Appendix 3a: List of Table Output from SPSS

3.1 Missing Data

Table 3.1-1 : With Missing Data

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
PU1 : I think, using Cloud Services in my job would enable me to accomplish my tasks faster	106	1	5	3.89	.865
PEU1 : I think I would find it easy to use the features offered by Cloud Services to do what I want to do	106	1	5	3.75	.829
ITU1 : I plan to use Cloud Services	106	1	5	3.78	.894
PU2: I think, using Cloud Services would enhance my effectiveness in my job	106	1	5	3.82	.848
PEU 2 : I think I would find Cloud Services easy to interact with	106	2	5	3.89	.843
ITU2 : I plan to learn about Cloud Services	105	2	5	4.01	.860
TR1: Before deciding whether to use any Cloud Services, I wish I am able to properly try them out	106	2	5	4.14	.798
PU3: I think I would find Cloud Services useful in my work	106	2	5	3.92	.789
PEU 3 : I think would find Cloud Services Easy to Use	106	2	5	3.82	.778
ITU3 : I plan to teach others about Cloud Services	106	2	5	3.63	.949
TR2: I wish I am permitted to use an offering using Cloud Services on a trial basis long enough to see what it could do	106	2	5	3.99	.834
CP1 : Using Cloud Services is compatible (friendly/ well-suited) with some aspects of my work.	105	1	5	3.72	.838
CT1 : I feel worried about the security in Cloud Services	105	1	5	3.58	1.063
CP2: I think, using Cloud Services fits well with the way I like to work.	105	1	5	3.66	.918
CT2 : It scares me to think that I could lose a lot of data by using Cloud Services	105	1	5	3.45	1.217
CP3 : Using Cloud Services fits into my work style	105	1	5	3.71	.863

Table 3.1-1 - Continued

CT3: I have trust in Cloud Services	105	1	5	3.43	.897
Valid N (listwise)	104				

Table 3.1-2 : No more Missing Data

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
PU1: I think, using Cloud Services in my job would enable me to accomplish my tasks faster	106	1	5	3.89	.865
PEU1 : I think I would find it easy to use the features offered by Cloud Services to do what I want to do	106	1	5	3.75	.829
ITU1 : I plan to use Cloud Services	106	1	5	3.78	.894
PU2 : I think, using Cloud Services would enhance my effectiveness in my job	106	1	5	3.82	.848
PEU 2 : I think I would find Cloud Services easy to interact with	106	2	5	3.89	.843
ITU2 : I plan to learn about Cloud Services	106	2	5	4.01	.856
TR1 : Before deciding whether to use any Cloud Services, I wish I am able to properly try them out	106	2	5	4.14	.798
PU3: I think I would find Cloud Services useful in my work	106	2	5	3.92	.789
PEU 3 : I think would find Cloud Services Easy to Use	106	2	5	3.82	.778
ITU3 : I plan to teach others about Cloud Services	106	2	5	3.63	.949
TR2 : I wish I am permitted to use an offering using Cloud Services on a trial basis long enough to see what it could do	106	2	5	3.99	.834
CP1 : Using Cloud Services is compatible (friendly/ well-suited) with some aspects of my work.	106	1	5	3.73	.834
CT1 : I feel worried about the security in Cloud Services	106	1	5	3.58	1.059
CP2: I think, using Cloud Services fits well with the way I like to work.	106	1	5	3.66	.914
CT2 : It scares me to think that I could lose a lot of data by using Cloud Services	106	1	5	3.44	1.212
CP3 : Using Cloud Services fits into my work style	106	1	5	3.72	.859

CT3: I have trust in Cloud Services	106	1	5	3.42	.894
Valid N (listwise)	106				

Descriptive Tables

Table 3.1-3: Frequencies

		Percentage (%)	Frequency
Gender	Male	51.4	54
	Female	48.6	51
	Total	100.0	105
Ethnicity	Chinese	11.4	12
	Indian	2.9	3
	Malay	81.0	85
	Bumiputra	2.9	3
	Others - Iranian	1.9	2
	Total	100.0	105
Age	Less than 35	68.6	72
	36 - 45	19.0	20
	46 - 55	11.4	12
	56 and above	1.0	1
	Total	100.0	105
Highest level Of Education	SPM/ MCE & below	2.9	3
	Diploma & Certificate	5.7	6
	Degree	45.7	48
	Master Degree & PhD	45.7	48
_	Total	100.0	105
Occupation	Student	6.7	7.0

