

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstrak	ii
Abstract	iv
Acknowledgements	vi
Table of Contents	viii
List of Tables	xiii
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Background of the Study	1
1.1 Rationale for the Study	3
1.2 Research Questions	8
1.3 Definitions of Terms	9
1.4 Model of Nature of Science Used in the Study	13
1.5 Significance of the Study	21
1.6 Limitations of the Study	23
CHAPTER 2 : REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.0 Introduction	24
2.1 Instruments for Assessing the Understanding of the Nature of Science	25
2.1.1 Test on Understanding Science (TOUS)	26
2.1.2 Science Process Inventory (SPI)	27

	Page
2.1.3 Nature of Science Scale (NOSS)	28
2.1.4 Test on Understanding the Nature of Science (TUNS)	30
2.1.5 Nature of Scientific Knowledge Scale (NSKS)	32
2.1.6 Process Orientation Toward Science Scale (POTSS)	34
2.1.7 Discussion of Instruments Reviewed	37
2.2 Teachers' Conceptions of the Nature of Science	39
2.3 Relationships of Understanding of the Nature of Science with Formal Reasoning Ability	45
2.4 Relationships of Understanding of the Nature of Science with Science Major Type	46
2.5 Relationships of Understanding of the Nature of Science with Formal Exposure to Philosophy of Science	49
2.6 Relationships of Understanding of the Nature of Science with Gender	52

CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY

3.0 Introduction	55
3.1 The Subjects of the Study	55
3.2 The Instruments	56

	Page
3.2.1 The Nature of Science Questionnaire	57
3.2.1.1 Respondents' Particulars	57
3.2.1.2 Process Orientation Toward Science Scale (POTSS)	57
3.2.1.3 Reliability of the POTSS	59
3.2.2 Test of Logical Thinking (TOLT)	59
3.2.2.1 Validity and Reliability of the TOLT	60
3.3 Pilot Study of the Instruments	61
3.4 Data Collection	63
3.5 Data Analysis	64

CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.0 Introduction	66
4.1 Profile of Subjects of the Study	67
4.1.1 Distribution of Teacher Trainees by Gender	68
4.1.2 Distribution of Teacher Trainees by Science Major Type	68
4.1.3 Distribution of Teacher Trainees by Formal Exposure to Philosophy of Science	69
4.1.4 Distribution of Teacher Trainees by Formal Reasoning Ability	70
4.2 Teacher Trainees' Understanding of the Nature of Science	72

	Page
4.2.1 Teacher Trainees' Overall Understanding of the Nature of Science	72
4.2.2 Teacher Trainees' Understanding of Specific Aspects of the Nature of Science	74
4.2.2.1 Nature of Classification in Science	79
4.2.2.2 Nature of Scientific Measurement	80
4.2.2.3 Nature of Scientific Knowledge	81
4.2.2.4 The Predictive Power of Science	82
4.2.2.5 The Primary Aim of Science	83
4.2.2.6 Science is a Shared Social Enterprise	83
4.2.2.7 Nature of Controlled Experiments	84
4.2.2.8 Scientific Methods	84
4.2.2.9 Science is Creative	85
4.2.2.10 Science is Empirical	85
4.3 Teacher Trainees' Common Misconceptions of the Nature of Science	88
4.4 Relationship Between Teacher Trainees' Understanding of the Nature of Science and Their Formal Reasoning Ability	95
4.5 Relationships Between Teacher Trainees' Understanding of the Nature of Science and Their Academic Background	97
4.5.1 Science Major Type and Trainees' Understanding of the Nature of Science	97
4.5.2 Formal Exposure to Philosophy of Science and Trainees' Understanding of the Nature of Science	99

Page

4.6	Relationship Between Teacher Trainees' Understanding of the Nature of Science and Gender	100
-----	--	-----

CHAPTER 5 : SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

5.0	Introduction	102
5.1	Summary of the Findings	103
5.2	Implications of the Findings	107
5.3	Suggestions for Future Research	111

References	112
-------------------	-----

APPENDICES :

Appendix A :	Process Orientation Toward Science Scale (Original Version)	118
Appendix B :	The Nature of Science Questionnaire (Malay Version)	120
Appendix C :	Sample Items of TOLT in Bahasa Melayu	127
Appendix D :	Sample Items of TOLT in English	129
Appendix E :	Letters of Approval to Conduct the Study	131

List of Tables

Table	Page
1.1 Major Aspects of the Nature of Science in Cooley and Klopfer's Model	14
1.2 Major Aspects of the Nature of Science in Kimball's Model	15
1.3 Major Aspects of the Nature of Science in Billeh and Malik's Model	16
1.4 Major Aspects of Scientific Knowledge in Rubba and Andersen's Model	18
1.5 Major Aspects of the Nature of Science in Scharmann, Harty and Holland's Model	20
2.1 Subtests of Test on Understanding Science (TOUS)	26
2.2 Aspects of the Nature of Science in NOSS	29
2.3 Subtests of Test on Understanding the Nature of Science (TUNS)	30
2.4 Reliability Coefficients of TUNS for Three Consolidated Groups of Prospective Science Teachers	31
2.5 Subtests of Nature of Science Knowledge Scale (NSKS)	32
2.6 Categories of POTSS Items	36
2.7 A Summary of Instruments for Assessing the Understanding of the Nature of Science	37
4.1 Distribution of Teacher Trainees by Gender	68
4.2 Distribution of Teacher Trainees by Science Major Type	69

Table		Page
4.3	Distribution of Teacher Trainees by Formal Exposure to Philosophy of Science	70
4.4	Categorization Scheme of TOLT Score	71
4.5	Distribution of Formal Reasoning Ability of KPLI Trainees	72
4.6	Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum and Maximum of POTSS Scores Attained by Teacher Trainees	73
4.7	Teacher Trainees' Conceptions of the Nature of Science	75
4.8	Rank Order of Trainees' Understanding of Various Aspects of the Nature of Science	87
4.9	Teacher Trainees' Common Misconceptions Identified from Their Responses in POTSS	89
4.10	<i>t</i> -test Comparison of POTSS Mean Scores Between Low and Medium Formal Reasoning Ability Groups	96
4.11	<i>t</i> -test Comparison of POTSS Mean Scores Between Pure Science Major and Applied Science Major Groups	98
4.12	<i>t</i> -test Comparison of POTSS Mean Scores Between Trainees Who Had Been and Those Who Had Not Been Formally Exposed to Philosophy of Science	99
4.13	<i>t</i> -test Comparison of POTSS Mean Scores Between Male and Female Trainees	101