ABSTRACT

Implementing an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system in a company can bring many benefits. However, ERP implementations have been high spending projects with low success rates. International ERP vendors are now trying to extend their market to companies in developing countries. However, little research has been conducted on ERP implementation in developing countries. So, understanding the critical success factors (CSFs) involved in ERP implementation would be of benefit to implementing companies and ERP software vendors. Thus, this research developed and empirically tested a model for ERP implementation success in the context of a developing country, namely Iran.

A survey questionnaire was employed to collect data for this research. The structural equation modeling (SEM) was utilized to test the relationships hypothesized. It was found that the relationships between enterprise-wide communication, project management, ERP team composition and competence, ERP system quality, and ERP vendor support and ERP implementation success were significantly positive. Furthermore, organizational culture indicated a moderating effect on the above mentioned relationships. However, the study did not find significant relationship between business process reengineering and ERP implementation success.

This study has contributed to academic research by creating the empirical evidence to support the theories of CSFs and ERP implementation success. In addition, the outcomes of this study are useful in making ERP vendors and consultants familiar with the difficulties of implementation in developing countries and preparing some strategies to overcome the barriers. The results also present the managers of adopting companies with the ability to classify strategies, evaluation guides, checkpoints, and measure requirements that offer them a far greater likelihood of ERP implementation success.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I wish to give my sincerest gratitude to Almighty God for granting me the wisdom and good health to do this research. I am extremely thankful to Almighty God for His grace, mercy and faithfulness towards me. I will always serve and trust Almighty God.

Though only my name appears as the author of this thesis, a great many individuals have contributed to its production. My deepest gratitude goes to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Ainin Binti Sulaiman. I have been fortunate to have had a supervisor who allowed me the scholarly freedom I needed to explore on my own while at the same time providing me with much needed guidance. Her insightful comments and constructive criticisms at different stages of my research were instrumental in helping me to stay focused and on track. I am indebted to her for her continuous encouragement, guidance, and most importantly her patience.

On a personal note, I would like to express my great appreciation to my wife Maryam Ramazani Khorshid-Doust and my lovely sons, Parsa and Sadra. Without their continued love, patience, firm support and constant encouragement, the successful completion of my doctoral programme could never have been possible. I am also grateful to my parents Faramarz Dezdar and Homa Roushan-Ghias for their best wishes and prayers.

I would like to express my gratitude to five well-known ERP scholars who assessed the validity of my questionnaire; Professor Hooshang M. Beheshti from the Faculty of Business and Economics, Radford University, USA; Professor Ike C. Ehie from the Faculty of Business Administration, Kansas State University, USA; Professor Jahangir Karimi from the School of Business, University of Colorado, USA; Professor John Ward from the School of Management, Cranfield University, Bedford, UK; and Professor Valerie Botta-

Genoulaz from the Faculty of Information Technology, National Institute of Applied Sciences of Lyon, France.

Last, but definitely not least, I must thank all of the survey respondents, their companies and a number of IT/ERP experts in Iranian universities and enterprises who participated in this study, although I cannot reveal their names.

PUBLICATIONS FROM THIS RESEARCH

Parts of this study have been published or are in the process of publication:

