### Acknowledgment

This research took me almost a year, by that time; I have met with a great people whose contribute in many ways to come out with this project. It is a pleasure to convey my gratitude to them all in my humble acknowledgment.

In the first place I would like to note my gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Aisyah Alias for her supervision, advice, encouragement and guidance from the very early stage of this research as well as giving me opportunities of experiences throughout the RA work, attending meetings and conferences. I am indebted to her more than she knows.

I gratefully acknowledge to the role models for hard workers in the lab, Ms. Suhaila and Ms. Abiramy, for their willingness in answering my unintelligent questions, advice and crucial contribution. Girls, for a long time to come, I am grateful in every possible way and hope to collaborate in the future.

Many thanks go in particular to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yatimah, Department of Chemistry, for her permission to work on her equipments. Also thanks go to Dr. Pova and Mr. Mohamed Salah for guiding me to run my samples. I am also indebted to Ms. Mona, Department of Pharmacology, for her valuable advice and precious time to discuss on bioactivity testing methods.

It is a pleasure to pay tribute also to the all member of National antarctic research centre and lab mates, Yuh Shan, Nazura, Sarah, Shamia, Wessam and Sabri, I am benefited with their help in particular skill in handling and running precisely delicate equipments. Many thanks go to them for science discussion and the pleasure working together in such environment.

Collective and individual acknowledgements to my colleagues at UM, particularly Khalid Abdurrahman and Mohammed Elbagir for their advice and their

bright thoughts came during science discussion we had in our beautiful house, which were very fruitful for shaping up my ideas and research. Thanks for the science discussion and exhilarating time we spent together as house mate.

Where would I be without my family? My parents deserve special mention for their inseparable support and prayers. My Father, Ahmed Abneuf, in the first place is the person who put the fundament my learning character, showing me the joy of intellectual pursuit ever since I was a child. My Mother, Naima Abdullah, is the one who sincerely raised me with her caring and gently love. Halah, Sarah, Amnah, Tahany, Elham and Soona, thanks for being supportive. Furthermore, am thankful to my best friend, Walid Salah, who's care of my family since I left.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who was important to the successful realization of this research, as well as expressing my apology that I could not mention personally one by one.

Mohammed Abneuf

#### Abstract

Decades ago, the Antarctic regions have been exploited for cold-tolerant microorganisms, prospecting various biological benefits, mainly psychrophilic enzymes and bioactive compounds. The first half of the present research aimed to study the occurrence of microfungi in samples of soil taken from Deception Island, Wilhelmina Bay and Yankee Bay, Maritime Antarctica. Attempt made to screen the biodiversity using conventional media and soil plating technique, yielded population of 27 species from the total of 14 soil samples. Of that, 13 fungal species were recorded from Deception Island, 8 from Wilhelmina Bay and 6 from Yankee Bay. Among the genera were *Aspergillus, Geomyces* and *Mucor*. Most of the fungal isolates proved to be cold-tolerant, as 15 isolates were psychrophilic, 9 mesophilic and 3 psychrotrophic. Nevertheless, there was no positive result regarding the occurrence of thermophilic fungi in the volcanic soil of Deception Island.

Second half of the research screened the isolates for the antimicrobial activity. Initially, plug assay (using 4 bacterial and 1 yeast pathogen namely Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli and Candida albicans respectively) reported 18 fungal species with antibacterial potential, although no antifungal activity recorded. Subsequently disc diffusion assay was applied (after ethyl acetate extract obtained) using 5 bacterial species and 3 yeast pathogens, namely Candida albicans, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus cereus, Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Extract of 10 species (with good results on plug assay) were compared with chemically synthesize antibiotic (Chloramphenicol). However, Chloramphenicol generally recorded higher antibacterial activity with no antifungal

activity, while the extract of *Hyphomycetes* sp.8 does. Otherwise, no significant activities of fungal extracts reported on Gram positive more than Gram negative bacteria or vice versa. Finally, broth microdilution technique was applied to quantify the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) and Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC) values for future drug development purposes.

