

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	v
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	x
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiv
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1. Statement of the problem	1
1.2. Research objectives	3
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	4
2.1. Emulsion Liquid Membranes (ELMS)	4
2.2. Types of ELM	6
2.2.1. Type - I ELM	6
2.2.2. Type - II ELM	7
2.3. Emulsion Liquid Membrane: The whole process	8
2.3.1. ELM preparation	9
2.3.2. Extraction and stripping of the solute from the feed phase	12
2.3.3. Demulsification	12
2.4. Stability and swelling of ELM	13
2.5. Chromium	17
2.6. Ionic Liquids	18
2.7. Ionic liquids in emulsions	19

<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1. Chemicals		23
3.1.1. Chemicals used in ELM preparation		23
3.1.1.1. Solvent		23
3.1.1.2. Surfactant		23
3.1.1.3. Carrier		24
3.1.1.4. Internal reagent		26
3.1.1.5. Stabilizer ([BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> )		26
3.1.2. Chemicals used in feed phase		27
3.1.2.1. Feed solution		27
3.2. Emulsion liquid membrane preparation and extraction		27
3.2.1. Preparation of Emulsion Liquid Membrane		27
3.2.2. Extraction of Cr		28
3.3. Emulsion liquid membrane stability analysis		28
3.4. Analytical procedures		29
3.4.1. Chromium analysis		29
3.4.2. pH measurement		29
3.4.3. Surface tension measurement		29
3.4.4. Measurement of globule size and internal droplet in ELM		30
3.4.5. Error analysis		30
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS</b>	<b>31</b>

4.1. Identification of the role of ionic liquid	
[BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> in emulsion liquid membrane system	31
4.1.1. Identification of the role of [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	
in emulsion without TOMAC	32
4.1.1.1. Consideration of [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> as a carrier	32
4.1.1.2. Consideration of [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> as a surfactant	34
4.1.1.3. Consideration of [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> as a solvent	36
4.1.2. [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> as a stabilizer when	
TOMAC is used as a carrier	37
4.1.3. Effect of [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> concentration on phase	
separation rate of the stabilized emulsion	40
4.1.4. The removal efficiency of the emulsion liquid membrane	
stabilized by ionic liquid [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> with TOMAC	42
4.1.5. Stability time determination in terms of [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	
concentration and initial rate of sedimentation	43
4.2. Parameter optimization for [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> stabilized emulsion	
liquid membrane extraction process	46
4.2.1. Effect of internal phase concentration	47
4.2.2. Effect of carrier concentration	49
4.2.3. Effect of surfactant concentration	53
4.2.4. Effect of agitation speed	56
4.2.5. Effect of treat ratio	
(Feed phase to emulsion liquid membrane phase)	58
4.2.6. Effect of pH of the feed phase	60
4.2.7. Effect of internal to membrane phase ratio	64
4.2.8. Effect of homogenizer speed in emulsification	66

4.3. Statistical optimization and modeling using response surface methodology	68
4.3.1. Interactions and optimization of the variables of set-I	70
4.3.1.1. Significant interactions of the variables of set-I	75
4.3.1.2. Optimization of the variables of set-I	80
4.3.2. Interactions and optimization of the variables of set-II	80
4.3.2.1. Significant interactions of the variables of set-II	85
4.3.2.2. Optimization of the variables of set-II	87
<b>CHAPTER 5</b> <b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	89
<b>CHAPTER 6</b> <b>SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK</b>	91
<b>REFERENCES</b>	93
<b>PUBLICATIONS</b>	101

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Caption</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
Figure 2.1	Emulsion globules and internal droplets in a (W/O/W) ELM system	5
Figure 2.2	Schematic of emulsion liquid membrane process	11
Figure 2.3	Surfactants orientation in an emulsion globule	16
Figure 3.1	The structures of TOMAC and [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	26
Figure 4.1	The effect of ionic liquid on the extraction of Cr without TOMAC Span 80 = 3% (w/w); I/O = 1:3 F/E= 2:1	33
Figure 4.2	Emulsion prepared with 3% (w/w) Span 80, TOMAC=0.29% (w/w); [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> = 0% (w/w) (after 7 minutes); scale bar =1 cm	37
Figure 4.3	(a) Emulsion prepared with [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> = 3% (w/w); TOMAC = 0.29% (w/w) (after 7 h) (b) Emulsion prepared with [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> = 0% (w/w); TOMAC = 0.29% (w/w) (after 5 h); scale bar = 1 cm	38
Figure 4.4	The effect of the concentration of [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> on the stability time of ELM. Span 80 = 3 %(w/w); TOMAC = 0.29 %(w/w), I/O =1/3	39
Figure 4.5	The effect of ionic liquid concentration on the phase separation of the stabilized membrane. TOMAC = 0.29% (w/w); Span 80 = 3% (w/w); I/O = 1:3	41
Figure 4.6	The effect of ionic liquid concentration on the extraction of Cr with TOMAC. TOMAC = 0.29% (w/w); Span 80 = 3% (w/w); I/O = 1:3; F/E= 2:1	42
Figure 4.7	Experimental stability time versus predicted stability time	45