Table 3.1-3 - Continued

	Employed	83.8	88.0
	Self-Emplyed/ Own a busines	2.9	3.0
	Unemployed	1.0	1.0
	Student & Employed	4.8	5.0
	Student & Self-Employed	1.0	1.0
	Total	100.0	105.0
Job Type	Accounting	4.8	5.0
	Information Technology	25.0	26.0
	Learning & Education	29.8	31.0
	Engineering	15.4	16.0
	Legal & Law	1.9	2.0
	Medicine	2.9	3.0
	Others	18.3	19.0
	Information Technology & Engineering	1.0	1.0
	IT, Learning & Edu, Engineering	1.0	1.0
	Total	100.0	104.0
Other Job Type		80.2	85.0
	Administration	0.9	1.0
	Administration and Management	0.9	1.0
	Administrator	0.9	1.0
	Auditor	0.9	1.0
	Consulting Analyst	0.9	1.0
	General Manager	0.9	1.0
	Housewife	0.9	1.0
	HR Consulting	0.9	1.0
	marketing	1.9	2.0
	Marketing	1.9	2.0

Table 3.1-3 - Continued

Medicine	0.9	1.0
R&D	0.9	1.0
retail	0.9	1.0
sales	0.9	1.0
Sales & Marketing	0.9	1.0
service	0.9	1.0
Teacher	0.9	1.0
Telecommunication	1.9	2.0
Total	100.0	106.0

Normality Test Tables (Statistical Tests)

Table 3.1-4: Skewness and and Kurtosis

		PU	PEU	TR	СР	СТ	ITU
N	Valid	106	106	106	106	106	106
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ske	ewness	738	329	621	469	126	406
Std. Erroi	r of Skewness	.235	.235	.235	.235	.235	.235
Kı	urtosis	.678	.076	099	.385	.806	.068
Std. Erro	or of Kurtosis	.465	.465	.465	.465	.465	.465

Table 3.1-5: K-M and Shapiro-Wilk tests for Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
PU	.215	106	.000	.915	106	.000
PEU	.165	106	.000	.938	106	.000
TR	.200	106	.000	.906	106	.000
СР	.155	106	.000	.949	106	.000
СТ	.124	106	.000	.962	106	.004
ITU	.100	106	.011	.954	106	.001

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Reliability Tests Tables

1. Perceived Usefulness

Case Processing Summary

The state of the s				
		N	%	
Cases	Valid	106	100.0	
	Excluded ^a	0	.0	
	Total	106	100.0	

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

	<u> </u>		_
	Cronbach's Alpha Based		
Cronbach's Alpha	on Standardized Items	N of Items	
.904	.904	3	3

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	PU1 : I think, using Cloud Services in my job would enable me to accomplish	PU2: I think, using Cloud Services would enhance my effectiveness in my	PU3 : I think I would find Cloud Services
	my tasks faster	job	useful in my work
PU1: I think, using Cloud Services in my job would enable me to accomplish my tasks faster	1.000	.789	.699
PU2: I think, using Cloud Services would enhance my effectiveness in my job	.789	1.000	.790
PU3 : I think I would find Cloud Services useful in my work	.699	.790	1.000

Summary Item Statistics

	.,			,	Maximum /		N. Cli
	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	3.877	3.821	3.925	.104	1.027	.003	3

Item-Total Statistics

			Corrected Item-	Squared	Cronbach's
	Scale Mean if	Scale Variance	Total	Multiple	Alpha if Item
	Item Deleted	if Item Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted
PU1: I think, using Cloud	7.75	2.401	.788	.638	.882
Services in my job would					
enable me to accomplish					
my tasks faster					
PU2: I think, using Cloud	7.81	2.326	.857	.734	.820
Services would enhance					
my effectiveness in my job					
PU3: I think I would find	7.71	2.628	.787	.639	.882
Cloud Services useful in					
my work					

