CHAPTER VI

CASES OF CO-OPERATION

Analogous to the situation of co-ownership in respect of ownership of a holding is the situation of co-operation in respect of operation of a farm. The situation of co-operation in farming arises thus when two or more operators operate a lot, each having his own demarcated share of the lot.

As can be seen from Map IV, there are 35 lots in Block P which involve co-operation. This constitutes about 33.7% of the total number of lots. Looking from the standpoint of operation, 79 farms are co-operated. This represents about 50.4% of the total 137 farms in the Block. The extent of co-operation in the Block is thus considerably large.

Some of these co-operated lots have two co-operators. Others have three. Table 6.1 shows that there are 26 lots with two co-operators, while Table 6.2 shows that there are nine lots with three co-operators.

The acreage sperated by each co-operator, as shown in Column 4 of the two Tables, is necessarily less than the acreage of a let which is usually three acres. It varies between cases, ranging from as small as half acre to as large as $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres. Table 6.1 shows that in those lets operated by two co-operators, the most frequent size of a co-operated sub-let is $1\frac{1}{2}$ acres. There are 42 sub-lets of this acreage from the total of 52. This is the outcome of equal division of a let of three acres into two sub-lets. The remaining sub-lets are of different other sizes ranging from half acre to $2\frac{1}{2}$ acres. Table 6.2 depicts that in those lets operated by three co-operators, a sub-let of one acre is the most frequent cases, accounting for 16 out of 27 sub-lets. Again, this is the legical outcome of dividing a let of three acres into three equal sub-lets. The rest of the sub-lets in the Table very between half acre to $1\frac{3}{4}$ acres.

The existence of the situation of co-operation in the Block is due to a number of circumstances, set out in Column 6 of the two Tables. Nost notable among these is that it arises from the situation of co-ownership of lots. As we have seen in the previous Chapter, all co-owners operate their sub-lots. Hence they are co-operators. It can be observed that all the 12 cases of co-ownership depicted in Table 5.1 occur again here, either in Table 6.1 or Table 6.2 according to whether the co-owners are two or three.

TABLE 6.1

CO-OPERATED LOTS WITH TWO CO-OPERATORS

(1)	(2)	(2)	(4) Area of Co-	(5)	(6)
ian No.	Lot Bo.	Co-operators	Operated Sub-Let (Acres)	Situations of Operation	Circumstances Siving Rise to Co-operation
1	2825	Runasir bin Varkil	¥	Co-sener/Co-sperator	
		Toktein	1	ø	
2	2627	Sits Ratauseh	棒	Co-super/Co-sperator	
		ilaji Jalaluddin	H	\$	
3	2605	Rejs Her bie Tekarid	1	Co-suser/Co-operator	
		Hold, Senta	2		
4	2837	Jester bin Tenedi	12	Co-amer/Co-aperetor	Ce-amarsh (
		Sanon bin Sabari	h		
5	2844	Serip bin H. Tehtr	¥	Co-macr/Co-aparator	
		Reji Diayeri bin Suro	H	#	
Ü	2947	Telb bin for Salin	h	Co-sumer/Co-operator	
		Kerdi bin A. Senad	¥	9	
7	2392	Heli Ali bin Sarkan	睫	Co-outer/Co-operator	
		Heji A. H edi	H		
£	2797	Hall forebla b. A. Rebla)	Husband Co-operator	
		Andri bin Ali	2)	Tonant/Co-oparator	
9	2814	Arabod bin Haji Isaail	積	Owner/Co-operator	
•		Almad bin Tabe	ħ	Tenest/Co-operator	
10	2078	Hj. Ibrahim b. A. Shuhar	¥	Owner/Co-operator	
•••		Nj. Selleh	H	Tenent/Co-operator	Part lel
11	2878	Yusuf bin Hj. Sidok	1	Owner/Co-operator	Teathey
•••		Loso	2	Tenent/Co-operator	And the control of th
12	2860	Haji Hashin bin Strick	¥	Owner/Co-sperator	Parameter and control of the control
P.		Heji Assuf	1	Tenent/Co-operator	
u	2888	Haji Nehd. Ihean	ħ	Owner/Co-operator	
9 3		Total Chan	19	Tenent/Co-operator	

