

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii - iv
Table of Contents	v - viii
List of Tables	ix - xi
List of Figure	xii
 CHAPTER I	
1.0 Introduction	1 – 12
1.1 Significance of the Study	13 – 16
1.2 Statement of the Problem	16 – 19
1.3 Limitation of the Study	19 – 20
1.4 Research Questions	20 – 21
1.5 Hypotheses	22
1.6 Definition of Terms	22 – 26
 CHAPTER II	
LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.0 Introduction	27 – 28
2.1 Physical Education in Malaysian Schools	28 – 32
2.2 Concepts and Definitions of Attitudes	33 – 38
2.3 Attitude Formation	38 – 43
2.4 Related Research	43 – 78
2.5 Studies Relating to Academic Fields Of Study	78 – 80
2.6 Studies Relating to Athletic Involvement	81 – 85
2.7 Conclusion	85 – 88

CHAPTER III**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

	Page
3.0 Introduction	89
3.1 Selection of Subjects for the Study	90 – 93
3.2 Instrumentation	94 – 98
3.3 Adaptation and Pilot Study of the Kenyon's ATPA Model	98 – 102
3.4 Selection of School and Subjects	102 – 103
3.5 Administration of Questionnaire	103
3.6 Data Collection and Analysis	104 – 108
3.7 Summary	108

CHAPTER IV**RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS**

4.0 Introduction	109 – 111
4.1 (a) Descriptive Statistics (Frequency Distribution, Means and Standard Deviation of the Six Sub-domains) Of the Kenyon ATPA Scores of the Entire Sample (N=166)	112 – 120
4.1.1(a) Summary	120 – 121
4.1 (b) Descriptive Statistics (Frequency Distribution, Means and Standard Deviation of the Six Sub-domains) of the Kenyon ATPA Scores of the Arts and Science students	122 – 128
4.1.1(b) Summary	128 – 129

	Page
4.1. (c) A Comparison of the Six Sub-domain Mean Attitudinal Scores Between the Arts (N=102) and Science (N=64) Students	130 – 134
4.1.1(c) Summary	134 – 137
4.1 (d) Descriptive Statistics (Frequency Distribution, Means and Standard Deviation of the Six Sub-domains) Of the Kenyon ATPA Scores of the Athletes and Non-athletes	138 – 143
4.1.1(d) Summary	144 – 145
4.1 (e) A Comparison of the Six Mean Attitudinal Score Between the Athletes (N=60) and Non-Athletes (N=106)	145 - 150
4.1.1(e) Summary	150 – 152
4.1 (f) Hypotheses Testing of Attitude Differences between Arts and Science students	152 – 154
4.1.1(f) Summary	154 – 155
4.1 (g) Hypotheses Testing of Attitude Differences between Athletes and Non-athletes	155 – 157
4.1.1(g) Summary	158

CHAPTER V

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.0	Introduction	159 – 161
-----	--------------	-----------

	Page
5.1 Discussion of Findings	
(a) The Students' Attitudes Toward Physical Activity:	
(i) As a Social Experience	161 - 165
(ii) As an Ascetic Experience	166 - 168
(iii) As a Cathartic Function	168 - 169
(iv) As an Aesthetic Experience	169 - 170
(v) For Health and Fitness	171 - 174
(vi) As a Pursuit of Vertigo	174 - 175
(b) Relationship between the Students' Attitude Toward Physical Activity and Their Academic Fields of Study	176 - 178
(c) Relationship Between the Students' Attitude Toward Physical Activity And Their Athletic Involvement	178 - 180
5.2 Findings	180 - 182
5.3 Conclusion	182 - 185
5.4 Recommendations	186 - 187