Scale: Perceived Ease of Use

Case Processing Summary

Gase i recessing Gammary					
		N	%		
Cases	Valid	106	100.0		
	Excludeda	0	.0		
	Total	106	100.0		

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Renability Guardines					
	Cronbach's Alpha Based				
Cronbach's Alpha	on Standardized Items	N of Items			
.867	.867	3			

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	PEU1 : I think I		
	would find it easy		
	to use the features	PEU 2 : I think I	PEU 3 : I think
	offered by Cloud	would find Cloud	would find Cloud
	Services to do	Services easy to	Services Easy to
	what I want to do	interact with	Use
PEU1 : I think I would find it easy to	1.000	.681	.652
use the features offered by Cloud			
Services to do what I want to do			
PEU 2: I think I would find Cloud	.681	1.000	.724
Services easy to interact with			
PEU 3: I think would find Cloud	.652	.724	1.000
Services Easy to Use			

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimu m	Maximu m	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Varianc e	N of Items
Item Means	3.818	3.745	3.887	.142	1.038	.005	3

Item-Total Statistics

		Scale			Cronbach's
	Scale Mean	Variance if	Corrected	Squared	Alpha if
	if Item	Item	Item-Total	Multiple	Item
	Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted
PEU1: I think I would find it	7.71	2.266	.718	.517	.838
easy to use the features					
offered by Cloud Services					
to do what I want to do					
PEU 2: I think I would find	7.57	2.134	.772	.599	.788
Cloud Services easy to					
interact with					
PEU 3: I think would find	7.63	2.349	.751	.571	.810
Cloud Services Easy to Use					

Scale: Trialability

Case Processing Summary

cace i recessing cannially					
		N	%		
Cases	Valid	106	100.0		
	Excluded ^a	0	.0		
	Total	106	100.0		

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Cronbach's Alpha Based on					
Cronbach's Alpha	Standardized Items	N of Items				
.795	.795	2				

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
TR1 : Before deciding whether to use any Cloud	4.14	.798	106
Services, I wish I am able to properly try them out			
TR2: I wish I am permitted to use an offering	3.99	.834	106
using Cloud Services on a trial basis long enough			
to see what it could do			

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

inter-item Correlation Matrix					
		TR2 : I wish I am permitted			
	TR1 : Before deciding	to use an offering using			
	whether to use any Cloud	Cloud Services on a trial			
	Services, I wish I am able to	basis long enough to see			
	properly try them out	what it could do			
TR1 : Before deciding whether to use any Cloud	1.000	.660			
Services, I wish I am able to properly try them out					
TR2: I wish I am permitted to use an offering	.660	1.000			
using Cloud Services on a trial basis long enough					
to see what it could do					

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	4.066	3.991	4.142	.151	1.038	.011	2

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if	Scale Variance if	Corrected Item-	Squared Multiple	Cronbach's Alpha
	Item Deleted	Item Deleted	Total Correlation	Correlation	if Item Deleted
TR1 : Before deciding whether	3.99	.695	.660	.436	a
to use any Cloud Services, I					
wish I am able to properly try					
them out					
TR2: I wish I am permitted to	4.14	.637	.660	.436	a •
use an offering using Cloud					
Services on a trial basis long					
enough to see what it could do					

a. The value is negative due to a negative average covariance among items. This violates reliability model assumptions. You may want to check item codings.

Scale: Compatibility

Case Processing Summary

	Gase i recessing caninary						
-		N	%				
Cases	Valid	106	100.0				
	Excluded ^a	0	.0				
	Total	106	100.0				

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Reliability Glationios				
	Cronbach's Alpha Based on			
Cronbach's Alpha	Standardized Items	N of Items		
.906	.907	3		

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
CP1 : Using Cloud Services is compatible (friendly/ well-suited) with some aspects of my work.	3.73	.834	106
CP2: I think, using Cloud Services fits well with the way I like to work.	3.66	.914	106
CP3: Using Cloud Services fits into my work style	3.72	.859	106