- Dezdar, S., & Sulaiman, A. (2009). Successful enterprise resource planning implementation: Taxonomy of critical factors. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 109 (8), 1037-1052 (*ISI-Indexed Journal*).
- Dezdar, S., & Sulaiman, A. (2010). Enterprise resource planning implementation in Iran: An empirical study. *Eleventh Global Information Technology Management Association (GITMA) World Conference*, Washington, DC, USA, June 20-22.
- Dezdar, S., & Ainin, S. (2010). ERP implementation success in Iran: Examining the role of system environment factors. *International Conference on Business Information Systems (ICBIS2010)*, Paris, France, June 28-30 (*ISI-Indexed proceedings*).
- Dezdar, S., & Ainin, S. (2011). The influence of organizational factors on successful ERP implementation. *Management Decisions*, 49 (6) (*ISI-Indexed Journal*).
- Dezdar, S., & Ainin, S. (2010). ERP implementation success in Iran: Examining the role of system environment factors. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 66, 449-455 (SCOPUS-Indexed Journal).
- 6) Dezdar, S., & Ainin, S. (2011). Analysis of success measures in ERP implementation projects. *International Journal of Business Performance Management (SCOPUS-Indexed Journal, accepted for publication).*
- Dezdar, S., & Ainin, S. (2011). An integrated approach of ERP systems implementation success. *International Journal of Information Management (ISI-Indexed Journal, under review).*
- Dezdar, S., & Ainin, S. (2011). Examining ERP implementation success from a project environment perspective. *Business Process Management Journal (SCOPUS-Indexed Journal, under review)*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT | i |
|--|----|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | ii |
| PUBLICATIONS FROM THIS RESEARCH | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | v |
| LIST OF FIGURES | X |
| LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| CHAPTER (1): INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. Overview | 1 |
| 1.2. Problem Statement | 5 |
| 1.3. Research Questions and Objectives | 7 |
| 1.4. Scope of Study | 8 |
| 1.5. Iranian Context | 9 |
| 1.6. Research Methodology | 11 |
| 1.7. Significance of the Study | 13 |
| 1.8. Definition of Terms | 16 |
| 1.9. Organization of the Thesis | 21 |
| CHAPTER (2): LITERATURE REVIEW | 23 |
| 2.0. Introduction | 23 |
| 2.1. Overview of ERP Systems | 24 |
| 2.1.1. ERP Concept and Definition | 24 |
| 2.1.2. Benefits and Organizational Impacts of the ERP System | 27 |
| 2.1.3. ERP Market and Implementation Costs | 29 |
| 2.1.4. ERP System Implementation | 30 |
| 2.2. Critical Success Factors for ERP Implementation | 32 |
| 2.2.1. Content Analysis of ERP Implementation CSFs | 41 |
| 2.2.2. Frequency Analysis of ERP Implementation CSFs | 49 |
| 2.2.3. Comparative Analysis of ERP Implementation CSFs | 50 |
| 2.2.4. Description of ERP Implementation CSFs | 52 |
| | |

| 2.3. ERP Implementation Success | 83 |
|--|-----|
| 2.3.1. Content Analysis of ERP Implementation Success Measures | 88 |
| 2.3.2. Frequency Analysis of ERP Implementation Success Measures | 96 |
| 2.3.3. Comparative Analysis of ERP Implementation Success Measures | 97 |
| 2.3.4. Description of ERP Implementation Success Measures | 99 |
| 2.4. Research Framework | 109 |
| 2.5. Summary | 113 |
| CHAPTER (3): RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 115 |
| 3.0. Introduction | 115 |
| 3.1. Research Design | 116 |
| 3.1.1. Research Paradigm | 116 |
| 3.1.2. Research Approach | 117 |
| 3.1.3. Research Methodology | 119 |
| 3.1.5. Population of Interest and Unit of Analysis | 120 |
| 3.1.6. Sampling Frame and Sampling Method | 122 |
| 3.2. Measurement of Variables | 125 |
| 3.2.1. Enterprise-Wide Communication | 126 |
| 3.2.2. Business Process Reengineering | 127 |
| 3.2.3. Project Management | 129 |
| 3.2.4. ERP Team Composition and Competence | 130 |
| 3.2.5. ERP System Quality | 131 |
| 3.2.6. ERP Vendor Support | 132 |
| 3.2.7. Organizational Culture | 135 |
| 3.2.8. ERP Implementation Success | 137 |
| 3.3. Hypotheses Development | 140 |
| 3.4. Questionnaire Design | 146 |
| 3.4.1. Principals of the Wording of the Questionnaire | 146 |
| 3.4.2. Principals of Appearance of the questionnaire | 148 |
| | |