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4) Area of Co-	(5)	(6)
ase i o.	Left No.	Co-operators	Operated Sub-Let (Acres)	Situations of Operation	Circumstances Siving Rise to Co-operation
34	2819	Relater bin. Algori	¥	Tenant/Co-sperator	
		Sarmon	¥	•	Khole-Lat
15	2828	Sulong bia Saidin	H	Tonant/Co-operator	Termey
		late	¥	ii ii	_
K	2065	Ithrata bia Sastro Arjo	2	Tesant/Co-operator	
		leas	1	9.	
17	2368	Sinon bi, Sidsk	#	Owner/Co-sporator	
		Stand bin laria	12	Fether/Co-sparator	
18	2820	A. Sanad bin Saidin	¥	Cursor/Co-operator	
		Abo ficable bis fits	#	Relative/Co-operator	
19	2839	Surandi bin Act Keen	¥	Owner/Co-sporator	Partia)
		Separt bin Nat Kasan	H.	Brother-in-lan/Co-sporator	Gift
20	2353	Leburt bie Hj. A. Rejid	¥	Cuper/Ce-operator	
		Ketlem	B	Brother-k-law/Co-operator	•
21	2855	A. Reshid bin Nor Salin	#	Owner/Co-operator	
		Kampich bt. Nor salis	b	Sister/Co-operator	
22	2871	Sti Halizeb	감	Hife/Comperator	
		S hama l	*	Ro lat Iva/Co-aparator	
23	2802	Almed bin Abn Reshin	l à	San/Co-operator	
		Hagnah bt. Abo Hashio	<i>3</i> 3	Sister/Co-operator	Whole-Lat
24	2889	Asia bia Hiran	1	Son/Co-operator	61ft
±11 ₹		Res Iran	H	Son-to-law/Co-operator	
2 5	2817	Tabut bie A. Hehld	ħ	Beginsul Co-agorater	
		Seradi bin Acagai	l <u>è</u>	Tenent/Co-operator	Situation
26	2902		睁	Sen/Co-operator	
24		Aurhabas bin Basiren	1	Tonomit/Co-sporator	

TABLE 6.2

CO-OPERATED LOTS WITH THREE CO-OPERATORS

(1)	(2)	(3)	(b) Area of Co-	(5)	(6) Ctremetases
iese ie.	ist Se.	Co-operators	Operated Sub-Lot (Acres)	Situations of Operation	Styles Rise to Co-sporation
1	2789	Hehd, Khalil b. Hj. Ikesa	1	Co-sunor/Co-aportar	
		Anta bin Jenel			
		Kasaruddia bin Babir	H		
2	2790	Herman bin Segat	b	Co-ouner/Co-operator	
		Bol trin Yahaya	ş		
		A Jan	¥	•	Co-ownership
3	2791	Returned bt. Encho	¥	Co-owner/Co-operator	
		Reime bt. Behir	¥	Q	
		Death bit, Talk	*		
4	2857	Na.11 Robund	14	Co-operator	
		Bj. A. Rabto b. Hj. Ihean	1	•	
		11 j. dejish d. Kaadi	3	•	
5	2595	Agraf bin Kandi	•	Gener/Co-agarater	
		Japlant bio Resél	1	Brother/Co-operator	
		Saari bin Kandi	1	•	Partial Sift
6	2991	Janjan bita Nj. Gaar	1	Ousser/Co-operator	
		Askinston St. Hj. Gaer	1	States/Co-operator	
		Hj. A. Salan	*	Brother- In- law Co-operator	
7	2812	Almad bin Tenan	1	San/Co-sparator	
		Shaneuddin bin Tanan	1	See/Co-operator	
		Hurya bis Reskat	1	Son-In-Tau/Co-operator	Whole-Let 6t
8	2873	Sules bis Hj. A. Lettf	1	Son/Co-operator	
-		Sojina bt. Hj. A. Letif	1	Daughter/Co-operator	
		Keringsh M. Hj. A. Lett	1	Danighter/Co-operator	
9	2806	Haji lisrahin b. A. Rahin	•	Co-owner/Co-operator	N freed
7		Bedri bin Hj. Iksan	*	Co-exact/Co-operator	Situation
		About	3	Tesast/Co-sporator	