In the end, Antarctic microfungi found to significantly inhibit wide range of bacterial pathogens and only one species can inhibit yeast pathogens and could be used in the development of new substances for pharmaceutical or agricultural purposes. To our knowledge, to date, there is no published data on the bioactivity of microfungi from Deception Island, Yankee Bay or Wilhelmina Bay.

# **List of Figures**

Figure	Title	Page
1.1	Geographic map of Antarctica. Brown coloured areas: Ice-free regions, White coloured areas: Ice sheet and Gray areas: present Ice shelf regions. Customized photograph adopted from [www.geology.com/worldantarctica-map/html].	2
2.1	Map highlighting the locations of the three interested areas.Customizedphotographadoptedfrom[www.geology.com/worldantarctica-map/html].	24
3.1a	Light micrograph of Antarctic fungi under light microscopy: A: <i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> , B: Unidentified <i>Ascomycetes</i> sp.4a, C: Unidentified <i>Ascomycetes</i> sp.3 and D: <i>Mucor</i> sp.1.	35
3.1b	Light micrograph of Antarctic fungi under light microscopy: E: Unidentified yellow yeasts, F: <i>Mucor</i> sp.2, G: Unidentified <i>Zygomycetes</i> sp.2, H: Undetermined <i>hyphomycetes</i> sp.1.	36
3.2a	Photographs of antibacterial activity from plug assay results, A- C: showing intermediate to very good inhibition zones on <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> . D: showing weak activity against <i>Escherichia coli</i> .	39
3.2b	Photographs of bioactivity from plug assay results, <b>F</b> : wholly resistance of <i>Candida albicans</i> to all fungal isolates. <b>G</b> : DCP-sp.2 shown weak antibacterial activity against <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> .	40
3.3a	Photographs of antibacterial activity of fungal extracts in disc diffusion. A-C: only W7 and D1 extract showed good to intermediate activity against <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> . D: showing weak activity of D7 and D2 against <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> .	43
3.3b	Photographs of bioactivity of fungal extracts in disc diffusion method. <b>E-F:</b> <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> showed very good susceptibility to W7, good to D11, intermediate to D1 and weak susceptibility against D2, D5 and D7. <b>G:</b> also W7 and D1 were showed intermediate activity against <i>Escherichia coli</i> , and weak	44

susceptibility to D11. **H:** W7 was the only extract exhibited very good antifungal activity against *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

Photograph of U96 micro-well plate in broth microdilutions method. The pink colored wells due to actively growing 3.4 staphylococcus aureus, while the non-pink wells were growth 45 inhibited due to the extracts antibacterial activity. W7: showed the highest MIC with 0.39mg/ml followed by D7 with 0.78mg/ml, and then D1 with 1.56mg/ml.

Photography of cultured plates in MBC determination. 1-6:
showed visible growth *of Escherichia coli*. Plate number 7 46
showed clearly the MBC of D11 extract was 12.5mg/ml.

## **List of Tables**

Table	Title	Page
1.1	Identified microfungal species reported from terrestrial habitats of maritime and sub-Antarctica (Vishaniac, 1996).	8
2.1	Present soil samples exact location by GPS, date of collection and descriptions if any.	25
3.1	Percentage of occurrence of successfully isolated fungi from soil of Deception Island, Wilhelmina Bay and Yankee Bay and their optimum growth temperatures.	34
3.2	Preliminary screening results of fungal bioactivity on the test microorganisms using plug assay method.	38
3.3	List of the selected fungal species used in the disc diffusion method.	41
3.4	Biological activity of fungal extracts, Chloramphenicol and Dimethyl Sulfoxoid on test microorganisms in millimeters using disc diffusion method.	42
3.5	Quantified values of MICs and MBCs of fungal extracts against test microorganisms in broth microdilutions method.	47