| 3.5. Validity and Reliability Assessment of Questionnaire | 149 |
|--|-----|
| 3.5.1. Scales and Scaling Techniques | 149 |
| 3.5.2. Assessment of Questionnaire Validity | 150 |
| 3.5.3. Assessment of Questionnaire Reliability | 152 |
| 3.6. Questionnaire Distribution | 154 |
| 3.7. Data Analysis Techniques Used | 156 |
| 3.7.1. Overview of Structural Equation Modeling | 156 |
| 3.7.2. Structural Equation Modeling Stages | 159 |
| 3.8. Summary | 164 |
| CHAPTER (4): DATA ANALYSIS | 166 |
| 4.0. Introduction | 166 |
| 4.1. Data Preparation for Data Analysis | 167 |
| 4.2. Descriptive Statistics | 168 |
| 4.3. Multivariate Assumptions | 171 |
| 4.3.1. Normality, Outliers, Linearity and Homoscedasticity | 171 |
| 4.3.2. Outliers | 180 |
| 4.3.3. Multicollinearity | 181 |
| 4.4. Test of Common Method Bias | 181 |
| 4.5. Test of Non-Response Bias | 183 |
| 4.6. Structural Equation Modeling Analysis | 184 |
| 4.6.1. Measurement Model Assessment | 184 |
| 4.6.2. Discriminant validity | 187 |
| 4.6.3. Convergent Validity | 189 |
| 4.6.4. Confirmatory Factor Analysis | 189 |
| 4.6.5. Structural Model Assessment | 191 |
| 4.7. Hypotheses Testing | 192 |
| 4.8. General Level of ERP Implementation Success and CSFs | 198 |
| 4.8.1. Enterprise-Wide Communication | 198 |
| 4.8.2. Business Process Reengineering | 198 |

| | rag |
|--|-----|
| 4.8.3. Project Management | 200 |
| 4.8.4. ERP Team Composition and Competence | 200 |
| 4.8.5. ERP System Quality | 201 |
| 4.8.6. ERP Vendor Support | 201 |
| 4.8.7. Organizational Culture | 203 |
| 4.8.8. ERP User Satisfaction | 203 |
| 4.8.9. Organizational Impact | 204 |
| 4.9. Summary | 205 |
| CHAPTER (5): CONCLUSION | 207 |
| 5.0. Introduction | 207 |
| 5.1. Summary of Research | 207 |
| 5.2. Summary of Findings | 215 |
| 5.2.1. Enterprise-Wide Communication | 217 |
| 5.2.2. Business Process Reengineering | 217 |
| 5.2.3. Project Management | 218 |
| 5.2.4. ERP Team Composition and Competence | 219 |
| 5.2.5. ERP System Quality | 219 |
| 5.2.6. ERP Vendor Support | 220 |
| 5.2.7. Organizational Culture | 220 |
| 5.3. Recommendations for Successful ERP Implementation | 222 |
| 5.3.1. Enterprise-Wide Communication | 222 |
| 5.3.2. Business Process Reengineering | 224 |
| 5.3.3. Project Management | 228 |
| 5.3.4. ERP Team Composition and Competence | 229 |
| 5.3.5. ERP System Quality | 231 |
| 5.3.6. ERP Vendor Support | 232 |
| 5.3.7. Organizational Culture | 234 |

| 5.4. Theoretical Contributions | 237 |
|---|-----|
| 5.5. Managerial Contributions | 239 |
| 5.6. Research Limitations | 240 |
| 5.7. Future Research | 241 |
| APPENDIX (A): List of CSFs Used by Prior Research | 243 |
| APPENDIX (B): List of Success Measures Used by Prior Research | 262 |
| APPENDIX (C): Approval of Research Questionnaire Set | 272 |
| APPENDIX (D): Research Questionnaire Set | 278 |
| APPENDIX (E): Data Analysis Outputs | 287 |
| LIST OF REFERENCES | 343 |