Few reasons may be suggested why all co-comers operate and do not rent out their sub-lets. Some of these have already by implication become clear in the course of our discussion in the previous Chapter as to why co-owners acquire their sub-lots. is that some of these co-owners are landless in respect of land other than these sub-lots. Co-operating these sub-lots is thus perhaps the only source of agricultural employment open to them, and perhaps also the only main source of income. Others operate their sub-lets solely to obtain the staple feed of padi. To rent out these small sub-lots amounts to reducing drastically the amount of padi obtainable. Co-owners with large padi heldings, such as Kanaruddin bin Bohir of case number 1, Table 6.2, operate their co-owned sub-lots perhaps for It is worth moted that Kamaruddin is a Committee reason of scale. Member of the local Persatuan Peladang, in charge of two tractors of the society.

Another circumstance giving rise to the situation of co-operation in the Block is tenancy. It is tenancy of two modes. First is where the de facto owner rents out one part of his lot and operates himself another part. A typical example of this is case number 9 lot 2814 in Table 6.1, where the de facto owner of the lot, Arshad bin Haji Ismail, rents out to Ahmad bin Taha la acres of his lot, and operates himself the remaining la acres. There are in all six lots involved in this 'partial tenancy' as shown in Table 6.1. Next is where the owner rents out his whole lot to two tenants, each with a specified acresse. The representative example of this is case number 14 lot 2819 in Table 6.1, where Mokhtar bin Ahmad and Sarmon rent in and operate la acres each of the lot. Table 6.1 shows that there are three lots of this 'whole-let tenancy' situation.

It is not obvious from the information provided by the Questionaire why these two modes of tenancy arise. We cannot thus tell why in some cases only a part of a lot is rented out, and not the whole; and why in others a lot is rented out to two tenants and Perhaps we can explain this in terms of the economic not to one. capacity to operate of both the landlerds and the temants. them may be too old and weak, or too scarce of capital, to operate the whole let. It may also be intelligible in terms of land scarcity. A tenant renting in a sub-lot of la acres or less operates it because he cannot find any bigger land to operate elsewhere. It may also be explainable in terms of other circumstances such as scarcity of employment opportunities. An interesting possibility, however, is that this phenomenon may be explained in terms of the various relationships, particularly blood relationship, between the landlords and the temants. It is quite sommen here for an owner to rent out a part of his lot to his relative with the view of helping the latter to secure an employment and a source of income. It is unfortunate that the Questionnaire does not provide us with the information regarding the relationship of each tenant to his landlord. Otherwise, we can perhaps establish specifically that landlordism is not solely a cause of poverty but also a source of employment and income, and its underlying motive is not only exploitation but also consideration and helpful.

The next circumstance giving rise to the situation of co-operation in the Bleck is gift-to-operate. Again, there are two kinds of such gifts. An owner may make a gift of a part or parts of his lot to a giftee or giftees, while he himself operates the remaining part. This can be illustrated by case number 6 lot 2891 in Table 6.2, where the owner, Jamjam bin Haji Omar gives one acre of his let to operate to each of his sister, Askinaton and brother-in-law, Haji Abdul Salam. All of them operate the lot and become its co-operators. Table 6.1 shows six lets of this 'partial gift', while Table 6.2 shows two. Alternatively, the ewner may make a gift of the whole of his lot to more than one person. this case, only the giftees operate the lot and are co-operators. This situation can be clearly seen in case number 7 lot 2812 in Table 6.2, where the owner makes a gift of the whole lot one acre each to her sons, Ahmad bin Tamam and Shamsuddin bin Tamam, and son-in-law, Harun bin Masket. Table 6.1 and Table 6.2 both account for two lots each of this 'whole-lot gift' as a circumstance responsible for the situation of co-operation.