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

r			
	CD4 - Hoing Cloud		
	CP1 : Using Cloud		
	Services is compatible	CP2: I think, using	
	(friendly/ well-suited)	Cloud Services fits well	CP3 : Using Cloud
	with some aspects of	with the way I like to	Services fits into my
	my work.	work.	work style
CP1 : Using Cloud Services is	1.000	.726	.768
compatible (friendly/ well-suited) with			
some aspects of my work.			
CP2 : I think, using Cloud Services fits	.726	1.000	.798
well with the way I like to work.			
CP3 : Using Cloud Services fits into my	.768	.798	1.000
work style			

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	3.701	3.660	3.726	.066	1.018	.001	3

Item-Total Statistics

			Corrected Item-	Squared	Cronbach's
	Scale Mean if	Scale Variance	Total	Multiple	Alpha if Item
	Item Deleted	if Item Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted
CP1 : Using Cloud	7.38	2.828	.787	.625	.887
Services is compatible					
(friendly/ well-suited) with					
some aspects of my work.					
CP2: I think, using Cloud	7.44	2.535	.811	.668	.869
Services fits well with the					
way I like to work.					
CP3 : Using Cloud	7.39	2.639	.843	.712	.839
Services fits into my work					
style					

Reliability

Cloud Trust

Case Processing Summary

	ouse i recessing cummary					
		N	%			
Cases	Valid	106	100.0			
	Excluded ^a	0	.0			
	Total	106	100.0			

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

	Cronbach's Alpha Based on	
Cronbach's Alpha	Standardized Items	N of Items
.370	.331	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
CT1: I feel worried about the security	3.58	1.059	106
in Cloud Services			
CT2 : It scares me to think that I	3.44	1.212	106
could lose a lot of data by using			
Cloud Services			
CT3 : I have trust in Cloud Services	3.42	.894	106

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

inter-item correlation matrix						
		CT2 : It scares me				
	CT1 : I feel	to think that I				
	worried about the	could lose a lot of				
	security in Cloud	data by using	CT3: I have trust			
	Services	Cloud Services	in Cloud Services			
CT1: I feel worried about the	1.000	.471	003			
security in Cloud Services						
CT2 : It scares me to think that I	.471	1.000	044			
could lose a lot of data by using						
Cloud Services						
CT3: I have trust in Cloud	003	044	1.000			
Services						

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	3.484	3.425	3.585	.160	1.047	.008	3

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if	Scale Variance	Corrected Item- Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
CT1 : I feel worried about the security in Cloud	6.87	2.173	.386	.223	087 ^a
Services					
CT2 : It scares me to think that I could lose a lot of	7.01	1.914	.333	.224	006 ^a
data by using Cloud					
Services CT3: I have trust in Cloud	7.03	3.799	029	.002	.637
Services					

a. The value is negative due to a negative average covariance among items. This violates reliability model assumptions. You may want to check item codings.

Scale: Intention To Use

Case Processing Summary

		, <u>.</u>	
		N	%
Cases	Valid	106	100.0
	Excluded ^a	0	.0
	Total	106	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

	<u> </u>	
	Cronbach's Alpha Based on	
Cronbach's Alpha	Standardized Items	N of Items
.798	.798	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
ITU1: I plan to use Cloud	3.78	.894	106
Services			
ITU2: I plan to learn about	4.01	.856	106
Cloud Services			
ITU3: I plan to teach others	3.63	.949	106
about Cloud Services			

Inter-Item Correlation Matrix

	ITU1 : I plan to use Cloud Services	ITU2 : I plan to learn about Cloud Services	ITU3 : I plan to teach others about Cloud Services
ITU1 : I plan to use Cloud	1.000	.575	.656
Services			
ITU2: I plan to learn about	.575	1.000	.473
Cloud Services			
ITU3: I plan to teach others	.656	.473	1.000
about Cloud Services			

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Item Means	3.808	3.632	4.009	.377	1.104	.036	3

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if	Scale Variance	Corrected Item- Total	Squared Multiple	Cronbach's Alpha if Item
	Item Deleted	if Item Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted
ITU1 : I plan to use Cloud	7.64	2.404	.719	.521	.640
Services					
ITU2 : I plan to learn about	7.42	2.817	.574	.346	.792
Cloud Services					
ITU3: I plan to teach others	7.79	2.414	.639	.445	.729
about Cloud Services					

