2014). Information generated in such studies also enables researchers to explore the potential for use of proteins in various fields and biotechnological applications.

Considerable effort has been invested in fungal proteomics to identify specific biological or molecular functions that are affected in certain experimental conditions. Proteomic approaches have also been used to identify proteins responsible for dimorphism characteristics in dimorphic fungi, such as *Paracoccidioides brasiliensis*, *P. lutzii* and *Penicillium marneffei* (Chandler *et al.*, 2008; Gauthier, 2017). Detailed proteomic characterisation of four *Paracoccidioides* species identified significant metabolic differences between them as well as proposing the use of particular biochemical criteria as markers to distinguish between members of the genus (Pigosso *et al.*, 2013). To date, studies of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. have mainly been carried out on *P. destructans* and *P. pannorum* (Barratt *et al.*, 2003; Verant *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Verant *et al.*, 2018). Limited data are available for other species in the genus, with most studies only relating to isolation work from various sources (Kochkina *et al.*, 2007; Ali *et al.*, 2013; Krishnan *et al.*, 2016; Godinho *et al.*, 2019). However, an extensive

comparative genomic analysis carried out on 14 species of *Pseudogymnoascus* isolated from various geographical regions provides a very useful database for *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. (Leushkin *et al.*, 2015). The study showed high nucleotide diversity among the 14 species, and that species from permafrost regions have close relatives from temperate environments.

Protein extraction is a very important element of any proteomic analysis. In mass spectrometry analysis, problems can occur through achieving a low depth of protein coverage that results in relatively high false negative findings or the under-representation of important biological processes (Mathieson & Thomas, 2013; Callister et al., 2018). Capturing and validating total protein content can only be done in relation to the total protein extracted within the specific extraction method used. Thus, optimisation of protein extraction methods is a key element important in achieving high protein yield and better resolution, distinct from the selection of proteomic profiling methods (Isola et al., 2011; Bianco & Perrotta, 2015; Daim et al., 2015; Seong et al., 2017). To date, very data are available for this genus, hampering understanding of the diversity of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolated from soils and their complex network of proteins that contribute to their ecological roles and adaptation strategies. To address this, this study investigated six isolates obtained from three different global geographical regions - the Arctic, Antarctica and temperate regions. The objective of this chapter was to characterize these isolates through proteomic profiling, thereby providing baseline information and characterisation of their proteomes and protein profiles. The data obtained will potentially assist in species differentiation and improve understanding of their contribution to decomposition processes in soil ecosystems.

4.3 Methodology

4.3.1 Total protein extraction methods

Three methods of protein extraction were conducted to optimise the quality and total protein yield achieved from fungal biomass. Mycelia of *sp2* (from 10 day cultures) were carefully scraped from culture plates using a sterile spatula. An average of 5 g of fungi mycelia (initial wet mass) were inoculated into 300 mL of Czapek-Dox liquid cultures in three replicates and were grown for 5 d at the experimentally non-stress temperature (15°C). On day 5, biomass was harvested using a 0.45 μ m filter paper and transferred to sterile tubes to be weighed. Biomass was immediately flash-frozen and ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen. Approximately 1 g of ground mycelia was used in each extraction. Three independent extraction replicates were performed to assess the reproducibility of each method. Total protein was extracted from the ground tissues using the following protocols.

4.3.1.1 TCA-acetone extraction

Total proteins were extracted using a TCA–acetone method as described by Daim *et al.* (2015). Briefly, ground biomass was precipitated in 5 mL of cold acetone/20% TCA/0.2 % DTT at -20°C and incubated overnight. Then, the mixture was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 30 min. The pellet was collected and rinsed twice in cold acetone/0.2% DTT after the supernatant was discarded. At each rinsing step, the mixture was incubated for a minimum of 1 h at -20°C after which it was centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min. The resulting pellet was air-dried and analysed further for total protein content. Remaining samples were kept at -20°C for further use.

4.3.1.2 TCA-acetone-phenol extraction

For this extraction, the initial step was carried out as described by Tesei *et al.* (2015). The resulting pellet was further incubated in lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS, 30 mM Tris HCl pH 8.5) for 1 h. The mixture was bath-sonicated for 15 min at 20°C, 5 mL of Tris-buffered phenol solution pH 8.0 (Sigma Aldrich) was added to the cell lysate and the phenolic phase was collected after centrifugation ($3300 \times g$ for 20 min). Proteins were precipitated overnight at -20°C by addition of 5 vol of 0.1 M ammonium acetate in methanol (w/v). After centrifugation at 3300 × g for 30 min, the precipitate was washed with ice-cold methanol (absolute) and ice-cold acetone (80%, v/v). The resulting pellet was air-dried and analysed further for total protein content.

4.3.1.3 Phenol-guanidine isothiocyanate extraction

The third protein extraction method used a modified phenol-guanidine isothiocyanate method (Daim et al., 2015). Initially, 5 mL of TRI Reagent (Molecular Research Centre, Inc.) was added to the fine ground biomass. This was followed by vigorous vortexing and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The mixture was centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min and the clear phenol extract (protein extract) was transferred into a new tube. A total of 200 µL of chloroform was added into the transferred extract. It was vortexed and centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min to remove insoluble materials. The aqueous phase (which contains RNA) was carefully discarded and 300 µL of cold absolute ethanol was added to precipitate the nucleic acids. The tube was inverted to mix the contents and centrifuged at 2000 × g for 5 min, after which the phenol-ethanol supernatant (protein extract) was transferred into a new tube. The protein extract was precipitated with 1.5 mL of cold isopropanol. After incubation for 30 min at room temperature, the mixture was centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min. The resulting protein pellet was washed three times in 1.5 mL cold 0.3 M guanidine hydrochloride in 95% ethanol and then centrifuged at $7500 \times g$ for 5 min. After the final wash, the protein pellet was vortexed in 1.5 mL absolute ethanol. After incubation for 20 min, the pellet was centrifuged at $7500 \times g$ for 5 min and air-dried.

4.3.2 Determination of protein content

The Bradford protein assay (Bradford, 1976) was used to determine the concentration of proteins in fungal extracts. Reactions were carried out in 96-well microtiter plates. A standard curve was established using serial dilutions from 0.8 μ g/mL to 100 μ g/mL of bovine serum albumin (BSA, Pierce, USA). The resulting optical density (OD) at 595 nm was measured with a plate reader (Tecan Austria, Austria). The mean protein concentrations of triplicate samples were calculated using the standard curve obtained.

4.3.3 One-Dimensional Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (1D-PAGE)

Preliminary evaluation of the quality of protein extracts was carried out using 1D-PAGE. For each extract, 5 μ g of protein was suspended in 2.5 μ L loading buffer (0.25 M Tris, 1.92 M glycine, 1 % SDS, 20 mM DTT) to give a total volume of 10 μ L. The solution was heated at 95°C for 10 min and then separated through 5 % stacking gels followed by 12.5 % resolving gels. Electrophoresis was performed at 90 V for 1.5 h using a Mini-PROTEAN 3 Cell (Bio-Rad) with 1.0 mm thick combs to separate proteins based on their mass. The gels were stained using Coomassie blue.

4.3.4 In-solution peptide digestion

In-solution peptide digestion was carried out following Lau and Othman (2019). Extracted proteins (50 μ g) were re-suspended in 100 μ L of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate and 1 M urea. The proteins were reduced and alkylated using 100 mM Tris buffer and 200 mM iodoacetamide, respectively. Sodium deoxycholate in 5 mM ammonium bicarbonate [1% (w/v)] was added to the reduced and alkylated proteins to enhance the tryptic digestion at 37°C for 10 min. Tryptic digestion using 1 μ g of sequencing grade bovine trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) per 50 μ g protein was performed at 37°C for 17 h. The resulting peptide mixture was then acidified with 0.5% formic acid, and sodium deoxycholate precipitate was obtained through centrifugation at

14 000 \times g (Eppendorf, Thermo Scientific) at room temperature for 15 min. The remaining solvents and acids were removed using a centrifugal evaporator (CentriVap Concentrator, Labconco, MO, USA). The desiccated peptides were re-suspended in 100 μ L of 0.1% formic acid and gently mixed before peptide purification. An Empore solid phase extraction disk (3M Purification, Inc., MN, USA), conditioned with acetonitrile and methanol, was added into the peptide resuspension and incubated at room temperature for 3 h to bind the peptides. Elution of the peptides from the disk was done twice using 50% ACN in 0.1% FA for 30 min, each. This solvent was then removed.

4.3.5 Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis

Peptides were reconstituted in 30 μ L of 0.1% FA and 5% ACN. Then, 2 μ L of the digest was loaded onto an Acclaim PepMap 100 C18 column (3 μ m, 0.075 × 150 mm) (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA). The reverse phase column was equilibrated with 0.1% FA (mobile phase A) and 80% ACN in 0.1% FA (mobile phase B). A gradient of 5-35% mobile phase B over a total of 70 min, at a flow rate of 300 nL min⁻¹, was applied to elute the peptides. Separation of the peptides was achieved using EASY-nano liquid chromatography (EASY-nLC) 1200 System (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA). An online Q Exactive Plus Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer system (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA) was used to generate the peptide ions with a spray voltage of 1800 V in positive mode. The precursor ion scan was conducted with a resolution of 70,000 and a mass range of m/z 310-1800. Precursors containing charge states from 2+ to 8+ were fragmented further. The fragmentation was done via collision-induced and high-energy collision-induced at a normalized energy of 28%. The resolution, isolation window and ion injection time were set at 17,500, 0.7 Da and 60 ms, respectively. Scanned precursor mass range was set at m/z 110-1800.

4.3.6 Protein identification and bioinformatic analysis

Mass spectra of the peptides were acquired using Xcalibur (Ver. 4.1.31.9) (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA) and deconvoluted with Proteome Discoverer (Ver. 2.4) (Thermo Scientific, MA, USA) to create the peptide mass list. The SEQUEST HT search engine, incorporated in the Proteome Discoverer, was used to match the generated mass list against Pseudogymnoascus destructans (Taxonomy ID is 655981, 82,900 sequences). Mass tolerance for the proteins and their fragments were fixed at 10 ppm and 0.02 Da, respectively. Trypsin was indicated as the digestion enzyme used, with up to two missed cleavages allowed during the search. Carbamidomethylation modification on cysteine residues was set as a static modification while variable amino acid modifications included deamidation (asparagine and glutamine residues) and oxidation (methionine residues). The mass list was also searched against a decoy database generated from randomised protein sequences of the taxonomy mentioned earlier. Only proteins having at least the Rank 1 peptide and a false discovery rate of 1% were accepted. Spectra that matched the sequences were further validated using the Percolator algorithm (Ver. 2.04) with q-value set at 1% false discovery rate. The sequences were clustered using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and heat map generation was done with the statistical analysis component in Proteome Discoverer. The clustering method used was a simple agglomerative hierarchical clustering method (UPGMA). The distance measure applied was Euclidean in logarithmic scale for rows. Distance between clusters was computed with Ward's method. Venn diagrams were generated using the web-based software available at https://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html (Oliveros, 2007-2015)

4.3.7 Statistical analysis

Total protein content from three extraction methods were determined from a standard curve plotted using bovine serum albumin. Value represents mean of three biological replicates.

4.4 Results

4.4.1 **Optimisation of extraction methods**

Three extraction methods were compared to identify the method giving the highest protein yield with good quality proteins extracted for proteomic application. Figure 4.1 shows the total protein yield from each extraction method applied to sp2 (µg proteins per g biomass). The TCA-acetone-phenol extraction method generated the highest protein yield (1445 \pm 79 µg g⁻¹) compared to phenol-guanidine isothiocyanate method (1274 \pm 81 μ g g⁻¹) and the TCA-acetone method (1121 ± 35 μ g g⁻¹). The combination of TCAacetone and phenol-based precipitation resulted in more efficient protein extraction, as compared to the use of phenol-guanidine isothiocyanate or TCA-acetone only. The quality of protein extracted from the three different methods was evaluated using 1D-PAGE stained with standard Coomassie staining protocol (Figure 4.2). The quality of protein extract was greatest with TCA-acetone-phenol, with the highest number of distinct bands appearing in all replicates. TCA-acetone protein extracts showed a slightly lower number of distinct bands when compared to TCA-acetone-phenol, where proteins with molecular weight less than 25 kDa and between 30-40 kDa were missing in all replicates. Phenol-guanidine isothiocyanate extracts showed the lowest quality of proteins separated on 1D-PAGE even though the quantity of proteins was higher than that of TCAacetone.



Figure 4.1 Total proteins yielded from three different extraction methods (amount of proteins expressed in μg proteins per g fungal biomass; \pm standard deviation of three independent replicates).



Figure 4.2: Visual comparison between protein extraction methods using 1D-PAGE of *sp2* (numbers on each lane represents individual replicates from three extraction protocols). Five micrograms of protein from each replicate were loaded into the well, and the gel was stained using the Coomassie staining protocol.

4.4.2 Whole proteome profiling of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. strains from various geographical regions

Proteomic profiling of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates was carried out using LC MS/MS analysis. The isolates originated from three different geographical regions (two isolates per region), representing Arctic, Antarctic and temperate regions.

In total, 2,153 proteins were identified from all six Pseudogymnoascus isolates (Supplementary 1). Protein function was determined by inputting each assigned protein identification (NCBI accession number) the UniProtKB database into (http://www.uniprot.org/blast/) and detecting the respective Gene Ontology (GO) terms and annotations. UniProt functional annotation was used to classify all identified proteins according to biological processes (Figure 4.3a) and molecular functions (Figure 4.3b). Overall, 2,003 proteins were successfully mapped to the UniProtIDs database. The majority of these were involved in cellular (44%) and metabolic (41%) processes. Smaller proportions were involved in localization processes (7%) and biological regulation (5%) (Figure 4.3a). Proteins classified under localization processes were mainly involved in the establishment of process and macromolecular localization. Moreover, 2% of proteins were classified under response to stimulus, which included responses towards stress, abiotic stimulus and chemicals. Finally, 1% of classified proteins were involved in developmental processes, conidia formation and cellular detoxification. Classification based on molecular functions (Figure 4.3b) showed that 48% of proteins were involved in catalytic activities. The majority of these were involved in hydrolase and transferase activities. This was followed by proteins involved in molecular binding functions (39%), such as those involved in the binding of heterocyclic and organic compounds, small molecules and ions. The remaining proteins were classified under structural molecules (4%), translation factors (2%), proteins with antioxidant activities (2%) and other molecular functions (1%).



Figure 4.3: Pie charts representing the whole proteome identified in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. The identified proteins were classified according to (a) biological processes and (b) molecular functions following UniProt functional annotation. The identified proteins were mainly involved in biological processes such as cellular and metabolic processes, with a high percentage of catalytic and molecular binding activities.

The proteomic profiles from each isolate were further analysed using a Venn diagram to determine protein overlaps between geographical regions (Figure 4.4). Overall, 1,201 proteins (55.8% of the total proteome) were present in all six isolates. The remaining proportion were restricted to two regions or unique to a single region. The overlap between two regions ranged between 131-154 proteins (6.1-7.2% of the total proteome),

with the Arctic and temperate regions having the highest number of shared proteins whereas the Arctic and Antarctica the lowest. The number of proteins unique to a single region was considerably greater, 113-263 proteins (5.2 - 12.2% of proteome). The temperate region had the highest number of unique proteins (263 proteins), while the Antarctic and Arctic regions had 146 and 113 unique proteins, respectively.



Figure 4.4: Venn diagram showing the numbers of overlapping and unique identified proteins (% of proteins identified) for isolates clustered according to their geographical regions of isolation, a) the Arctic; b) the Antarctic; and c) the temperate region.

The unique proteins were further classified according to their GO annotations, to provide an overview of their profiles for each geographical region (Figure 4.5) and identify any possible differences in functions/roles. The majority of the unique proteins were involved in catalytic activity (53–102 proteins), with transferase being the most numerous in the proteome of isolates from the Arctic and temperate regions, and

hydrolase in the Antarctic region. The list of unique proteins that were classified under transferase and hydrolase activities is given in Table 4.1. It is important to note that numerous proteins were uncharacterised, highlighting the limited state of current knowledge and the need for baseline information and more detailed characterisation of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. proteomes. The data in Table 4.1 demonstrate that some proteins shared similar catalytic functions, even though they were identified as unique for a particular region. This suggests that, in different geographical regions, the same catalytic processes may potentially be performed by different proteins. For example, under the classification of transferase activity, serine/threonine protein kinase was found in all three geographical regions. Similarly, amongst proteins classified under hydrolase activity, chitinase were also found in all regions. On the other hand, malate synthase and methyltransf 2 domain-containing protein were found only in the Arctic and temperate regions. Guanine deaminase and peptidase domain-containing protein were found only in the Antarctic and temperate regions. Detailed information on the unique proteins classified under hydrolase and transferase activities (see Table 4.1) provides basic proteomic profiles for *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. from each region.



Figure 4.5: The molecular function clustering of unique proteins from each region according to their GO annotation. Blue) the Arctic region, Orange) the Antarctic region, and Red) the temperate region.

Table 4.1: List of unique proteins from each region classified under transferase and hydrolase activities.

| Proteins classified under transferase activity | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Arctic | GI accession number | UniProtID | | | | |
| Atypical serine/threonine protein kinase BUD32 | 1040498399 | A0A1B8D1Y3 | | | | |
| Ribokinase | 1040502300 | A0A1B8EC27 | | | | |
| Uridylate kinase | 1040506245 | A0A1B8DR90 | | | | |
| SAM_MT_RSMB_NOP domain-containing protein | 1040513629 | A0A1B8DSB0 | | | | |
| Phosphomevalonate kinase | 1040515090 | A0A1B8EBD0 | | | | |
| Molybdopterin molybdenumtransferase | 1040518253 | A0A1B8CB98 | | | | |
| 1,3-beta-D-glucan-UDP glucosyltransferase | 1040519743 | A0A1B8CME2 | | | | |
| Malate synthase | 1040524492 | A0A1B8C0B2 | | | | |
| Adenylate kinase | 1040524905 | A0A1B8F6B1 | | | | |
| Amidophosphoribosyltransferase | 1040529445 | A0A1B8F8J6 | | | | |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040529530 | A0A1B8F2A1 | | | | |
| Glycylpeptide N-tetradecanoyltransferase | 1040531617 | A0A1B8EXE7 | | | | |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040531866 | A0A1B8E5C8 | | | | |
| 4-methyl-5-beta-hydroxyethylthiazole kinase | 1040539535 | A0A1B8D5I2 | | | | |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040541242 | A0A1B8DDP7 | | | | |
| Methyltransf_2 domain-containing protein | 1040542655 | A0A1B8DI87 | | | | |

| Antarctic | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Cobalamin-independent methionine synthase | 1001840422 | A0A126XZN6 |
| CMGC/DYRK/DYRK2 protein kinase | 1002620675 | A0A1B8EG16 |
| Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase | 1026905232 | A0A1B8DT25 |
| Non-specific serine/threonine protein kinase | 1040497495 | A0A1B8EAH7 |
| GPI ethanolamine phosphate transferase 1 | 1040501824 | A0A1B8DPA1 |
| 1,3-beta-glucanosyltransferase | 1040523627 | A0A1B8E380 |
| Protein kinase domain-containing protein | 1040525014 | A0A1B8C9Y6 |
| Protein arginine N-methyltransferase 1 | 1040528592 | A0A1B8BXW0 |
| Protein kinase domain-containing protein | 1040531330 | A0A177A5B2 |
| HMG-CoA synthase | 1040533273 | A0A1B8FEC7 |
| Dolichyl-phosphate-mannoseprotein | 1040546007 | |
| mannosyltransferase | 1040340007 | AUAIDOUTTU |
| Temperate | | |
| Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase | 1026908464 | A0A1B8D8P9 |
| CMGC/SRPK protein kinase | 1026909170 | L8FQ38 |
| N-acetyltransferase domain-containing protein | 1026910215 | A0A2P2SVQ8 |
| ATP citrate synthase | 1040499582 | A0A1B8GEP5 |
| Acetylglutamate kinase | 1040502403 | A0A1B8GPA3 |
| Methyltransf_2 domain-containing protein | 1040505877 | A0A1B8EAS4 |
| Mitogen-activated protein kinase | 1040506813 | A0A1B8E4N6 |
| Atypical serine/threonine protein kinase BUD32 | 1040507339 | A0A1B8DR08 |
| Methyltransf_2 domain-containing protein | 1040511525 | A0A1B8DY10 |
| Aminomethyltransferase | 1040516209 | A0A1B8E703 |
| Gluconate kinase | 1040524265 | A0A1B8E0V4 |
| Uracil phosphoribosyltransferase | 1040526934 | A0A1B8E3P5 |
| CMGC/CDK/CDK5 protein kinase | 1040527934 | A0A1B8CBK0 |
| Histidinol-phosphate aminotransferase | 1040528918 | A0A1B8C3L3 |
| Mitogen-activated protein kinase | 1040529256 | A0A1B8CQN0 |
| Imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase | 1040530109 | A0A1B8CP09 |
| Histidine kinase | 1040531430 | A0A1B8CLC9 |
| Methyltransf_11 domain-containing protein | 1040536639 | A0A1B8FPW7 |
| Alpha-1,4 glucan phosphorylase | 1040542046 | A0A177AGY6 |
| Nicotinate phosphoribosyltransferase | 1040549942 | A0A177AGW8 |
| Homocysteine synthase | 1040558827 | A0A177AJZ8 |
| Citrate synthase | 1040561561 | A0A1B8EP83 |
| N-acetylglutamate synthase | 1040562207 | A0A1B8F4S1 |
| Ornithine aminotransferase | 1040565334 | A0A1B8GY49 |
| Methyltransf_11 domain-containing protein | 1040565502 | A0A1B8GMD9 |
| GST C-terminal domain-containing protein | 1069462591 | L8GA92 |
| Transketolase | 1069463567 | L8G8Z1 |
| Malate synthase | 1069469587 | A0A1B8CX12 |

Proteins classified under hydrolase activity

| Arctic | GI accession number | UniProtID |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040496820 | A0A1B8DAY6 |
| ATP-dependent RNA helicase | 1040500406 | L8FZ63 |
| Elongation factor EF-3 | 1040504132 | A0A1B8E3K3 |
| Translation initiation factor | 1040514867 | A0A1B8EDG3 |
| Endo-1,3(4)-beta-glucanase | 1040517074 | A0A1B8EAV4 |
| Glucanase | 1040518311 | A0A1B8DV74 |
| Chitinase | 1040525950 | A0A1B8BW26 |
|---|------------|--------------------|
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040528888 | A0A1B8C5Y6 |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040531469 | A0A1B8CGF4 |
| PfkB domain-containing protein | 1040532371 | A0A1B8F308 |
| CN hydrolase domain-containing protein | 1040541539 | A0A1B8FTG7 |
| DNA damage-inducible protein 1 | 1040551194 | A0A1B8DEG3 |
| Signal recognition particle 54 kDa protein | 440635915 | A0A1B8D4Z6 |
| Antarctic | 110055715 | |
| Translation elongation factor EF-1a | 1040498702 | Т2С6Н6 |
| Chitinase | 1040499304 | A0A1B8DIH4 |
| Guanine deaminase | 1040506005 | A0A1B8GHB2 |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040507045 | A0A1B8ED83 |
| Pentidase A1 domain-containing protein | 1040515212 | A0A1B8E764 |
| Chitinase | 1040517976 | A0A1B8DUA7 |
| Englike domain-containing protein | 1040519838 | A0A1B8DYP9 |
| Proteasome subunit alpha | 1040523627 | A0A1B8F7W8 |
| ATP-dependent RNA helicase | 1040525592 | A0A1B8DUB0 |
| GPI ethanolamine phosphate transferase | 1040525616 | A0A1B8DPA1 |
| Chitinase | 1040527072 | A0A1B8C2U3 |
| Lon protease homolog mitochondrial | 1040530173 | A0A1B8CLR2 |
| Pentidase A1 domain-containing protein | 1040530224 | A0A1B8C161 |
| Flongation factor Tu | 1040532270 | |
| GH16 domain-containing protein | 1040540068 | I 8G2V5 |
| 1 4-alpha-D-glucan glucahydrolase | 1040552623 | A0A1B8FXC5 |
| Alpha-glucuronidase | 1040554007 | A0A1B8G190 |
| Glucoamylase | 1040555023 | A0A1B8G7C3 |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040556213 | A0A1B8G612 |
| A denosylhomocysteinase | 1040550730 | A0A1D000052 |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040562846 | A0A1B8GB13 |
| Endonolymbosnhatase | 1040566058 | A0A1B8E727 |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040300038 | A0A1B8D5S2 |
| Elongation factor 2 | 1069468175 | A0A1B8DDA6 |
| Temperate | 1007400175 | AUAIDODDAU |
| Lysophospholipase | 1026003741 | 186240 |
| Lysophospholipase | 1020903741 | L8G2A9 |
| Guanina daaminasa | 1020904469 | L0U9A4 |
| Unahamatarized protein | 1020903843 | A0A1D0DV93 |
| Class Lunconventional myocin (Myosin 1) | 1040499321 | |
| Eva 1.4 hota D alvassaminidasa | 1040304779 | A0A1D0EFQ3 |
| Luch an atomized mustain | 1040500815 | AUAID0DV04 |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040517595 | |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040517818 | $A0A1B\delta E4G4$ |
| Luch as a trained a matrix | 1040523644 | A0A1B8D507 |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040524860 | A0A1B8DV13 |
| GH16 domain-containing protein | 1040525961 | AUAIB8DX20 |
| Chitinase | 1040525970 | AUA1B8ECD6 |
| Peptidase_S9 domain-containing protein | 1040526153 | AUA1B8C3G2 |
| Imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase | 1040526595 | AUAIB8CP09 |
| Glyco hydro 63 domain-containing protein | 1040529036 | AUA1B8C196 |
| Eukaryotic translation initiation factor SB | 1040531995 | AUAIB8FX01 |
| Uncharacterized protein | 1040532786 | AUA1//A8K1 |
| Arginase | 1040532978 | AUA17/A333 |
| Glycosidase | 1040538520 | AUAT//A4TI |
| AAA domain-containing protein | 1040541896 | AUA1B8F438 |

4.5 Discussion

4.5.1 Evaluation of protein quality and yield based on different extraction methods

Selection of a method for protein extraction is a crucial step in order to obtain good coverage of the whole proteome. It is also necessary to optimise an extraction method to obtain high yield and quality of the extracted proteins. In general, psychrophilic and psychrotolerant fungi possess common adaptive characteristics of the plasma membrane bilayer, such as lipid or fatty acid content, compatible solutes such as polyols and use of disaccharides such as trehalose (Hassan *et al.*, 2016). *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. have relatively thick mycelial plasma membrane bilayers and contain high trehalose concentration (Hay & Ashbee, 2010; Wilson *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, a combination of physical forces, such as grinding in liquid nitrogen, and strong detergents are needed to ensure proper cell rupture and high quality of protein yield (Kramer *et al.*, 2015).

Chemicals such as trichloroacetic acid (TCA), acetone and phenol are mostly used to precipitate proteins, but a combination of these chemicals is necessary to produce high protein yield. TCA-acetone extraction is preferable because of its time efficiency, compared to phenol-based extraction methods. However, difficulties in resolubilization of protein pellets result in low reproducibility (Chen & Harmon, 2006; Bhadauria *et al.*, 2007). Both methods are efficient in successful precipitation of proteins but the phenol-based extraction shows significantly higher efficiency and solubility (Isola *et al.*, 2011). Other methods are available that use a combination of phenol, chloroform, isoamyl alcohol and guanidine isothiocyanate in total protein extraction that help inhibit RNAase activity, and separate DNA and RNA from the protein complexes (Chomczynski &

Sacchi, 2006). In the current study, the TCA-acetone-phenol method was found to be more efficient than phenol-guanidine isothiocyanate and TCA-acetone precipitation methods and yielded a higher amount of protein. 1D-PAGE confirmed that the combination of TCA-acetone and phenol precipitation was effective in removing impurities and ensuring good protein resolubilisation. In proteomic work, it is important to ensure the removal of nucleic acids and minimised proteolysis as it can interfere in protein separation in electrophoresis and chromatography processes. The use of phenol in the extraction process also helped to remove nucleic acids and minimized proteolysis (Isola *et al.*, 2011). It is also important to note that phenol acts as a solubilisation agent and helps to decrease the interactions of proteins with other compounds (Chatterjee *et al.*, 2012). This finding is consistent with previous optimisation work done on oil palm leaves (Daim *et al.*, 2015).