- Figure 4.8 The effect of internal phase concentration.  
TOMAC = 0.29% (w/w); Span 80 = 3% (w/w); I/O = 1:3;  
[BMIM]<sup>+</sup>[NTf<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 3 % (w/w); F/E = 2:1; agitation speed = 300 rpm 47
- Figure 4.9 The effect of carrier concentration. [NaOH] = 0.1 M;  
Span 80 = 3% (w/w); I/O = 1:3; [BMIM]<sup>+</sup>[NTf<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 3 % (w/w);  
F/E = 2:1; agitation speed = 300 rpm 51
- Figure 4.10 The effect of carrier concentration. [NaOH] = 0.1 M;  
Span 80 = 3% (w/w); I/O = 1:3; [BMIM]<sup>+</sup>[NTf<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 3 % (w/w);  
F/E = 2:1; agitation speed = 300 rpm 52
- Figure 4.11 The effect of surfactant concentration. [NaOH] = 0.1 M;  
[TOMAC] = 0.3 % (w/w); I/O = 1:3; [BMIM]<sup>+</sup>[NTf<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 3 % (w/w);  
F/E = 2:1; agitation speed = 300 rpm 54
- Figure 4.12 The effect of agitation speed. [NaOH] = 0.1 M;  
Span 80 = 3 % (w/w); [TOMAC] = 0.3 % (w/w); I/O = 1:3;  
[BMIM]<sup>+</sup>[NTf<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 3 % (w/w); F/E = 2:1 57
- Figure 4.13 The effect of treat ratio. [NaOH] = 0.1 M;  
Span 80 = 3 % (w/w); [TOMAC] = 0.3 % (w/w); I/O = 1:3;  
[BMIM]<sup>+</sup>[NTf<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 3 % (w/w); agitation speed = 300 rpm 59
- Figure 4.14 The effect of pH of the feed phase. [NaOH] = 0.1 M;  
Span 80 = 3 % (w/w); [TOMAC] = 0.3 % (w/w); I/O = 1:3;  
[BMIM]<sup>+</sup>[NTf<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 3 % (w/w); agitation speed = 300 rpm; F/E = 2:1 62
- Figure 4.15 The effect of internal to membrane phase ratio.  
[NaOH] = 0.1 M; Span 80 = 3 % (w/w); [TOMAC] = 0.3 % (w/w);  
[BMIM]<sup>+</sup>[NTf<sub>2</sub>]<sup>-</sup> = 3 % (w/w); agitation speed = 300 rpm; F/E = 2:1 65

Figure 4.16	The effect of homogenization speed. [NaOH] = 0.1 M; Span 80 = 3 %(w/w); [TOMAC] = 0.3 %(w/w); [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> = 3 %(w/w); I/O = 1:3 agitation speed = 300 rpm; F/E = 2:1	67
Figure 4.17	Predicted vs experimental values for set-I	73
Figure 4.18	Response surface plot for the interaction between the homogenization speed and the internal phase concentration	75
Figure 4.19	Response surface plot for the interaction between the homogenization speed and the carrier concentration	76
Figure 4.20	Response surface plot for the interaction between the homogenization speed and the surfactant concentration	77
Figure 4.21	Response surface plot for the interaction between the internal phase concentration and the carrier concentration	78
Figure 4.22	Response surface plot for the interaction between the carrier concentration and the surfactant concentration	79
Figure 4.23	Predicted vs experimental values for set-II	83
Figure 4.24	Response surface plot for the interaction between the pH of the feed phase and the agitation speed	86
Figure 4.25	Response surface plot for the interaction between the treat ratio and the internal to membrane phase ratio	87

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Caption</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 3.1	Important properties of extractants	25
Table 4.1	Physical and thermodynamic properties of [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup>	31
Table 4.2	Experimental data on stabilized time with ionic liquid concentration [BMIM] <sup>+</sup> [NTf <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>-</sup> and initial rate of sedimentation height with TOMAC = 0.29% (w/w); Span 80 = 3% (w/w); I/O = 1:3	44
Table 4.3	Comparison between experimental stability time and predicted and stability time	45
Table 4.4	Factors and their corresponding values	70
Table 4.5	Box-Behnken design matrix for set-I	72
Table 4.6	ANOVA for Response surface model of set-I	74
Table 4.7	Box-Behnken design matrix for set-II	82
Table 4.8	ANOVA for Response surface model of set-II	84
Table 4.9	Comparison of experimentally optimized values and RSM optimized values	88