Factor Analysis Tests Tables

Regression Tests Tables

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Intention To Use	3.8082	.76004	106
Perceived Usefulness	3.8774	.76473	106
Perceived ease of use	3.8176	.72613	106
Trialability	4.0660	.74347	106
Compatibility	3.7013	.79805	106

Table C-1 : Regression Table using Stepwise Method

		Intention To Use	Perceived Usefulness	Perceived ease of use	Trialability	Compatibility
Pearson Correlation	Intention To Use	1.000	.757	.816	.669	.742
	Perceived Usefulness	.757	1.000	.853	.408	.806
	Perceived ease of use	.816	.853	1.000	.546	.765
	Trialability	.669	.408	.546	1.000	.526
	Compatibility	.742	.806	.765	.526	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	Intention To Use		.000	.000	.000	.000
	Perceived Usefulness	.000		.000	.000	.000
	Perceived ease of use	.000	.000		.000	.000
	Trialability	.000	.000	.000		.000
	Compatibility	.000	.000	.000	.000	
N	Intention To Use	106	106	106	106	106
	Perceived Usefulness	106	106	106	106	106
	Perceived ease of use	106	106	106	106	106
	Trialability	106	106	106	106	106
	Compatibility	106	106	106	106	106

Variables Entered/Removed^b

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	Cloud Trust, Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Compatibility, Perceived Usefulness ^a		Enter
2		Cloud Trust	Backward (criterion: Probability of F-to-remove >= .100).
3		Compatibility	Backward (criterion: Probability of F-to-remove >= .100).

- a. All requested variables entered.
- b. Dependent Variable: Intention To Use

Model Summary^d

						Change Statistics				
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of	R Square	ı			Sig. F	Durbin-
Model	R	R Square	Square	the Estimate	Change	F Change	df1	df2	Change	Watson
1	.877 ^a	.769	.758	.37408	.769	66.690	5	100	.000	
2	.874 ^b	.764	.755	.37620	005	2.151	1	100	.146	
3	.872 ^c	.760	.753	.37767	004	1.795	1	101	.183	1.946

- a. Predictors: (Constant), Cloud Trust, Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Compatibility, Perceived Usefulness
- b. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Compatibility, Perceived Usefulness
- c. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Perceived Usefulness
- d. Dependent Variable: Intention To Use

$\mathbf{ANOVA}^{\mathsf{d}}$

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	46.662	5	9.332	66.690	.000 ^a
	Residual	13.993	100	.140		
	Total	60.655	105			
2	Regression	46.361	4	11.590	81.892	.000 ^b
	Residual	14.295	101	.142		
	Total	60.655	105			
3	Regression	46.107	3	15.369	107.751	.000 ^c
	Residual	14.549	102	.143	n.	
	Total	60.655	105			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Cloud Trust, Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Compatibility, Perceived Usefulness

- b. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Compatibility, Perceived Usefulness
- c. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Perceived Usefulness
- d. Dependent Variable: Intention To Use

Excluded Variables^c

7						C	ollinearity Sta	itistics
Model		Beta In	t	Sig.	Partial Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Minimum Tolerance
2	Cloud Trust	088 ^a	-1.467	.146	145	.645	1.550	.199
3	Cloud Trust	051 ^b	893	.374	089	.735	1.360	.218
	Compatibility	.118 ^b	1.340	.183	.132	.299	3.342	.201

- a. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Compatibility, Perceived Usefulness
- b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Trialability, Perceived Usefulness
- c. Dependent Variable: Intention To Use

Regression - Hierarchical (Blockwise Entry)

Without Cloud Trust

Descriptive Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Intention To Use	3.8082	.76004	106
Perceived Usefulness	3.8774	.76473	106
Perceived ease of use	3.8176	.72613	106
Trialability	4.0660	.74347	106
Compatibility	3.7013	.79805	106