4.5.2 The importance of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in decomposition processes in the soil

A number of recent studies conducted in various geographical regions have consistently demonstrated that *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. play a major role in soil decomposition processes (Hayes, 2012; Krishnan *et al.*, 2017). The production of enzymes to support metabolic requirements and growth is a key requirement for fungal survival in both nutrient-poor and nutrient-rich environments. However, enzyme such as cellulase are not identified in all isolates in this work indicating that media used in experiments contribute to the differences of enzymes produced by *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. within each experiment. A recent microbial community study on permafrost in the Arctic showed that *Pseudogymnoascus* was one of the fungal taxa that increased in abundance with litter addition as a substrate (Adamczyk *et al.*, 2020). The proteomes of the six *Pseudogymnoascus* isolates characterised in the current study were consistent with

a general decomposition function in the soil environment (with high abundance of proteins with catalytic activities).

Almost 50% of the identified proteins in the proteomes were involved in metabolic processes, with the majority of these involved in catalytic functions such as transferase and hydrolase activities. Analyses carried out also indicated the presence of antimicrobial proteins (42 identified proteins, 2%), supporting the ability to thrive in mixed microbial communities. In soil ecosystems, many fungal taxa have developed defensive strategies against other competing microbes, producing antimicrobial compounds to effectively gain control over access to nutrients (Boer *et al.*, 2005; Bahram *et al.*, 2018).

Comparison of the proteomes of the six isolates studied here allows some inferences to be made about the proteins shared between and unique to the two polar and the temperate regions. The 1,201 identified proteins common to all six isolates were mostly related to basic cellular functions. Overall, the proteomes of all six isolates were relatively similar. Leushkin et al. (2015) reported similar results in a comparative genomic analysis of 14 strains of *Pseudogymnoascus* isolated from multiple locations, with all strains being very similar in functional abilities (synteny of genes was >0.9 between different clades). However, analyses carried out in this work also identified a number of proteins exclusive to each of the three global regions. Most of these regionally unique proteins were involved in various catalytic processes, with the majority again having transferase and hydrolase activities. Results showed that serine/threonine protein kinase and chitinase were found in all three regions (see Table 4.1). The basic function of serine/threonine (ser/thr) protein kinases is to phosphorylate the hydroxyl (-OH group) of serine or threonine. However, a more specific function in regulation and signal transduction was found in many fungal species (Kosti et al., 2010). There are also reports on ser/thr protein kinase roles in thermal adaptation and pathogenicity of P. destructans (Parente-Rocha et al., 2018; Verant *et al.*, 2018; Fabri *et al.*, 2020). An extensive review of the molecular functions of ser/thr protein kinase discussed its pathological importance and potential application as immune response manipulator (Patel, 2017). Chitinase, another unique protein identified in this work, is generally known to be associated with degradation of exogenous chitin and cell wall remodeling during hyphal growth (Seidl, 2008). Chitinase has been used in various biotechnological applications such as the development of antifungal and insecticidal products for use in eco-friendly farming (Singh & Arya, 2019), and as an industrial biocatalyst (Tamadoni Jahromi & Barzkar, 2018). Data presented in this work suggest that the specific protein species present within each region can be further characterised to elucidate their potential use as molecular markers of the genus. However, more detailed studies are still necessary to recognize and develop the potential application of these proteins.

4.6 Conclusion

This study provides the first proteomic characterisation of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. from soil habitats spanning a broad geographical range, including the Arctic, Antarctic and temperate regions. This was achieved by considering three separate protocols that achieved high efficiency of protein extraction both in terms of quality and quantity. The proteomic profiles of all six isolates showed that the majority of proteins identified were clustered into groups representing metabolic functions and catalytic activities. One particularly interesting observation was that, although a large number of proteins were uniquely identified in isolates from different regions, there were overlaps with regards to the biological functions governed by these proteins. Proteomic profiles such as those documented here provide invaluable insights into the potential roles of *Pseudogymnoascus* taxa in soil ecosystems.

CHAPTER 5: HEAT STRESS RESPONSE OF *PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS* SPP. ISOLATED FROM DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS

5.1 Introduction

In line with the challenges of climate change and global warming, elucidating heat stress responses of soil microfungi has become an important research topic in efforts to maintain soil quality and health. In the polar regions, soil microfungi have been implicated in many biological activities such as the bioremediation of hydrocarbons (Donovan et al., 2018) and the production of herbicides (Ogaki et al., 2020). Knowledge of heat stress responses may contribute to the understanding of the specific roles of fungi in extreme environments. Under heat stress, fungi undergo various physiological changes to maintain cell stability and use protective mechanisms to repair any damage caused. In general, fungi respond to heat stress using multiple protective mechanisms, including the modulation of protein homeostasis, regulation of energy production and activation of DNA damage and repair pathways. In Chapter 4, the effect of temperature on colony morphology and growth rates of six isolates of Pseudogymnoascus spp. originating from different geographical regions was discussed and baseline proteomic profiling of each isolate under non-stress conditions was documented. In this chapter, further proteomic analyses were carried out to clarify the heat stress responses of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. The objective was to identify patterns of change in protein abundance of isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. originating from polar and temperate regions in response to heat stress.

5.2 Literature review

Proteomics has been utilized to increase understanding of mechanisms of adaptation in microorganisms (Dalluge & Connell, 2013; Vollmers *et al.*, 2013; Deng *et al.*, 2020). The tool has been used to study the expression patterns of various proteins in soil microfungi across latitudes when exposed to extreme temperatures (Wallenstein & Weintraub, 2008; Zakharova *et al.*, 2014). Fungal stress responses to various abiotic stressors have been described based on proteomic approaches (Kroll *et al.*, 2014; Hassan *et al.*, 2016; Chadha *et al.*, 2019). Temperature is a key stress factor to be considered in studies of soil microfungal growth, reproduction and adaptation, as changes in temperature can alter fungal survival and interactions with other microorganisms in the soil (A'Bear *et al.*, 2014a). Studies have also assessed fungal heat stress response mechanisms in the development of tools for crop monitoring and production as well as in producing heat-tolerant species that are able to better adapt to climatic changes (Jagadish *et al.*, 2010; Simova-Stoilova *et al.*, 2016). Knowledge of fungal response mechanisms towards environmental stress factors such as heat stress is clearly relevant to various biotechnological and agricultural fields. By understanding the fungal proteome and specific protein expression and stress-related mechanisms, researchers will be able to fully exploit the potential of soil microfungi (Lange *et al.*, 2012; Classen *et al.*, 2015).

Geographical differences in soil microfungal populations can be characterized as a non-random distribution of biotic diversity in relation to environmental factors (Ansdell & Hanson, 2016). Therefore, fungal communities isolated from different geographical regions may have similar heat stress responses despite being separated by abiotic barriers. However, many other factors may confound attempts to exclude variation in heat stress response based on geographical patterns (Lennon & Jones, 2011; Talbot *et al.*, 2014). To overcome these limitations, understanding of fungal molecular characteristics can be developed through the use of various advanced -omics technologies. For instance, psychrophilic and psychrotolerant fungal strains isolated from the Arctic and Antarctic showed significant differences in their molecular characteristics and response mechanism towards heat stress, despite being isolated from the same geographical regions (Gocheva *et al.*, 2006; Tajuddin *et al.*, 2019). More generally, studies of geographical differentiation

in microorganisms remain limited, especially in the case of fungi (Ansdell & Hanson, 2016).

Exposure to heat stress often generates a cascade of molecular modifications in order to maintain cellular homeostasis and cell survival. Cells are programmed in their DNA to stabilise a basic set of cellular functions for survival and modulate changes in molecular interactions and networks through stress response mechanisms. In fungi, the heat stress response (HSR) mechanism has been studied in various model organisms such as Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Neurospora crassa and Candida albicans (Arkowitz & Bassilana, 2015; Brandl & Andersen, 2017; Santiago et al., 2020). In understanding fungal HSR, many proteins have been found to be important for cell survival (Albrecht et al., 2010; Richter et al., 2010; Tesei et al., 2015). Enzymes and many functional proteins can be misfolded, or form aggregates when fungi are exposed to heat stress (Nillegoda et al., 2018). Fungi also produced heat stress molecules such as heat shock proteins and chaperones to help misfolded proteins regain their functional structure (Dieterich et al., 2015; Mühlhofer et al., 2019). The production of proteins is also regulated either at the transcription, translation or post-translational level (Fang et al., 2020; Santiago et al., 2020). Overall, substantial physiological changes therefore underlie the ability of fungi to survive heat stress exposure. However, protein interactions and networks within the cell are extremely complex and an in-depth analysis of proteomic changes is needed to elucidate fungal response to heat stress (Bai et al., 2015; Deng et al., 2020). Single protein analysis helps in confirmation of specific function in cell, but may not represent the actual cellular mechanism of response (Li et al., 2017). Thus, the application of proteomics may provide key baseline information helping to elucidate the HSR of Pseudogymnoascus spp. isolated from different geographical regions.

5.3 Methodology

5.3.1 Fungal cultivation and heat stress experimental design

All isolates used in this work (please refer Table 3.1 for isolates details) were grown for 5 d at 15°C or 25°C, representing non-stress (C) and heat stress (HS) conditions, respectively. Detailed description of the growth conditions and liquid media preparation is given in Section 3.3.2. All experiments were carried out in triplicates.

5.3.2 Peptide identification, quantification and bioinformatic analysis

Peptide identification, quantification and bioinformatics analysis were performed using LC MS/MS methodology and the statistical analysis component in Proteome Discoverer, described in detail in Section 4.3. Protein abundance values were used to calculate the log₂ ratios of HS:C of each isolate. A microarray (MA) plot was constructed using log₂ ratios against -log₁₀ local FDR values, and this provided for each protein identified. A cut-off value of 1% FDR was applied to all data obtained from LC MS/MS and quantification before performing this analysis. Differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) were determined from the data with a minimum of \pm 0.1-fold change. Venn diagrams were also constructed to compare DEPs of isolates within regions.

5.3.3 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis

KOBAS v2.0 (http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn) was used to search for gene enrichment. The software uses gene-level statistics called overrepresentation analysis (Xie *et al.*, 2011). The analysis is based on the hypergeometric distribution/Fisher's exact test with the addition of Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) FDR correction. Data in the form of FASTA sequences were used to identify enriched pathways in the KEGG, BioCyc and Reactome databases based on the up- and down-regulated proteins. GO terms with p-value of ≤ 0.05 were considered significantly enriched. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was selected as the reference Ascomycota species. A simplified diagram was created from the list of significantly enriched pathways to aid visualization of the HSR of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. using Biorender.com.

5.4 Results

5.4.1 Proteomic profiles of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in response to heat stress

To determine changes in the *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates proteomes in response to heat stress, determination and comparison of protein abundance profiles were carried out using LC MS/MS. A total of 2,122 proteins were identified with high confidence (p<0.01) in HS and C (Supplementary 1). A comparison of protein abundances identified in HS and C was carried out using microarray (MA) plot analysis (Figure 5.1). The fold change (log₂ ratio HS:C) of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) showed 463 and 377 proteins were up- or down-regulated, respectively (with a minimum of \pm 0.1-fold change). The plot also showed that the majority of proteins identified were clustered close to 0, with a minimum number of proteins having relatively high confidence values (-log₁₀ local FDR >800). This suggested that the proteomic profiles of the *Pseudogymnoascus* isolates from different geographical regions showed similar distribution patterns under heat stress.



Figure 5.1 The MA plot representing the distribution profiles of proteins identified in all six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. Different colours used to represent each isolate: *sp1*, grey; *sp2*, light blue; *sp3*, orange; *sp4*, red; *C106*, green; *C107*, yellow.

A comparison of DEPs for each isolate is presented in Figure 5.2, identifying up- and down-regulated proteins. The Arctic isolate sp2 showed the highest number of upregulated proteins (151 proteins) followed by sp1 (95 proteins). The Antarctic isolate sp3 had the lowest number of up-regulated proteins followed by sp4 (31 and 38, respectively). In general, the numbers of down-regulated proteins were lower for all isolates with the exception of the temperate isolate, C107, which also had the highest number of downregulated proteins (125 proteins). Both the Arctic isolates *sp1* and *sp2* had considerably more down-regulated proteins (60 and 93 proteins, respectively) compared to the Antarctic isolates sp3 (11 proteins) and sp4 (29 proteins). The relationship of DEPs from each isolate within regions is presented in a Venn diagram in Figure 5.3. Among the upregulated proteins identified in each isolate, 4.5 - 13% of proteins were in common between isolates of the same region (Figure 5.3a-c). However, a slightly narrower range was found for down-regulated proteins, 7 - 10.1% (Figure 5.3d-f). The Antarctic isolates (Figure 5.3b, e) had relatively low numbers of common DEPs (6 proteins), whilst the Arctic (Figure 5.3a, d) and temperate isolates (Figure 5.3c, f) shared a higher number of common DEPs (29 proteins).



Figure 5.2 Bar graph representing number of proteins up- (red bars) and down-regulated (blue bars) in each isolate. The value on each bar represents the number of DEPs; (+) values, upregulated proteins; (-) values, downregulated proteins.



Figure 5.3 Venn diagram showing the relationship of up- and down-regulated proteins of each isolate within each region. a - c), upregulated proteins; d - f), downregulated proteins. a) and d), the Arctic isolates; b) and e), the Antarctic isolates; c) and f), the temperate isolates.

5.4.2 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up-regulated proteins in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp.

Proteins were further analysed to identify significantly up-regulated proteins with fold change values of ≥ 1.5 in each isolate (Table 5.1). Knowledge of protein function was gained by inputting each assigned protein identification (NCBI accession number) into the UniProtKB database (http://www.uniprot.org/blast/) and detecting the respective Gene Ontology (GO) terms and annotations. In total, 143 proteins were significantly upregulated in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp., with 50% of these being hypothetical proteins. A large proportion of the proteins (61%) had fold change of > 2.0, with the highest being 5-fold. Most of the known proteins were enzymes, such as plasma membrane ATPase, malate dehydrogenase, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate-dehydrogenase, argininosuccinate lyase, transketolase, enolase and catalase. There were also a significant number of heat shock proteins (hsp) or hsp-like proteins such as mitochondrial hsp60, hsp SSB1 and hsp70-like proteins. Two of the proteins identified were common to three isolates, malate dehydrogenase (GI: 1026904149) and hypothetical protein VE03 04396 (GI: 1040529249). Malate dehydrogenase was commonly upregulated in sp2, sp3 and sp4 isolates, whilst hypothetical protein VE03 04396 was commonly upregulated in sp2, sp4 and C106 isolates.

| | 1.5). 1100 | ession, protein | numes una spe | eleb detai | | in the | пеы | autuc | ube. |
|---------|-----------------|--|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Isolate | GI Accession | Protein name | Species | Coverage [%] | # Unique Peptides | # AAs | MW [kDa] | calc. pI | log ₂ |
| sp1 | 1040531100 | plasma membrane ATPase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 41 | 2 | 931 | 100.8 | 5.15 | 2.0 |
| | 1040532023 | hypothetical protein VE03 01299 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 8 | 1015 | 105.6 | 5.01 | 2.5 |
| | 1040529276 | hypothetical protein VE03 04321 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 23342-1-I1 | 54 | 6 | 411 | 45.8 | 5.43 | 2.9 |
| | 1040536341 | hypothetical protein VF21 08040 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 45 | 1 | 369 | 39.9 | 5.72 | 1.6 |
| | 1352886849 | proteasome regulatory particle base subunit rpt5 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 47 | 16 | 462 | 51.6 | 5.01 | 1.8 |
| | 1040532080 | 26S protease regulatory subunit 6B | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 38 | 2 | 421 | 47.1 | 6 | 1.7 |

Table 5.1 List of significantly upregulated proteins under heat stress (fold change, log_2 ratios ≥ 1.5). Accession, protein names and species details are from the NCBI database.

| | 1352887687 | hypothetical protein VE01_04954 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 31 | 4 | 525 | 57.1 | 7.12 | 2.9 |
|-----|------------|---|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|-----|
| | 1040555042 | hypothetical protein VE02_00544 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 32 | 8 | 275 | 29.8 | 4.83 | 1.6 |
| | 1040533074 | hypothetical protein VE03_00632 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 42 | 11 | 219 | 22.9 | 5.27 | 1.9 |
| | 1040557715 | putative Hybrid PKS-NRPS biosynthetic cluster | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 6 | 18 | 3957 | 433.1 | 5.92 | 2.0 |
| | 1040507187 | NADH dehydrogenase Fe- S protein 3 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 44 | 11 | 280 | 31.9 | 7.94 | 2.4 |
| | 1040526950 | 6- phosphogluconolac tonase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 51 | 6 | 260 | 28 | 5.6 | 1.7 |
| | 1040523775 | hypothetical protein VE03_10818 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 33 | 6 | 151 | 16 | 9.99 | 1.7 |
| | 1040524437 | hypothetical protein VE03 08889 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 16 | 2 | 436 | 47 | 5.02 | 3.2 |
| | 1040537381 | hypothetical protein VF21_08280 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 05NY08 | 1 | 2 | 5717 | 626 | 4.86 | 2.2 |
| | 1040528090 | hypothetical protein VE03 04733 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 3 | 2 | 945 | 99.8 | 10.1 4 | 2.2 |
| | 1040528581 | hypothetical protein VE03_05544 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 6 | 1 | 412 | 44 | 5.16 | 2.6 |
| | 1040527774 | hypothetical protein VE03_06499 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 7 | 1 | 293 | 32 | 5.91 | 5.0 |
| | 1040523191 | hypothetical protein VE03_10140 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 2 | 2 | 966 | 105 | 6.58 | 2.8 |
| | 1040536825 | hypothetical protein VF21_07703 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 4 | 2 | 842 | 95 | 6.01 | 2.0 |
| | 1040529653 | hypothetical protein VE03_03956 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 4 | 2 | 322 | 33.8 | 4.63 | 2.0 |
| | 1040523594 | hypothetical protein VE03_09822 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 1 | 1 | 895 | 101.9 | 8.54 | 2.7 |
| | 1040543257 | hypothetical protein VF21_01377 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 9 | 2 | 232 | 25.4 | 5.12 | 4.1 |
| | 1040500264 | hypothetical protein VE00_06524 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 2 | 1 | 568 | 62.9 | 5.02 | 1.8 |
| sp2 | 1040532488 | hsp70-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 73 | 7 | 688 | 74.3 | 5.22 | 2.5 |
| | 1040547996 | ATP synthase subunit beta, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 87 | 3 | 516 | 55.4 | 5.68 | 2.4 |
| | 1040524903 | heat shock protein 60, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 75 | 6 | 737 | 78 | 5.63 | 1.8 |
| | 1040531119 | glyceraldehyde 3- phosphate- dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 86 | 3 | 339 | 36.5 | 6.95 | 1.9 |
| | 1040553812 | heat shock protein SSB1 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 48 | 2 | 767 | 84.1 | 8.43 | 1.7 |
| | 1040543863 | heat shock protein 60. mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 71 | 3 | 736 | 78 | 5.57 | 1.9 |
| | 1040502460 | molecular chaperone HtpG | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 69 | 1 | 703 | 79.5 | 4.92 | 2.0 |
| | 440639856 | tubulin beta chain | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- | 76 | 37 | 446 | 49.6 | 4.93 | 1.7 |
| | 1040543410 | elongation factor 1- | Pseudogymnoascus | 75 | 11 | 459 | 49.9 | 9.13 | 1.6 |
| | 1026904149 | Malate dehydrogenase, | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 80 | 6 | 339 | 35.2 | 8.92 | 3.0 |
| | 1040537109 | ATP synthase subunit alpha, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 64 | 4 | 555 | 59.7 | 9.1 | 2.0 |
| | 1040529266 | hypothetical protein VE03_04296 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 13 | 4 | 4080 | 451.6 | 6.43 | 1.6 |

| 1040529726 | cell division control protein 48 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 60 | 53 | 823 | 89.9 | 5.05 | 2.0 |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------------|----|----|------|------|------|-----|
| 1040506608 | actin | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 77 | 29 | 375 | 41.5 | 5.69 | 1.8 |
| 541136033 | translation elongation factor EF-1a, partial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. B AM-2013 | 82 | 1 | 283 | 30.7 | 8.84 | 2.1 |
| 1040530016 | processing peptidase subunit beta | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 62 | 27 | 478 | 52.5 | 5.74 | 1.7 |
| 1040537119 | hypothetical protein VF21_07351 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 05NY08 | 72 | 1 | 496 | 53.4 | 5.8 | 2.1 |
| 1040500818 | aldehyde dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 67 | 1 | 496 | 53.4 | 5.95 | 3.4 |
| 1040563055 | hypothetical protein VE01_03532 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 75 | 4 | 231 | 25.7 | 6.42 | 2.0 |
| 1040535944 | transketolase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 45 | 3 | 685 | 74.6 | 6.13 | 1.8 |
| 1040566397 | methionine adenosyltransferase sam2 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 54 | 4 | 394 | 43.1 | 6.47 | 1.9 |
| 1040538944 | glucose-6- phosphate isomerase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 51 | 2 | 552 | 60.8 | 6.13 | 1.9 |
| 1040530832 | hypothetical protein VE03 02453 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 45 | 6 | 462 | 48.7 | 8.29 | 2.9 |
| 1040529249 | hypothetical protein VE03 04396 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 2 | 468 | 51.3 | 5.54 | 2.9 |
| 1026910166 | NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 78K chain precursor, 5- prime end | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 51 | 2 | 745 | 81 | 7.08 | 2.3 |
| 1069480601 | hypothetical protein VE01_10081 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 39 | 2 | 522 | 56.4 | 4.55 | 1.6 |
| 1040517350 | 2,3- bisphosphoglycerat e-independent phosphoglycerate mutase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 49 | 8 | 522 | 57.7 | 5.4 | 3.4 |
| 1040538463 | tyrosine 3- monooxygenase/try ptophan 5- monooxygenase activation protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 71 | 2 | 276 | 30.7 | 4.97 | 1.9 |
| 1026902306 | hypothetical protein VC83_09257 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 50 | 4 | 545 | 60.5 | 6.11 | 2.0 |
| 1040504412 | hypothetical protein VE00_02312 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 60 | 5 | 282 | 31.3 | 4.45 | 4.3 |
| 1069478965 | hypothetical protein VE01_08888 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 57 | 2 | 298 | 33.5 | 7.02 | 2.8 |
| 1370880255 | putrescine aminopropyltransfe rase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 37 | 1 | 379 | 43 | 8.19 | 2.3 |
| 1026906371 | hypothetical protein VC83_04944 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 68 | 2 | 154 | 17.4 | 7.88 | 2.6 |
| 1040525933 | hypothetical protein VE03_08169 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 44 | 3 | 259 | 28 | 5.11 | 1.9 |
| 1352888288 | ribosomal 40S subunit protein S27A | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 59 | 13 | 156 | 17.9 | 9.73 | 2.2 |
| 1040511267 | hypothetical protein VE04_09537 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 11 | 6 | 1822 | 202 | 6.47 | 2.1 |
| 1040524212 | hypothetical protein VE03_09540 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 27 | 14 | 820 | 86.1 | 5.15 | 2.7 |
| 1040501360 | 40S ribosomal protein S17 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 39 | 3 | 148 | 17 | 9.8 | 1.7 |
| 1040526617 | Fe-Mn family superoxide dismutase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 51 | 8 | 229 | 25.1 | 8.68 | 2.3 |

| | 1040526348 | dihydroxy-acid | Pseudogymnoascus | 32 | 8 | 592 | 63.2 | 7.12 | 2.7 |
|-----|------------|--|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|-----|
| | 1069461791 | hydroxymethylglut aryl-CoA synthase | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 17 | 1 | 464 | 50.3 | 6.57 | 1.8 |
| | 1069478089 | eukaryotic phosphomannomut ase | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 37 | 8 | 268 | 30.5 | 5.14 | 2.7 |
| | 1370875018 | hypothetical protein VC83_01337 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 25 | 6 | 603 | 64.3 | 6.73 | 4.1 |
| | 1040532311 | 1-pyrroline-5- carboxylate dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 22 | 3 | 575 | 62.2 | 8.76 | 1.7 |
| | 1040514134 | thioredoxin | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 48 | 1 | 110 | 11.7 | 5.8 | 2.2 |
| | 1040529370 | hypothetical protein VE03_04877 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 28 | 4 | 248 | 26.9 | 5.25 | 3.0 |
| | 1040530118 | hypothetical protein VE03 04536 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 19 | 9 | 542 | 60.3 | 7.61 | 3.4 |
| | 1040529802 | hypothetical protein VE03 04039 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 24 | 1 | 196 | 21.9 | 5.88 | 2.8 |
| | 1040543888 | T-complex protein 1 subunit gamma | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 17 | 1 | 541 | 59 | 5.99 | 5.0 |
| | 1040524989 | hypothetical protein VE03 08992 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 17 | 5 | 503 | 54.1 | 5.67 | 1.6 |
| | 1040499575 | hypothetical protein VE00 08055 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 8 | 3 | 481 | 50.2 | 7.58 | 4.3 |
| | 1040499304 | hypothetical protein VE00 09058 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 5 | 1 | 478 | 51.1 | 8.84 | 2.9 |
| | 1352885771 | hypothetical protein VC83 08421 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 9 | 2 | 256 | 27.1 | 9.79 | 2.2 |
| | 1040549091 | hypothetical protein VE02 06915 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 6 | 3 | 456 | 51.2 | 4.74 | 3.5 |
| | 1040527052 | ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX6/DHH1 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 9 | 5 | 545 | 60.4 | 8.97 | 2.4 |
| | 1040506083 | orotidine 5'- phosphate decarboxylase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 4 | 1 | 357 | 38.9 | 5.19 | 3.0 |
| | 1040536977 | hypothetical protein VF21_07230 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 7 | 1 | 178 | 18.9 | 5.52 | 2.1 |
| | 1040508251 | hypothetical protein VE04_10213 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 4 | 1 | 205 | 21.2 | 6.34 | 2.4 |
| | 1001840675 | Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransfera se | Streptomyces albidoflavus | 1 | 1 | 735 | 78.9 | 5.12 | 1.7 |
| | 440640027 | hypothetical protein GMDG 04422 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 0 | 1 | 1866 | 208.6 | 7.2 | 2.3 |
| | 1001839554 | argininosuccinate lyase | Streptomyces albidoflavus | 2 | 1 | 419 | 44.9 | 5.19 | 2.3 |
| sp3 | 1040506877 | enolase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 85 | 43 | 438 | 47.7 | 5.41 | 2.0 |
| | 1040523711 | hsp70-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 10 | 676 | 73.5 | 5.74 | 2.4 |
| | 1026904149 | Malate dehydrogenase, cytoplasmic | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 80 | 6 | 339 | 35.2 | 8.92 | 3.5 |
| | 1040529726 | cell division control protein 48 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 60 | 53 | 823 | 89.9 | 5.05 | 3.0 |
| | 440634311 | catalase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 60 | 2 | 505 | 57.4 | 7.3 | 1.9 |
| | 1040525455 | hypothetical protein VE03_07380 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 63 | 4 | 231 | 25.6 | 6.42 | 4.1 |
| | 1040532321 | translation elongation factor Tu | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 67 | 4 | 444 | 48.9 | 6.93 | 1.7 |
| | 1040533135 | ATP synthase F1, gamma subunit | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 48 | 6 | 298 | 32.1 | 8.34 | 1.7 |
| | 1040499122 | 40S ribosomal protein S7 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 65 | 8 | 202 | 22.8 | 10.3 3 | 2.0 |