In the above situation of giftee/co-operation, the giftees - as can be seen from Column 5 of the two Tables - are usually very close relatives of the gifter, such as sons, daughters and in-laws. In contrast with teamants, they pay no rent. It is obvious why these gifts are made. That these gifts are made in terms of sub-lots and not the whole lot is obviously due to the fact that the gifter in each case has only a limited amount of land.

Pinally, some situations of co-eperation in the Black are due to a combination of two or more of the above circumstances. An obvious example of this is case number 9 lot 2806 in Table 6.2, where the lot is co-operated by its two co-owners, Haji Ibrahim and Badri; and a tenant of Haji Ibrahim, Ahmad. Table 6.2 shows only this one lot of the 'mixed situation', while Table 6.1 shows two.

The prevalence of the situation of co-operation in the Block, like that of co-ownership, may give rise to a member of economic and other problems. As we have seen, the extent of co-operation here is considerably large being about 33.7% of the total number of lots or 50.4% of the total number of farms. This is of great significance. It means that whatever problems that may arise from and whatever implications that may attend the phenomenon of co-operation will affect the total situation in the Block extensively.

Foremost among the economic problems is the question in relation to unit of operation. As we have seen in the two Tables, the acreage of the co-operated sub-lets varies between half acre to 2½ acres. In all cases, it is smaller than the original lot elienated. At least 24 of these sub-lets are of one acre or below. A great majority of these co-operators do not operate padi-land anywhere else. Nost of them also do not operate any other land at all. The problem of unit of operation is thus a very serious one here. He cannot exactly may how many of these sub-lets are operated drastically below optimum, and how many a little below, for lack of data on indices of efficiency. But from our experience and

observation, we are reasonably certain that for a family to operate a one-acre padi farm and do nothing else, the farm is too labour-intensive to be in a state of efficiency.

Mext equally important economic problem is operational fragmentation of farms. If the co-operator, besides co-operating his relevant sub-let, operates also other let or lets in the Block, fragmentation of his pedi farm emerges in so far as the scatter of the lets and/or sub-lets is concerned. It can be seen from Map IV that there are two fragmented farms involving co-operated sub-lets, while four other farms, though they involve sub-lets, are fortunately adjoining, and hence not fragmented in the sense of being scattered. Table 6.] sets out all these farms.

This situation of fragmentation is augmented with regard to the whole farm when a farmer co-operate a sub-lot, er operate a fragmented farm involving a sub-let(s) in the Block, besides operating a lot or more cutside the Block. There are at least 16 cases of this nature of fragmentation in the Block, as set out in Table 6.4. It can be seen that with the exception of three cases all these farmers co-operate only a sub-let each in the Block. It is obvious that the degree of fragmentation of these farms is increased by the farmers mere operation of a sub-let in the Block.

Co-operation of a lot among two or three co-operators may also give rise to various problems in relation to matters which affect the whole lot. Examples of this are decisions with regard to water supply of the lot, and decisions with regard to variety of padi to be planted in view of pest, birds, and passage-ways for those whose sub-lots are away from the earth track.

Besides these direct economic problems of co-operation, there are others as well that arise from these circumstances which give rise to the situation of co-operation. The practice of gift-to-operate, so prevalent in this Block particularly in relation to sub-lots, may pose its emprehems. Economically, the incentive to operate and manage well may be less on a gifted land than on owned land. Socially, it may create discord among relatives, particularly if some are gifted while others of the same order are not. Paychologically, it lessons the challenge to the younger generation to look for employment themselves since they can hope for some relative to make a gift of land to them to operate.