Correlations

		Intention To Use	Perceived Usefulness	Perceived ease of use	Trialability	Compatibility
Pearson Correlation	Intention To Use	1.000	.757	.816	.669	.742
	Perceived Usefulness	.757	1.000	.853	.408	.806
	Perceived ease of use	.816	.853	1.000	.546	.765
	Trialability	.669	.408	.546	1.000	.526
	Compatibility	.742	.806	.765	.526	1.000
Sig. (1-tailed)	Intention To Use		.000	.000	.000	.000
	Perceived Usefulness	.000		.000	.000	.000
	Perceived ease of use	.000	.000		.000	.000
	Trialability	.000	.000	.000		.000
	Compatibility	.000	.000	.000	.000	
N	Intention To Use	106	106	106	106	106
	Perceived Usefulness	106	106	106	106	106
	Perceived ease of use	106	106	106	106	106
	Trialability	106	106	106	106	106
	Compatibility	106	106	106	106	106

Model Summary^d

				Std. Error		Cha	inge Statist	ics		
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	of the Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	Durbin- Watson
1	.816 ^a	.666	.663	.44135	.666	207.385	1	104	.000	
2	.824 ^b	.679	.673	.43451	.013	4.301	1	103	.041	
3	.874 ^c	.764	.755	.37620	.085	18.200	2	101	.000	1.985

Table Regression – 1 : Model Summary

$\mathbf{ANOVA}^{\mathsf{d}}$

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	40.397	1	40.397	207.385	.000 ^a
	Residual	20.258	104	.195		
	Total	60.655	105			
2	Regression	41.209	2	20.604	109.135	.000 ^b
	Residual	19.446	103	.189		
	Total	60.655	105			
3	Regression	46.361	4	11.590	81.892	.000 ^c
	Residual	14.295	101	.142		
	Total	60.655	105			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived ease of use

b. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Perceived Usefulness

c. Predictors: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Perceived Usefulness, Trialability, Compatibility

d. Dependent Variable: Intention To Use

Coefficients^a

		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		
Model		Std. B Error		Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	.547	.230	2014	2.374	.019
	,					
	Perceived ease of use	.854	.059	.816	14.401	.000
2	(Constant)	.449	.232		1.935	.056
	Perceived ease of use	.656	.112	.627	5.863	.000
	Perceived Usefulness	.220	.106	.222	2.074	.041
3	(Constant)	235	.235		-1.002	.319
	Perceived ease of use	.379	.107	.362	3.535	.001
	Perceived Usefulness	.221	.107	.222	2.065	.042
	Trialability	.325	.062	.318	5.223	.000
	Compatibility	.113	.084	.118	1.340	.183

Coefficients^a

95.0% Co		Correlations			Collinearity	/ Statistics
Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Zero- order	Partial	Part	Tolerance	VIF
.090	1.004					
.737	.972	.816	.816	.816	1.000	1.000
011	.908					
.434	.878	.816	.500	.327	.272	3.673
.010	.431	.757	.200	.116	.272	3.673
701	.230					
.166	.592	.816	.332	.171	.222	4.500
.009	.433	.757	.201	.100	.201	4.971
.202	.449	.669	.461	.252	.629	1.589
054	.280	.742	.132	.065	.299	3.342

Excluded Variables^c

-						Co	ollinearity Sta	atistics
					Partial			Minimum
Model	l	Beta In	t	Sig.	Correlation	Tolerance	VIF	Tolerance
1	Perceived Usefulness	.222 ^a	2.074	.041	.200	.272	3.673	.272
	Trialability	.318 ^a	5.272	.000	.461	.702	1.424	.702
	Compatibility	.284 ^a	3.381	.001	.316	.415	2.412	.415
2	Trialability	.342 ^b	5.860	.000	.502	.690	1.450	.225
	Compatibility	.255 ^b	2.693	.008	.258	.328	3.050	.215


a. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), Perceived ease of use

b. Predictors in the Model: (Constant), Perceived ease of use, Perceived Usefulness


c. Dependent Variable: Intention To Use

Appendix 3a: List of Graphs Output from SPSS


3.1 Descriptive Graphs




Gender	%	Frequency
Male	51.4	54
Female	48.6	51
Total	100.0	105
Missing		1
Grand Total		106