| | 1040523753 | 30S ribosomal protein S8e | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 55 | 2 | 202 | 22.9 | 11.2 4 | 3.0 |
|------|------------|--|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|-----|
| | 1040554705 | hypothetical protein VE02 03336 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 30 | 1 | 431 | 47.7 | 7.49 | 4.1 |
| | 440638868 | GTP-binding protein ypt1 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 47 | 8 | 201 | 22.2 | 5.44 | 2.7 |
| | 1026910022 | hypothetical protein VC83_00976 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 53 | 9 | 183 | 20.9 | 6.58 | 2.0 |
| | 1040529367 | hypothetical protein VF03_04870 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 5 | 485 | 52.8 | 5.6 | 3.1 |
| | 1040560425 | hypothetical protein VE01_06071 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 5 | 2 | 1012 | 110.3 | 4.72 | 2.1 |
| | 440640832 | hypothetical protein GMDG 05006 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 7 | 2 | 598 | 62.9 | 5.6 | 3.4 |
| | 1040501120 | hypothetical protein VE00_07309 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 4 | 2 | 1097 | 121.7 | 4.79 | 3.3 |
| | 1040524019 | translation initiation factor | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 10 | 2 | 264 | 29 | 6.37 | 2.1 |
| | 1040516322 | hypothetical protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 4 | 1 | 232 | 26 | 5.45 | 4.4 |
| | 440636097 | hypothetical protein GMDG 07727 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 1 | 1 | 546 | 59.9 | 6 | 3.1 |
| sp4 | 1026904149 | Malate dehydrogenase, cytoplasmic | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 80 | 6 | 339 | 35.2 | 8.92 | 2.2 |
| | 1040529249 | hypothetical protein VE03_04396 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 2 | 468 | 51.3 | 5.54 | 1.7 |
| | 1069465551 | guanine nucleotide- binding protein subunit beta-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 66 | 3 | 316 | 35 | 7.03 | 1.9 |
| | 1040504790 | argininosuccinate lyase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 43 | 17 | 472 | 52.7 | 6.02 | 1.6 |
| | 1040526059 | hypothetical protein VF03_07843 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 40 | 3 | 476 | 52.5 | 5.2 | 1.8 |
| | 1040531525 | ubiquinol- cytochrome c reductase iron- sulfur subunit | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 38 | 6 | 239 | 25.8 | 8.43 | 1.8 |
| | 1040519866 | T-complex protein 1 subunit epsilon | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 33 | 12 | 548 | 59.7 | 5.5 | 1.8 |
| | 1040517617 | hypothetical protein VE04 03781 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 15 | 3 | 305 | 31.9 | 4.64 | 2.5 |
| | 1040563381 | hypothetical protein VE01 03431 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 1 | 1 | 998 | 109.5 | 9.58 | 4.0 |
| | 1040553508 | hypothetical protein VE02 01993 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 4 | 1 | 234 | 24.9 | 5.77 | 2.7 |
| C106 | 1040527559 | NADP-specific glutamate dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 60 | 3 | 449 | 48.8 | 6.06 | 1.7 |
| | 1040529249 | hypothetical protein VE03 04396 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 65 | 2 | 468 | 51.3 | 5.54 | 1.7 |
| | 1040532273 | ketol-acid reductoisomerase, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 69 | 2 | 400 | 44.5 | 7.05 | 2.1 |
| | 1040541217 | transaldolase, variant | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 60 | 6 | 325 | 35.8 | 6.44 | 1.8 |
| | 1040530717 | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 51 kDa subunit, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 48 | 2 | 509 | 55.3 | 7.87 | 1.8 |
| | 1040504056 | hypothetical protein VE00 03867 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 11 | 3 | 611 | 64.1 | 5.33 | 3.1 |
| | 1040506686 | homocitrate synthase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 42 | 15 | 421 | 45.9 | 5.76 | 1.8 |
| | 1040531923 | dihydrolipoyllysine -residue | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 38 | 5 | 420 | 46.2 | 7.97 | 1.8 |

| | | succinyltransferase, E2 component | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|--|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|-----|
| | 1040563295 | isocitrate dehydrogenase (NAD(+)) idh1 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 45 | 3 | 381 | 41.5 | 9.04 | 2.4 |
| | 1040549859 | RuvB-like helicase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 45 | 1 | 457 | 49.4 | 5.81 | 1.8 |
| | 1040526755 | hypothetical protein VE03_06971 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 3 | 3 | 2518 | 275.9 | 5.55 | 2.1 |
| | 1040552965 | hypothetical protein VE02_03946 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 9 | 3 | 571 | 61.9 | 5.69 | 2.3 |
| | 1040526257 | hypothetical protein VE03_07208 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 18 | 4 | 266 | 29.6 | 5.26 | 2.1 |
| | 1040504311 | hypothetical protein VE00_02840 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 18 | 1 | 71 | 7.3 | 6.79 | 2.7 |
| | 1040499207 | hypothetical protein VE00_10306 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 5 | 3 | 670 | 74 | 7.39 | 4.4 |
| | 1040565531 | hypothetical protein VE01_00802 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 3 | 1 | 236 | 25.7 | 9.58 | 2.3 |
| C107 | 1040524903 | heat shock protein 60, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 75 | 6 | 737 | 78 | 5.63 | 2.3 |
| | 440640092 | heat shock protein SSB1 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 56 | 3 | 614 | 66.5 | 5.38 | 2.3 |
| | 1040523711 | hsp70-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 10 | 676 | 73.5 | 5.74 | 1.6 |
| | 1026908832 | hypothetical protein VC83_02633 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 60 | 3 | 313 | 33.8 | 9.79 | 2.1 |
| | 1040532321 | translation elongation factor Tu | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 67 | 4 | 444 | 48.9 | 6.93 | 1.9 |
| | 1370871900 | hypothetical protein VC83_00219 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 57 | 13 | 166 | 17.3 | 5.54 | 1.5 |
| | 1040532761 | hypothetical protein VE03_00724 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 53 | 5 | 424 | 45.8 | 8.73 | 1.6 |
| | 1040523522 | hypothetical protein VE03_09887 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 20 | 5 | 1063 | 118.3 | 6.61 | 1.5 |
| | 1040535961 | hypothetical protein VF21_08654 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 10 | 2 | 410 | 45.2 | 6.58 | 2.9 |
| | 1040499975 | F-type H+transporting ATPase subunit F | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 25 | 2 | 101 | 11.1 | 10.4 9 | 2.6 |
| | 1352885345 | hypothetical protein VC83_04296 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 13 | 2 | 294 | 32.2 | 9.48 | 2.5 |
| | 1040543138 | hypothetical protein VF21_01332 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 4 | 3 | 862 | 92.5 | 8.98 | 1.6 |

GO enrichment analysis was carried out for significantly up-regulated proteins using KOBAS v2.0 to search for over-represented categories of molecular pathways in the databases Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway, Panther, BioCyc and Reactome. A complete list of enriched pathways with p value ≤ 0.05 for each isolate is shown in Supplementary 2. The top 10 pathways and their respective p values were selected for each isolate and are presented in Figure 5.4. Each isolate showed a

different set of affected molecular pathways and no common pathway was enriched in all isolates. However, Pseudogymnoascus spp. showed enrichment of various metabolic pathways and cellular responses to stress in all six isolates from the different regions. In the Arctic isolates, significant differences between isolates were observed in the top 10 enriched pathways (Figure 5.4a, b). Up-regulated proteins in *sp1* included a high number of significant enrichment pathways related to DNA repair, such as the purine and other nucleotide salvage pathways (Figure 5.4a). However, the enriched pathways in sp2 involved primarily metabolic pathways, general cellular response to heat stress, and activation of defense mechanism systems such as biosynthesis of secondary metabolites and antibiotics (Figure 5.4b). A different finding was observed in the Antarctic isolates, where protein homeostasis was commonly enriched in both isolates (Figure 5.4c, d). In sp3, up-regulated proteins were involved mainly in the metabolism of proteins, such as the ribosomal scanning and start codon recognition pathway, and the COPI-mediated anterograde and retrograde protein transport (Figure 5.4c). Protein homeostasis modulation, specifically the amino acid metabolism pathways, were observed in sp4, such as the urea cycle, arginine biosynthesis, aspartate degradation II, glutathione conjugation and gamma glutamyl cycle (Figure 5.4d). For temperate isolates, more diverse pathways were enriched in both isolates (Figure 5.4e, f). General metabolic pathways such as carbon metabolism, TCA cycle, and biosynthesis of amino acids were enriched in C106 (Figure 5.4e). Other than amino acid metabolism, a cellular response to heat stress, specifically regulation of HSF1-mediated HSR, attenuation phase and RNA degradation were enriched in C107 (Figure 5.4f).



Figure 5.4 GO enrichment analysis of significantly up-regulated DEPs of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in response to heat stress (top 10 pathways). a) *sp1*; b) *sp2*; c) *sp3*; d) *sp4*; e) *C106*; f) *C107*.

5.4.3 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly down-regulated proteins in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp.

Heat stress response in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. also involved the down-regulation of various proteins. From a total of 377 proteins that were down-regulated in all isolates, 37% were significantly down-regulated with fold change of \leq -1.5 (Table 5.2), with 58 of these 104 proteins being hypothetical proteins. The variation in the number of significantly down-regulated proteins between isolates was wide, with sp3 having the lowest number (4 proteins) and sp2 the highest number of down-regulated proteins (36 proteins). All of the significantly down-regulated proteins identified were exclusive to a single isolate except for one common protein found in the two isolates from the Arctic, 60S ribosomal protein L16 (GI accession: 1040523417). Numerous species of ribosomal proteins were identified in all isolates. In sp1, small subunit (S2e, S3e, and S18) and large subunit ribosomal proteins (L5 and L16) were down-regulated with fold change between 1.6 - 3.5. In sp2, only large subunit ribosomal proteins were significantly down-regulated under heat stress (L2a, L3, L4e, L10a, L15, L16, L21e, L23, L26e, L27e and L37ae). The temperate isolates showed a pattern of ribosomal proteins identified similar to *sp1*, with both C106 and C107 showing down-regulation of various small and large subunit ribosomal proteins. There was also a significant number of down-regulated proteins involved in the translation pathway, such as alanine-tRNA ligase, translation initiation factor eIF5A, RNA export factor gle2 and alanyl-tRNA synthetase. In the Antarctic isolates, all significantly down-regulated proteins were identified as hypothetical proteins with the exception of porin por1, which was down-regulated in *sp3* with fold change of 2.9, hence it was not possible to infer which pathways were affected.

| | $n \leq -1.5$ | | | ~ | | | | | |
|---------|---------------|--|--|-----------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Isolate | Accession | Description | Species | Coverage [%] | # Unique Peptides | # AAs | MW [kDa] | calc. pI | log ₂ |
| p1 | 1040527559 | NADP-specific glutamate dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 60 | 3 | 449 | 48.8 | 6.06 | -1.7 |
| | 1040524347 | methyltetrahydropt eroyltriglutamate- homocysteine S- methyltransferase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 63 | 4 | 768 | 86.2 | 6.48 | -1.6 |
| | 1040544091 | myo-inositol-1- phosphate synthase | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 05NY08 | 63 | 5 | 530 | 57.4 | 5.82 | -2.4 |
| | 1040566397 | adenosyltransferase sam2 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 54 | 4 | 394 | 43.1 | 6.47 | -1.7 |
| | 1040531987 | hydroxymethyltran sferase, cytosolic | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 51 | 1 | 484 | 53.3 | 7.78 | -2.1 |
| | 440638352 | hypothetical protein GMDG_03072 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 51 | 6 | 386 | 43.5 | 7.66 | -2.0 |
| | 1040537116 | argininosuccinate synthase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 58 | 5 | 416 | 46.4 | 5.48 | -2.0 |
| | 1040541506 | small subunit ribosomal protein S3e | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 70 | 21 | 262 | 28.5 | 9.11 | -1.8 |
| | 1040550635 | small subunit ribosomal protein S2e | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 55 | 17 | 273 | 29.2 | 10.2 7 | -1.6 |
| | 1040527701 | NRPS-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 16 | -3 | 1172 | 130.6 | 5.78 | -1.5 |
| | 1040525721 | hypothetical protein VE03 07707 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 29 | 10 | 424 | 45.7 | 6.3 | -3.7 |
| | 1040517415 | 40S ribosomal protein S18 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 38 | 9 | 202 | 23 | 10.5 8 | -2.6 |
| | 440639469 | 60S ribosomal protein L5 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 33 | 1 | 299 | 34.4 | 8.46 | -3.5 |
| | 1040532830 | hypothetical protein VE03_00684 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 20 | 2 | 418 | 45.5 | 6.29 | -2.2 |
| | 1040523417 | 60S ribosomal protein L16 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 37 | 1 | 202 | 23.1 | 10.5 1 | -2.0 |
| | 1040525748 | threonine synthase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 14 | 6 | 567 | 61.6 | 5.33 | -2.4 |
| | 440636994 | hypothetical protein GMDG_02283 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 16 | 2 | 515 | 53.7 | 5.06 | -2.5 |
| | 1026905771 | intracellular distribution of mitochondria | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 10 | 10 | 1291 | 142.5 | 5.72 | -1.7 |
| | 1040525950 | hypothetical protein VE03_08146 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 10 | 3 | 432 | 47.2 | 5.54 | -1.8 |
| | 1040510207 | hypothetical protein VE04_08323 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 19 | 1 | 283 | 31.5 | 9.11 | -1.7 |
| sp2 | 1040536136 | hypothetical protein VF21_08556 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 33 | 3 | 529 | 56 | 6.19 | -2.0 |
| | 1040561901 | ribosomal protein L2A | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 59 | 21 | 335 | 36.7 | 11.0 9 | -1.7 |
| | 1040536470 | hypothetical protein VF21_07858 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 36 | 1 | 613 | 68.4 | 6 | -2.0 |
| | 1040514270 | hypothetical protein VE04_07321 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 22 | 4 | 775 | 81.3 | 6.1 | -3.2 |
| | 1040525391 | hypothetical protein VE03_07986 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 6 | 783 | 81.9 | 5.11 | -2.4 |
| | 440632652 | large subunit ribosomal protein L4e | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 55 | 2 | 373 | 39.7 | 11.3 3 | -3.5 |
| | 1040534050 | catalase/peroxidase HPI | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 26 | 4 | 790 | 86.4 | 6.01 | -2.6 |
| | 1040525523 | hypothetical protein VE03_08478 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 25 | 5 | 790 | 87.1 | 5.85 | -1.7 |

Table 5.2 List of significantly downregulated proteins under heat stress (fold change, log_2 ratios of ≤ -1.5)

| | 1026907381 | ribosomal 60S subunit protein L3 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 28 | 2 | 626 | 68.4 | 9.86 | -1.5 |
|-----|------------|--|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|------|
| | 1040501441 | hypothetical protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 14 | 1 | 655 | 69.3 | 5.52 | -2.9 |
| | 1040525559 | protein transporter sec-23 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 24 | 20 | 955 | 106.6 | 7.78 | -2.0 |
| | 1040519485 | hypothetical protein VE04 01330 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 25 | 3 | 598 | 64.8 | 5.9 | -3.0 |
| | 1040533161 | catalase/peroxidase HPI | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 23 | 5 | 795 | 87.3 | 5.5 | -2.7 |
| | 1040501747 | hypothetical protein VE00_06979 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 29 | 4 | 383 | 39.7 | 5.95 | -2.4 |
| | 1040518813 | 60S ribosomal protein L15 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 51 | 14 | 203 | 23.8 | 11.4 7 | -2.5 |
| | 1040528493 | hypothetical protein VE03_04938 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 48 | 6 | 336 | 37.4 | 9.09 | -2.3 |
| | 1040539732 | hypothetical protein VF21_05012 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 12 | 2 | 568 | 58.4 | 5.34 | -2.0 |
| | 440640816 | 60S ribosomal protein L10-A | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 65 | 16 | 221 | 25.2 | 10.3 5 | -2.4 |
| | 440632109 | large subunit ribosomal protein L27e | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 35 | 7 | 135 | 15.6 | 10.7 1 | -3.0 |
| | 440635254 | 60S ribosomal protein L23 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 45 | 8 | 139 | 14.6 | 10.2 1 | -2.4 |
| | 1040523992 | hypothetical protein VE03_10835 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 42 | 7 | 131 | 15 | 11.9 1 | -3.2 |
| | 1040547240 | hypothetical protein VE02_07770 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 8 | 3 | 486 | 51.9 | 6.11 | -4.0 |
| | 1040528549 | large subunit ribosomal protein L26e | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 41 | 11 | 137 | 15.7 | 10.6 8 | -2.7 |
| | 1069466243 | large subunit ribosomal protein L21e | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 52 | 12 | 160 | 18.2 | 10.3 3 | -1.8 |
| | 1040523417 | 60S ribosomal protein L16 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 37 | 1 | 202 | 23.1 | 10.5 1 | -2.7 |
| | 1370889566 | hypothetical protein VC83_08378 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 19 | 2 | 385 | 42.1 | 5.91 | -2.4 |
| | 1040535171 | hypothetical protein VF21_09623 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 7 | 1 | 752 | 80.8 | 5.6 | -1.6 |
| | 1040516362 | hypothetical protein VE04_05577 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 35 | 4 | 140 | 15.4 | 8.12 | -1.9 |
| | 1040505794 | hypothetical protein VE00_03008 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 28 | 8 | 186 | 21.4 | 11.1 8 | -2.4 |
| | 1040551382 | ribosomal protein L37ae | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 47 | 4 | 92 | 10.2 | 10.5 5 | -1.7 |
| | 1040496936 | hypothetical protein VE00_10886 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 7 | 2 | 206 | 22.3 | 6.06 | -1.8 |
| | 1026908888 | hypothetical protein VC83_02735 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 15 | 1 | 370 | 39 | 7.36 | -3.0 |
| | 1040500245 | hypothetical protein VE00_06561 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 7 | 1 | 357 | 38 | 7.81 | -2.1 |
| | 1040524966 | hypothetical protein VE03_09214 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 3 | 2 | 1351 | 146.5 | 5.27 | -2.2 |
| | 1040554267 | hypothetical protein VE02_00857 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 10 | 1 | 215 | 22.2 | 4.87 | -1.7 |
| | 1040507457 | hypothetical protein VE00_00106 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 1 | 1 | 799 | 85.4 | 6.64 | -3.1 |
| sp3 | 1040530398 | hypothetical protein VE03_02988 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 73 | 8 | 514 | 55.1 | 6.27 | -2.3 |
| | 1352887886 | porin por1 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 94 | 6 | 283 | 30.3 | 8.98 | -2.9 |

| - | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|---|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|------|
| | 1040532023 | hypothetical protein VE03_01299 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 8 | 1015 | 105.6 | 5.01 | -3.6 |
| | 1040525910 | hypothetical protein VE03_07646 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 7 | 441 | 48.7 | 7.3 | -3.8 |
| sp4 | 1040527838 | hypothetical protein VE03_06433 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 22 | 4 | 811 | 91.2 | 6.44 | -2.3 |
| | 1040512347 | hypothetical protein VE04_08233, partial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 17 | 2 | 753 | 84.8 | 6.48 | -2.1 |
| | 1040516152 | hypothetical protein VE04_03958, partial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 8 | 5 | 760 | 85.3 | 5.47 | -3.2 |
| | 1040529140 | hypothetical protein VE03_05110 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 10 | 1 | 422 | 45.3 | 8.76 | -2.1 |
| | 1040501128 | hypothetical protein VE00_07165 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 2 | 1 | 651 | 71 | 4.64 | -1.5 |
| | 1026906372 | hypothetical protein VC83_04945 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 1 | 1 | 1316 | 147.2 | 6.23 | -1.9 |
| C106 | 1040528536 | hypothetical protein VE03_05557 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 7 | 580 | 63.1 | 6.52 | -2.0 |
| | 1352888949 | phosphatidylinosito l transfer protein csrl | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 63 | 1 | 221 | 24 | 9.39 | -2.1 |
| | 1040561561 | hypothetical protein VE01_04913 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 48 | 2 | 258 | 28 | 5.31 | -3.0 |
| | 1026906159 | AlaninetRNA ligase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 26 | 2 | 1016 | 113.9 | 5.83 | -1.9 |
| | 1040557018 | translation initiation factor eIF5A | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 40 | 9 | 166 | 18.1 | 5.08 | -2.1 |
| | 1040541679 | hypothetical protein VF21_02713 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 51 | 1 | 323 | 34.1 | 6.58 | -1.6 |
| | 1040539963 | hypothetical protein VF21_03952 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 41 | 9 | 294 | 31.9 | 8.85 | -1.7 |
| | 440640816 | 60S ribosomal protein L10-A | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 65 | 16 | 221 | 25.2 | 10.3 5 | -2.1 |
| | 1370880779 | RNA export factor gle2 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 33 | 8 | 359 | 39.2 | 8.1 | -2.7 |
| | 1040527084 | hypothetical protein VE03_06273 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 56 | 1 | 142 | 15.4 | 6.8 | -1.6 |
| | 1026903843 | 60S ribosomal protein L31 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 43 | 6 | 124 | 13.9 | 10.3 5 | -2.4 |
| | 1026906059 | hypothetical protein VC83 06446 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 3 | 3 | 1096 | 118.3 | 8.87 | -3.1 |
| | 1352888001 | hypothetical protein VE01_06828 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 3 | 2 | 644 | 70.1 | 5.68 | -3.3 |
| | 1040555230 | hypothetical protein VE02_00448 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 7 | 1 | 243 | 27.3 | 5 | -2.4 |
| C107 | 1370876424 | hypothetical protein VC83_01837 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 44 | 3 | 259 | 28.1 | 5.06 | -2.5 |
| | 1040532968 | alanyl-tRNA synthetase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 28 | 3 | 958 | 107.3 | 5.43 | -1.5 |
| | 1069473919 | 40S ribosomal protein S1 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 75 | 22 | 256 | 29.3 | 10.0 7 | -1.5 |
| | 1040528274 | diphosphomevalon ate decarboxylase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 48 | 16 | 385 | 40.8 | 6.55 | -1.7 |
| | 1069475329 | hypothetical protein VE01_06151 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 64 | 11 | 137 | 14.8 | 10.1 3 | -1.9 |
| | 1069463567 | ornithine-oxo-acid transaminase | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 32 | 2 | 463 | 50.1 | 6.15 | -2.0 |
| | 1040527436 | large subunit ribosomal protein L7Ae | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 52 | 18 | 264 | 29.3 | 10.2 9 | -2.5 |

| 1040560002 | ABC transporter ATP-binding protein arb1 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 27 | 13 | 619 | 68.7 | 6.57 | -1.8 |
|------------|---|--|----|----|-----|------|-----------|------|
| 1040505557 | 60S ribosomal protein L5 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 33 | 2 | 299 | 34.4 | 8.46 | -1.7 |
| 1040506813 | imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase hisHF | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 15 | 1 | 542 | 58.4 | 5.68 | -1.6 |
| 1040550136 | hypothetical protein VE02_06045 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 17 | 3 | 275 | 29.5 | 6.04 | -1.5 |
| 1026902878 | 60S ribosomal protein L22 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 38 | 5 | 125 | 14.3 | 9.26 | -1.8 |
| 440637078 | hypothetical protein GMDG_02319 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 24 | 7 | 416 | 44.3 | 7.97 | -1.6 |
| 1040505097 | hypothetical protein VE00_01915 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 13 | 1 | 496 | 51.6 | 5.6 | -4.6 |
| 1040531132 | hypothetical protein VE03_02328 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 25 | 2 | 323 | 36.1 | 9.2 | -2.8 |
| 1040544279 | hypothetical protein VF21_00519 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 30 | 1 | 206 | 22.7 | 4.93 | -1.7 |
| 1040525004 | E3 ubiquitin ligase complex SCF subunit sconC | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 44 | 3 | 166 | 18.9 | 4.55 | -1.5 |
| 1040524033 | hypothetical protein VE03_09559 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 13 | 1 | 160 | 18.1 | 6.35 | -1.7 |
| 1040496816 | hypothetical protein VE00_10639 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 9 | 3 | 343 | 37.3 | 10.4 6 | -1.6 |
| 1040517844 | hypothetical protein VE04_02723 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 5 | 1 | 442 | 49 | 9.16 | -1.5 |
| 1040528684 | hypothetical protein VE03_03328 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 7 | 2 | 285 | 29.8 | 4.91 | -1.9 |
| 1040517115 | 30S ribosomal protein S14p/S29e | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 11 | 1 | 71 | 8.1 | 8.81 | -2.0 |
| 1026909466 | hypothetical protein VC83_01841 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 2 | 1 | 413 | 44.8 | 6.99 | -2.4 |
| 1040553508 | hypothetical protein VE02_01993 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 4 | 1 | 234 | 24.9 | 5.77 | -2.1 |

GO enrichment analysis was performed on the significantly down-regulated proteins and the top 10 enriched pathways for each isolates are presented in Figure 5.5, with the exception of the Antarctic isolates where the number of significantly enriched pathways was less than 10. Numerous common pathways were found to be enriched in all isolates except for the Antarctic isolates (Figure 5.5a-f). Seven common pathways were enriched in the Arctic isolates, including the formation of a pool of free 40S subunits, GTP hydrolysis and joining of the 60S ribosomal subunit, SRP-dependent co-translational protein targeting to membrane and various Nonsense Mediated Decay (NMD) pathways (Figure 5.5a, b). For the temperate isolates, pathways similar to the Arctic isolates were enriched with the addition of cap-dependent translation initiation (Figure 5.5c, d). For the Antarctic isolates, *sp3* and *sp4* had only two and six significantly enriched pathways for down-regulated proteins, respectively. The significantly enriched pathways for *sp3* included mitophagy and MAPK signaling pathway, both pathways inferred from yeast (Figure 5.5c). mRNA decay, peroxisome-related pathways and RNA degradation were significantly down-regulated in *sp4* (Figure 5.5d).

University



Figure 5.5 GO enrichment analysis of significantly down-regulated DEPs of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in response to heat stress (top 10 pathways, except for *sp3* and *sp4*). a) *sp1*; b) *sp2*; c) *sp3*; d) *sp4*; e) *C106*; f) *C107*.

5.5 Discussion

5.5.1 Variation of proteomic profiles of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates in response to heat stress

Using proteomics as an analytical tool, an overview of proteomic changes can provide general understanding of HSR in fungi. In Chapter 3, the upper threshold of temperature stress for the *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates was identified as 25°C. In this chapter, proteomic changes in six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolated from different geographical regions were compared based on relative peptide abundance changes between heat stress and control conditions (Figure 1). Overall, all isolates showed significant changes in their proteomic profiles. However, the Antarctic isolates *sp3* and *sp4* showed a relatively low number of DEPs, 42 and 67, respectively (Figure 2). The average numbers of DEPs for Antarctic isolates (54.5 DEPs), was approximately 3-4 times lower than seen in the temperate and Arctic isolates (166 and 199 DEPs, respectively).

In various fungal species, numbers of DEPs produced under heat stress vary greatly (Albrecht *et al.*, 2010; Bai *et al.*, 2015). Many technical factors can contribute to the occurrence of DEPs in the proteome. These include total intracellular protein extraction and the choice of peptide digestion methods (Isola *et al.*, 2011; Lau & Othman, 2019). However, the most significant factor is the choice of proteomic profiling approach used in the experimental design (Karpievitch *et al.*, 2010; Bianco & Perrotta, 2015; Fricker, 2018). For this reason, the number of DEPs identified in this study are lower than reported in studies of fungal HSR using a gel-free and labelled proteomic approach (the isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation, iTRAQ-based LC MS/MS) (Bai *et al.*, 2015; Deng *et al.*, 2020), with the exception of *Pleurotus ostreatus* proteomes (Zou *et al.*, 2018). Nevertheless, the data reported here remain comparable to those from gel-based

proteomic approaches such as 2-dimensional (2D) and 2D differential gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) (Chandler *et al.*, 2008; Salmeron-Santiago *et al.*, 2011; Tesei *et al.*, 2015).

5.5.2 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up- and down-regulated proteins

Comparative proteomic studies of different species from the same genus have only been reported on *Paracoccidioides* sp. (Pigosso *et al.*, 2013). That study showed distinguishable proteomic profiles between species in the genus with unique metabolic features in each isolate, supported by biochemical assays. However, Pigosso *et al.* (2013) did not discuss proteomic similarities between the analysed isolates. In the current study, proteomic profiles at 25°C were analysed to understand HSR in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. The data obtained showed that all isolates possessed distinct proteomic profiles when exposed to heat stress. Only a small percentage of common proteins were found between isolates from the same geographical regions (< 13%), highlighting a diversity of HSR among different isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus*.

