

R

ACD - 8678

MSA 16/4/98/2

# MEASURING UNIT TRUST FUND PERFORMANCE USING DIFFERENT BENCHMARKS

By  
**AW MEE WAH**  
Bachelor of Engineering in (Electrical)  
University of Malaya  
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia  
1992

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A507729935

Submitted to the Faculty of  
Economic & Administration  
University of Malaya  
in partial fulfilment of  
the requirement for  
the Degree of

**MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**  
March, 1997

Dimikrofiskan pada 11-68-2000  
No. Mikrofis. 14802  
Jumlah Mikrofis. 1  
HANSIAH BT. MUHAMMAD ZAHARI

UNIT REPROGRAFI  
PERPUSTAKAAN UTAMA  
UNIVERSITI MALAYA

*1/2 Sekiranya : Fala hi lasted to ...  
berminyak + berakuman  
30/1/98/2/1/2*

<b>CONTENT</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	ii
<b>CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 TYPE OF UNIT TRUST FUND	3
1.3 RISK-RETURN RELATIONSHIP OF VARIOUS INVESTMENT	6
1.4 ADVANTAGES OF UNIT TRUST FUND	7
1.5 DISADVANTAGES OF UNIT TRUST FUND	9
1.6 EVOLUTION OF UNIT TRUST IN MALAYSIA	10
1.7 FACTORS TO CONSIDER IN INVESTMENT OF UNIT TRUST FUND	19
1.8 LEGISLATION	22
1.9 OBJECTIVE OF STUDY	24
1.10 SOURCES OF DATA	24
1.11 SCOPE OF STUDY	24
1.12 LIMITATIONS OF STUDY	25
1.13 ORGANISATION OF THE REPORT	27
<b>CHAPTER II : LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 INTRODUCTION	28
2.1.1 Empirical Studies in the West	28
2.1.2 Empirical Studies in Singapore and Malaysia	32
<b>CHAPTER III : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 CONTINUOUSLY COMPOUNDED RATE OF RETURN	37
3.2 RISK MEASUREMENT	39

3.3 INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	40
3.3.1 Tracking Fund Performance Using MICROPAL System	40
3.3.2 Method of calculation of the performance table in Micropal system	42
3.3.3 Sharpe Index and Adjusted Sharpe Index	43
3.3.4 Treynor Index	43
3.3.5 Jensen's Alpha and Adjusted Jensen's Alpha	44
3.4 DEGREE OF RISK DIVERSIFICATION OF UNIT TRUSTS	45
3.5 CONSISTENCY OF PERFORMANCE USING VARIOUS METHOD	45
3.6 EVALUATION OF FUNDS ADHERE TO THEIR OBJECTIVE	46
3.7 FORECASTING ABILITY OF INVESTMENT MANAGERS	48
<b>CHAPTER IV : RESEARCH RESULTS</b>	
4.1 INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE	49
4.2 BETA VALUES	61
4.3 RISKS DIVERSIFICATION	64
4.4 RESULTS FOR FUNDS GROUP ACCORDING TO THEIR OBJECTIVE	65
4.5 FORECASTING ABILITY OF INVESTMENT MANAGERS	69
4.6 MICROPAL : TRACKING FUND PERFORMANCE RESULTS	72
<b>CHAPTER V : CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	
5.1 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	74
5.2 IMPLICATIONS OF THE RESEARCH	76
5.3 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	77
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	
<b>APPENDIX A : LIST OF UNIT TRUST FUNDS IN MALAYSIA</b>	
<b>APPENDIX B : EXAMPLE OF THE CALCULATION USING MICROPAL RANKING</b>	

## ABSTRACT

This research is aimed at studying the investment performance and ranking of unit trust funds in Malaysia using different benchmarks as market portfolio to analyze how sensitive the benchmarks affect the funds performance. Two different benchmarks used in this study are KLSE Composite Index and KLSE Emas. Other studies include : the degree of risk diversification, the systematic risk of the funds, whether the funds adhere to their stated objectives and whether fund manager have the ability to predict security prices. Finally, the performance measurement tools are compared with Micropal ranking systems which is independent fund analysis company responsible to rank Malaysia unit trust performance since January, 1996.

The findings revealed that for the thirteen year period from January 1984 to December 1996, most of the funds in the sample of thirty two private unit trust funds performed worse than the market portfolio. More funds outperformed market portfolio using Emas Index as benchmark compared to Composite Index. It was also shown that the funds were not very well diversified portfolios but systematic risks were lower than the market portfolio.

In summary, the funds did not adhere very well to their stated objectives and hence could not be relied upon by investors as qualitative guide post for investment. The research also showed that only few fund managers could forecast security prices and manage to outperform the naive "buy and hold" strategy. But there are some fund managers showing significantly poor forecasting ability because they underperform the market risk-free rate.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am deeply indebted to Dr. Leong Kai Hin for his invaluable guidance, advice and comments throughout this research. I am also grateful to Mr. Jacob Thomas (Executive Director) of Federation of Malaysian Unit Trust Managers, Mr. David O'Dwyer (General Manager) of Micropal Asia Limited, Mr. Teck Chye Christopher See (Investment Analyst) of Asia Unit Trust Berhad, Ms. Julianna Lim (Marketing Manager) of Arab Malaysia Unit Trusts Berhad, En. Tengku Ariff Tengku Abdul Rahman (Assistant Finance and Operations Manager) of BBMB Unit Trust Management Berhad, Mr. Goh Teck Eng (General Manager) of BHLB Pacific Trust Management Berhad, Mr. Siew Yew Hong (Senior Manager) of DCB-RHB Unit Trust Management Berhad, Mr. Wong Boon Choy (General Manager) of Kuala Lumpur Mutual Berhad, Ms Caren Lim (Customer Service Manager) of MBF Unit Trust Management Berhad, En. Nik Sulaiman (Marketing Manager) of Mayban Management Berhad and Mr. Nathan Balakrishnan (General Manager) of SBB Unit Trust Management Berhad for their cooperation and assistance in providing the necessary research data which made this research both possible and enjoyable experience.

My sincere gratitude goes to my parents and my life partner whose understanding, love and support made this accomplishment possible. Many thanks are also extended to my company for making available valuable time and resources needed for completing the study.