

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	ii
Abstrak	v
Acknowledgements	viii
Table of Contents	x
Appendices	xiii
List of Tables	xiv

CHAPTER 1 : BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Background of the Study	2
1.2	Biology Education in Malaysian Secondary Schools	6
1.3	Purpose of the Study	7
1.4	Research Questions	8
1.5	Conceptual Framework of the Study	9
1.6	Significance of the Study	12
1.7	Definition of Terms	13
1.8	Limitations of the Study	14

CHAPTER 2 : REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.0	Introduction	16
2.1	Students' Conceptions in Science	16

2.2	The Two-tier Multiple Choice Diagnostic Test	19
2.3	Students' Conceptions in Diffusion and Osmosis	22
2.4	Formal Reasoning Ability and Science Achievement	30
2.5	Gender and Achievement in Science	36
2.6	Summary	38

CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY

3.0	Introduction	40
3.1	Procedure of the Study	40
3.2	Subjects of the Study	41
3.3	Instrumentation	41
3.3.1	The Understanding of Concepts in Diffusion and Osmosis Diagnostic Test(DODT)	41
3.3.1.1	Validity of the DODT	45
3.3.1.2	Reliability of the DODT	46
3.3.2	Test of Logical Thinking (TOLT)	46
3.3.2.1	Validity of the TOLT	47
3.3.2.2	Reliability of the TOLT	48
3.4	Pilot Study of the Instruments	48
3.5	Data Collection and Procedures	49

CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.0	Introduction	52
4.1	Descriptive Statistics of Subjects of the Study	53
4.1.1	Distribution of Gender of Students	53
4.1.2	Scoring Procedure and Categorization of Formal Reasoning Ability Groups	54
4.1.3	Distribution of Students' Formal Reasoning Ability Groups	54
4.2	Scoring Procedure for the DODT	56
4.3	Form Four Biology Students' Conceptions and Alternative Conceptions of Diffusion and Osmosis	57
4.3.1	Conceptions of Students of Item 1	59
4.3.2	Conceptions of Students of Item 5	60
4.3.3	Conceptions of Students of Item 2	62
4.3.4	Conceptions of Students of Item 3	63
4.3.5	Conceptions of Students of Item 6	65
4.3.6	Conceptions of Students of Item 8	66
4.3.7	Conceptions of Students of Item 10	68
4.3.8	Conceptions of Students of Item 4	69
4.3.9	Conceptions of Students of Item 9	70
4.3.10	Conceptions of Students of Item 7	71
4.3.11	Conceptions of Students of Item 11	72
4.3.12	Conceptions of Students of Item 12	73
4.4	Form Four Biology Students' Common Alternative Conceptions in Diffusion and Osmosis	75
4.5	Form Four Biology Students' Recurring Alternative Conceptions in Diffusion and Osmosis	80
4.6	Form Four Biology Students' Understanding of concepts in Diffusion and Osmosis by formal reasoning ability	82
4.7	Form Four Biology Students' Understanding of concepts in Diffusion and Osmosis by Gender	84
4.8	Summary of results	85

CHAPTER 5 : SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS, IMPLICATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

5.0	Introduction	87
5.1	Summary of the Findings	88
5.2	Limitations of the Study	92
5.3	Implications of the Findings	93
5.4	Suggestions for Future Research	99
5.5	Conclusion of the Study	100

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY	103
------------------------------	------------

APPENDICES :

Appendix I:	Diffusion and Osmosis Diagnostic Test	110
Appendix II:	Diffusion and Osmosis Diagnostic Test -Bahasa Melayu Version	117
Appendix III:	Test of Logical Thinking	126
Appendix IV:	Test of Logical Thinking – Bahasa Melayu Version	137
Appendix V:	Letter of Approval to Conduct the Study	148

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1	Main topics in the Malaysian Biology KBSM Syllabus
Table 2.1	Percentages of Responses by College Biology Non-majors and Majors with Specific Misconceptions detected by the Diffusion and Osmosis Diagnostic Test
Table 3.1	Sample item of Diffusion and Osmosis Diagnostic Test that assesses the Particulate and Random Nature of Matter
Table 3.2	Propositional Knowledge Statements Required for Understanding Diffusion and Osmosis
Table 3.3	Item number, Propositional knowledge statements and topic areas tested by the DODT
Table 4.1	Distribution of Gender of Students
Table 4.2	Categorization Scheme of TOLT Score
Table 4.3	Frequency of the raw score obtained in each group of formal reasoning Ability
Table 4.4	Distribution of Students' Formal Reasoning Ability
Table 4.5	Concepts, number of items and the maximum score awarded in DODT
Table 4.6	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 1
Table 4.7	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 5
Table 4.8	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 2
Table 4.9	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 3
Table 4.10	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 6
Table 4.11	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 8
Table 4.12	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 10
Table 4.13	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 4
Table 4.14	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 9

Table 4.15	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 7	71
Table 4.16	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 11	72
Table 4.17	Frequency and Percentage of Students' Response of Item 12	73
Table 4.18	Mean percentages of items of the Conceptions and Alternative Conceptions of each Concept	74
Table 4.19	Form Four Biology Students' Common Alternative Conceptions Identified from their Responses in the DODT	76
Table 4.20	Form Four Biology Students' Recurring Alternative Conceptions identified from their Responses in the DODT	81
Table 4.21	Comparison among Formal Reasoning Ability Groups on their Understanding of Concepts in Diffusion and Osmosis	83
Table 4.22	Comparison between male and female form four Biology Students on their Understanding of Concepts in Diffusion and Osmosis	84

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1 Conceptual Framework of the Study	11