Further comparison was carried out on significantly up-regulated and down-regulated proteins with fold change ≥ 1.5 and ≤ -1.5 , respectively. Of the significantly up-regulated proteins, one common protein, hypothetical protein VE03_04396 (GI:1040529249) was identified in one isolate from all three regions (*sp2, sp4 and C106*). Homology search against the UniProt database showed 100% match to Rab GDP dissociation inhibitor (*Pseudogymnoascus* sp. 23342-1-I1, A0A1B8E4K9). This protein is involved in the dissociation of GDP from the small GTPase Rab, thereby preventing GTP from binding (Hutagalung & Novick, 2011). A recent study of this protein has shown that Rab GDP dissociation inhibitor plays an important role in cell wall chitin deposition in *Aspergillus niger* (van Leeuwe *et al.*, 2020). It is hypothesised that an increased abundance of this protein in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. may be responsible for the mycelial growth inhibition

under heat stress condition (Dautt-Castro *et al.*, 2021). For significantly down-regulated proteins, only one common protein was identified in *sp1* and *sp2*, the 60S ribosomal protein L16. However, there were various species of small and large subunit ribosomal proteins, and proteins involved in the translation process that were downregulated in all six isolates. Ribosomal proteins are important in the translation process and are involved in the synthesis of new proteins in the cell, thus playing an important role in stress response (Lafontaine & Tollervey, 2001). The downregulation of various proteins involved in the translation process is negatively affected during heat stress.

The main HSR of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolated from different geographical regions is visualized in Figure 5.6. GO enrichment analysis of the significantly up-regulated proteins showed a diversity of enriched pathways in each isolate. In general, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. respond towards heat stress through activation of various pathways related to protein homeostasis, energy production and DNA repair. Various amino acid metabolism pathways are enriched such as those for lysine, valine, arginine, aspartate and asparagine. The urea cycle and protein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) were also enriched, showing significant activation of protein metabolism in the cytosol (Figure 5.6a).

Under stress conditions, amino acids are the key precursors for the syntheses of various cellular structure compounds and essential macromolecules to replenish damaged components in cells (Kroll *et al.*, 2014; McCarthy & Walsh, 2018). These amino acids are also the backbone components of various metabolic pathways such the TCA cycle and pentose phosphate pathways (Berg *et al.*, 2002). *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. also modulate energy production through the enrichment of the pentose phosphate pathway, citrate cycle (TCA cycle) and metabolism of carbon, methylglyoxyl, glyoxylate and

dicarboxylate (Figure 5.6b). The assorted products of these pathways can generate substantial amounts of substrates for fungal respiration, thus supporting survival and growth under stress conditions (Joseph-Horne *et al.*, 2001).

Under heat stress, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. also appear to activate DNA repair mechanisms such as the nucleotide salvage pathways (adenine, adenosine and purine), and various defense mechanism pathways such as the innate immune system, biosynthesis of antibiotics and secondary metabolites (Figure 5.6c). In the enrichment analysis of down-regulated proteins, all isolates also showed significant down-regulation of various translation process pathways such as the formation of a pool of free 40S ribosome subunits and SRP-dependent co-translation protein targeting to membrane. Both profiles of up- and down-regulated proteins and their associated enriched pathways suggested that the primary processes taking place in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. during heat stress were related to DNA damage and repair mechanisms.

The beneficial outcomes from cell death and DNA damage response in fungi triggered from environmental stressors such as heat stress and damaging UV radiation, have been studied in detail in various pathogenic fungi (Goldman *et al.*, 2002; Wong *et al.*, 2019). Fungi use programmed cell death to eliminate the toxic effects of hyphal cells that have undergone anastomosis, and knowledge of these processes has been exploited in the field of pharmacology and drug discovery (Kulkarni *et al.*, 2019; O'Connor *et al.*, 2020). Based on the results obtained from the proteomic analysis, we suggest that by using DNA damage and repair mechanisms, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. modulate the salvaging of nucleotides, halt the translation processes involved in producing new polypeptide and focus on protein homeostasis through various aspects of amino acid metabolism.



Figure 5.6 Simplified visualization of significantly enriched pathways in all isolates. A) Protein homeostasis - ribosomal scanning and start codon recognition, various amino acid metabolism pathways, urea cycle, protein transport through endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and Golgi and proteasome-mediated degradation pathway. B) Energy production pathways - carbon, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, pentose phosphate pathway, citric acid cycle (TCA) and oxidative phosphorylation process through electron transport chain (ETC). C) DNA repair mechanisms - various nucleotide salvage pathways and RNA degradation. Various other pathways are enriched in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. such as the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, antibiotics, activation of innate immune system, and cellular response to heat stress and external stimuli.

5.6 Conclusions

In this study, the heat stress response of six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. was investigated and characterized using proteomic profiling. The profiles of all isolates in response to heat stress varied widely in terms of the significantly up- and down-regulated protein species. All six isolates showed a wide range of significantly enriched pathways as identified through GO enrichment analysis. The heat stress response of the *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates examined, while showing wide variation pathways enriched, did not show any obvious association with the geographical regions of origin of the isolates.

CHAPTER 6: EFFECTS OF COLD STRESS ON PSEUDOGYMNOASCUS SPP. PROTEOME

6.1 Introduction

In the understanding of stress response mechanisms in microorganisms, cold stress has received less attention than heat stress. Cold stress has largely been studied in pathogenic species in the fields of medical, agricultural and food technology. Various cosmopolitan model organisms such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Aspergillus nidulans* have been a focus of research. Nonetheless, psychrophilic and psychrotolerant fungi have also been studied to provide specific details and information on their cold-adapted properties. In this chapter, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolated from different global geographical regions were used as representatives of psychrotolerant species of soil microfungi and their proteomic profiles investigated in an attempt to understand their cold stress response mechanisms. The term "cold stress" used here refers to an experimentally-imposed low temperature condition compared to the optimal growth temperature, which is known to result in stress-related physiological change such as a reduction in growth rate. Information gathered from the proteomic response of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. to cold stress will improve knowledge of the cold stress responses of soil microfungi.

6.2 Literature review

Cold-adapted or psychrotolerant fungi are defined as fungal species that have the ability to grow at temperatures close to 0°C and have optimum growth temperature of 15-20°C (Morita, 1975; Wang *et al.*, 2015). Psychrotolerant fungi are mostly found in the polar and temperate regions and in high altitude environments (Wang *et al.*, 2017). However, with their ability to grow at moderate temperatures, they are also present in man-made habitats such as in the refrigerated environment and this has led to research attention in medical and agricultural fields (Dögen *et al.*, 2013; Perez-Nadales *et al.*, 2014). Research on psychrotolerant soil microfungi has primarily related to their potential application in biotechnology, such as in their production of antibiotics and antifungal

properties, hydrocarbon bioremediation and enzyme technology (Barratt *et al.*, 2003; Gao *et al.*, 2016; Kulkarni *et al.*, 2019). With progressive advances in proteomic technologies, the cold-adapted properties of psychrotolerant fungi can be further understood and applied (Liu *et al.*, 2017b; Abd Latip *et al.*, 2019).

In the study of fungal stress-related properties, the term cold stress refers to temperatures that are lower than the optimum growth temperature. These conditions can lead to reduction in fungal growth and reproduction (Hallsworth, 2018; Rangel *et al.*, 2018). However, it should also be recognized that the natural micro-environments of many fungi are constantly changing due to various factors (Hallsworth, 2018). Therefore, experimentally-applied cold stress and non-stress conditions may be highly artificial and not closely replicate natural environmental variation (Antal *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, caution must be applied when interpreting data obtained from such studies. Improving understanding of the cold stress responses of psychrotolerant fungi is nevertheless an important research field.

From a proteomic perspective, cold stress responses are expected to involve a balanced production of protein networks within cells to eliminate the damaging effects of low temperature stress, while simultaneously supporting normal cell processes. Various mechanisms are proposed to underlie the overall complexity of fungal cold stress responses (Hassan *et al.*, 2016; Fabri *et al.*, 2020). Many of the proposed mechanisms involve a range of cold-adapted metabolic pathways (Kostadinova *et al.*, 2011; Fenice, 2016) and translation-related processes (Crawford & Pavitt, 2019; Bresson *et al.*, 2020). The cold stress responses of *Aspergillus flavus* and *Exophiala dermatitidis* showed increases use of metabolic pathways involved in amino acid and carbohydrate metabolism (Bai *et al.*, 2015; Tesei *et al.*, 2015). In other species such as *Flammulina velutipes* and *Mortierella isabellina*, the upregulation of energy metabolism pathways and ATP

production was reported (Hu *et al.*, 2016; Liu *et al.*, 2017b). Various lipid metabolic pathways are also involved in the cold stress response of fungi such as the metabolism of sphingolipids, phospholipids and unsaturated fatty acids (Su *et al.*, 2016; Fabri *et al.*, 2020). The modulation of various lipid metabolism pathways also contributes to the pathogenicity of fungi. Lipid modulation has been shown to be significantly related to the stability of fungal membrane structures and their integrity, allowing survival of the negative effects of freezing in low temperature environments (Pan *et al.*, 2018; Li *et al.*, 2019). The cold stress response of fungi also includes various translation-related processes such as the upregulation of SRP-dependent co-translational protein targeting to membrane pathway, various cold-adapted ribosomal protein biosynthesis, and translation elongation pathways (Bai *et al.*, 2015; Su *et al.*, 2016; Crawford & Pavitt, 2019). Various fungal cold stress response mechanisms have been shown to be useful in various biotechnological applications such as in the production of antibiotics, antifungal molecules, secondary metabolites and methane metabolism (Lenhart *et al.*, 2012; Wilson *et al.*, 2017).

6.3 Methodology

6.3.1 Fungal cultivation and cold stress experimental design

All isolates used in this work (please refer to Table 3.1 for isolates details) were grown for 5 d at 5°C or 15°C, representing non-stress (C) and cold stress (CS) conditions, respectively. Detailed description of the growth conditions and liquid media preparation is given in Section 3.3.2. All experiments were carried out in triplicates.

6.3.2 Peptide identification, quantification and bioinformatic analysis

Peptide identification, quantification and bioinformatics analysis were performed using LC MS/MS methodology and the statistical analysis component in Proteome Discoverer, described in detail in Section 4.3. Protein abundance values were used to
calculate the log₂ ratios of HS:C of each isolate. A microarray (MA) plot was constructed using log₂ ratios against -log₁₀ local FDR values, and this provided for each protein identified. A cut-off value of 1% FDR was applied to all data obtained from LC MS/MS and quantification before performing this analysis. Differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) were determined from the data with a minimum of \pm 0.1-fold change. Venn diagrams were also constructed to compare DEPs of isolates within regions.

6.3.3 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis

KOBAS v2.0 (<u>http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn</u>) was used to search for gene enrichment. The software uses gene-level statistics called overrepresentation analysis (Xie *et al.*, 2011). The analysis is based on the hypergeometric distribution/Fisher's exact test with the addition of Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) FDR correction. Data in the form of FASTA sequences were used to identify enriched pathways in the KEGG, BioCyc and Reactome databases based on the up- and down-regulated proteins. GO terms with p-value of ≤ 0.05 were considered significantly enriched. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was selected as the reference Ascomycota species.

6.4 **Results**

6.4.1 Proteomic profiles of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in response to cold stress

The intracellular protein extracts of all six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. were analysed using LC MS/MS. A total of 2,541 proteins were identified with high confidence (p<0.01) from all isolates in cold stress (CS) and control (C) conditions (Supplementary 1). The distributions of protein abundances under CS for all six isolates were determined using microarray (MA) plot analysis (Figure 6.1). The fold change ratios of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) with a minimum of \pm 0.1-fold were plotted against -log₁₀ local FDR. The data indicated a total of 720 DEPs identified from all six isolates, with 383 and 337 proteins being up- and down-regulated, respectively. The majority of proteins identified were clustered close to 0, with a significant number of proteins having relatively high confidence values ($-\log_{10}$ FDR > 800). All isolates showed a similar distribution pattern of DEPs under CS with no indication of differences related to geographical origin.



Figure 6.1 The MA plot representing the distribution profiles of proteins identified from all six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. under cold stress. Different colours used to represent each isolate: *sp1*, grey; *sp2*, light blue; *sp3*, orange; *sp4*, red; *C106*, green; *C107*, yellow.

To understand the distribution patterns of DEPs for each isolate, a stacked bar graph was constructed (Figure 6.2). There was variation in the numbers of up- and downregulated proteins between isolates in response to cold stress. One of the Arctic isolates, sp2, exhibited the highest numbers of up- and down-regulated proteins (161 and 110 proteins, respectively), while the other Arctic isolate, sp1, exhibited considerably lower numbers with only 70 up-regulated and 98 down-regulated proteins. Although the numbers of up-regulated proteins in these two isolates differed widely, the numbers of down-regulated proteins were more similar. Both Antarctic isolates, sp3 and sp4, produced very similar numbers of up-regulated proteins, 59 and 56, respectively. The production of down-regulated proteins by these isolates was 38 for sp3 and 46 for sp4. In the temperate isolates, C107 produced the lowest number of DEPs, with only 17 and 21 proteins up- and down-regulated. A similar number of DEPs was produced by C106, with 20 and 24 proteins being up- and down-regulated, respectively. Both temperate isolates and one of the Arctic isolates, sp1 produced a lower number of upregulated proteins in response to cold stress compared to downregulated proteins. On the other hand, sp2 and both Antarctic isolates produced a higher number of upregulated proteins compared to their downregulated ones. The individual plots of DEPs for each isolate (Figure 6.2) showed similar findings with respect to the distribution patterns of DEPs as observed in the overall MA plot (Figure 6.1), again with no indication of any influence of the geographical origin of the isolates.



Figure 6.2 Bar graph showing the number of proteins up- (red bars) and down-regulated (blue bars) in each isolate in response to cold stress. The value on each bar shows the number of differentially expressed proteins; + values, up-regulated proteins; - values, down-regulated proteins.

A simple Venn diagram was used to illustrate common and unique proteins found in isolates of the same regions (Figure 6.3). This analysis is crucial to show the degree of similarity or differences among isolates within regions in order to better understand the relationship of DEPs between species of Pseudogymnoascus genus in response to cold stress. In the previous chapter, several proteins that were exclusively identified in each region were found to fall under the same molecular function - transferase and hydrolase activities. However, these proteins were identified under non-stress condition (baseline temperature of 15°C). In this work, proteins that were up- or down-regulated in isolates from the same region were compared to identify any common proteins that may play a major role during cold stress in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. that the two Arctic isolates had only two proteins in common that were up-regulated under cold stress (Figure 6.3a), and both were hypothetical proteins with molecular weights (MW) of less than 30 kDa. However, the Arctic isolates had 10 down-regulated proteins in common (Figure 6.3d). These proteins were a mixture of enzymes (pyruvate dehydrogenase complex dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase, ATP synthase F1, and isocitrate dehydrogenase), small subunit ribosomal proteins (S3e, S13, S20 and S22), a structural protein (tubulin alpha- β chain), a transporter protein (protein transporter sec-23), and a degradation component protein (proteasome core particle subunit alpha 2). For the Antarctic isolates, a total of 16 common DEPs in both isolates were identified. An equal number of common proteins were up- and down-regulated (Figure 6.3b, e). Half of the common DEPs were hypothetical proteins with calculated MW of more than 30 kDa, with one exception (GI number 1040529802), hypothetical protein VE03 04039 with a MW of 21.9 kDa. Other than the hypothetical proteins, common proteins that were up-regulated in the Antarctic isolates were translation initiation factor eIF4, guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-like protein, isocitrate dehydrogenase and 60S ribosomal protein L20. The downregulated proteins in common were NADP-specific glutamate dehydrogenase,

mitochondrial heat shock protein 60, glucose-regulated protein and 60S ribosomal protein L11. Surprisingly, there were no common DEPs found in the temperate isolates in response to cold stress except for one down-regulated protein, the plasma membrane ATPase (Figure 6.3c, f).



Figure 6.3 Venn diagrams showing the relationship of up- and down-regulated proteins for each isolate within geographical regions of origin. a - c), up-regulated proteins; d - f), down-regulated proteins. a) and d), the Arctic isolates; b) and e), the Antarctic isolates; c) and f), the temperate isolates.

6.4.2 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up-regulated proteins in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp.

The differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in response to cold stress were further analysed to identify significantly up-regulated proteins with a fold change of \geq 1.5 (Table 6.1). A total of 176 proteins were significantly upregulated across all isolates, with 47% (84) of the proteins identified as hypothetical proteins. Amongst the six isolates, *sp2* and *C106* had the highest and the lowest numbers of significantly up-regulated proteins, 91 and 5, respectively. In general, all isolates significantly up-regulated various species of large ribosomal subunit proteins (L4e, L10a, L12, L16, L20, L21e, L26e, L35 and P0) and enzymes (catalase, pyruvate carboxylase, malate dehydrogenase, fatty acid synthase, transketolase, aldehyde dehydrogenase, enolase, etc). Furthermore, 45 proteins were highly up-regulated with a fold change of \geq 3.0. Surprisingly, *sp2* and *sp4* were the only isolates that showed significant up-regulation of heat shock proteins and hsp-like protein species (heat shock protein SSB1 and hsp70like proteins).

| Isolate | Accession | Description | Species | Coverage [%] | # Unique Peptides | # AAs | MW [kDa] | calc. pI | log ₂ |
|---------|------------|---|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| sp1 | 1352887886 | porin por1 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 94 | 6 | 283 | 30.3 | 8.98 | 1.9 |
| | 1040536136 | hypothetical protein VF21 08556 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 33 | 3 | 529 | 56 | 6.19 | 3.0 |
| | 1040511155 | hypothetical protein VE04 08019 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 24MN13 | 16 | 6 | 400 | 42.1 | 6.15 | 2.6 |
| | 1040523417 | 60S ribosomal protein L16 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 37 | 1 | 202 | 23.1 | 10.5 1 | 2.2 |
| | 1040533161 | catalase/peroxidase HPI | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 23 | 5 | 795 | 87.3 | 5.5 | 3.0 |
| | 1040528549 | large subunit ribosomal protein L26e | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 41 | 11 | 137 | 15.7 | 10.6 8 | 1.9 |
| | 1040533064 | 20S proteasome subunit alpha 7 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 43 | 12 | 295 | 31.7 | 4.91 | 1.7 |
| | 1040498553 | hypothetical protein VE00_08699 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 31 | 3 | 583 | 63.3 | 5.72 | 2.9 |
| | 1040563505 | translocase of outer mitochondrial membrane | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 48 | 1 | 356 | 38.5 | 6 | 1.8 |
| | 1040504933 | hypothetical protein VE00 02597 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 35 | 4 | 323 | 34.1 | 5.87 | 2.7 |

Table 6.1 List of significantly upregulated proteins under cold stress (fold change, log_2 ratios of ≥ 1.5)

| 1352887735 | hypothetical protein VE01_04516 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 13 | 4 | 541 | 60.3 | 7.08 | 1.9 |
|-----------------------|--|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|-----|
| 1040528536 | hypothetical protein VE03_05557 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 7 | 580 | 63.1 | 6.52 | 1.7 |
| 1040532023 | hypothetical protein VE03_01299 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 8 | 1015 | 105.6 | 5.01 | 3.6 |
| 1040505794 | hypothetical protein VE00_03008 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 28 | 8 | 186 | 21.4 | 11.1 8 | 2.1 |
| 1069466243 | large subunit ribosomal protein L21e | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 52 | 12 | 160 | 18.2 | 10.3 3 | 1.9 |
| 1040526528 | hypothetical protein VE03_07310 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 25 | 12 | 1473 | 165.1 | 5.54 | 2.6 |
| 1040525391 | hypothetical protein VE03_07986 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 6 | 783 | 81.9 | 5.11 | 4.5 |
| 1026905242 | hypothetical protein VC83_04831 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 14 | 2 | 1231 | 128.4 | 5.34 | 3.0 |
| 1040534050 | catalase/peroxidase HPI | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 26 | 4 | 790 | 86.4 | 6.01 | 3.7 |
| 1040525523 | hypothetical protein VE03_08478 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 25 | 5 | 790 | 87.1 | 5.85 | 4.5 |
| 440636110 | hypothetical protein GMDG_07740 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 17 | 3 | 507 | 54 | 5.9 | 2.5 |
| 1040502501 | hypothetical protein VE00_05878 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 20 | 3 | 988 | 105.7 | 6.46 | 2.3 |
| 1040530925 | hypothetical protein VE03_02548 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 14 | 5 | 1009 | 110.2 | 5.43 | 1.7 |
| 1040547240 | hypothetical protein VE02_07770 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 8 | 3 | 486 | 51.9 | 6.11 | 1.6 |
| 1040530942 | hypothetical protein VE03_02444 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 8 | 1 | 347 | 36.1 | 5.81 | 2.3 |
| 1040504056 | hypothetical protein VE00_03867 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 11 | 3 | 611 | 64.1 | 5.33 | 4.1 |
| 1040520853 | hypothetical protein VE04_00056 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 31 | 3 | 505 | 54.2 | 8.24 | 3.1 |
| 1040525910 | hypothetical protein VE03_07646 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 7 | 441 | 48.7 | 7.3 | 2.5 |
| 1069466961 | hypothetical protein VE01_02450 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 2 | 4 | 2096 | 226.9 | 4.91 | 2.3 |
| 1040544250 | proteasome subunit beta type-2 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 19 | 2 | 182 | 20.4 | 7.49 | 1.7 |
| 1040525682 | hypothetical protein VE03_07697 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 23342-1-I1 | 7 | 1 | 611 | 66.7 | 6.2 | 4.3 |
| 1040520567 | hypothetical protein VE04_00626 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 9 | 4 | 646 | 71.5 | 7.3 | 4.5 |
| 1026903545 | Mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit tim8 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 60 | 4 | 89 | 10.1 | 6.05 | 1.6 |
| 1026909249 | DASH complex subunit ask1 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 2 | 1 | 398 | 43.8 | 5.41 | 3.7 |
| 1040507167 | hypothetical protein VE00 00603 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 34 | 2 | 108 | 11.8 | 5.74 | 2.3 |
| 1040503472 | hypothetical protein VE00 03602 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 6 | 1 | 497 | 54 | 6.06 | 4.4 |
| 1040531469 | hypothetical protein VE03_02830 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 7 | 3 | 713 | 76.9 | 6.49 | 2.0 |
| <i>sp2</i> 1040547996 | ATP synthase subunit beta, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 87 | 3 | 516 | 55.4 | 5.68 | 2.4 |