These problems are also equally applicable to tenancy, insofar as it is a cause of the situation of co-operation. In addition to these, of course, landlerdism can be a source of exploitation. Mostly, the rent charged in connection with these co-operated sub-lots is of 'bagi-dua' basis. Now 'bagi-dua' charge can be excessive with regard to highly productive lets has been discussed in Chapter I.

Finally, tenancy and gift as practised in connection with these co-operated sub-lets may produce a serious result. It has been noticed that the resulting units of operation are mostly small,

TABLE 6.3

PRACMENTED PADI PARMS INVOLVING CO- OPERATED SUB-LOTS

le.	Fara No.*	Let er Sub-Let	Renark
1	P 3	Sub-Lot 2789	
		Let 2799	Scattered
		Let 2800	
2	P 6	Sub-Let 2797	
		Sub-Let 2806	Adjeining
		Let 2801	an James
		Let 2805	
3	Plo	Sub-Lot 2817	Adjoining
		Let 2821	
4	2 56	Sub-Let 2862	adjoining
		Let 2866	
5	F124	Sub-Lot 2844	Scattered
		Let 2856	
6	F125	Sub-Lot 2844	<i>b</i>
And desired in the latest of t		Let 2848	Adjoining

[&]quot;Refer Map IV.

TABLE 6.4

FARMS WITH A SUB-LOT IN BLOCK P
INVOLVING LOT(S) ELSEWHERE

No.	fare te.	Sub-Let(s) and Let(s) of the Fare in the Black	Lot(s) Detside of the Block/Acreage/Crop
1	2	Seb-Let 2789	Lot 2000, Block K, 3 acres, Padi
2	8	Seb-Let 2797	Sg. Tonggi Kamen, 3 acres, Cocomut
		Sub-Lat 2806	Sg. Sireh, A acres, Coconst
		Lot 2861	Bate 9, 7% acres, Coconst
		Let 2865	
3	t t	Sub-Lot 2017	Satu 4, 1 acro, kompony cultivation
4	18	Sub-Lot 2853	Batu 6, 22 acres, Coccent
			Batu 4, 23 aeres, Cocomet
5	ZZ	Seb-Lot 2865	Sg. Tenggi Kanas, area unknown, Coconst
6	57	Sub-Lot 2679	Bets 6, 32 scres. Cocumut
7	84	Sub-Lot 2839	Sy. Gulang, 2% acres, Coconut
			Sg. Sirch, 1 acro, kampang cultivation
8	88	Sed-Let 2017	Batu 5, area unkacua, Coceaut
9	90	Sub-Let 2855	Bate 5, area unknown, Coconet
10	781	Sub-Let 2887	Black V. 1 acre. Padi
			Batu 7, 29 acres Cocanut
1)	182	Seb-Let 2667	Betu 7, 5 acres, Coceaut
2	104	Sub-Lot 2891	Batu 7, 42 acres, Coccast
13	114	Sub-Let 2812	Block S, 1 acre, Pedi
14	124	Sub-Lot 2844	Betu 8, 3 acres, Coconut
		Let 2856	
15	125	Sub-Let 2844	Ulu Tiran Burak, 1 acro, kampang cultivaties
		Lot 2648	
16	135	Sub-Let 2000	Bats 5, 5 acres, Cocount

and that most of the co-operators do not possess land elsewhere. Further, even the gifters and the landlords have in most cases only a very limited amount of land. Their own farms are thus reduced to the extent of gift and renting out that they make. Hence these practices of tenancy and gift are thus responsible for the creation of the situation of underemployment both with regard to the tenants and giftees as well as landlords and gifters.

In a grewing population like that of the S.S., the prevalence of underemployment may produce a serious repercussion in that it serves to conseal the real magnitude of unemployment until such a time when the problem has become too serious to be easily tackled.