Ethnicity	%	Frequency
Chinese	11%	12
Indian	3%	3
Malay	81%	85
Bumiputra	3%	3
Others -	2%	2
Iranian		
Total	100%	105
Missing		1
Grand Total		106




Age	%	Frequency
Less than 35	68.6	72
36 - 45	19.0	20
46 - 55	11.4	12
56 and above	1.0	1
Total	100.0	105
Missing		1
Grand Total		106




Highest level Of Education	%	Frequency
SPM/ MCE & below	2.9	3
Diploma & Certificate	5.7	6
Degree	45.7	48
Master Degree & PhD	45.7	48
Total	100.0	105
Missing		1
Grand Total		106



Occupation	%	Frequency
Student	6.7	7
Employed	83. 8	88
Self-Employed/ Own a business	2.9	3
Unemployed	1.0	1
Student & Employed	4.8	5
Student & Self- Employed	1.0	1
Total	100 .0	105
Missing		1
Grand Total		106





Job Type	%	Frequency
Accounting	4.8	5
Information Technology	25.0	26
Learning & Education	29.8	31
Engineering	15.4	16
Legal & Law	1.9	2
Medicine	2.9	3
Others	18.3	19
IT & Engineering	1.0	1
IT, Learning & Edu, Engineering	1.0	1
Total	100.0	104
Missing		2
Grand Total		106




Other Job Type	%	Frequency
	80.2	85
Administration	.9	1
Administration and Management	.9	1
Administrator	.9	1
Auditor	.9	1
Consulting Analyst	.9	1
General Manager	.9	1
Housewife	.9	1
HR Consulting	.9	1
Marketing	3.8	4
Medicine	.9	1
R&D	.9	1
retail	.9	1
sales	.9	1
Sales & Marketing	.9	1
Service	.9	1
Teacher	.9	1
Telecommunication	1.9	2
Total	100.0	106


3.1.1 BoxPlot test Graphs - Spotting Outliers









Graphs 3.1.3-1: Perceived Usefulness




Graphs 3.1.3-2: Perceived Usefulness (Mean)


3.1.2 Perceived Ease Of Use









Graphs 3.1.3-3: Perceived Ease of Use




Graphs 3.1.3-4: Perceived Ease of Use (Mean)

3.1.3 Trialability







Graphs 3.1.1.3-1: Trialability (TR1, TR2, TR3)




Graphs 3.1.3-2 Trialability: Mean

3.1.4 Compatibility - CP1, CP2, CP3







Graphs 3.1.3-1: Compatibility (CP1, CP2, CP3)




Graphs 3.1.3-2 Compatibility (Mean)


3.1.5 Cloud Trust









Graphs 3.1.3-3 Cloud Trust (CT1, CT2, CT3)




Graphs 3.1.3-4 Cloud Trust (Mean)

3.1.6 Intention To Use






Graphs 3.1.3-5 Intention To Use (ITU1, ITU2, ITU3)





Graphs 3.1.3-6: Intention To Use (Mean)

3.2 Normality Test Graphs (Histogram & P-P Plot graphs)




Graphs 3.1.3-1: Perceived Usefulness






3.3 Correlation Analysis


- a. Visual Correlation Analysis Scatterplot Graphs
- i. Perceived Usefulness Intention To Use




ii. Perceived Ease of Use – Intention To Use




iii. Trialability – Intention To Use



iv. Compatibility – Intention To Use




v. Cloud Trust – Intention To Use




b. Visual Correlation by Gender


i. Perceived Usefulness




ii. Perceived Ease of Use




iii. Trialability



iv. Compatibility




v. Cloud Trust



3.4 Regression Analysis Graphs


Normal P-P Plot of Regression Standardized Residual

Dependent Variable: Intention To Use




Scatterplot

Dependent Variable: Intention To Use




Partial Regression Plot

Dependent Variable: Intention To Use



Partial Regression Plot


Dependent Variable: Intention To Use



Page **26** of **27**


Partial Regression Plot

Dependent Variable: Intention To Use



Partial Regression Plot

Dependent Variable: Intention To Use



Page **27** of **27**