| Ideality3119 Systematic by a by comparison and by by a by | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|---|--|----|----|------|-------|------|-----|
| Ideal system Promologymenacesses 48 2 767 84.1 8.43 2 Ideal systems ATP systems Paradogymenacesses 64 4 555 59.7 9.1 2 Ideal Systems Paradogymenacesses 64 4 555 59.7 9.1 2 Ideal Systems Paradogymenacesses 65 10 676 73.5 5.74 2 Ideal Systems Paradogymenacesses 65 10 676 73.5 5.74 2 Ideal Systems Pyrothic paradogymenacesses 67 26 37 446 49.6 49.3 2 Ideal Systems pyrothic paradogymenacesses 77 29 73.5 5.74 2 Ideal Systems pyrothic paradogymenacesses 67 26 398 44.9 5.24 1 Ideal Systems Paradogymenacesses 67 26 398 44.9 5.25 5.74 2 Ideal Systems Paradogymenacesses | | 1040531119 | glyceraldehyde 3- phosphate- dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 86 | 3 | 339 | 36.5 | 6.95 | 2.9 |
| Identify Paradogymnoxacs 64 4 555 59.7 9.1 2 Ide052202 prostini alplant ************************************ | ļ | 1040553812 | heat shock protein SSB1 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 48 | 2 | 767 | 84.1 | 8.43 | 2.5 |
| Doto22267 Preadagymmaxcas 13 4 4080 451.6 6.4.3 2 1040522717 bap?bitke protein Preadagymmaxcas 65 10 676 73.5 5.7.4 2 440633985 tubulin bata chain Preadagymmaxcas 76 37 446 94.6 49.3 2 1040526408 action Preadagymmaxcas 77 29 757 41.5 5.99 2 1040506608 action Preadagymmaxcas 77 29 757 41.5 5.99 2 104050608 action Preadagymmaxcas 67 2.6 398 44.9 5.24 1 1040506294 translation translation Preadagymmaxcas 67 2.6 318 4.94 -5.24 1 1040532272 reductosing Preadagymmaxcas 63 1 221 2.4 9.39 3 1040532425 hypothetical Preadagymmaxcas 63 1 221 2.4 | | 1040537109 | ATP synthase subunit alpha, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 64 | 4 | 555 | 59.7 | 9.1 | 2.5 |
| 1040523711 hsp70-like protein Pseudogymousters atbulin beta chan protein prote | | 1040529266 | hypothetical protein VE03_04296 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 13 | 4 | 4080 | 451.6 | 6.43 | 2.0 |
| Headogymonastas Pseudogymonastas 104052485 pynwate carboxylase Pseudogymonastas 47 3 1190 13.0.3 6.35 1 10405566 action ps. 23342-141 47 3 1190 13.0.3 6.35 1 104056068 action ps. WSE 3020 77 29 375 4.1.5 5.69 2 104056029 framastation ps. WSE 3020 67 2.6 398 4.4.9 5.24 1 104056029 framastation pseudogymonastas 67 2.6 398 4.4.9 5.24 1 104055227 reductosionernes, mitochondral Pseudogymonastas 63 1 2.21 2.4 9.39 2 1040528425 VE03 0.403 Pseudogymonastas 63 1 2.21 2.4 9.39 5.05 2 1040528425 VE03 0.243 Pseudogymonastas 63 1 1.021 5.4 5.2 5.7 4.2 | | 1040523711 | hsp70-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 10 | 676 | 73.5 | 5.74 | 2.4 |
| 104052448 pyruvate series pyruvate predogymoascus 47 3 1190 13.0.3 6.35 1 104050608 actin speedogymoascus 77 29 375 41.5 5.00 2 102690119 delytorgenese, cytoplasmic Pseudogymoascus 80 6 339 35.2 8.92 3 104050294 mitalion tote cytoplasmic Pseudogymoascus 67 26 398 44.9 5.24 1 104052024 mitalion tote cytoplasmic Pseudogymoascus 63 1 221 24 9.39 3 1040522425 typolobicial protein Pseudogymoascus 63 1 221 24 9.39 3 1040528425 typolobicial protein Pseudogymoascus 63 1 221 24 9.39 5.05 2 1040528425 typolobicial protein Pseudogymoascus 30 1 1790 196.3 6.05 2 1040529726 typolobicial poptitase subanit, mitachoadral< | | 440639856 | tubulin beta chain | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 76 | 37 | 446 | 49.6 | 4.93 | 2.6 |
| 1940506008 actin Peeudogymnoaccus 77 29 375 41.5 5.69 2 1026904149 delylorgenase, extoplasmic Pseudogymnoaccus 80 6 339 35.2 8.92 3 104056024 Imitation factor Pseudogymnoaccus 67 26 398 44.9 5.24 1 1040550273 reductoisomerase, photoharial Pseudogymnoaccus 67 26 398 44.9 5.24 1 1040532273 reductoisomerase, esri Pseudogymnoaccus 63 1 221 24 9.39 3 1040528425 Psyothetical protein Pseudogymnoaccus 63 1 221 24 9.39 3 1040528425 Psyothetical protein Pseudogymnoaccus 63 1 221 24 9.39 5.05 2 1040528425 Psyothetical protein Pseudogymnoaccus 30 1 1790 196.3 6.05 2 1040530120 Attas thock protein protein | | 1040524485 | pyruvate carboxylase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 47 | 3 | 1190 | 130.3 | 6.35 | 1.5 |
| Malate (1006901449 Malate (syloplasmic syloplasmic (syloplasmic (syloplasmic) Pseudogymnoaccus destructurus 80 6 339 35.2 8.92 3 1040550291 clintiation flator initiation flator (syloplasmic) Pseudogymnoaccus serracosus 67 26 9.8 44.9 5.24 1 1040550271 reductosomerase, mitochoadrial hypothetical potentical proteinal proteinal proteinal proteinal submit ipha submit | | 1040506608 | actin | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 77 | 29 | 375 | 41.5 | 5.69 | 2.6 |
| Ide0050294 Intrastation clF4A Prendogymnoascus verrecosus 67 26 398 44.9 5.24 1 Ide00532273 reductoisonerase, reductoisonerase, erit sp. 23342-1-11 69 2 400 44.5 7.05 2 Ide0052243 Pypothetical protein verrecosus Pseudogymnoascus erit 63 1 221 24 9.39 3 Ide0528425 Pypothetical protein verrecosus Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 23 6 514 53.8 4.94 2 Ide053822 Pypothetical protein verrecosus Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 60 53 823 89.9 5.05 2 Ide051845 farviced synthase submit appa sp. 23342-1-11 60 53 823 89.9 5.05 2 Ide051845 farviced synthase submit appa sp. 23342-1-11 60 53 823 89.9 5.05 2 Ide051521 heat shock protein NADI+stiguinone Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 59 4 741 80.6 6.57 | | 1026904149 | Malate dehydrogenase, cytoplasmic | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 80 | 6 | 339 | 35.2 | 8.92 | 3.7 |
| Io40532273 Retol-acid mitochondrial protein sp. 23342-1-11 69 2 400 44.5 7.05 2 I35288899 phypothetical protein VE03 04962 Preadogymnascus vernecosus 63 1 221 24 9.39 2 I040528425 protein VE03 04962 Preadogymnascus sp. 23342-1-11 23 6 514 53.8 4.94 4 I040528425 protein Protein Protein VE03 02453 Preadogymnascus sp. 23342-1-11 45 6 462 48.7 8.29 2 I040529726 cell division control protein 48 pp. 23342-1-11 45 6 462 48.7 8.29 5.05 2 I040530016 processing peptidase submit peptidase submit beta pp. 23342-1-11 45 6 462 48.7 8.25 5.74 2 I040530120 concessing peptidase submit beta pp. 23342-1-11 51 17.7 82.5 5.74 2 I04053016 processing protein NADF-ubigymonascus protein VE03 0.0497 pp. 23342-1-11 51 13 572 60.8 | | 1040560294 | translation initiation factor eIF4A | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 67 | 26 | 398 | 44.9 | 5.24 | 1.7 |
| 1352288949 Phosphatidylinosito esrl Pseudogymnoascus verrucouus 63 1 221 24 9,39 3 1040528425 pyothotical protein Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 23 6 514 53.8 4.94 4 1040528425 pyothotical protein Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 45 6 462 48.7 8.29 2 1040529726 efficitant Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 60 53 823 89.9 5.05 2 104051845 fatty acid synthae stabunit alpha mitochondrial- protein #8 p.23342-1-11 60 53 823 89.9 5.05 2 104051921 heat shock protein stabunit (pp) Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 59 4 741 80.6 6.57 2 1040551906 protein NADH-tubiquinone VE03 05085 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 59 4 741 80.6 6.57 2 1040520066 protein VE03 05085 Sp. 23342-1-11 59 4 741 80.6 6.57 | | 1040532273 | ketol-acid reductoisomerase, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 69 | 2 | 400 | 44.5 | 7.05 | 2.1 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 1352888949 | phosphatidylinosito l transfer protein csrl | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 63 | 1 | 221 | 24 | 9.39 | 3.0 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 1040528425 | hypothetical protein VE03_04962 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 23 | 6 | 514 | 53.8 | 4.94 | 4.6 |
| 1040529726 cell division control protein 48 Sp. 23421-11 60 53 823 89.9 5.05 2 1040518845 fatty acid synthase subunit alpha processing peridase subunit beta sp. 24MN13 30 1 1790 196.3 6.05 2 1040510521 fatty acid synthase sp. 23342-1-11 sp. 23342-1-11 62 27 478 52.5 5.74 2 1040501521 heat shock protein SSB1 sp. 23342-1-11 62 5 614 66.5 5.44 4 1040501521 heat shock protein SSB1 sp. 23342-1-11 59 4 741 80.6 6.57 2 1040531120 NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 78 kDa subunit, mitochondrial <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 23342-1-11 51 13 572 60.8 5.26 1 104052066 protein Protein VE03 05085 sp. 23342-1-11 51 13 572 60.8 5.26 1 1040542063 rerein protein VE03 05085 sp. 23342-1-11 51 13 572 60.8 5.15 | | 1040530832 | hypothetical protein VE03_02453 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 45 | 6 | 462 | 48.7 | 8.29 | 2.6 |
| | | 1040529726 | cell division control protein 48 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 60 | 53 | 823 | 89.9 | 5.05 | 2.7 |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | ļ | 1040518845 | fatty acid synthase subunit alpha | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 30 | 1 | 1790 | 196.3 | 6.05 | 2.1 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 1040530016 | processing peptidase subunit beta | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 62 | 27 | 478 | 52.5 | 5.74 | 2.0 |
| NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 78 kDa subunit, mitochondrialPseudogynnoascus sp. 23342-1-1159474180.66.5721040529066hypothetical protein VE03_05085ps. 23342-1-11662844150.46.7121040529066hypothetical protein VE03_030740pseudogynnoascus protein VE03_03497511357260.85.2611040542063protein vE10_1051pseudogynnoascus protein vE1_0105155232734.38.3211040542063protein vE1_01051pseudogynnoascus protein vE1_0105179539941.26.821040531100plasma membrane protein ATPase protein protein protein trotein ATPasepseudogynnoascus ps. 23342-1-11712931100.85.1521040525605608 ribosomal protein vE00_08276pseudogynnoascus ps. WSF 362926847349.15.822104051267protein vE00_08276pseudogynnoascus ps. 23342-1-1135768574.85.972104051267protein vE00_08276pseudogynnoascus ps. 23342-1-1135768574.85.972104051267hypothetical protein vE00_08276pseudogynnoascus ps. 23342-1-1135768574.85.972104051267hypothetical protein vE00_08276pseudogynnoascus ps. 24MN131161822< | 1 | 1040501521 | heat shock protein SSB1 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 62 | 5 | 614 | 66.5 | 5.44 | 4.5 |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 1040531120 | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 78 kDa subunit, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 59 | 4 | 741 | 80.6 | 6.57 | 2.1 |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 1040529066 | hypothetical protein VE03_05085 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 66 | 28 | 441 | 50.4 | 6.71 | 2.7 |
| hypothetical protein VF21_01051Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY0855232734.38.3211026906053erg10, acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferasePseudogymnoascus destructans79539941.26.821040531100plasma membrane ATPase protein L12Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-1179539941.26.821040525605608 ribosomal protein L12Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-1157216517.79.3321040524717transketolase trong vE00_08276Pseudogymnoascus sp. VSF 362926847349.15.8221040524717transketolase protein VE00_08276Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-1135768574.85.9721040524717transketolase protein protein VE00_08276Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-1135768574.85.9721040524717transketolase protein protein vE03_04396Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-1135768574.85.972104052568hypothetical protein vE03_07513Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-1165246851.35.5421069466751saccharopine vE03_07513Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-1166236539.16.1421069466751saccharopine vernomenePseudogymnoascus vernomene47350355.25.712 <td></td> <td>1040530740</td> <td>hypothetical protein VE03_03497</td> <td>Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1</td> <td>51</td> <td>13</td> <td>572</td> <td>60.8</td> <td>5.26</td> <td>1.6</td> | | 1040530740 | hypothetical protein VE03_03497 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 51 | 13 | 572 | 60.8 | 5.26 | 1.6 |
| $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | 1040542063 | hypothetical protein VF21_01051 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 55 | 2 | 327 | 34.3 | 8.32 | 1.6 |
| 1040531100 plasma membrane ATPase Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 41 2 931 100.8 5.15 2 1040525605 60S ribosomal protein L12 sp. 23342-1-11 57 2 165 17.7 9.33 2 104040499942 hypothetical protein VE00_08276 Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 26 8 473 49.1 5.82 2 1040524717 transketolase Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 35 7 685 74.8 5.97 2 1040511267 hypothetical protein VE00_09537 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 11 6 1822 202 6.47 2 1040529249 protein VE03_04396 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 65 2 468 51.3 5.54 2 1040525568 hypothetical protein VE03_07513 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 66 2 365 39.1 6.14 2 1069466751 saccharopine debr/grogenese Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 66 2 365 39.1 6.14 | | 1026906053 | erg10, acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 79 | 5 | 399 | 41.2 | 6.8 | 2.1 |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 1 | 1040531100 | plasma membrane ATPase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 41 | 2 | 931 | 100.8 | 5.15 | 2.3 |
| hypothetical protein VE00_08276 Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 26 8 473 49.1 5.82 2 1040524717 transketolase Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 35 7 685 74.8 5.97 2 1040511267 hypothetical protein VE04_09537 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 11 6 1822 202 6.47 2 1040529249 hypothetical protein VE03_04396 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 65 2 468 51.3 5.54 2 1040525568 hypothetical protein VE03_07513 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 66 2 365 39.1 6.14 2 1069466751 saccharopine debydrogenese Pseudogymnoascus sp. varvoevus 47 3 503 55.2 5.71 2 | | 1040525605 | 60S ribosomal protein L12 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 23342-1-I1 | 57 | 2 | 165 | 17.7 | 9.33 | 2.2 |
| 1040524717 transketolase Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 35 7 685 74.8 5.97 2 1040511267 hypothetical protein VE00_09537 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 11 6 1822 202 6.47 2 1040529249 hypothetical protein VE03_04396 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 65 2 468 51.3 5.54 2 1040525568 hypothetical protein VE03_07513 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 66 2 365 39.1 6.14 2 1069466751 saccharopine debydrogenese Pseudogymnoascus sp. varmogus 47 3 503 55.2 5.71 2 | l | 1040499942 | hypothetical protein VE00 08276 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 26 | 8 | 473 | 49.1 | 5.82 | 2.7 |
| hypothetical protein VE04_09537 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 11 6 1822 202 6.47 2 1040511267 hypothetical protein Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 11 6 1822 202 6.47 2 1040529249 hypothetical protein Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 65 2 468 51.3 5.54 2 1040525568 hypothetical protein VE03_07513 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 66 2 365 39.1 6.14 2 1069466751 saccharopine debutgrogenese Pseudogymnoascus sp. varinogenese 47 3 503 55.2 5.71 2 | | 1040524717 | transketolase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 35 | 7 | 685 | 74.8 | 5.97 | 2.6 |
| 1040529249 hypothetical protein VE03_04396 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 65 2 468 51.3 5.54 2 1040525568 hypothetical protein VE03_07513 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 66 2 365 39.1 6.14 2 1069466751 saccharopine debudrogenase Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 66 2 365 39.1 6.14 2 | | 1040511267 | hypothetical protein VE04 09537 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 11 | 6 | 1822 | 202 | 6.47 | 2.4 |
| hypothetical protein VE03_07513 Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 66 2 365 39.1 6.14 2 1069466751 saccharopine debudrogenese Pseudogymnoascus saccharopine 47 3 503 55.2 5.71 2 | | 1040529249 | hypothetical protein VE03_04396 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 2 | 468 | 51.3 | 5.54 | 2.2 |
| 1069466751 saccharopine Pseudogymnoascus 47 3 503 55.2 5.71 2 | | 1040525568 | hypothetical protein VE03_07513 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 66 | 2 | 365 | 39.1 | 6.14 | 2.0 |
| | | 1069466751 | saccharopine dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 47 | 3 | 503 | 55.2 | 5.71 | 2.5 |

| 1040533483 | hypothetical protein VE03_00182 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 51 | 3 | 253 | 27.4 | 7.12 | 2.0 |
|------------|---|--|----|----|------|-------|------|-----|
| 1040528274 | diphosphomevalon ate decarboxylase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 48 | 16 | 385 | 40.8 | 6.55 | 2.8 |
| 1040506765 | glycine hydroxymethyltran sferase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 42 | 0 | 539 | 58.9 | 8.56 | 3.0 |
| 1040529880 | hypothetical protein VE03_04169 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 30 | 10 | 1085 | 118.8 | 4.63 | 3.0 |
| 1040505261 | succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein subunit, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 60 | 26 | 646 | 70.8 | 6.49 | 1.8 |
| 1040506012 | hypothetical protein VE00_01435 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 16 | 25 | 2127 | 234.2 | 6.46 | 1.7 |
| 1040500818 | aldehyde dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 67 | 1 | 496 | 53.4 | 5.95 | 1.7 |
| 440634311 | catalase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 60 | 2 | 505 | 57.4 | 7.3 | 1.5 |
| 1040517350 | 2,3- bisphosphoglycerat e-independent phosphoglycerate mutase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 49 | 8 | 522 | 57.7 | 5.4 | 2.2 |
| 1026902306 | hypothetical protein VC83 09257 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 50 | 4 | 545 | 60.5 | 6.11 | 4.3 |
| 1040527086 | N-acetyl-gamma- glutamyl-phosphate reductase/acetylglut amate kinase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 27 | 2 | 880 | 96.3 | 7.17 | 4.3 |
| 1040503795 | hypothetical protein VE00_03509 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 51 | 2 | 317 | 34.9 | 5.36 | 2.3 |
| 1040538418 | clathrin, heavy polypeptide | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 15 | 1 | 1682 | 190.1 | 5.4 | 2.5 |
| 1040543888 | T-complex protein 1 subunit gamma | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 17 | 1 | 541 | 59 | 5.99 | 3.7 |
| 1040504412 | hypothetical protein VE00_02312 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 60 | 5 | 282 | 31.3 | 4.45 | 4.2 |
| 1040531360 | hypothetical protein VE03 02918 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 32 | 6 | 210 | 22.8 | 4.87 | 2.0 |
| 1352888607 | target of Sbf | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 12 | 1 | 448 | 46.1 | 5.5 | 4.4 |
| 1040530118 | hypothetical protein VE03_04536 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 19 | 9 | 542 | 60.3 | 7.61 | 3.3 |
| 1040526016 | plasma-membrane proton-efflux P- type ATPase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 13 | 2 | 990 | 108.4 | 5.39 | 2.1 |
| 1040526348 | dihydroxy-acid dehydratase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 32 | 8 | 592 | 63.2 | 7.12 | 2.9 |
| 1040524212 | hypothetical protein VE03_09540 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 27 | 14 | 820 | 86.1 | 5.15 | 3.9 |
| 1040501074 | hypothetical protein VE00_07280 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 43 | 5 | 313 | 32.6 | 6.16 | 4.7 |
| 1040501360 | 40S ribosomal protein S17 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 39 | 3 | 148 | 17 | 9.8 | 4.6 |
| 1040515629 | hypothetical protein VE04 03766 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 51 | 5 | 403 | 44.9 | 5.35 | 4.2 |
| 1040501480 | acetyl-CoA C- acetyltransferase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 62 | 2 | 399 | 41.2 | 6.8 | 2.2 |
| 1069464671 | guanine nucleotide- binding protein subunit beta | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 55 | 3 | 355 | 39.1 | 7.4 | 1.5 |
| 1352886849 | proteasome regulatory particle base subunit rpt5 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 47 | 16 | 462 | 51.6 | 5.01 | 2.2 |
| 1040504856 | ATP synthase F1, delta subunit | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 33 | 4 | 273 | 29 | 9.67 | 1.6 |
| 1040532080 | 26S protease regulatory subunit 6B | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 38 | 2 | 421 | 47.1 | 6 | 2.7 |

| | 1040499252 | hsp70-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus | 63 | 4 | 682 | 73.9 | 5.19 | 3.6 |
|-----|------------|--------------------------------------|--|----|----|------|-------|------|-----|
| | | hypothetical | sp. WSF 3629 Pseudogymnoascus | | | | | | |
| | 1040538336 | protein VF21_06756 | sp. 05NY08 | 8 | 2 | 443 | 48.4 | 5.85 | 2.6 |
| | 440640697 | hypothetical protein | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- | 10 | 2 | 666 | 70.3 | 5.26 | 2.7 |
| | 110010007 | GMDG_04885 | 21 | 10 | - | 000 | , 010 | 0.20 | 2.7 |
| | 1040529285 | protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 31 | 4 | 198 | 21.3 | 6.79 | 3.9 |
| | | VE03_04902 hypothetical | Psaudoownnoasaus | | | | | | |
| | 1040548610 | protein VE02 07270 | sp. 03VT05 | 6 | 2 | 577 | 64 | 6.79 | 3.8 |
| | 1040530835 | hypothetical | Pseudogymnoascus | 4 | 2 | 581 | 63.1 | 4 78 | 44 |
| | 10-0550055 | VE03_02559 | sp. 23342-1-I1 | т | 2 | 501 | 05.1 | 4.70 | |
| | 440637926 | protein | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- | 18 | 2 | 334 | 35.7 | 5.6 | 1.5 |
| | | GMDG_00466 hypothetical | 21 | | | | | | |
| | 1040540357 | protein VF21_04798 | sp. 05NY08 | 9 | 1 | 468 | 49.6 | 5.95 | 4.4 |
| | 1040526301 | arginase | Pseudogymnoascus | 13 | 2 | 330 | 35.4 | 5.62 | 2.2 |
| | 1040500604 | hypothetical | Pseudogymnoascus | 41 | | 1/2 | 10.6 | | 1.0 |
| | 1040520684 | VE04_00111 | sp. 24MN13 | 41 | 4 | 462 | 48.6 | 8.9 | 1.8 |
| | 1040547007 | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 78 | Pseudogymnoascus | | | 7.41 | 00 (| 6.57 | 10 |
| | 104054/99/ | kDa subunit, mitochondrial | sp. 03VT05 | 56 | | /41 | 80.6 | 6.57 | 4.0 |
| | 1040520421 | hypothetical | Pseudogymnoascus | | 1 | 200 | 22.0 | 5.((| 2.7 |
| | 1040329431 | VE03_03597 | sp. 23342-1-I1 | 3 | 1 | 309 | 32.8 | 3.00 | 2.7 |
| | 1026904985 | hypothetical protein | Pseudogymnoascus | 22 | 2 | 239 | 26.1 | 5.62 | 2.7 |
| | | VC83_06014 hypothetical | uestructuris | | | | | | |
| | 1040526507 | protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 21 | 2 | 433 | 46.4 | 6.57 | 3.3 |
| | | hypothetical | Pseudogymnoascus | | | | | | |
| | 1040518253 | protein VE04_03452 | sp. 24MN13 | 9 | 2 | 282 | 31.3 | 5.54 | 2.2 |
| | 1001844792 | Pyridoxal biosynthesis lyase | Streptomyces | 4 | 1 | 306 | 32.1 | 5.33 | 2.4 |
| _ | | pdxS hypothetical | albidoflavus | | | | | | |
| | 1040539921 | protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 13 | 2 | 415 | 46.8 | 5.41 | 2.5 |
| | 1001842424 | Inositol-1- | Streptomyces | 3 | 1 | 360 | 39.5 | 5.11 | 1.7 |
| | | hypothetical | albidoflavus Psaudocommoasaus | | | | | | |
| | 1040532999 | protein VE03 00521 | sp. 23342-1-I1 | 14 | 4 | 469 | 50.7 | 5.36 | 2.1 |
| | 1040553304 | hypothetical | Pseudogymnoascus | 9 | 2 | 319 | 34.6 | 5 99 | 17 |
| | 1040555504 | VE02_01894 | sp. 03VT05 | , | 2 | 517 | 54.0 | 5.77 | 1.7 |
| | 1040502810 | protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 20 | 3 | 811 | 91.3 | 6.51 | 2.6 |
| | 1040564605 | VE00_05825 | Pseudogymnoascus | 0 | 2 | 557 | 60.6 | 4.07 | 1.0 |
| | 1040504005 | vacuolar protein s | verrucosus Pseudogymnoascus | 9 | | 557 | 57.0 | 4.97 | 1.9 |
| | 1040539273 | catalase | sp. 05NY08 Pseudogymnoascus | 54 | 1 | 505 | 57.3 | 1.47 | 4.7 |
| | 1040527824 | catalase | sp. 23342-1-I1 | 56 | 2 | 505 | 57.2 | 7.08 | 3.6 |
| | 1040541436 | protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 44 | 1 | 250 | 27.3 | 6.32 | 1.5 |
| | | VF21_04301 hypothetical | Provide comme e areque | | | | | | |
| | 1370888902 | protein VC83 07881 | destructans | 80 | 2 | 330 | 34.1 | 6.39 | 2.1 |
| | 1352888836 | Protein disulfide- | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 37 | 1 | 432 | 47.3 | 7.88 | 3.0 |
| | 1060467700 | hypothetical | Pseudogymnoascus | 4 | 1 | 1126 | 102.7 | 6.21 | 1.6 |
| | 100940//99 | VE01_03600 | verrucosus | 4 | 1 | 1120 | 123.7 | 0.21 | 1.0 |
| | 1040537170 | urease accessory protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 7 | 2 | 273 | 28.9 | 5.9 | 4.1 |
| | 1040532480 | GTP-binding protein rho2 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 12 | 2 | 201 | 22.3 | 5.87 | 3.2 |
| sp3 | 1040506877 | enolase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 85 | 43 | 438 | 47.7 | 5.41 | 1.5 |

| | | 1040525877 | 60S acidic ribosomal protein P0 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 33 | 10 | 312 | 33.4 | 5.15 | 2.2 |
|---|-----|------------|--|--|----|----|-----|------|-----------|-----|
| | | 1040513597 | 20S proteasome subunit alpha 4 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 55 | 12 | 267 | 29.2 | 7.4 | 1.6 |
| | | 1352886940 | protein VE01 00604 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 20 | 3 | 249 | 26.2 | 6.61 | 1.6 |
| | | 1026907433 | Cytochrome b-c1 complex subunit 7 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 25 | 4 | 123 | 14.4 | 9.07 | 2.6 |
| | | 1352887810 | hypothetical protein VE01_04771 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 8 | 3 | 329 | 36.1 | 6.33 | 2.0 |
| | | 1040527667 | hypothetical protein VE03_05893 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 3 | 1 | 351 | 38.8 | 9.17 | 2.0 |
| | sp4 | 1040531119 | glyceraldehyde 3- phosphate- dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 86 | 3 | 339 | 36.5 | 6.95 | 3.1 |
| | | 1040553812 | heat shock protein SSB1 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 48 | 2 | 767 | 84.1 | 8.43 | 1.8 |
| | | 1040560294 | translation initiation factor eIF4A | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 67 | 26 | 398 | 44.9 | 5.24 | 2.3 |
| | | 1040552218 | 40S ribosomal protein S14 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 79 | 12 | 150 | 16 | 10.8 7 | 2.2 |
| | | 440632652 | large subunit ribosomal protein L4e | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 55 | 2 | 373 | 39.7 | 11.3 3 | 2.1 |
| | | 1040529726 | cell division control protein 48 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 60 | 53 | 823 | 89.9 | 5.05 | 2.9 |
| | | 1040526037 | pyruvate kinase, variant | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 55 | 5 | 562 | 61.1 | 7.72 | 1.8 |
| | | 1040550635 | small subunit ribosomal protein S2e | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 55 | 17 | 273 | 29.2 | 10.2 7 | 2.9 |
| | | 1069468697 | O- acetylhomoserine (thiol)-lyase | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 48 | 3 | 459 | 48.4 | 5.77 | 2.0 |
| | | 1069465551 | guanine nucleotide- binding protein subunit beta-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 66 | 3 | 316 | 35 | 7.03 | 2.2 |
| | | 1040510730 | hypothetical protein VE04_07723 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 70 | 27 | 383 | 43.3 | 5.27 | 3.9 |
| | | 1040560461 | hypothetical protein VE01_06128 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 43 | 14 | 384 | 44.2 | 8.73 | 1.9 |
| | | 1026906053 | erg10, acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 79 | 5 | 399 | 41.2 | 6.8 | 2.1 |
| | | 1026905733 | hypothetical protein VC83_05243 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 39 | 5 | 169 | 18.7 | 4.67 | 1.7 |
| _ | | 1040524717 | transketolase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 35 | 7 | 685 | 74.8 | 5.97 | 1.7 |
| | | 1040532244 | hypothetical protein VE03_02026 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 49 | 5 | 346 | 37 | 5.52 | 3.1 |
| | | 1040529249 | hypothetical protein VE03_04396 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 2 | 468 | 51.3 | 5.54 | 2.1 |
| | | 1069466751 | saccharopine dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 47 | 3 | 503 | 55.2 | 5.71 | 1.6 |
| | | 1040502157 | hypothetical protein VE00_04658 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 59 | 17 | 342 | 38.9 | 7.84 | 1.8 |
| | | 1040529736 | guanine nucleotide- binding protein subunit beta | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 46 | 2 | 355 | 39.1 | 7.4 | 1.5 |
| | | 440638868 | GTP-binding protein ypt1 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 47 | 8 | 201 | 22.2 | 5.44 | 3.3 |
| | | 1370882703 | Delta(24)-sterol C- methyltransferase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 22 | 2 | 377 | 42.5 | 6.38 | 1.9 |
| | | 1069464671 | guanine nucleotide- binding protein subunit beta | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 55 | 3 | 355 | 39.1 | 7.4 | 1.8 |
| | | 1040526609 | hypothetical protein VE03_06110 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 32 | 2 | 71 | 7.4 | 6.79 | 1.5 |
| | | 1040499366 | hypothetical protein VE00_09247 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 33 | 5 | 158 | 17.8 | 5.08 | 1.9 |

| | | DACII a amarilari | D | | | | | | |
|------|------------|---------------------------------------|---|----|----|------|-------|-----------|-----|
| | 1026909249 | subunit ask1 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 2 | 1 | 398 | 43.8 | 5.41 | 2.2 |
| | 1040530204 | dTDP-glucose 4,6- dehydratase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 64 | 2 | 423 | 47.4 | 6.18 | 1.7 |
| C106 | 1026908689 | 60S ribosomal protein L35 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 46 | 1 | 125 | 14.4 | 11 | 1.8 |
| | 1040526755 | hypothetical protein VE03_06971 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 3 | 3 | 2518 | 275.9 | 5.55 | 3.5 |
| | 1040537179 | hypothetical protein VF21_06185 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 05NY08 | 1 | 1 | 1161 | 124 | 6.24 | 2.7 |
| | 1001843575 | Catalase | Streptomyces albidoflavus | 3 | 1 | 487 | 55.8 | 5.57 | 4.8 |
| | 1040511525 | malate synthase, glyoxysomal | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 1 | 1 | 542 | 60.5 | 7.72 | 1.8 |
| C107 | 1352887886 | porin por1 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 94 | 6 | 283 | 30.3 | 8.98 | 2.1 |
| | 1040557179 | 60S ribosomal protein L10a | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 47 | 11 | 218 | 24.2 | 9.83 | 2.5 |
| | 1040502912 | 60S ribosomal protein L20 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 35 | 1 | 184 | 22 | 10.7 6 | 1.5 |
| | 1040515250 | hypothetical protein VE04_06692 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 7 | 1 | 752 | 80.7 | 5.73 | 1.6 |
| | 1370880553 | hypothetical protein VC83_03778 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 15 | 4 | 233 | 26.1 | 9.8 | 2.1 |
| | 1040560581 | hypothetical protein VE01_06723 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 8 | 2 | 289 | 31 | 8.73 | 2.0 |
| | 1026909729 | hypothetical protein VC83_01760 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 23 | 4 | 228 | 25.7 | 7.09 | 2.4 |
| | 1040503482 | hypothetical protein VE00_03591 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 1 | 1 | 517 | 56.3 | 8.68 | 4.1 |
| | 1040502988 | 4-nitrophenyl phosphatase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 10 | 4 | 306 | 33.4 | 5.31 | 3.2 |

GO enrichment analysis was carried out for significantly up-regulated proteins in response to cold stress using KOBAS v2.0 to search for over-represented categories of molecular pathways in the databases Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), Panther, BioCyc, and Reactome. A complete list of enriched pathways with p values ≤ 0.05 for each isolate is shown in Supplementary 3. The top 10 pathways and their respective p values were selected for each isolate and are presented in Figure 6.4. The upregulated proteins of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolated from different geographical regions showed a variety of enriched pathways, but there was no common pathway shared between the pairs of isolates from the same region. For *sp1* and *C107*, the majority of enriched pathways were related to various translation processes such as the SRP-dependent co-translational protein targeting to membrane, cap-dependent translation initiation, eukaryotic translation initiation, various nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) processes, and ribosomal-related pathways such as the formation of a pool of free 40S

subunits, GTP hydrolysis and joining of the 60S ribosomal subunits (Figure 6.4a, f). Metabolic-related pathways were enriched in sp2 and C106, such as the tryptophan, carbon, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism pathways, and biosynthesis of secondary metabolites (Figure 6.4b, e). In addition, sp2 showed enrichment of cellular responses to stress, biosynthesis of antibiotics and activation of innate immune system (Figure 6.4b). C106 also showed enrichment of additional pathways such as methane metabolism, peroxisomal protein import, longevity regulating pathway and detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Figure 6.4e). A more distinct profile was observed in sp3 with a majority of enriched pathways related to energy production, such as the glycolysis, gluconeogenesis and respiratory electron transport (ETC) and flavin/riboflavin metabolism pathways (Figure 6.4c). However, sp3 had one pathway in common with C106, the methane metabolism pathway. In sp4, the enriched pathways showed similarities with both the Arctic and temperate isolates (Figure 6.4d). The upregulated proteins showed enrichment of various metabolic pathways, mainly the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, antibiotics and amino acids. sp4 also showed enrichment of protein and carbon metabolism pathways and of various translation processes such as the ribosomal scanning and start codon recognition, cap-dependent and eukaryotic translation initiation pathways.



