TABLE OF CONTENTS

		. I age
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTIO)N	
1.1 Background of the S	Study	1
1.2 Rationale of the Stud	dy	2
1.3 Research Questions		4
1.4 Significance of the S	Study	4
1.5 Limitations of the St	tudy	6
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE F	REVIEW	
2.1 Brief history of CAI		7
2.2 Past Researches on 0	CAI	8
2.3 Development of CA	I in Malaysia	10
2.3.1 Computer Pr	rojects in Schools	10
2.3.2 IT Training f	for Teachers	12
2.3.3 The SMART	School Project	13
2.4 Past Researches on A	Achievement	15
2.5 Gender Differences		17
2.6 CAI Research on Pe	rception	17
2.7 Summary		19

	Page
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 The Subjects	19
3.2.1 Students' Prior Knowledge Achievement	20
3.2.2 Gender	21
3.3 The Teaching Sessions	21
3.4 Instrumentation	22
3.4.1 The Teaching Software	23
3.4.2 The Achievement Test	25
3.4.3 The Questionnaire on Students' Perception of CAI	26
3.5 Calculation of Mean Score for Achievement	26
3.6 Assessment of Students' Perception of CAI	27
3.7 Pilot Study	27
3.8 Data Collection	28
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS, INTERPRETATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1 Introduction	29
4.2 Descriptive Statistics	29
4.2.1 The <i>t</i> -test Comparisons of Mean Achievement Scores	30
4.2.2 The <i>t</i> -test Comparisons of Achievement Scores for Boys and Girls	32

	4.2.3 The <i>t</i> -test Comparisons of Students' Perceptions of CAI	33
CHAPTER 5	SUMMARY	
5.1	Introduction	37
5.2	Discussions	38
	5.2.1 CAI Achievement	38
	5.2.2 Influence of Gender on Achievement	38
	5.2.3 Changes in Students' Perception Towards CAI	39
5.4	Limitations of the Study	40
5.5	Conclusions	41
5.6	Implications	42
5.7	Recommendations for Further Research	42
REFERENCE	es	44
APPENDIX A	: Sampel of Pre-Treatment Test	48
APPENDIX B	3: Sampel of Post-Treatment Test	49
APPENDIX C	C: Sampel Questionnaire	50

Page

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 3.1	CAI Group: Distribution of the Subjects According to Gender	21
Table 3.2	Non-CAI Group: Distribution of the Subjects According to Gender	21
Table 3.4	Level of Positive Perception Towards CAI	27
Table 4.1	. The t-test Comparisons of Mean Achievement Scores for CAI and Non-CAI Group	30
Γable 4.2	The t-test Comparisons of Achievement Scores for Boys and Girls	32
Γable 4.3	Frequency Distribution and Percentages in Perception Score in Using Computers of the CAI Group	34
Γable 4.4	The t-test Comparisons of Students' Perceptions of CAI before and after the CAI treatment	34
Γable 4.5	The t-test Comparisons of Students' Perception of CAI for Boys and Girls	35

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
	*	
Figure 2.1	A human-computer dialogue (Barker, 1985)	9
Figure 3.3	The main Flow-chart of the IMI program	24