WHAT HAPPENS AFTER ERP IMPLEMENTATION: UNDERSTANDING THE IMPACT OF IS SOPHISTICATION, INTERDEPENDENCE AND DIFFERENTIATION ON PLANT-LEVEL OUTCOMES

CHAN MING MING

FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND ACCOUNTANCY UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA

JUNE 2010

What Happens After ERP Implementation: Understanding the Impact Of IS Sophistication, Interdependence and Differentiation On Plant-Level Outcomes

Chan Ming Ming

Bachelor of Computer Sciences
University of Wollongong
New South Wales
Australia

2000

Submitted to the Graduate School of Business

Faculty of Business and Accountancy

University of Malaya, in partial fulfillment of the requirements

Degree of Master of Business Administration

for

JUNE 2010

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work has been done by myself and no portion of the

work contained in this thesis has been submitted in support of any other

application for any degree or qualification of this or any other university or

institute of learning.

.....

Chan Ming Ming

i

ABSTRACT

This research explores the determinants of a successfully Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) implementation at the post implementation view in Malaysia. This research presents a model of the organizational and technological impacts of ERP systems. The Organizational Information Processing Theory (OIPT) and IS Sophistication Theory was used as a lens to understand the factors that impact on the ERP intermediate benefit at the subunit level. Interdependence and Differentiation are the two important elements in the OIPT theory. The theory suggests that ERP will be a relatively better fit when interdependence is high and differentiation is low. IS Sophistication is conceptualized in three dimensions: extent of use, intensity of use and IS integration. Customization and the amount of time since ERP implementation were also included in this model. Survey-based research was carried out to test these theories. All data were obtained from 131 manufacturing plants in Malaysia. SPSS and PLS were used for testing the measurement and structural models respectively. Result shows that there is a significant relationship between IS sophistication and subunit differentiation towards overall ERP benefits. These findings indicate the importance of a moderating effect of the control variable customization, towards differentiation.

Keywords: ERP, Post Implementation, Organizational Information Processing Theory (OIPT), Interdependence, Differentiation, IT sophistication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would first and foremost like to thank my supervisor Dr. Akma for her generous and unwavering support through this process. I thank her for guiding me on the empirical related models, statistical matters and research methodologies. Special thanks to all our survey respondents for sharing their valuable view by completing the questionnaire and ensuring that our research objective was met. This thesis would not be realized without their help and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTI | ER 1 | 1 |
|------------|---|-----|
| INTROD | DUCTION | 1 |
| 1.0 | Research Background | 1 |
| 1.1 | Motivation of the Study | 3 |
| 1.2 | Research Problems | 5 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of the study | 7 |
| 1.4 | The Scope of the study | |
| 1.5 | Contribution of the study | 9 |
| 1.5.1 | Theoretical Contribution | |
| 1.5.2 | | |
| 1.6 | Organization of the study | 11 |
| CHAPTI | ER 2 | 13 |
| I ITFRA | TURE REVIEW | 1.9 |
| 2.0 | Introduction | |
| 2.1 | ERP Implementation Studies | |
| 2.2 | ERP Post-implementation Focus | |
| 2.3 | Theoretical Foundation | |
| 2.4 | Research Framework | 22 |
| CHAPTI | ER 3 | 25 |
| | | |
| 3.0 | HESES AND MEASUREMENT DEVELOPMENT | |
| 3.0 3.1 | Hypotheses Development | |
| 3.1.1 | IS Sophistication and Intermediate ERP Benefits | Zi |
| 3.1.2 | Interdependence and Intermediate ERP Benefits | |
| 3.1.3 | Differentiation and Intermediate ERP Benefits | |
| 3.1.4 | ERP Intermediate Benefits and Overall Benefits at the Local Level | |
| 3.2 | Development of Measurement | |
| 3.2.1 | IS Sophistication | |
| 3.2.2 | Interdependence | |
| 3.2.3 | Differentiation | |
| 3.2.4 | Intermediate Benefits of ERP | |
| 3.2.5 | ERP Overall Benefits | |
| 3.2.6 | Customization | 43 |
| 3.2.7 | Time Elapsed Since ERP Implementation | 45 |
| CHAPTI | ER 4 | 46 |
| | RCH METHODOLOGY | |
| 4.0 | Introduction | |
| 4.0 4.1 | Overview of Research Design | |
| 4.1 | Research Design | |
| 4.3 | Sampling Design | |
| 4.4 | Questionnaire Design | |
| 4.5 | Pilot Test | |