Figure 6.4 GO enrichment analysis of significantly up-regulated proteins of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in response to cold stress (top 10 pathways). a) *sp1*; b) *sp2*; c) *sp3*; d) *sp4*; e) *C106*; f) *C107*.

6.4.3 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly down-regulated proteins in *Pseudogymnoascus* spp.

A list of significantly down-regulated proteins (with fold change of \leq -1.5) of Pseudogymnoascus spp. in response to cold stress, with detailed information on protein identification coverage (%), number of unique peptides, amino acids, MW (kDa), calculated pI, and fold change (log₂) is given in Table 6.2. One hundred and forty-eight proteins were significantly down-regulated across all isolates, with 77 identified as hypothetical proteins (46%). Forty-six of the proteins were highly down-regulated with fold change of at least 3.0-fold. Both of the Arctic isolates, *sp1* and *sp2*, showed a high number of significantly down-regulated proteins (54 and 55, respectively). In contrast, one of the Antarctic isolates, sp3, had the lowest number of significantly down-regulated proteins (5), all of which were identified as hypothetical proteins. In general, the significantly down-regulated proteins included various enzymes in energy production processes such the TCA cycle, glycolysis and gluconeogenesis, such as glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate-dehydrogenase, ATP-citrate synthase subunit 1, phosphoglycerate kinase, succinyl-CoA ligase subunit beta, isocitrate dehydrogenase, acetyl Co-A hydrolase, fatty acid synthase subunit beta and pyruvate kinase. Heat shock proteins or hsp-like proteins were only significantly down-regulated in sp1 (heat shock protein SSB1 and hsp70-like protein).

| | / | | | Covorago | # Unique | # | MW | aala | |
|---------|------------|--|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|-------|-------------|------------------|
| Isolate | Accession | Description | Species | [%] | # Onique Peptides | # AAs | [kDa] | calc. pI | log ₂ |
| sp1 | 1040543410 | elongation factor 1- alpha | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 75 | 11 | 459 | 49.9 | 9.13 | -2.8 |
| | 1040531119 | glyceraldehyde 3- phosphate- dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 86 | 3 | 339 | 36.5 | 6.95 | -2.2 |
| | 1040553812 | heat shock protein SSB1 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 48 | 2 | 767 | 84.1 | 8.43 | -3.0 |
| | 1040525455 | hypothetical protein VE03_07380 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 63 | 4 | 231 | 25.6 | 6.42 | -3.8 |
| | 1040502460 | molecular chaperone HtpG | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 69 | 1 | 703 | 79.5 | 4.92 | -2.5 |
| | 1040529266 | hypothetical protein VE03_04296 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 13 | 4 | 4080 | 451.6 | 6.43 | -3.0 |

Table 6.2 List of significantly downregulated proteins under cold stress (fold change, log_2 ratios of \leq -1.5)

| 1040523711 | hsp70-like protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 65 | 10 | 676 | 73.5 | 5.74 | -1.9 |
|------------|---|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|------|
| 440639856 | tubulin beta chain | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 76 | 37 | 446 | 49.6 | 4.93 | -2.1 |
| 1040499891 | hypothetical protein VE00_07973 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 41 | 8 | 196 | 21.4 | 7.88 | -3.0 |
| 1040528567 | ATP-citrate | Pseudogymnoascus | 74 | 8 | 668 | 72.4 | 8.34 | -2.7 |
| 1040540892 | elongation factor EF-3 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 58 | 3 | 1064 | 117.6 | 6.27 | -2.4 |
| 1040531987 | serine hydroxymethyltran sferase, cytosolic | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 51 | 1 | 484 | 53.3 | 7.78 | -3.1 |
| 1040532273 | ketol-acid reductoisomerase, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 69 | 2 | 400 | 44.5 | 7.05 | -3.2 |
| 1040532121 | fatty acid synthase subunit beta | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 43 | 7 | 2109 | 233.4 | 5.72 | -1.8 |
| 1040552218 | 40S ribosomal protein S14 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 79 | 12 | 150 | 16 | 10.8 7 | -2.8 |
| 1352887002 | hypothetical protein VE01_00786 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 41 | 1 | 292 | 31.6 | 8.92 | -2.3 |
| 1040537116 | argininosuccinate synthase | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 05NY08 | 58 | 5 | 416 | 46.4 | 5.48 | -2.8 |
| 440637842 | phosphoglycerate kinase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 62 | 1 | 417 | 44.4 | 6.47 | -2.3 |
| 1040531120 | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 78 kDa subunit, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 59 | 4 | 741 | 80.6 | 6.57 | -2.4 |
| 1069462575 | small subunit ribosomal protein S12e | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 59 | 11 | 148 | 16.4 | 4.94 | -2.4 |
| 1040548810 | succinyl-CoA ligase subunit beta, mitochondrial | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 03VT05 | 51 | 4 | 445 | 47.9 | 5.48 | -2.6 |
| 1040533135 | ATP synthase F1, gamma subunit | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 48 | 6 | 298 | 32.1 | 8.34 | -3.3 |
| 1040504430 | 40S ribosomal protein S20 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 38 | 5 | 116 | 13.1 | 9.63 | -1.5 |
| 1040527945 | triosephosphate | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 74 | 7 | 249 | 26.8 | 5.76 | -3.2 |
| 1040525856 | eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit B | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 30 | 1 | 744 | 84.5 | 5.01 | -3.6 |
| 1040507376 | 40S ribosomal protein S22 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 58 | 8 | 130 | 14.5 | 9.89 | -1.9 |
| 440631821 | hypothetical protein GMDG 00116 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 56 | 1 | 231 | 25.4 | 4.48 | -3.4 |
| 1040532244 | hypothetical protein VE03_02026 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 49 | 5 | 346 | 37 | 5.52 | -3.6 |
| 1026905771 | intracellular distribution of mitochondria | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 10 | 10 | 1291 | 142.5 | 5.72 | -1.5 |
| 1040532770 | V-type proton ATPase subunit B | Pseudogymnoascus | 60 | 24 | 516 | 57.5 | 5.74 | -3.0 |
| 1040526111 | glutamine synthetase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 64 | 19 | 366 | 40.6 | 5.8 | -1.9 |
| 1069477437 | 40S ribosomal protein S13 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 46 | 7 | 151 | 16.8 | 10.3 2 | -1.6 |
| 1040504427 | T-complex protein 1, zeta subunit | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 29 | 12 | 541 | 59 | 6.49 | -1.8 |
| 1040497161 | pyrABCN | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 10 | 4 | 2245 | 246.8 | 6 | -2.3 |
| 1040506854 | glutamine-fructose- 6-phosphate transaminase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 31 | 11 | 1087 | 120.8 | 6.49 | -2.4 |
| 1040538646 | isocitrate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 43 | 1 | 459 | 51.5 | 8.76 | -2.1 |
| 1040545371 | hypothetical protein VE02_09611 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 25 | 10 | 510 | 56 | 9.31 | -3.1 |
| 1040504491 | hypothetical protein VE00_02345 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 34 | 1 | 357 | 39.5 | 5.49 | -2.2 |

| | 1040532437 | acetolactate synthase I/II/III large subunit | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 14 | 7 | 694 | 75.3 | 8.82 | -3.9 |
|-----|------------|---|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|------|
| | 1040564667 | Importin alpha subunit (Karyopherin alpha subunit) (Serine- rich RNA polymerase I suppressor protein) | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 25 | 11 | 552 | 60.2 | 5.11 | -3.3 |
| | 1040504412 | hypothetical protein VE00_02312 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 60 | 5 | 282 | 31.3 | 4.45 | -2.6 |
| | 1040504451 | hypothetical protein VE00_02372 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 4 | 1 | 271 | 29.1 | 6.55 | -1.6 |
| | 1040533074 | hypothetical protein VE03_00632 phosphoribosylami | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 42 | 11 | 219 | 22.9 | 5.27 | -1.8 |
| | 1040506454 | ne-glycine ligase/phosphoribos ylformylglycinamid ine cyclo-ligase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 23 | 3 | 785 | 83.7 | 5.4 | -2.1 |
| | 1040522863 | hypothetical protein VE03_10908, partial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 9 | 3 | 1176 | 126.6 | 6.89 | -3.8 |
| | 1040528502 | riboflavin synthase, alpha subunit | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 19 | 3 | 230 | 24.4 | 4.93 | -3.4 |
| | 1040520742 | T-complex protein 1 subunit alpha | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 31 | 10 | 568 | 61.8 | 6.64 | -2.0 |
| | 1352887691 | hypothetical protein VE01_04967 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 15 | 6 | 415 | 44.5 | 7.11 | -1.5 |
| | 1026908370 | hypothetical protein VC83_03291 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 3 | 1 | 246 | 28.3 | 5.97 | -2.7 |
| | 1040505097 | hypothetical protein VE00_01915 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 13 | 1 | 496 | 51.6 | 5.6 | -2.7 |
| | 1040526837 | nitrite reductase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 3 | 3 | 1111 | 123.1 | 6.62 | -3.7 |
| | 1040516031 | hypothetical protein VE04_03877 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 19 | 1 | 326 | 35.7 | 4.98 | -2.4 |
| | 1040533107 | glutamine-fructose- 6-phosphate transaminase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 27 | 2 | 706 | 78.3 | 6.43 | -2.3 |
| | 1040506083 | orotidine 5'- phosphate decarboxylase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 4 | 1 | 357 | 38.9 | 5.19 | -1.5 |
| sp2 | 1352887886 | porin por1 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 94 | 6 | 283 | 30.3 | 8.98 | -2.1 |
| | 1040506096 | adenosylhomocyste inase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 55 | 1 | 450 | 48.7 | 5.68 | -1.8 |
| | 1040501747 | hypothetical protein VE00_06979 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 29 | 4 | 383 | 39.7 | 5.95 | -4.5 |
| | 1040547813 | dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 56 | 2 | 509 | 54 | 7.03 | -2.2 |
| | 1040536136 | hypothetical protein VF21_08556 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 33 | 3 | 529 | 56 | 6.19 | -2.1 |
| | 1040496401 | 60S ribosomal protein L11 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 40 | 8 | 172 | 19.8 | 10.1 7 | -2.4 |
| | 1040530310 | hypothetical protein VE03 03010 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 31 | 5 | 294 | 31.5 | 6.64 | -3.0 |
| | 1040529999 | 60S ribosomal protein | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 57 | 6 | 109 | 11.8 | 9.95 | -1.7 |
| | 1040541287 | 40S ribosomal protein S27 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 27 | 3 | 82 | 8.8 | 9.26 | -1.9 |
| | 1040528747 | hypothetical protein VE03 03290 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 11 | 6 | 487 | 47.1 | 4.46 | -1.8 |
| | 440640338 | dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 54 | 2 | 509 | 54.1 | 6.89 | -2.7 |
| | 1040507376 | 40S ribosomal protein S22 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 58 | 8 | 130 | 14.5 | 9.89 | -1.6 |
| | 1040539732 | hypothetical protein VF21 05012 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 12 | 2 | 568 | 58.4 | 5.34 | -3.6 |

| 1040530977 | hypothetical protein VE03 01549 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 53 | 5 | 315 | 32.7 | 9.31 | -1.8 |
|------------|---|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|------|
| 1069477643 | vacuolar protease A | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 49 | 2 | 395 | 42.9 | 5.02 | -2.2 |
| 1040533064 | 20S proteasome subunit alpha 7 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 43 | 12 | 295 | 31.7 | 4.91 | -1.5 |
| 1040536470 | hypothetical protein VF21_07858 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 36 | 1 | 613 | 68.4 | 6 | -3.7 |
| 440635254 | 60S ribosomal protein L23 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 45 | 8 | 139 | 14.6 | 10.2 1 | -2.0 |
| 1040517192 | aspartate- semialdehyde dehydrogenase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 43 | 2 | 364 | 38.9 | 6.77 | -1.8 |
| 1040513597 | 20S proteasome subunit alpha 4 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 55 | 12 | 267 | 29.2 | 7.4 | -1.8 |
| 1040527436 | large subunit ribosomal protein L7Ae | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 52 | 18 | 264 | 29.3 | 10.2 9 | -2.0 |
| 1040528493 | hypothetical protein VE03_04938 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 48 | 6 | 336 | 37.4 | 9.09 | -2.1 |
| 1040556291 | proteasome subunit YC7alpha/Y8 (protease yscE subunit 7) | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 67 | 2 | 254 | 28 | 6.38 | -1.9 |
| 1040525350 | hypothetical protein VE03_08618 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 15 | 3 | 441 | 47.1 | 4.59 | -1.9 |
| 1040519485 | hypothetical protein VE04_01330 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 25 | 3 | 598 | 64.8 | 5.9 | -3.1 |
| 1040525391 | hypothetical protein VE03_07986 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 21 | 6 | 783 | 81.9 | 5.11 | -3.1 |
| 1026905242 | hypothetical protein VC83 04831 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 14 | 2 | 1231 | 128.4 | 5.34 | -2.7 |
| 1040534050 | catalase/peroxidase HPI | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 26 | 4 | 790 | 86.4 | 6.01 | -1.6 |
| 1040504793 | acetyl-CoA hydrolase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 8 | 3 | 528 | 58.6 | 6.58 | -1.9 |
| 1040530925 | hypothetical protein VE03_02548 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 14 | 5 | 1009 | 110.2 | 5.43 | -2.3 |
| 1040516362 | hypothetical protein VE04_05577 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 35 | 4 | 140 | 15.4 | 8.12 | -2.2 |
| 1040510845 | large subunit ribosomal protein L4e | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 38 | 2 | 356 | 37.8 | 10.9 3 | -4.0 |
| 1040547240 | hypothetical protein VE02_07770 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 8 | 3 | 486 | 51.9 | 6.11 | -1.8 |
| 1040523481 | hypothetical protein VE03_09986 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 26 | 1 | 300 | 32.3 | 6.44 | -2.7 |
| 1040504056 | hypothetical protein VE00_03867 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 11 | 3 | 611 | 64.1 | 5.33 | -3.0 |
| 1040535243 | hypothetical protein VF21_09386 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 15 | 1 | 324 | 34.5 | 6.07 | -3.2 |
| 1040531382 | hypothetical protein VE03_02803 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 7 | 2 | 617 | 64.4 | 5.31 | -3.0 |
| 1040539900 | hypothetical protein VF21_03593 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 9 | 1 | 116 | 13.1 | 11.0 9 | -3.4 |
| 1352887341 | hypothetical protein VE01_02784 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 47 | 8 | 211 | 23.5 | 9.55 | -1.6 |
| 1040507457 | hypothetical protein VE00_00106 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 1 | 1 | 799 | 85.4 | 6.64 | -3.7 |
| 1040506170 | hypothetical protein VE00_01618 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 4 | 4 | 1255 | 140.5 | 6.98 | -2.1 |
| 1352885447 | hypothetical protein VC83 06581 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 21 | 1 | 808 | 89.3 | 7.01 | -4.0 |

| | 1040526014 | hypothetical protein VE03_07417 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 9 | 1 | 650 | 69.1 | 5 | -4.9 |
|-----|------------|---|--|----|---|------|-------|-----------|------|
| | 1040515456 | glucosamine- phosphate N- acetyltransferase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 21 | 3 | 180 | 20.1 | 5.87 | -2.2 |
| | 1040528090 | hypothetical protein VE03_04733 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 3 | 2 | 945 | 99.8 | 10.1 4 | -2.4 |
| | 1040523420 | hypothetical protein VE03_10013 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 9 | 2 | 507 | 56.2 | 6.04 | -3.5 |
| | 1040529367 | hypothetical protein VE03_04870 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 21 | 5 | 485 | 52.8 | 5.6 | -4.9 |
| | 1040499918 | hypothetical protein VE00_07980 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 11 | 4 | 209 | 23.2 | 5.24 | -2.3 |
| | 1040503265 | hypothetical protein VE00_05135 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 19 | 2 | 132 | 14.7 | 5.15 | -1.6 |
| | 440636209 | hypothetical protein GMDG_02002 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 40 | 1 | 132 | 14.3 | 4.74 | -2.9 |
| | 1370887271 | Leucine aminopeptidase 1 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 14 | 1 | 434 | 47.7 | 5.54 | -1.8 |
| | 1040539268 | hypothetical protein VF21_05590 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 05NY08 | 7 | 2 | 528 | 54.5 | 5.55 | -2.3 |
| | 1040503305 | hypothetical protein VE00_05156 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. WSF 3629 | 4 | 1 | 757 | 83.9 | 5.58 | -1.8 |
| | 1040547051 | hypothetical protein VE02_08445 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 7 | 3 | 524 | 53.8 | 5.64 | -2.6 |
| | 1040535582 | endoribonuclease L-PSP | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 49 | 1 | 128 | 13.8 | 6 | -4.4 |
| sp3 | 1040528747 | hypothetical protein VE03 03290 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 11 | 6 | 487 | 47.1 | 4.46 | -2.5 |
| | 1040530957 | hypothetical protein VE03_01500 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 17 | 3 | 632 | 68.7 | 5.11 | -2.4 |
| | 1040526014 | hypothetical protein VE03_07417 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 9 | 1 | 650 | 69.1 | 5 | -2.4 |
| | 1370880003 | hypothetical protein VC83_03064 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 8 | 2 | 304 | 30.7 | 8.05 | -3.1 |
| | 1040527834 | hypothetical protein VE03_06437 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 2 | 2 | 727 | 77.8 | 6.99 | -4.3 |
| sp4 | 1040501747 | hypothetical protein VE00_06979 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 29 | 4 | 383 | 39.7 | 5.95 | -2.8 |
| | 1040554290 | aminopeptidase 2 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 56 | 2 | 891 | 99.5 | 5.4 | -1.9 |
| | 1040532023 | hypothetical protein VE03_01299 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 21 | 8 | 1015 | 105.6 | 5.01 | -2.2 |
| | 1040543638 | hypothetical protein VF21_01105 | <i>Pseudogymnoascus</i> sp. 05NY08 | 19 | 2 | 627 | 67.7 | 8.37 | -2.3 |
| | 1040530062 | hypothetical protein VE03_04519 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 26 | 8 | 707 | 77.2 | 5.31 | -2.0 |
| | 1040528450 | hypothetical protein VE03_04986 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-11 | 17 | 2 | 375 | 40.3 | 5.81 | -4.0 |
| | 1370872825 | hypothetical protein VC83_00609 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 1 | 1 | 1423 | 161.5 | 7.93 | -3.4 |
| | 1040517617 | hypothetical protein VE04_03781 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 15 | 3 | 305 | 31.9 | 4.64 | -2.1 |
| | 1040536276 | hypothetical protein VF21_08313 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 7 | 3 | 575 | 60.8 | 4.83 | -2.2 |
| | 1069469765 | hypothetical protein VE01_04555 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 31 | 1 | 159 | 18.8 | 6.96 | -2.0 |
| | 1370880003 | hypothetical protein VC83 03064 | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 8 | 2 | 304 | 30.7 | 8.05 | -1.8 |

| | 1069462037 | hypothetical protein VE01_00458 | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 33 | 1 | 462 | 48.6 | 8.75 | -1.6 |
|------|------------|--|--|----|----|------|-------|-----------|------|
| | 1040524869 | hypothetical protein VE03 08655 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 7 | 2 | 415 | 46.9 | 6.67 | -2.8 |
| C106 | 1040525455 | hypothetical protein VE03 07380 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 63 | 4 | 231 | 25.6 | 6.42 | -4.3 |
| | 1352888949 | phosphatidylinosito l transfer protein csrl | Pseudogymnoascus verrucosus | 63 | 1 | 221 | 24 | 9.39 | -3.7 |
| | 1040526037 | pyruvate kinase, variant | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 55 | 5 | 562 | 61.1 | 7.72 | -2.0 |
| | 1040531100 | plasma membrane ATPase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 41 | 2 | 931 | 100.8 | 5.15 | -3.4 |
| | 1040533135 | ATP synthase F1, | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 48 | 6 | 298 | 32.1 | 8.34 | -5.0 |
| | 1040526437 | hypothetical protein VE03 08106 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 14 | 9 | 707 | 74.5 | 7.33 | -2.4 |
| | 1040505261 | succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein subunit, mitochondrial | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 60 | 26 | 646 | 70.8 | 6.49 | -3.6 |
| | 440634311 | catalase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans 20631- 21 | 60 | 2 | 505 | 57.4 | 7.3 | -2.9 |
| | 1040527086 | N-acetyl-gamma- glutamyl-phosphate reductase/acetylglut amate kinase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 27 | 2 | 880 | 96.3 | 7.17 | -3.5 |
| | 1040531151 | hypothetical protein VE03_02408 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 56 | 9 | 103 | 11.4 | 11.3 6 | -3.1 |
| | 1040519866 | T-complex protein 1 subunit epsilon | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 33 | 12 | 548 | 59.7 | 5.5 | -3.7 |
| | 1040504839 | hypothetical protein VE00_01830 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 48 | 9 | 192 | 20.7 | 8.62 | -1.6 |
| | 1040541679 | hypothetical protein VF21_02713 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 51 | 1 | 323 | 34.1 | 6.58 | -4.6 |
| | 1040501615 | hypothetical protein VE00_06167 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 33 | 2 | 408 | 42.4 | 7.75 | -2.4 |
| C107 | 1040506608 | actin | Pseudogymnoascus sp. WSF 3629 | 77 | 29 | 375 | 41.5 | 5.69 | -1.5 |
| | 1370880945 | methionine- synthesizing 5- methyltetrahydropt eroyltriglutamate homocysteine methyltransferase | Pseudogymnoascus destructans | 49 | 1 | 768 | 86.2 | 6.58 | -2.6 |
| | 1040517350 | 2,3- bisphosphoglycerat e-independent phosphoglycerate mutase | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 24MN13 | 49 | 8 | 522 | 57.7 | 5.4 | -1.8 |
| | 1040530081 | hypothetical protein VE03_04439 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 11 | 4 | 1819 | 201.3 | 6.27 | -2.3 |
| | 1040538684 | hypothetical protein VF21_06127 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 05NY08 | 17 | 1 | 474 | 49.9 | 8.06 | -1.5 |
| | 1040550136 | hypothetical protein VE02_06045 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 03VT05 | 17 | 3 | 275 | 29.5 | 6.04 | -4.1 |
| | 1040524038 | hypothetical protein VE03 09547 | Pseudogymnoascus sp. 23342-1-I1 | 15 | 1 | 559 | 61.4 | 6.57 | -3.5 |

GO enrichment analysis of the significantly down-regulated proteins showed a variety of metabolic and biosynthesis pathways enriched in all isolates (Figure 6.5). Metabolic

pathways related to protein homeostasis, such as protein metabolism and the biosynthesis of amino acids, were enriched in sp1, sp4 and both of the temperate isolates (C106 and C107) (Figure 6.5a, d-f). The biosynthesis of secondary metabolites was also enriched in sp1, C106 and C107 (Figure 6.5a, e-f). Carbon metabolism and biosynthesis of antibiotics were enriched in sp1 and C106 (Figure 6.5a, e), and glycogen degradation II in sp4 and C107 (Figure 6.5d, f). The down-regulated pathways in sp4 also involved the winglessrelated integration site (wnt) signaling pathway, neutrophil degranulation, respiratory electron transport (ETC), urea cycle and an activation of antigen pathway (Figure 6.5d). In sp2, the majority of down-regulated pathways were related to translation processes such as the eukaryotic and cap-dependent translation initiation, nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) processes and the formation of a pool of free 40S subunits (Figure 6.5b). Pyruvate metabolism was also down-regulated in sp2. In sp3, the majority of down-regulated pathways were related to phospholipid metabolism involving pathways such as the phospho-PLA2, hydrolysis of lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC), the acyl chain remodelling of cardiolipin (CL), phosphotidylcholine (PC) and phosphatidylinositol (PI). This isolate also showed down-regulation of starch and sucrose metabolism, COPI-independent Golgi-to-ER retrograde traffic and signal amplification pathways (Figure 6.5c).



Figure 6.5. GO enrichment analysis of significantly down-regulated proteins of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates in response to cold stress (the top 10 pathways, a) *sp1*; b) *sp2*; c) *sp3*; d) *sp4*; e) *C106*; f) *C107*

6.5 Discussion

6.5.1 Variation in proteomic profiles of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates in response to cold stress

All of the polar and temperate isolates included in this study originate in environments that naturally experience cold temperatures (approximate temperature range is from -2°C to 12°C), although with a wide range of annual mean temperatures and distinct seasonal changes (Belda *et al.*, 2014; Convey *et al.*, 2018). Based on this, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolated from the polar and temperate regions were hypothesized to share some common cold-adaptation mechanisms. The studies described in this chapter investigated the proteomic profiles of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolates exposed to low temperature stress (5°C).

Comparing relative protein abundances between cold stress and control conditions (log₂ ratios CS:C), the distribution patterns were similar for all isolates, indicating no overall geographical influence on cold stress responses in these isolates. However, the individual plots of differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) of the six isolates showed wide variation in the number of proteins responding to cold stress. A very small number of DEPs were produced in common even by isolates originating from the same geographical region, with no common up-regulated proteins shared between isolates from the temperate region.

The numbers of significantly up- and down-regulated proteins varied greatly between isolates, within a range of 5-91 proteins. The cold stress responses showed a relatively low number of differentially up-regulated proteins. By comparison, *Flammulina velutipes*, a white-rot fungus that has a relatively low vegetative-growth temperature (20-24°C) produced a total of 31 differentially up-regulated proteins under cold stress (Liu *et al.*, 2017b). A psychrophilic fungus, *Mrakia psychrophila*, showed increases in 27

proteins when exposed to 4°C (Su *et al.*, 2016). Similar findings were also reported for the mesophilic fungi, *Mortierella isabellina* M6-22 and *Exophiala dermatitidis*, that showed up-regulation of 29 and 33 proteins, respectively, when exposed to cold stress (Tesei *et al.*, 2015; Hu *et al.*, 2016). The current study is the first to report the effect of cold stress on the proteome of multiple isolates of the same fungal genus isolated from different geographical regions.

6.5.2 Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of significantly up- and down-regulated proteins

Fungal stress response mechanisms have been proposed in various model organisms such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Aspergillus nidulans*. These model organisms are useful cosmopolitan fungal species that have led to the discovery of various stress-related proteins (Brandl & Andersen, 2017; Santiago *et al.*, 2020). Under cold stress, fungi produce various cold-adapted enzymes and protective molecules to increase stability at low temperature (Wang *et al.*, 2017).

In the current study, the up-regulated proteins showed enrichment of various metabolic and translation-related pathways across all isolates. However, no common pathway was identified from isolates of the same region. Under cold stress, *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. isolated showed up-regulation of a variety of metabolic pathways such as those involved in carbon, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate, methane and amino acid metabolism. In addition, up-regulated pathways also included translation-related pathways such as the formation of a pool of free 40S subunits, nonsense-mediated decay (NMD), eukaryotic and cap-dependant translation initiation pathways. Only a limited number of reports are available of fungal cold stress response mechanisms studied using proteomic profiling, with the majority of these conducted on mesophilic fungi (Tesei *et al.*, 2015; Hu *et al.*, 2016; Liu *et al.*, 2017b). In *E. dermatitidis*, cold stress induced up-regulation of the betaoxidation of very long chain fatty acids, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, peroxisomal lipid metabolism and cellular response to stress (Tesei *et al.*, 2015). *Flammulina velutipes* also showed up-regulation of amino acid biosynthesis, signalling pathways and various energy metabolism pathways such as the citrate cycle (TCA cycle), pentose phosphate pathway, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism (Liu *et al.*, 2017b). The psychrophilic fungus, *M. psychrophila*, showed up-regulation of energy metabolism and production of unsaturated fatty acids to regulate membrane fluidity under cold stress (Su *et al.*, 2016).