## **Symbols and Abbreviations**

%	Percentage
°C	Degree centigrade
B. cereus	Bacillus cereus
B. subtilis	Bacillus subtilis
BaCl <sub>2</sub>	Barium chloride
С	Carbon
C. albicans	Candida albicans
СА	Antibiotic C3368-A
CFU	Colony Forming Unit
cm	Centimeter
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
DMSO	Dimethyl Sulfoxoid
E. coli	Escherichia coli
EPS	Exopolysaccharide
EtOAc	Ethyl Acetate
g	Gram
GPS	Global Positioning System
H <sub>2</sub> O	Water
$H_2So_4$	Sulphuric acid
IPY	International Polar Year
km	Kilometer
LBA	Luria Base Agar
Μ	mole
mbar	millibar
MBC	Minimum Bactericidal Concentration

MERGE Environmental chang	Microbiological and Ecological Response to Global es in polar regions
MFC	Minimum Fungicidal Concentration
mg	Miligram
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
ml	Mililitre
mm	Milimetre
NMR	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectroscopy
Ν	Nitrogen
NCCLS	National Committe of Clinical Laboratory Standards
No.	Number
Р	Phosphate
P. aeruginosa	Pseudomonas aeruginosa
PDA	Potato Dextrose Agar
pН	Potential of Hydrogen
rpm	Round Per Minute
S	South
S. aureus	Staphylococcus aureus
S. cerevisiae	Saccharomyces cerevisiae
S. pombe	Schizosaccharomyces pombe
SDA	Saboraud Dextrose Agar
sp	Species
UV	Ultra Violet
W	Weast
w/v	Weight Per Volume
μg	Microgram
μΙ	Microlitre

# List of Contents

Acknowledgement Abstract List of Figures List of Tables List of symbol and abbreviations List of content	Page i iii v vii viii x
Chapter One	
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Introduction to Antarctica	
1.1.1. Terrestrial biogeography of antarctica	
1.1.2. Terrestrial Microbial life	3
1.1.3. Biodiversity of terrestrial microfungi	5
1.1.4. Role of antarctic fungi in polar ecosystem	9
1.1.5. Impact of climate changes on antarctic terrestrial life	11
1.2. Influence of fungal ecology in the search of novel bio products	active natural 14
1.3. Natural products and fungi	16
1.3.1. Importance of natural products	
1.3.2. Why fungi?	17
1.3.2.1. Fungal account on the biological activity	18
1.3.2.2. Biological activity of antarctic fungi	19
1.4. The research objectives	22
Chapter Two	
2. Materials and Methods	23
2.1. Materials	
2.2. Methods	26
2.2.1. Isolation of fungi from soil – Soil Plating Technique	
2.2.2. Bioactivity screening	27

2.2.2.1. Preliminary screening-Plug assay	
<ul><li>2.2.2.1.1. Inoculums preparation-growth method</li><li>2.2.2.1.2. Preparation of 0.5 McFarland standard</li></ul>	28
2.2.2.2. Qualitative Assay - Disc Diffusion Method	
2.2.2.1. Fungal cultivation and extracellular metabolites extra	ction 29
2.2.2.3. Quantitative assays – MIC, MBC and MFC	30
2.2.2.3.1. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)	
2.2.2.3.2. Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) Minimum Fungicidal Concentration (MFC)	and 31
Chapter Three	
3. Results	32
3.1. Occurrence of microfungi in soil samples	
3.2. Bioactivity Screening	37
3.2.1. Preliminary screening - Plug assay	
3.2.2. Qualitative assay - Disc diffusion method	41
3.2.3. Quantitative assays – Broth microdilutions method	45
3.2.3.1. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC)	
3.2.3.2. Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) and Minimum fungicidal concentration (MFC)	mum 46

Chapter Four

4. Discussion		48	
	4.1.	Occurrence of soil microfungi in Maritime Antarctica	
	4.2.	Antimicrobial activity of maritime antarctic microfungi	51
		Chapter Five	
5.	Concl	usions	55
		Reference	

Reference

57