| 4.6 | Demographic Profile of Respondents | 55 |
|--------|--|-----|
| 4.6.1 | | |
| 4.7 | Summary | 58 |
| CHAPT | ER 5 | 59 |
| DATA A | ANALYSIS AND RESULTS | 59 |
| 5.0 | Introduction | 59 |
| 5.1 | Factor Analysis | |
| 5.1.1 | Factor Analysis - Individual Dimensions | 60 |
| 5.2 | Descriptive Analysis | |
| 5.3 | Data Analysis Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) | 73 |
| 5.4 | Partial Least Squares Analysis (PLS) | |
| 5.5 | Assessment of Measurement Models | 74 |
| 5.5.1 | Instrument Validity and Reliability | |
| 5.5.1 | 9 | |
| 5.5.1 | | |
| 5.5.1 | | |
| 5.6 | Assessment of the Structural Model | |
| 5.6.1 | Overall Model | |
| 5.6.2 | 71 | |
| 5.7 | Summary | 91 |
| CHAPT | ER 6 | 92 |
| DISCUS | SSION AND IMPLICATOINS | 92 |
| 6.0 | Introduction | 92 |
| 6.1 | Research Findings | 92 |
| 6.2 | Theoretical Implications | 96 |
| 6.3 | Practical Implications | 97 |
| 6.4 | Limitations and Future Research | |
| 6.5 | Conclusion | 104 |
| REFER | ENCES | 105 |
| APPEN | DIXES | 115 |
| | endix 1 – Questionnaire Form | |
| | endix 2 – SPSS Report – Factor Analysis | |
| | endix 3 – SPSS Report – Descriptive Statistics | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 | Definitions and Constructs in the Model | 23 |
|------------|---|----|
| Table 3.1 | IS Sophistication Constructs Development | 32 |
| Table 3.2 | Interdependence Constructs Development | 35 |
| Table 3.3 | Differentiation Constructs Development | 39 |
| Table 3.4 | ERP Misfit Resolution Strategies | 43 |
| Table 4.1 | Summary of the Respondents Profile in the Sample | 56 |
| Table 5.1 | Final Factor Analysis –Three Components has been identified | 61 |
| Table 5.2 | Results of the Factor Analysis | 62 |
| Table 5.3 | Exploratory Factor Analysis Loading | 65 |
| Table 5.4 | Summary of Constructs | 67 |
| Table 5.5 | Descriptive Statistics of Variables | 69 |
| Table 5.6 | Correlations and measures of validity among variables | 77 |
| Table 5.7 | Summary of PLS Quality (AVE, R Square, Composite Reliability and Cronbach's Alpha) | 79 |
| Table 5.8 | Comparison of the Structural Models – Summary | 83 |
| Table 5.9 | Comparison of the Structural Models – Results of the PLS Analysis: Path Coefficients | 83 |
| Table 5.10 | Summary of the Structural Model | 90 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1 | Conceptual Model of ERP Impact at the Local Level | 19 |
|------------|--|-----|
| Figure 2.2 | Detailed Conceptual Model of ERP Impact at the Local Level | .20 |
| Figure 2.3 | Dimensions of IT Sophistication | 21 |
| Figure 2.4 | Conceptual Model of IS Sophistication and Performance Measurement System | 21 |
| Figure 2.5 | Research Framework | 22 |
| Figure 3.1 | Research Propositions | 25 |
| Figure 4.1 | Stages in the Development of the Survey | 47 |
| Figure 4.2 | Schematic of Research Layout Design | 52 |
| Figure 5.1 | Structural Model Results – Full Model | 84 |
| Figure 5.1 | Structural Model Results – Theoretical Model Only | 85 |
| Figure 5.3 | Structural Model Results – Control Model Only | .86 |