In general, many fungal studies have shown that low temperature does not cause irreversible damage to cells, and fungi respond by modifying molecular content in their complex protein networks. Comparing across all six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. studied here, some of the down-regulated pathways identified in one isolate were upregulated in another. This was particularly the case for various metabolic pathways such as carbon metabolism, biosynthesis of amino acids, secondary metabolites and antibiotics, and translation-related pathways. Su et al. (2016) reported that M. psychrophila also showed down-regulation of TCA cycle proteins, glycolysis and ribosomal proteins. Similarly, E. dermatitidis showed down-regulation of carbon and pyruvate metabolism and the pentose phosphate pathway (Tesei et al., 2015). Across all isolates, only sp3 showed a distinctive profile of cold stress response. The upregulated pathways of involved mainly flavin/riboflavin biosynthesis, sp3 glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, respiratory electron transport (ETC) and methane metabolism. The production of biogenic methane is generally only associated with prokaryotic microorganisms such as methanogens and Archaea (Conrad, 2009). However, a more recent report has suggested that terrestrial vegetation and fungi are also involved in the production of methane gas in the environment (Lenhart *et al.*, 2012). Similarly, some down-regulated pathways were identified only in sp3, such as acyl chain remodelling of cardiolipin, phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylinositol and hydrolysis of lysophosphatidylcholine. Phospholipids are key molecules involved in the maintenance of membrane fluidity and are also involved in signalling pathways. The metabolism of fungal phospholipids has been studied in detailed in the model organism, *S. cerevisiae* (Pan *et al.*, 2018).

6.6 Conclusions

Responses towards cold stress showed variation in up- and down-regulated proteins between different isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. Several metabolic enzymes and ribosomal proteins were amongst differentially expressed proteins identified in all six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. examined here when exposed to cold stress. GO enrichment analysis also showed diversity in the cold stress response pathways, with metabolic and translation-related processes being prominent in most isolates. There were no suggestions of the geographical region of origin of the isolates influencing the cold stress response of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. However, one of the Antarctic isolates, *sp3*, showed a distinctive cold stress response profile that involved the up-regulation of flavin/riboflavin biosynthesis and methane metabolism. *sp3* is also the only isolate that showed down-regulation of elements of phospholipid metabolism when exposed to cold stress.

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSIONS

This study's findings showed a diversity in temperature stress response mechanisms across the six isolates of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. examined, even though they shared common thermal tolerance and sensitivity characteristics. All six isolates are psychrotolerant fungi with lower and upper temperature limits for growth of 5°C and 25°C, respectively. Using tandem mass spectrometry, proteomic characterisation showed that the majority of proteins identified in all isolates were clustered under metabolic function and catalytic activities. Serine/threonine protein kinase and chitinase, under the classifications of hydrolase and transferase activities, were two enzymes commonly found in all isolates. These enzymes hold a great potential that may act as inter-species biomarker for *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. Further research is required to elucidate the role and molecular function of these enzymes in Pseudogymnoascus spp. The use of comparative proteomics to understand heat stress response of isolates showed that the six isolates had wide variation pathways whose activity was enriched, with no indication of any influence of geographical origin of the isolates. Three major pathways were primarily enriched in response to heat stress, protein homeostasis, energy production and DNA repair. Similarly, a diversity in enriched response pathways was observed in all isolates under cold stress, with metabolic and translation-related processes are prominent in most isolates. Isolate sp3 was the only isolate to have a distinctive proteomic profile under cold stress, showing up-regulation of flavin/riboflavin biosynthesis and methane metabolism, and down-regulation of various aspects of phospholipid metabolism.

REFERENCES

- A'Bear, A. D., Jones, T. H., & Boddy, L. (2014a). Potential impacts of climate change on interactions among saprotrophic cord-forming fungal mycelia and grazing soil invertebrates. *Fungal Ecology*, 10, 34-43. doi:10.1016/j.funeco.2013.01.009
- A'Bear, A. D., Jones, T. H., & Boddy, L. (2014b). Size matters: What have we learnt from microcosm studies of decomposer fungus–invertebrate interactions? *Soil Biology* and Biochemistry, 78, 274-283. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.08.009
- A'Bear, A. D., Jones, T. H., Kandeler, E., & Boddy, L. (2014c). Interactive effects of temperature and soil moisture on fungal-mediated wood decomposition and extracellular enzyme activity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 70, 151-158. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.12.017
- Abd Latip, M. A., Abdul Hamid, A. A., & Nordin, N. F. H. (2019). Microbial hydrolytic enzymes: In silico studies between polar and tropical regions. *Polar Science*, 20, 9-18. doi:10.1016/j.polar.2019.04.003
- Adamczyk, M., Perez-Mon, C., Gunz, S., & Frey, B. (2020). Strong shifts in microbial community structure are associated with increased litter input rather than temperature in High Arctic soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 151, 108054. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108054
- Al-Bader, N., Vanier, G., Liu, H., Gravelat, F. N., Urb, M., Hoareau, C. M., ... Sheppard,
 D. C. (2010). Role of trehalose biosynthesis in *Aspergillus fumigatus* development, stress response, and virulence. *Infection And Immunity*, 78(7), 3007-3018. doi:10.1128/IAI.00813-09
- Albrecht, D., Guthke, R., Brakhage, A. A., & Kniemeyer, O. (2010). Integrative analysis of the heat shock response in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *BMC Genomics*, 11, 32. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-32
- Ali, S. H., Alias, S. A., Siang, H. Y., Smykla, J., Pang, K.-L., Guo, S.-Y., & Convey, P. (2013). Studies on diversity of soil microfungi in the Hornsund area, Spitsbergen. *Polish Polar Research*, 34, 39-54. doi:10.2478/popore-2013-0006
- Annadurai, G., Rajesh Babu, S., & Srinivasamoorthy, V. R. (2000). Development of mathematical models (Logistic, Gompertz and Richards models) describing the growth pattern of *Pseudomonas putida* (NICM 2174). *Bioprocess Engineering*, 23(6), 607-612. doi:10.1007/s004490000209
- Ansdell, M., & Hanson, C. A. (2016). Biogeography, Microbial. In R. M. Kliman (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Evolutionary Biology (pp. 179-185). Oxford: Academic Press.
- Antal, K., Gila, B. C., Pócsi, I., & Emri, T. (2020). General stress response or adaptation to rapid growth in Aspergillus nidulans? Fungal Biology, 124(5), 376-386. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2019.10.009
- Aragno, M. (1981). Responses of microorganisms to temperature. In O. L. Lange, P. S. Nobel, C. B. Osmond, & H. Ziegler (Eds.), *Physiological Plant Ecology I: Responses to the Physical Environment* (pp. 339-369). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

- Arenz, B. E., Held, B. W., Jurgens, J. A., & Blanchette, R. A. (2011). Fungal colonization of exotic substrates in Antarctica. *Fungal Diversity*, 49(1), 13-22. doi:10.1007/s13225-010-0079-4
- Arkowitz, R. A., & Bassilana, M. (2015). Regulation of hyphal morphogenesis by Ras and Rho small GTPases. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 29(1), 7-19. doi:10.1016/j.fbr.2015.02.003
- Bagwell, S. N., & Ricker, J. V. (2019). Antifreeze proteins: effective adaptations of organisms for low temperature survival. *BIOS*, 90(3), 158-170, 113. doi:10.1893/BIOS-D-17-00007
- Bahram, M., Hildebrand, F., Forslund, S. K., Anderson, J. L., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Bodegom, P. M., . . . Bork, P. (2018). Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. *Nature*, 560(7717), 233-237. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0386-6
- Bai, Y., Wang, S., Zhong, H., Yang, Q., Zhang, F., Zhuang, Z., . . . Wang, S. (2015). Integrative analyses reveal transcriptome-proteome correlation in biological pathways and secondary metabolism clusters in *Aspergillus flavus* in response to temperature. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 14582. doi:10.1038/srep14582
- Barratt, S. R., Ennos, A. R., Greenhalgh, M., Robson, G. D., & Handley, P. S. (2003). Fungi are the predominant micro-organisms responsible for degradation of soilburied polyester polyurethane over a range of soil water holding capacities. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 95(1), 78-85. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.01961.x
- Barros, B. H., da Silva, S. H., dos ReisMarques Edos, R., Rosa, J. C., Yatsuda, A. P., Roberts, D. W., & Braga, G. U. (2010). A proteomic approach to identifying proteins differentially expressed in conidia and mycelium of the entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium acridum*. *Fungal Biology*, 114(7), 572-579. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2010.04.007
- Bastida, F., & Jehmlich, N. (2016). It's all about functionality: How can metaproteomics help us to discuss the attributes of ecological relevance in soil? *Journal of Proteomics*, 144, 159-161. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2016.06.002
- Batista-García, R. A., Kumar, V. V., Ariste, A., Tovar-Herrera, O. E., Savary, O., Peidro-Guzmán, H., . . . Cabana, H. (2017). Simple screening protocol for identification of potential mycoremediation tools for the elimination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and phenols from hyperalkalophile industrial effluents. *Journal of Environmental Management, 198*, 1-11. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.05.010
- Belda, M., Holtanová, E., Halenka, T., & Kalvova, J. (2014). Climate classification revisited: From Köppen to Trewartha. *Climate Research*, 59, 1–13. doi:10.3354/cr01204
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 57(1), 289-300.
- Berg, J. M., Tymoczko, J. L., & Stryer, L. (2002). *Biochemistry, Fifth Edition*. New York: W. H. Freeman.

- Bhadauria, V., Zhao, W.-S., Wang, L.-X., Zhang, Y., Liu, J.-H., Yang, J., . . . Peng, Y.-L. (2007). Advances in fungal proteomics. *Microbiological Research*, 162(3), 193-200. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2007.03.001
- Bianco, L., & Perrotta, G. (2015). Methodologies and perspectives of proteomics applied to filamentous fungi: from sample preparation to secretome analysis. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 16(3), 5803-5829. doi:10.3390/ijms16035803
- Blehert, D. S., Hicks, A. C., Behr, M., Meteyer, C. U., Berlowski-Zier, B. M., Buckles, E. L., . . . Stone, W. B. (2009). Bat white-nose syndrome: an emerging fungal pathogen? *Science*, 323(5911), 227. doi:10.1126/science.1163874
- Boer, W. d., Folman, L. B., Summerbell, R. C., & Boddy, L. (2005). Living in a fungal world: impact of fungi on soil bacterial niche development. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 29(4), 795-811. doi:10.1016/j.femsre.2004.11.005
- Bonini, B. M., Neves, M. J., Jorge, J. A., & Terenzi, H. F. (1995). Effects of temperature shifts on the metabolism of trehalose in *Neurospora crassa* wild type and a trehalase-deficient (tre) mutant. Evidence against the participation of periplasmic trehalase in the catabolism of intracellular trehalose. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* (*BBA*) *General Subjects*, 1245(3), 339-347. doi:10.1016/0304-4165(95)00098-4
- Bradford, M. M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, 248-254. doi:10.1006/abio.1976.9999
- Brandl, J., & Andersen, M. R. (2017). Aspergilli: Models for systems biology in filamentous fungi. Current Opinion in Systems Biology, 6, 67-73. doi:10.1016/j.coisb.2017.09.005
- Bresson, S., Shchepachev, V., Spanos, C., Turowski, T. W., Rappsilber, J., & Tollervey, D. (2020). Stress-induced translation inhibition through rapid displacement of scanning initiation factors. *Molecular Cell*, 80(3), 470-484.e478. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2020.09.021
- Bui, D.-C., Lee, Y., Lim, J. Y., Fu, M., Kim, J.-C., Choi, G. J., . . . Lee, Y.-W. (2016). Heat shock protein 90 is required for sexual and asexual development, virulence, and heat shock response in *Fusarium graminearum*. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 28154. doi:10.1038/srep28154
- Cai, Z., Peng, G., Cao, Y., Liu, Y., Jin, K., & Xia, Y. (2009). Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase 1 from *Metarhizium anisopliae*: clone, expression and properties of the recombinant. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 107(5), 499-505. doi:10.1016/j.jbiosc.2009.01.007
- Callister, S. J., Fillmore, T. L., Nicora, C. D., Shaw, J. B., Purvine, S. O., Orton, D. J., . . Paša-Tolić, L. (2018). Addressing the challenge of soil metaproteome complexity by improving metaproteome depth of coverage through twodimensional liquid chromatography. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 125, 290-299. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.07.018

- Cavicchioli, R., Thomas, T., & Curmi, P. M. (2000). Cold stress response in Archaea. *Extremophiles*, 4(6), 321-331. doi:10.1007/s007920070001
- Chadha, B. S., Kaur, B., Basotra, N., Tsang, A., & Pandey, A. (2019). Thermostable xylanases from thermophilic fungi and bacteria: Current perspective. *Bioresource Technology*, 277, 195-203. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2019.01.044
- Chandler, J. M., Treece, E. R., Trenary, H. R., Brenneman, J. L., Flickner, T. J., Frommelt, J. L., . . . Cooper, C. R., Jr. (2008). Protein profiling of the dimorphic, pathogenic fungus, *Penicillium marneffei. Proteome Science*, 6, 17. doi:10.1186/1477-5956-6-17
- Chapin, F. S., 3rd, Zavaleta, E. S., Eviner, V. T., Naylor, R. L., Vitousek, P. M., Reynolds, H. L., . . Diaz, S. (2000). Consequences of changing biodiversity. *Nature*, 405(6783), 234-242. doi:10.1038/35012241
- Chatterjee, M., Gupta, S., Bhar, A., & Das, S. (2012). Optimization of an efficient protein extraction protocol compatible with two-dimensional electrophoresis and mass spectrometry from recalcitrant phenolic rich roots of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Proteomics*, 2012, 10. doi:10.1155/2012/536963
- Chaturvedi, V., DeFiglio, H., & Chaturvedi, S. (2018). Phenotype profiling of white-nose syndrome pathogen *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* and closely-related *Pseudogymnoascus pannorum* reveals metabolic differences underlying fungal lifestyles. *F1000Res*, 7, 665. doi:10.12688/f1000research.15067.2
- Chaturvedi, V., Springer, D. J., Behr, M. J., Ramani, R., Li, X., Peck, M. K., . . . Chaturvedi, S. (2010). Morphological and molecular characterizations of psychrophilic fungus *Geomyces destructans* from New York bats with White Nose Syndrome (WNS). *PLoS One*, 5(5), e10783. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010783
- Chen, S., & Harmon, A. C. (2006). Advances in plant proteomics. *Proteomics*, 6(20), 5504-5516. doi:10.1002/pmic.200600143
- Chomczynski, P., & Sacchi, N. (2006). The single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction: twenty-something years on. *Nature Protocols*, 1(2), 581-585. doi:10.1038/nprot.2006.83
- Christen-Zaech, S., Patel, S., & Mancini, A. J. (2008). Recurrent cutaneous *Geomyces* pannorum infection in three brothers with ichthyosis. (1097-6787 (Electronic)).
- Clarke, A. (2018). *Principles of thermal ecology : temperature, energy and life*: Oxford : Oxford University Press.
- Classen, A. T., Sundqvist, M. K., Henning, J. A., Newman, G. S., Moore, J. A. M., Cregger, M. A., ... Patterson, C. M. (2015). Direct and indirect effects of climate change on soil microbial and soil microbial-plant interactions: What lies ahead? *Ecosphere*, 6(8), 1-21. doi:10.1890/ES15-00217.1
- Conrad, R. (2009). The global methane cycle: recent advances in understanding the microbial processes involved. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 1(5), 285-292. doi:10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00038.x

- Convey, P., Coulson, S. J., Worland, M. R., & Sjöblom, A. (2018). The importance of understanding annual and shorter-term temperature patterns and variation in the surface levels of polar soils for terrestrial biota. *Polar Biology*, 41(8), 1587-1605. doi:10.1007/s00300-018-2299-0
- Crawford, R. A., & Pavitt, G. D. (2019). Translational regulation in response to stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast*, *36*(1), 5-21. doi:10.1002/yea.3349
- Cuéllar-Cruz, M., Gutiérrez-Sánchez, G., López-Romero, E., Ruiz-Baca, E., Villagómez-Castro, J. C., & Rodríguez-Sifuentes, L. (2013). Identification of *Candida albicans* heat shock proteins and *Candida glabrata* and *Candida krusei* enolases involved in the response to oxidative stress. *Central European Journal of Biology*, 8(4), 337-345. doi:10.2478/s11535-013-0138-9
- Daim, L. D., Ooi, T. E., Yusof, H. M., Majid, N. A., & Karsani, S. A. (2015). Optimization of protein extraction and two-dimensional electrophoresis protocols for oil palm leaf. *Protein Journal*, 34(4), 304-312. doi:10.1007/s10930-015-9626x
- Dalluge, J. J., & Connell, L. B. (2013). On the potential of mass spectrometry-based metabolite profiling approaches to the study of biochemical adaptation in psychrophilic yeast. *Extremophiles*, 17(6), 953-961. doi:10.1007/s00792-013-0577-x
- Dautt-Castro, M., Rosendo-Vargas, M., & Casas-Flores, S. (2021). The Small GTPases in Fungal Signaling Conservation and Function. *Cells*, 10(5), 1039.
- Delgado, J., Owens, R. A., Doyle, S., Asensio, M. A., & Nunez, F. (2015). Impact of the antifungal protein PgAFP from *Penicillium chrysogenum* on the protein profile in *Aspergillus flavus*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 99(20), 8701-8715. doi:10.1007/s00253-015-6731-x
- Deng, X., Du, B., Zhu, F., Gao, Y., & Li, J. (2020). Proteomic analysis of Aspergillus niger 3.316 under heat stress. MicrobiologyOpen, 9(5), e1012. doi:10.1002/mbo3.1012
- Dieterich, A., Troschinski, S., Schwarz, S., Di Lellis, M. A., Henneberg, A., Fischbach, U.,... Köhler, H. R. (2015). Hsp70 and lipid peroxide levels following heat stress in *Xeropicta derbentina* (Krynicki 1836) (Gastropoda, Pulmonata) with regard to different colour morphs. *Cell Stress and Chaperones*, 20(1), 159-168. doi:10.1007/s12192-014-0534-3
- Dix, N. J., & Webster, J. (1995). Fungi of Extreme Environments. In N. J. Dix & J. Webster (Eds.), *Fungal Ecology* (pp. 322-340). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Döğen, A., Kaplan E Fau Oksüz, Z., Oksüz Z Fau Serin, M. S., Serin Ms Fau Ilkit, M., Ilkit M Fau de Hoog, G. S., & de Hoog, G. S. (2013). Dishwashers are a major source of human opportunistic yeast-like fungi in indoor environments in Mersin, Turkey. *Medical Mycology*, 51(5), 493-498. doi:10.3109/13693786.2012.738313
- Donovan, P. D., Gonzalez, G., Higgins, D. G., Butler, G., & Ito, K. (2018). Identification of fungi in shotgun metagenomics datasets. *PLoS One*, 13(2), e0192898. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0192898

- Elliott, B., Haltiwanger, R. S., & Futcher, B. (1996). Synergy between trehalose and Hsp104 for thermotolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics*, 144(3), 923-933.
- Ene, I. V., Brunke, S., Brown, A. J. P., & Hube, B. (2014). Metabolism in fungal pathogenesis. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine*, 4(12), a019695a019695. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a019695
- Everatt, M. J., Convey, P., Bale, J. S., Worland, M. R., & Hayward, S. A. (2015). Responses of invertebrates to temperature and water stress: A polar perspective. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 54, 118-132. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2014.05.004
- Fabri, J. H. T. M., de Sá, N. P., Malavazi, I., & Del Poeta, M. (2020). The dynamics and role of sphingolipids in eukaryotic organisms upon thermal adaptation. *Progress* in Lipid Research, 80, 101063. doi:10.1016/j.plipres.2020.101063
- Fan, M., Shen, J., Yuan, L., Jiang, R., Chen, X., Davies, W. J., & Zhang, F. (2012). Improving crop productivity and resource use efficiency to ensure food security and environmental quality in China. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 63(1), 13-24. doi:10.1093/jxb/err248
- Fang, S., Hou, X., Qiu, K., He, R., Feng, X., & Liang, X. (2020). The occurrence and function of alternative splicing in fungi. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 34(4), 178-188. doi:10.1016/j.fbr.2020.10.001
- Fenice, M. (2016). The psychrotolerant antarctic fungus *Lecanicillium muscarium* CCFEE 5003: A powerful producer of cold-tolerant chitinolytic enzymes. *Molecules*, 21(4). doi:10.3390/molecules21040447
- Francis, J., & Skific, N. (2015). Evidence linking rapid Arctic warming to mid-latitude weather patterns. *Philosophical Transaction Royal Society A*, 373(2045), 20140170.
- Fricker, L. (2018). Quantitative Peptidomics: General Considerations. In M. Schrader & L. Fricker (Eds.), *Peptidomics: Methods and Strategies* (pp. 121-140). New York, NY: Springer New York.
- Galello, F., Moreno, S., & Rossi, S. (2014). Interacting proteins of protein kinase A regulatory subunit in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Journal of Proteomics*, 109, 261-275. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2014.07.008
- Gancedo, C., & Flores, C.-L. (2004). The importance of a functional trehalose biosynthetic pathway for the life of yeasts and fungi. *FEMS Yeast Research*, 4(4), 351-359. doi:10.1016/S1567-1356(03)00222-8
- Gao, B., Mao, Y., Zhang, L., He, L., & Wei, D. (2016). A novel saccharifying α-amylase of Antarctic psychrotolerant fungi *Geomyces pannorum*: Gene cloning, functional expression, and characterization. *Starch Stärke*, 68(1-2), 20-28. doi:10.1002/star.201500077
- Gargas, A., Trest, M. T., Christensen, M., Volk, T. J., & Blehert, D. S. (2009). Geomyces destructans sp. nov. associated with bat white-nose syndrome. Mycotaxon, 108, 147-154.

- Gauthier, G. M. (2017). Fungal dimorphism and virulence: Molecular mechanisms for temperature adaptation, immune evasion, and in vivo survival. *Mediators of Inflammation*, 2017, 8491383. doi:10.1155/2017/8491383
- Gocheva, Y. G., Krumova, E. T., Slokoska, L. S., Miteva, J. G., Vassilev, S. V., & Angelova, M. B. (2006). Cell response of Antarctic and temperate strains of *Penicillium* spp. to different growth temperature. *Mycological Research*, 110(11), 1347-1354. doi:10.1016/j.mycres.2006.08.007
- Godinho, V. M., de Paula, M. T. R., Silva, D. A. S., Paresque, K., Martins, A. P., Colepicolo, P., . . . Rosa, L. H. (2019). Diversity and distribution of hidden cultivable fungi associated with marine animals of Antarctica. *Fungal Biology*, 123(7), 507-516. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2019.05.001
- Goldman, G. H., McGuire, S. L., & Harris, S. D. (2002). The DNA damage response in filamentous fungi. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 35(3), 183-195. doi:10.1006/fgbi.2002.1344
- Hallsworth, J. E. (2018). Stress-free microbes lack vitality. *Fungal Biology*, 122(6), 379-385. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2018.04.003
- Hassan, N., Rafiq, M., Hayat, M., Shah, A. A., & Hasan, F. (2016). Psychrophilic and psychrotrophic fungi: a comprehensive review. *Reviews in Environmental Science* and Bio/Technology, 15(2), 147-172. doi:10.1007/s11157-016-9395-9
- Hay, R. J., & Ashbee, H. R. (2010). Mycology *Rook's Textbook of Dermatology* (pp. 1-93): Wiley-Blackwell.
- Hayes, M. A. (2012). The *Geomyces* Fungi: Ecology and Distribution. *BioScience*, 62(9), 819-823. doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.9.7
- Hoffman, A., & Parsons, P. (1994). Evolutionary genetics and environmental stress. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 7(5), 634-634.
- Hu, B., Luo, M., Ji, X., Lin, L., Wei, Y., & Zhang, Q. (2016). Proteomic analysis of Mortierella isabellina M6-22 during cold stress. Archives of Microbiology, 198(9), 869-876. doi:10.1007/s00203-016-1238-0
- Hutagalung, A. H., & Novick, P. J. (2011). Role of Rab GTPases in membrane traffic and cell physiology. *Physiological reviews*, 91(1), 119-149. doi:10.1152/physrev.00059.2009
- Iida, H., & Yahara, I. (1985). Yeast heat-shock protein of Mr 48,000 is an isoprotein of enolase. *Nature*, 315(6021), 688-690. doi:10.1038/315688a0
- Imai, J., & Yahara, I. (2000). Role of HSP90 in salt stress tolerance via stabilization and regulation of calcineurin. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 20(24), 9262-9270.
- IPCC. (2018). Summary for Policymakers. In V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield (Ed.), *Global Warming of* 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the

context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty. Geneva, Switzerland: World Meteorological Organization.