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

| Figure (2.1): Anatomy of ERP Systems | 25 |
|---|-----|
| Figure (2.2): ERP Sophistication Hierarchy | 26 |
| Figure (2.3): Taxonomy for ERP Critical Factors | 36 |
| Figure (2.4): Information Systems Success Model | 85 |
| Figure (2.5): Updated DeLone and McLean Success Model | 87 |
| Figure (2.6): Research Framework for ERP Implementation Success | 113 |
| Figure (3.1): Four Correlated First-Order Factors (Model A) | 161 |
| Figure (3.2): Second-Order Factor (Model B) | 161 |
| Figure (3.3): Moderator Model | 163 |
| Figure (4.1): Descriptive Statistics of Enterprise-Wide Communication | 173 |
| Figure (4.2): Descriptive Statistics of Business Processes Reengineering | 174 |
| Figure (4.3): Descriptive Statistics of Project Management | 174 |
| Figure (4.4): Descriptive Statistics of Team Composition and Competence | 175 |
| Figure (4.5): Descriptive Statistics of ERP System Quality | 176 |
| Figure (4.6): Descriptive Statistics of ERP Vendor Support | 177 |
| Figure (4.7): Descriptive Statistics of Organizational Culture | 178 |
| Figure (4.8): Descriptive Statistics of User Satisfaction | 178 |
| Figure (4.9): Descriptive Statistics of Organizational Impact | 179 |
| Figure (4.10): Structural Model – ERP Implementation Success | 192 |
| Figure (4.11): Path Analysis Results for ERP Implementation Success Model | 195 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table (2.1): ERP System Benefits | 28 |
|---|-----|
| Table (2.2): Critical Success Factors Model | 33 |
| Table (2.3): Unified Critical Success Factors Model | 34 |
| Table (2.4): Categorization of CSFs for ERP Systems | 37 |
| Table (2.5): ERP Critical Success Factors and Sub-factors | 38 |
| Table (2.6): Classification of CSFs for ERP Systems | 39 |
| Table (2.7): CSFs for ERP Implementation | 40 |
| Table (2.8): Keywords Used for Search | 44 |
| Table (2.9): Compilation of CSFs for ERP Implementation | 47 |
| Table (2.10): Frequency Analysis of CSFs for ERP Implementation | 49 |
| Table (2.11): Comparative Analysis of CSFs Ranking | 51 |
| Table (2.12): Compilation of Success Measures for ERP Implementation | 93 |
| Table (2.13): Frequency Analysis of ERP Success Measures | 97 |
| Table (2.14): Rank Order of ERP Implementation CSFs and Success Measures | 111 |
| Table (3.1): ERP Vendors in Iran | 124 |
| Table (3.2): Items Used for Measuring Enterprise-Wide Communication | 127 |
| Table (3.3): Items Used for Measuring Business Process Reengineering | 128 |
| Table (3.4): Items Used for Measuring Project Management | 130 |
| Table (3.5): Items Used for Measuring ERP Team Composition and Competence | 131 |
| Table (3.6): Items Used for Measuring ERP System Quality | 133 |
| Table (3.7): Items Used for Measuring ERP Vendor Support | 134 |
| Table (3.8): Items Used for Measuring Organizational Culture | 136 |
| Table (3.9): Items Used for Measuring ERP User Satisfaction | 138 |

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

| Table (3.10): Items Used for Measuring ERP Organizational Impact | 139 |
|--|-----|
| Table (3.11): Changes Made to Questionnaire in Content Validity Assessment | 152 |
| Table (3.12): Reliability Assessment of Variables | 153 |
| Table (3.13): Proportion of Respondents in Target Companies | 155 |
| Table (3.14): Comparison between Statistical Techniques | 157 |
| Table (4.1): Codes Used for Transcription of Data from the Questionnaire | 167 |
| Table (4.2): Characteristics of the Respondents | 169 |
| Table (4.3): Test of Common Method Bias | 182 |
| Table (4.4): Analysis of Non-response Bias | 183 |
| Table (4.5): Model Fit Indexes for Constructs | 185 |
| Table (4.6): Goodness of Fit Indexes for First and Second Order Model | 188 |
| Table (4.7): Discriminant Validity Test | 188 |
| Table (4.8): Convergent Validity Test | 190 |
| Table (4.9): Result of Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) | 191 |
| Table (4.10): Summary of Overall Fit Statistics – Structural Model | 191 |
| Table (4.11): AMOS Structural Modeling and Path Analysis Results | 194 |
| Table (5.12): Indicators of Enterprise-Wide Communication | 199 |
| Table (4.13): Indicators of Business Processes Reengineering | 199 |
| Table (4.14): Indicators of Project Management | 200 |
| Table (4.15): Indicators of Team Composition and Competence | 201 |
| Table (4.16): Indicators of ERP System Quality | 202 |
| Table (4.17): Indicators of ERP Vendor Support | 202 |
| Table (4.18): Indicators of Organizational Culture | 203 |

 Table (4.19): Indicators of User Satisfaction
 204

Page

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

| Table (4.20): Indicators of Organizational Impact | 205 |
|--|-----|
| Table (5.1): Summary of Research Findings | 216 |
| Table (5.2): Comparison ERP CSFs with other Information Systems CSFs | 222 |

Page