CHAPTER II

AIRS OF PUNISHMENT

Since the beginning of civilised society, men who have wronged society in some way are sent away to penal institutions of the country. This is because, by imprisonment, several aims are hoped to be achieved. The aims of punishment are many, depending upon community interests and different periods of social evolution. While punishment in earlier periods meant physical torture and whipping, now it means a chance for rehabilitation.

A. Protection of Society

Society does not want to live together with persons convicted of criminal offences. The law-abiding citizen fears that he will be the next victim of the offender's next antisocial, violent activity. He therefore wants to be protected from such criminals and one way to do this is by Laprisoning convicted offenders in institutions with little chances of any escape.

¹ Punishment in this Chapter means imprisonment.

Tappan, however discents from the above argument and questions, "Wherein lies the fullest public relfare and how is it to be achieved?" He argues that three groups of people require special consideration when advocating this aim of punishment. Firstly, the taxpayer has to be considered. He has to bear the costs of administration of penal institutions and therefore wants them to be administered as cheaply and with as little 'visibility' or disturbance as possible. But once, the orime rate increases rapidly, he would pester for more punitive measures since he is in danger and may be on the criminals' victims list.

Secondly, the views of the actual or potential victim of the criminals need to be considered. The actual victim is out for vengence, he wants to see the criminal put in prison for as long as possible for what he has done to him. The potential victim may feel the same. This attitude, the writer submits, cannot be put under the aim of protection of society but rather under vengeance or retribution.

Thirdly, the innocent suspect who may be unjustly convicted or punished must also be considered. Just because society wants to be protected from criminals does not mean that

Paul W. Tappen, Contemporary Correction, McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., 1951, p. 5.

innocent suspects are liable to be imprisoned also. They too are part of society and have to be protected from any misjudgements of society by provisions of the law.

Thus we can see that in this aim of punishment, there are diversities of interests and it is difficult to protect all these interests at the same time.

B. Retribution

Society cants to have its revenge on convicted criminals by making them suffer the harsh and extreme conditions of prison life. This aim is reflected in capital and corporal punishment, hard labour, solitary confinement and punitive detention. In the eighteenth century, this was the main aim of punishment. In the modern times, retribution can be classified into three headings: The first is retalistory retribution as Suffering. is intentionally inflicted on the offender but this is commensurate with the crime and degree of crime set out in the Penal Code. The second is distributive retribution which is a restriction in the authods of junishment. Probation is an example whereby a convicted offender is not sent to prison but continues to live in society though restricted in his movements for a particular scripd of time. The third is quantitive retribution whereby there should be a limit to the nature and extent of punishment inflicted and that punishment for retributive purposes should fit the crime committed.

Thus, this impulse for vengeance, though it may satisfy the public's sense of justice, has to be administered with care lest convicted offenders are sumished more than they deserve.

C. Deterrence

By imprisoning convicted offenders, it is hoped that potential ones will be deterred. No doubt social good can be achieved through this aim, but, by itself, deterrence cannot justify the sentencing of an offender to prison. Deterrent punishment knows no limit, its only purpose is to punish present criminals to effect fear in potentially dangerous ones. If such an argument is upheld, punishing the innocent will serve the same purpose as punishing the guilty. But it can also be argued that the Penal Code in our country provides the limits of terms of imprisonment in accordance to the nature of crime committed and that our Courts of law are there to ensure that no innocent person is being punished. This would refute the exgument that the innocent may be unjustly punished to deter potential criminals. But still the problem, that a person even though a criminal one will have to be used to benefit society at large will not be solved. To deter potential offenders, sentencers may pass maximum limits of sentence which may be unsuitable for a particular offender, taking into consideration the individual circumstances of the case. That offender therefore is being used to protect society from potential criminals.

D. Robabilitation

The objective of redebilitation is to reform of andors so that they no longer threaten society but become useful units of it. This, the release sublits, is the most important aim of qualishment today. Through an effective rehabilitation programs the aggressive stabs and self-destructive lurches of our less well-managing fellows on our our out of.

treatment an entirely different aroon, sell-equipped to cope with any future roblem. Like a side-patient the has been to the doctor's, he was ends and proposes himself against any future relapse. Not all eximinate will change, but seem till. Taking the case unalogy of doctor-patient again, the fact that some patients will be cared enhances the public's faith in doctors and their treatment programs. Likewise, the public should support the rehabilitation programs. Delays enforced in the prisons.

It is not true to say that most prisoners are "fully exerc" of their treatment, but it will not also be truthful to say that they went no help from anyone, elthough some may say so. "Prisoners are individuals: some went treatment, some do not. Some do not even know what treatment is." Therefore

Karl Menninger, "The Crime of Punishment", in Contemporary Punishment: Views, Explanations and Justifications, edited by Rudolph J. Gerber and Patrick D. McAnany, University of Rotre Dame Press London, 1972, p. 178.

every prisoner should be given a chance to reform, the final choice is his, but everything must be done to help him make the correct choice.

There are some whowever who dissents that rehabilitation is the most important and the most effective aim of punishment.

Lewis questions: "What degree of free choice remains open to the individual who has been successfully 'treated and cured'?" From the moment a person breaks the law and is convicted for it, he is subject to treatment. "The things done to the criminals even if they are called cures, will be just as compulsory as they were in the old days when we called them punishments."

Another argument against rehabilitation is that rehabilitation can be a cover for neglect. Offenders can be put in prisons in the name of social reform and are left there interminably because they are "being cured". But this argument can be refuted by the fact that in our penal system, the term of sentence is fixed and there can be no question of an offender being left interminably in prison under the guise of reform.

Thus though the protection of society, deterrence and retribution are sound reasons for punishment, yet the effect will

⁴C.S. Lewis, "The Humanitarian Theory of Punishment", God In The Dock, Nm. B. Erdamans Publishing Co., 1954.

^{5&}lt;sub>Ibid.</sub>

only be temporarily in nature if nothing is done to rehabilitate offenders. The validity of this argument is embarked in Rule 3(1)(c) Prison Rules 1953 which provides that

"3(1)(c) At all times the treatment of convicted prisoners shall be such as to encourage their self-respect and a sense of personal responsibility, so as to rebuild their morale, to inculcate in them habits of good citizenship and hard work, to encourage them to lead a good and useful life on discharge and to fit them to do so."