BIBLIOGRAPHY	188 - 202
--------------	-----------

APPENDIXES

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 3.0	Test-Retest Reliability Coefficients for the Women Form of The ATPA as determined by Tan (1991) in her study	98
Table 3.1	T-test Between the test-retest mean scores of Each sub-domain in this present pilot study (N= 30 subjects)	101
Table 3.2	Test-retest reliability coefficients for the Women Form of The ATPA	101
Table 3.3	Distribution of Cut-Off Points for Individual Scores of Attitude based on the Aesthetic Sub-domain (Has 9 items)	106
Table 3.4	Distribution of Cut-Off Points for Individual Scores of Attitude based on the Social Sub-domain (Has 8 items)	107
Table 3.5	Distribution of Cut-Off Points for Individual Scores of Attitude based on the Health and Fitness Sub-domain (Has 11 items)	107
Table 4.1	Distribution of Cut-Off Points for Individual Scores of Attitude based on the Aesthetic Sub-domain (Has 9 items)	113
Table 4.2	Distribution of Cut-Off Points for Individual Scores of Attitude based on the Social Sub-domain (Has 8 items)	114
Table 4.3	Distribution of Cut-Off Points for Individual Scores of Attitude based on the Health and Fitness Sub-domain (Has 11 items)	114
Table 4.4	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean & Standard Deviation of the Entire Group of Subjects (N= 166) Toward Physical Activity Based on the Aesthetic, Catharsis and Vertigo Sub-domains	115

Table 4.5	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean & Standard Deviation of the Entire Group of Subjects (N= 166) Toward Physical Activity Based on the Health & Fitness Sub-domain	118
Table 4.6	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean and Standard Deviation of the Entire Group of Subjects (N= 166) Toward Physical Activity Based on the Social & Ascetic Sub-domains	119
Table 4.7	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean and Standard Deviation of the Arts & Science Students Toward Physical Activity Based on the Aesthetic, Catharsis and Vertigo Sub-domains	122
Table 4.8	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean and Standard Deviation of the Arts & Science Students Toward Physical Activity Based on the Health & Fitness Sub-domain	125
Table 4.9	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean and Standard Deviation of the Arts & Science Students Toward Physical Activity Based on the Social & Ascetic Sub-domains	126
Table 4.10	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Aesthetic Sub-domain scores Between Arts (N=102) & Science (N=64) Students	130
Table 4.11	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Catharsis Sub-domain scores Between Arts (N=102) & Science (N=64) Students	131
Table 4.12	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Health & Fitness Sub-domain scores Between Arts (N=102) & Science (N=64) Students	132
Table 4.13	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Social Sub-domain scores Between Arts (N=102) & Science (N=64) Students	132
Table 4.14	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Vertigo Sub-domain scores Between Arts (N=102) & Science (N=64) Students	133

Table 4.15	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Ascetic Sub-domain scores Between Arts (N=102) & Science (N=64) Students	134
Table 4.16	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean & Standard Deviation of the Athletes & Non-athletes Toward Physical Activity based On the Aesthetic, Catharsis & Vertigo Sub-domains	138
Table 4.17	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean & Standard Deviation of the Athletes & Non-athletes Toward Physical Activity based On the Health & Fitness Sub-domain	141
Table 4.18	Frequency Distribution of Scores, Mean & Standard Deviation of the Athletes & Non-athletes Toward Physical Activity based On the Social & Ascetic Sub-domains	142
Table 4.19	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Aesthetic Sub-domain scores Between Athletes (N=60) & Non-athletes (N=106)	146
Table 4.20	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Catharsis Sub-domain scores Between Athletes (N=60) & Non-athletes (N=106)	146
Table 4.21	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Health & Fitness Sub-domain scores Between Athletes (N=60) & Non-athletes (N=106)	147
Table 4.22	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Social Sub-domain scores Between Athletes (N=60) & Non-athletes (N=106)	148
Table 4.23	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Vertigo Sub-domain scores Between Athletes (N=60) & Non-athletes (N=106)	149
Table 4.24	Results of the T-test for Mean Difference in the Ascetic Sub-domain scores Between Athletes (N=60) & Non-athletes (N=106)	150

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1	37
A Schematic Conception of Attitudes (after Rosenberg & Hovland, 1960, cited in Triandis, 1971)	