- Isola, D., Marzban, G., Selbmann, L., Onofri, S., Laimer, M., & Sterflinger, K. (2011). Sample preparation and 2-DE procedure for protein expression profiling of black microcolonial fungi. *Fungal Biology*, 115(10), 971-977. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2011.03.001
- Jagadish, S. V. K., Muthurajan, R., Oane, R., Wheeler, T. R., Heuer, S., Bennett, J., & Craufurd, P. Q. (2010). Physiological and proteomic approaches to address heat tolerance during anthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 61(1), 143-156. doi:10.1093/jxb/erp289
- Jee, H. (2016). Size dependent classification of heat shock proteins: a mini-review. Journal of exercise rehabilitation, 12(4), 255-259. doi:10.12965/jer.1632642.321
- Ji, H., Wang, J., Guo, J., Li, Y., Lian, S., Guo, W., . . . Liu, Y. (2016). Progress in the biological function of alpha-enolase. *Animal Nutrition*, 2(1), 12-17. doi:10.1016/j.aninu.2016.02.005
- Joseph-Horne, T., Hollomon, D. W., & Wood, P. M. (2001). Fungal respiration: a fusion of standard and alternative components. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Bioenergetics*, 1504(2), 179-195. doi:10.1016/S0005-2728(00)00251-6
- Jurick II, W. M., Dickman, M. B., & Rollins, J. A. (2004). Characterization and functional analysis of a cAMP-dependent protein kinase A catalytic subunit gene (pka1) in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 64(3), 155-163. doi:10.1016/j.pmpp.2004.07.004
- Karpievitch, Y. V., Polpitiya, A. D., Anderson, G. A., Smith, R. D., & Dabney, A. R. (2010). Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry-based proteomics: Biological and technological aspects. *Annals of Applied Statistics*, 4(4), 1797-1823. doi:10.1214/10-AOAS341
- Keller, N. P. (2019). Fungal secondary metabolism: regulation, function and drug discovery. *Nature Reviews: Microbiology*, 17(3), 167-180. doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0121-1
- Kochkina, G. A., Ivanushkina, N. E., Akimov, V. N., Gilichinskii, D. A., & Ozerskaya, S. M. (2007). Halo-and psychrotolerant *Geomyces* fungi from arctic cryopegs and marine deposits. *Microbiology*, 76(1), 31-38. doi:10.1134/S0026261707010055
- Kolomytseva, M., Myasoedova, N., Samoilova, A., Podieiablonskaia, E., Chernykh, A., Classen, T., . . Golovleva, L. (2017). Rapid identification of fungal laccases/oxidases with different pH-optimum. *Process Biochemistry*, 62(Supplement C), 174-183. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2017.07.027
- Kostadinova, N., Vassilev, S., Spasova, B., & Angelova, M. (2011). Cold stress in Antarctic fungi targets enzymes of the glycolytic pathway and tricarboxylic acid cycle. *Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment*, 25(SUPPL. 4), 50-57. doi:10.5504/BBEQ.2011.0122
- Kosti, I., Mandel-Gutfreund, Y., Glaser, F., & Horwitz, B. A. (2010). Comparative analysis of fungal protein kinases and associated domains. *BMC Genomics*, 11, 133-133. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-133
- Kramer, A., Beck, H. C., Kumar, A., Kristensen, L. P., Imhoff, J. F., & Labes, A. (2015). Proteomic analysis of anti-cancerous scopularide production by a marine *Microascus brevicaulis* strain and its uv mutant. *PLoS One*, 10(10), e0140047. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140047
- Krishnan, A., Convey, P., Gonzalez-Rocha, G., & Alias, S. A. (2016). Production of extracellular hydrolase enzymes by fungi from King George Island. *Polar Biology*, 39(1), 65-76. doi:10.1007/s00300-014-1606-7
- Krishnan, A., Convey, P., Gonzalez, M., Smykla, J., & Alias, S. A. (2017). Effects of temperature on extracellular hydrolase enzymes from soil microfungi. *Polar Biology*. doi:10.1007/s00300-017-2215-z
- Kroll, K., Pahtz, V., & Kniemeyer, O. (2014). Elucidating the fungal stress response by proteomics. *Journal of Proteomics*, 97, 151-163. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2013.06.001
- Kulkarni, M., Stolp, Z. D., & Hardwick, J. M. (2019). Targeting intrinsic cell death pathways to control fungal pathogens. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 162, 71-78. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2019.01.012
- Kundzewicz, Z. W., Ulbrich, U., brücher, T., Graczyk, D., Krüger, A., Leckebusch, G. C., . . . Szwed, M. (2005). Summer Floods in Central Europe Climate Change Track? *Natural Hazards*, *36*(1), 165-189. doi:10.1007/s11069-004-4547-6
- Kustrzeba-Wójcicka, I., & Golczak, M. (2000). Enolase from Candida albicans purification and characterization. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 126(1), 109-120. doi:10.1016/S0305-0491(00)00169-3
- Kyaschenko, J., Clemmensen, K. E., Hagenbo, A., Karltun, E., & Lindahl, B. D. (2017). Shift in fungal communities and associated enzyme activities along an age gradient of managed *Pinus sylvestris* stands. *The Isme Journal*, 11, 863. doi:10.1038/ismej.2016.184
- Ladau, J., Shi, Y., Jing, X., He, J.-S., Chen, L., Lin, X., . . . Chu, H. (2017). Climate change will lead to pronounced shifts in the diversity of soil microbial communities. *bioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/180174
- Lafontaine, D. L. J., & Tollervey, D. (2001). The function and synthesis of ribosomes. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 2(7), 514-520. doi:10.1038/35080045
- Lamoth, F., Juvvadi, P. R., & Steinbach, W. J. (2016). Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90): A novel antifungal target against Aspergillus fumigatus. Critical Reviews in Microbiology, 42(2), 310-321. doi:10.3109/1040841X.2014.947239
- Lange, L., Bech, L., Busk, P. K., Grell, M. N., Huang, Y., Lange, M., ... Tong, X. (2012). The importance of fungi and of mycology for a global development of the bioeconomy. *IMA Fungus*, 3(1), 87-92. doi:10.5598/imafungus.2012.03.01.09

- Lau, B. Y. C., & Othman, A. (2019). Evaluation of sodium deoxycholate as solubilization buffer for oil palm proteomics analysis. *PLoS One*, 14(8), e0221052. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0221052
- Lau, S. K. P., Tse, H., Chan, J. S. Y., Zhou, A. C., Curreem, S. O. T., Lau, C. C. Y., ... Woo, P. C. Y. (2013). Proteome profiling of the dimorphic fungus *Penicillium marneffei* extracellular proteins and identification of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase as an important adhesion factor for conidial attachment. *The FEBS Journal*, 280(4), 1742-4658. doi:10.1111/febs.12566
- Lenhart, K., Bunge, M., Ratering, S., Neu, T. R., Schüttmann, I., Greule, M., ... Keppler, F. (2012). Evidence for methane production by saprotrophic fungi. *Nature Communications*, 3(1), 1046. doi:10.1038/ncomms2049
- Lennon, J. T., & Jones, S. E. (2011). Microbial seed banks: the ecological and evolutionary implications of dormancy. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 9(2), 119-130. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2504
- Lesk, C., Rowhani, P., & Ramankutty, N. (2016). Influence of extreme weather disasters on global crop production. *Nature*, 529, 84. doi:10.1038/nature16467
- Leushkin, E. V., Logacheva, M. D., Penin, A. A., Sutormin, R. A., Gerasimov, E. S., Kochkina, G. A., . . . Ozerskaya, S. M. (2015). Comparative genome analysis of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. reveals primarily clonal evolution with small genome fragments exchanged between lineages. *BMC Genomics*, 16, 400. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1570-9
- Li, J., Labbadia, J., & Morimoto, R. I. (2017). Rethinking HSF1 in stress, development, and organismal health. *Trends in Cell Biology*, 27(12), 895-905. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2017.08.002
- Li, S., Yu, H., Liu, Y., Zhang, X., & Ma, F. (2019). The lipid strategies in *Cunninghamella echinulata* for an allostatic response to temperature changes. *Process Biochemistry*, 76, 85-94. doi:10.1016/j.procbio.2018.11.005
- Liu, D., Keiblinger, K. M., Schindlbacher, A., Wegner, U., Sun, H., Fuchs, S., . . . Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S. (2017a). Microbial functionality as affected by experimental warming of a temperate mountain forest soil—A metaproteomics survey. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 117-118, 196-202. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.04.021
- Liu, J. Y., Men, J. L., Chang, M. C., Feng, C. P., & Yuan, L. G. (2017b). iTRAQ-based quantitative proteome revealed metabolic changes of *Flammulina velutipes* mycelia in response to cold stress. *Journal of Proteomics*, 156, 75-84. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2017.01.009
- Liu, X. M., Wu, X. L., Gao, W., Qu, J. B., Chen, Q., Huang, C. Y., & Zhang, J. X. (2019). Protective roles of trehalose in *Pleurotus pulmonarius* during heat stress response. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 18(2), 428-437. doi:10.1016/S2095-3119(18)62010-6
- Maddela, N. R., Masabanda, M., & Leiva-Mora, M. (2015). Novel diesel-oil-degrading bacteria and fungi from the Ecuadorian Amazon rainforest. *Water Science & Technology*, 71(10), 1554-1561. doi:10.2166/wst.2015.142

- Manikandan, R., Karthikeyan, G., & Raguchander, T. (2017). Soil proteomics for exploitation of microbial diversity in Fusarium wilt infected and healthy rhizosphere soils of tomato. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 100, 185-193. doi:10.1016/j.pmpp.2017.10.001
- Mathieson, W., & Thomas, G. A. (2013). Simultaneously extracting DNA, RNA, and protein using kits: Is sample quantity or quality prejudiced? *Analytical Biochemistry*, 433(1), 10-18. doi:10.1016/j.ab.2012.10.006
- McCarthy, M. W., & Walsh, T. J. (2018). Amino acid metabolism and transport mechanisms as potential antifungal targets. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 19(3), 909. doi:10.3390/ijms19030909
- McNeil, J. C., & Palazzi, D. L. (2012). Ustilago as a cause of fungal peritonitis: Case report and review of the literature. *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society*, 1(4), 337-339. doi:10.1093/jpids/pis043
- Minnis, A. M., & Lindner, D. L. (2013). Phylogenetic evaluation of *Geomyces* and allies reveals no close relatives of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, comb. nov., in bat hibernacula of eastern North America. *Fungal Biol*, 117(9), 638-649. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2013.07.001
- Misiak, M., Goodall-Copestake, W. P., Sparks, T. H., Worland, M. R., Boddy, L., Magan, N., ... Newsham, K. K. (2021). Inhibitory effects of climate change on the growth and extracellular enzyme activities of a widespread Antarctic soil fungus. *Global Change Biology*, 27(5), 1111-1125. doi:<u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15456</u>
- Miteva-Staleva, J. G., Krumova, E. T., Vassilev, S. V., & Angelova, M. B. (2017). Coldstress response during the stationary-growth phase of Antarctic and temperateclimate *Penicillium* strains. *Microbiology*, 163(7), 1042-1051. doi:10.1099/mic.0.000486
- Montero-Barrientos, M., Hermosa, R., Cardoza, R. E., Gutiérrez, S., Nicolás, C., & Monte, E. (2010). Transgenic expression of the *Trichoderma harzianum* hsp70 gene increases *Arabidopsis* resistance to heat and other abiotic stresses. *Journal* of *Plant Physiology*, 167(8), 659-665. doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2009.11.012
- Montero-Barrientos, M., Hermosa, R., Nicolás, C., Cardoza, R. E., Gutiérrez, S., & Monte, E. (2008). Overexpression of a *Trichoderma* HSP70 gene increases fungal resistance to heat and other abiotic stresses. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 45(11), 1506-1513. doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2008.09.003

Morita, R. Y. (1975). Psychrophilic bacteria. Bacteriological reviews, 39(2), 144-167.

- Mühlhofer, M., Berchtold, E., Stratil, C. G., Csaba, G., Kunold, E., Bach, N. C., . . . Buchner, J. (2019). The heat shock response in yeast maintains protein homeostasis by chaperoning and replenishing proteins. *Cell Reports*, 29(13), 4593-4607.e4598. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.109
- Nathan, D. F., Vos, M. H., & Lindquist, S. (1997). In vivo functions of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Hsp90 chaperone. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 94(24), 12949. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.24.12949

- Nevo, E. (2011). Evolution under environmental stress at macro- and microscales. *Genome biology and evolution, 3*, 1039-1052. doi:10.1093/gbe/evr052
- Nillegoda, N. B., Wentink, A. S., & Bukau, B. (2018). Protein disaggregation in multicellular organisms. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 43(4), 285-300. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2018.02.003
- Nwaka, S., & Holzer, H. (1997). Molecular biology of trehalose and the trehalases in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In K. Moldave (Ed.), Progress in Nucleic Acid Research and Molecular Biology (Vol. 58, pp. 197-237): Academic Press.
- O'Donoghue, A. J., Knudsen, G. M., Beekman, C., Perry, J. A., Johnson, A. D., DeRisi, J. L., . . Bennett, R. J. (2015). Destructin-1 is a collagen-degrading endopeptidase secreted by *Pseudogymnoascus destructans*, the causative agent of white-nose syndrome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States* of America, 112(24), 7478-7483. doi:10.1073/pnas.1507082112
- O' Neill, J. S., Hoyle, N. P., Robertson, J. B., Edgar, R. S., Beale, A. D., Peak-Chew, S. Y., . . Causton, H. C. (2020). Eukaryotic cell biology is temporally coordinated to support the energetic demands of protein homeostasis. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 4706. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18330-x
- O'Connor, E., Owens, R. A., Doyle, S., Amini, A., Grogan, H., & Fitzpatrick, D. A. (2020). Proteomic investigation of interhyphal interactions between strains of *Agaricus bisporus*. *Fungal Biology*, 124(6), 579-591. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2020.02.011
- Ogaki, M. B., Teixeira, D. R., Vieira, R., Lirio, J. M., Felizardo, J. P. S., Abuchacra, R. C., . . . Rosa, L. H. (2020). Diversity and bioprospecting of cultivable fungal assemblages in sediments of lakes in the Antarctic Peninsula. *Fungal Biology*, 124(6), 601-611. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2020.02.015
- Oliveros, J. C. (2007-2015). Venny. An interactive tool for comparing lists with Venn's diagrams. (2.1.0 ed.). Madrid, Spain: BioinfoGP Service, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, (CNB-CSIC).
- Overland, J., Francis, J. A., Hall, R., Hanna, E., Kim, S.-J., & Vihma, T. (2015). The melting Arctic and midlatitude weather patterns: Are they connected? *Journal of Climate*, 28(20), 7917-7932. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00822.1
- Palmer, J. M., Drees, K. P., Foster, J. T., & Lindner, D. L. (2018). Extreme sensitivity to ultraviolet light in the fungal pathogen causing white-nose syndrome of bats. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 35. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02441-z
- Pan, J., Hu, C., & Yu, J.-H. (2018). Lipid biosynthesis as an antifungal target. *Journal of Fungi*, 4(2), 50. doi:10.3390/jof4020050
- Pannkuk, E. L., Risch, T. S., & Savary, B. J. (2015). Isolation and identification of an extracellular subtilisin-like serine protease secreted by the bat pathogen *Pseudogymnoascus destructans. PLoS One, 10*(3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0120508
- Parente-Rocha, J. A., Tomazett, M. V., Pigosso, L. L., Bailão, A. M., Ferreira de Souza, A., Paccez, J. D., . . . Maria de Almeida Soares, C. (2018). In vitro, ex vivo and

in vivo models: A comparative analysis of *Paracoccidioides* spp. proteomic studies. *Fungal Biology*, 122(6), 505-513. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2017.10.009

- Parsons, P. A. (2005). Environments and evolution: interactions between stress, resource inadequacy and energetic efficiency. *Biological Reviews*, 80(4), 589-610. doi:10.1017/s1464793105006822
- Patel, S. (2017). A critical review on serine protease: Key immune manipulator and pathology mediator. *Allergologia et Immunopathologia*, 45(6), 579-591. doi:10.1016/j.aller.2016.10.011
- Patriarca, E. J., & Maresca, B. (1990). Acquired thermotolerance following heat shock protein synthesis prevents impairment of mitochondrial ATPase activity at elevated temperatures in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Experimental Cell Research*, 190(1), 57-64. doi:10.1016/0014-4827(90)90143-X
- Perez-Nadales, E., Almeida Nogueira, M. F., Baldin, C., Castanheira, S., El Ghalid, M., Grund, E., . . . Wendland, J. (2014). Fungal model systems and the elucidation of pathogenicity determinants. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 70, 42-67. doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2014.06.011
- Pigosso, L. L., Parente, A. F., Coelho, A. S., Silva, L. P., Borges, C. L., Bailao, A. M., & Soares, C. M. (2013). Comparative proteomics in the genus *Paracoccidioides*. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 60, 87-100. doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2013.07.008
- Piper, P. W. (1993). Molecular events associated with acquisition of heat tolerance by the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 11(4), 339-355.
- Raggam, R. B., Salzer, H. J., Marth, E., Heiling, B., Paulitsch, A. H., & Buzina, W. (2011). Molecular detection and characterisation of fungal heat shock protein 60. *Mycoses*, 54(5), e394-399. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0507.2010.01933.x
- Rangel, D. E. N., Finlay, R. D., Hallsworth, J. E., Dadachova, E., & Gadd, G. M. (2018). Fungal strategies for dealing with environment- and agriculture-induced stresses. *Fungal Biology*. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2018.02.002
- Rao, I. M., Beebe, S. E., Polania, J., Grajales, M., Cajiao, C., Ricaurte, J., ... Rivera, M. (2016a). Evidence for genotypic differences among elite lines of common bean in the ability to remobilize photosynthate to increase yield under drought. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 155(6), 857-875. doi:10.1017/S0021859616000915
- Rao, I. M., Miles, J. W., Beebe, S. E., & Horst, W. J. (2016b). Root adaptations to soils with low fertility and aluminium toxicity. *Annals of Botany*, 118(4), 593-605. doi:10.1093/aob/mcw073
- Reed, C. J., Lewis, H., Trejo, E., Winston, V., & Evilia, C. (2013). Protein adaptations in archaeal extremophiles. *Archaea*, 2013, 373275. doi:10.1155/2013/373275
- Rice, A. V., & Currah, R. S. (2006). Two new species of *Pseudogymnoascus* with *Geomyces* anamorphs and their phylogenetic relationship with Gymnostellatospora. *Mycologia*, 98(2), 307-318. doi:10.1080/15572536.2006.11832703

- Richter, K., Haslbeck, M., & Buchner, J. (2010). The heat shock response: Life on the verge of death. *Molecular Cell*, 40(2), 253-266. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.10.006
- Sahay, S., & Chouhan, D. (2018). Study on the potential of cold-active lipases from psychrotrophic fungi for detergent formulation. *Journal of Genetic Engineering* and Biotechnology, 16(2), 319-325. doi:10.1016/j.jgeb.2018.04.006
- Salmeron-Santiago, K. G., Pardo, J. P., Flores-Herrera, O., Mendoza-Hernandez, G., Miranda-Arango, M., & Guerra-Sanchez, G. (2011). Response to osmotic stress and temperature of the fungus Ustilago maydis. Archives of Microbiology, 193(10), 701-709. doi:10.1007/s00203-011-0706-9
- Santiago, A. M., Gonçalves, D. L., & Morano, K. A. (2020). Mechanisms of sensing and response to proteotoxic stress. *Experimental Cell Research*, 395(2), 112240. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2020.112240
- Sarmiento, F., Peralta, R., & Blamey, J. M. (2015). Cold and hot extremozymes: Industrial relevance and current trends. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, *3*, 148. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2015.00148
- Schulte, P. M. (2015). The effects of temperature on aerobic metabolism: towards a mechanistic understanding of the responses of ectotherms to a changing environment. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 218(12), 1856. doi:10.1242/jeb.118851
- Seidl, V. (2008). Chitinases of filamentous fungi: a large group of diverse proteins with multiple physiological functions. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 22(1), 36-42. doi:10.1016/j.fbr.2008.03.002
- Seong, Y., Yoo, Y. S., Akter, H., & Kang, M.-J. (2017). Sample preparation for detection of low abundance proteins in human plasma using ultra-high performance liquid chromatography coupled with highly accurate mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography B*, 1060, 272-280. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2017.06.023
- Shaner, L., Gibney, P. A., & Morano, K. A. (2008). The Hsp110 protein chaperone Sse1 is required for yeast cell wall integrity and morphogenesis. *Current genetics*, 54(1), 1-11. doi:10.1007/s00294-008-0193-y
- Shuey, M. M., Drees, K. P., Lindner, D. L., Keim, P., & Foster, J. T. (2014). Highly sensitive quantitative PCR for the detection and differentiation of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* and other *Pseudogymnoascus* species. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 80(5), 1726-1731. doi:10.1128/AEM.02897-13
- Simova-Stoilova, L., Vassileva, V., & Feller, U. (2016). Selection and breeding of suitable crop genotypes for drought and heat periods in a changing climate: Which morphological and physiological properties should be considered? *Agriculture*, 6(2), 19. doi:10.3390/agriculture6020026
- Singh, G., & Arya, S. K. (2019). Antifungal and insecticidal potential of chitinases: A credible choice for the eco-friendly farming. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 20, 101289. doi:10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101289

- Sterflinger, K., & Krumbein, W. (1995). Multiple stress factors affecting growth of rockinhabiting black fungi. *Botanica Acta*, 108, 490-496. doi:10.1111/j.1438-8677.1995.tb00526.x
- Su, Y., Jiang, X., Wu, W., Wang, M., Hamid, M. I., Xiang, M., & Liu, X. (2016). Genomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic analysis provide insights into the cold adaptation mechanism of the obligate psychrophilic fungus *Mrakia psychrophila*. *G3: Genes/Genomes/Genetics*, 6(11), 3603-3613. doi:10.1534/g3.116.033308
- Suarez, R. K., & Moyes, C. D. (2012). Metabolism in the age of 'omes'. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 215(14), 2351. doi:10.1242/jeb.059725
- Sumathy, B., Soccol, C., & Pandey, A. (2007). Effect of stress on growth, pigment production and morphology of *Monascus* sp. in solid cultures. *Journal of basic microbiology*, 47, 118-126. doi:10.1002/jobm.200610261
- Swaminathan, J., Boulgakov, A. A., Hernandez, E. T., Bardo, A. M., Bachman, J. L., Marotta, J., . . Marcotte, E. M. (2018). Highly parallel single-molecule identification of proteins in zeptomole-scale mixtures. *Nature Biotechnology*, 36(11), 1076-1082. doi:10.1038/nbt.4278
- Tajuddin, N., Rizman-Idid, M., Convey, P., & Alias, S. A. (2019). Arrhenian growth thermodynamics in a marine-derived tropical *Fusarium equiseti* and polar *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. in a liquid culture system. *Polar Science*, 20, 55-62. doi:10.1016/j.polar.2018.10.005
- Tajuddin, N., Rizman-Idid, M., Convey, P., & Alias Siti, A. (2018). Thermal adaptation in a marine-derived tropical strain of *Fusarium equiseti* and polar strains of *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. under different nutrient sources. *Botanica Marina*, 61, 1-9. doi:10.1515/bot-2017-0049
- Talbot, J. M., Bruns, T. D., Taylor, J. W., Smith, D. P., Branco, S., Glassman, S. I., . . . Peay, K. G. (2014). Endemism and functional convergence across the North American soil mycobiome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(17), 6341. doi:10.1073/pnas.1402584111
- Tamadoni Jahromi, S., & Barzkar, N. (2018). Marine bacterial chitinase as sources of energy, eco-friendly agent, and industrial biocatalyst. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 120, 2147-2154. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.09.083
- Teo, L. H., & Tay, Y. K. (2006). Ustilago species infection in humans. *British Journal of Dermatology*, 155(5), 1096-1097. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2006.07515.x
- Tereshina, V. M. (2005). Thermotolerance in fungi: The role of heat shock proteins and trehalose. *Microbiology*, 74(3), 247-257. doi:10.1007/s11021-005-0059-y
- Tesei, D., Marzban, G., Marchetti-Deschmann, M., Tafer, H., Arcalis, E., & Sterflinger, K. (2015). Proteome of tolerance fine-tuning in the human pathogen black yeast *Exophiala dermatitidis*. *Journal of Proteomics*, *128*, 39-57. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2015.07.007
- Tesei, D., Marzban, G., Zakharova, K., Isola, D., Selbmann, L., & Sterflinger, K. (2012). Alteration of protein patterns in black rock inhabiting fungi as a response to

different temperatures. *Fungal Biology*, 116(8), 932-940. doi:10.1016/j.funbio.2012.06.004

- Timp, W., & Timp, G. (2020). Beyond mass spectrometry, the next step in proteomics. *Science Advances*, 6(2), eaax8978. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax8978
- Tiwari, S., Thakur, R., & Shankar, J. (2015). Role of heat-shock proteins in cellular function and in the biology of fungi. *Biotechnology Research International*, 2015.
- Truman, A. W., Millson, S. H., Nuttall, J. M., Mollapour, M., Prodromou, C., & Piper, P.
 W. (2007). In the yeast heat shock response, hsf1-directed induction of hsp90 facilitates the activation of the slt2 (mpk1) mitogen-activated protein kinase required for cell integrity. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 6(4), 744. doi:10.1128/EC.00009-07
- Tsuneda, A. (1982). Scanning Electron Microscopy of *Pseudogymnoascus Roseus*. Mycologia, 74(5), 844-847. doi:10.1080/00275514.1982.12021594
- van Leeuwe, T. M., Gerritsen, A., Arentshorst, M., Punt, P. J., & Ram, A. F. J. (2020). Rab GDP-dissociation inhibitor gdiA is an essential gene required for cell wall chitin deposition in *Aspergillus niger*. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, *136*, 103319. doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2019.103319
- Verant, M. L., Bohuski, E. A., Richgels, K. L. D., Olival, K. J., Epstein, J. H., & Blehert, D. S. (2018). Determinants of *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* within bat hibernacula: implications for surveillance and management of white-nose syndrome. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 55, 820-829. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13070
- Verant, M. L., Boyles, J. G., Waldrep, W., Jr., Wibbelt, G., & Blehert, D. S. (2012). Temperature-dependent growth of *Geomyces destructans*, the fungus that causes bat white-nose syndrome. *PLoS One*, 7(9), e46280. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046280
- Vergés, E., Colomina, N., Garí, E., Gallego, C., & Aldea, M. (2007). Cyclin cln3 is retained at the er and released by the j chaperone ydj1 in late g1 to trigger cell cycle entry. *Molecular Cell*, 26(5), 649-662. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2007.04.023
- Verghese, J., Abrams, J., Wang, Y., & Morano, K. A. (2012). Biology of the heat shock response and protein chaperones: Budding yeast as a model system. *Microbiology* and Molecular Biology Reviews, 76(2), 115. doi:10.1128/MMBR.05018-11
- Vollmers, J., Voget, S., Dietrich, S., Gollnow, K., Smits, M., Meyer, K., . . . Daniel, R. (2013). Poles apart: Arctic and Antarctic Octadecabacter strains share high genome plasticity and a new type of xanthorhodopsin. PLoS One, 8(5), e63422. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063422
- Wallenstein, M. D., & Weintraub, M. N. (2008). Emerging tools for measuring and modeling the in situ activity of soil extracellular enzymes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 40(9), 2098-2106. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.01.024
- Wang, M., Jiang, X., Wu, W., Hao, Y., Su, Y., Cai, L., . . . Liu, X. (2015). Psychrophilic fungi from the world's roof. *Persoonia*, 34, 100-112. doi:10.3767/003158515X685878

- Wang, M., Tian, J., Xiang, M., & Liu, X. (2017). Living strategy of cold-adapted fungi with the reference to several representative species. *Mycology*, 8(3), 178-188. doi:10.1080/21501203.2017.1370429
- Williams, T. J., Lauro, F. M., Ertan, H., Burg, D. W., Poljak, A., Raftery, M. J., & Cavicchioli, R. (2011). Defining the response of a microorganism to temperatures that span its complete growth temperature range (-2°C to 28°C) using multiplex quantitative proteomics. *Environmental Microbiology*, 13(8), 2186-2203. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02467.x
- Wilson, M. B., Held, B. W., Freiborg, A. H., Blanchette, R. A., & Salomon, C. E. (2017). Resource capture and competitive ability of non-pathogenic *Pseudogymnoascus* spp. and *P. destructans*, the cause of white-nose syndrome in bats. *PLoS One*, *12*(6), e0178968. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0178968
- Winkler, A., Arkind, C., Mattison, C. P., Burkholder, A., Knoche, K., & Ota, I. (2002). Heat stress activates the yeast high-osmolarity glycerol mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, and protein tyrosine phosphatases are essential under heat stress. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 1(2), 163. doi:10.1128/EC.1.2.163-173.2002
- Wong, H. J. (2019). *Physiological changes and DNA damage repair in Arctic and Antarctic Pseudogymnoascus spp. in response to ultraviolet-B radiation.* (Master of Philosophy Dissertation), University of Malaya Kuala Lumpur.
- Wong, H. J., Mohamad-Fauzi, N., Rizman-Idid, M., Convey, P., & Alias, S. A. (2019). Protective mechanisms and responses of micro-fungi towards ultraviolet-induced cellular damage. *Polar Science*, 20, 19-34. doi:10.1016/j.polar.2018.10.001
- Xie, C., Mao, X., Huang, J., Ding, Y., Wu, J., Dong, S., . . . Wei, L. (2011). KOBAS 2.0: a web server for annotation and identification of enriched pathways and diseases. 39 (web server issue)(1362-4962 (Electronic)), 316-322. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr483
- Xiong, Y., Wu, V. W., Lubbe, A., Qin, L., Deng, S., Kennedy, M., ... Glass, N. L. (2017). A fungal transcription factor essential for starch degradation affects integration of carbon and nitrogen metabolism. *PLoS genetics*, 13(5), e1006737-e1006737. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006737
- Yan, Z., Zhao, M., Wu, X., & Zhang, J. (2020). Metabolic response of *Pleurotus ostreatus* to continuous heat stress. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 3148-3148. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.03148
- Zakharova, K., Sterflinger, K., Razzazi-Fazeli, E., Noebauer, K., & Marzban, G. (2014). Global proteomics of the extremophile black fungus *Cryomyces antarcticus* using 2D-Electrophoresis. *Natural Science*, 2014.
- Zhang, F., Chen, X., & Vitousek, P. (2013). An experiment for the world. *Nature*, 497, 33. doi:10.1038/497033a
- Zhang, T., Ren, P., Chaturvedi, V., & Chaturvedi, S. (2015). Development of an Agrobacterium-mediated transformation system for the cold-adapted fungi *Pseudogymnoascus destructans* and *P. pannorum. Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 81, 73-81. doi:10.1016/j.fgb.2015.05.009

- Zhang, X., Ren, A., Li, M.-J., Cao, P.-F., Chen, T.-X., Zhang, G., ... Zhao, M.-W. (2016). Heat stress modulates mycelium growth, heat shock protein expression, ganoderic acid biosynthesis, and hyphal branching of *Ganoderma lucidum* via cytosolic Ca²⁺. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82(14), 4112. doi:10.1128/AEM.01036-16
- Zou, Y., Zhang, M., Qu, J., & Zhang, J. (2018). ITRAQ-based quantitative proteomic analysis reveals proteomic changes in mycelium of *Pleurotus ostreatus* in response to heat stress and subsequent recovery. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9:2368. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02368