TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ABSTRACT ---------- i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ---------- ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS ---------- iii
LIST OF TABLES ---------- v
LIST OF FIGURE ---------- vi

CHAPTER

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the Study ---------- 2
1.2 Scope of the Study ---------- 2
1.3 Significant of the Study ---------- 3
1.4 Limitations of the Study ---------- 4
1.5 Organization of the Study ---------- 4

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 An Introduction - End-User Computing (EUC) ---------- 6
2.2 Critical Success Factors (CSFs) Reviewed ---------- 7
2.2.1 Quality of User-Developed Applications ---------- 8
2.2.2 User self-sufficiency ---------- 8
2.2.3 Organizational Commitment ---------- 9
2.2.4 Quality of Staff ---------- 11
2.2.5 Variety of Services ---------- 12
2.2.6 Quality of Services ---------- 12
2.2.7 Facilitation of End-User Computing (EUC) ---------- 13
2.2.8 IS Role Definition ---------- 14

III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Objectives of Study ---- 16

3.2 Research Instrument ---- 16

3.3 Sampling Procedure ---- 18

3.4 Data Collection Method ---- 18

3.5 Data Analysis Techniques ---- 19

3.5.1 Reliability Test ---- 19

3.5.2 Factor Analysis ---- 20

3.5.3 Regression Analysis ---- 21

IV RESEARCH RESULTS

4.1 Characteristics of the Respondents ---- 22

4.2 Perceptions towards different Critical Success Factors (CSFs) -- 24

4.3 Internal Consistency Reliability Assessment ---- 28

4.4 Factor Analysis ---- 29

4.5 Regression Analysis ---- 32

4.6 Discussion of the model of IS success ---- 40

4.6.1 Strategic Planning ---- 40

4.6.2 Management Control ---- 41

4.6.3 Operational Control ---- 42

V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Suggestions for Additional Research ---- 45

5.2 Practical Implications of the Research Findings ---- 46

BIBLIOGRAPHY ---- 47

APPENDIXES 1 ---- 50

LIST OF TABLES

Table Page

Table 1: Division Status of Responding End-Users ---------- 23
Table 2: Working Experience of Responding End-Users ---------- 23
Table 3: Ranking of Perception on the Importance of Different CSFs ---------- 25
Table 4: Ranking of Perception on the Importance of Different
Composite CSFs ---------- 26
Table 5: Reliability Coefficients for the Composite Scales ----------28
Table 6: Factor Analysis ----------30
Table 7a: Regression Results – First-Level Relationships ----------33
Table 7b: Regression Results – Second-Level Relationships ----------34
Table 7c: Regression Results – Second-Level Relationships ----------35
Table 7d: Regression Results – Third-Level Relationships ----------36
Table 7e: Regression Results – Third-Level Relationships ----------37

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure Page

Figure 1: A model of end-user satisfaction with IS based on composite CSFs ---------- 39