Table Of Contents

List of Fig	guresix
List of Ta	blesxii
Abstract	xiii
1	Introduction1
1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	Software Problems 1 Software Engineering Goals 3 Motivation for this project 3 Objectives of this project 4 Thesis Organization 5
2	Literature Review of Structured And Object-Oriented Methodologies
2.1 2.1.1 2.1.2 2.1.3 2.1.4 2.1.5 2.1.6 2.1.7 2.1.8 2.1.9 2.1.10 2.1.10.1 2.1.10.2 2.1.10.4 2.1.10.4	History of Structured Methodologies
2.1.11 2.1.12 2.1.12.1 2.1.12.2 2.1.12.3 2.1.13 2.1.13.1 2.1.13.2	Data Dictionary 14 Process Specifications 14 Structured English 15 Decision Tables 16 Decision Tree 16 Entity-Relationship Diagram (ERD) 17 Entity Sets 18 Relationship Sets 18

2.1.13.3	Attributes	
2.1.14	State-Transition Diagram (STD)	
2.1.14.1	States	20
2.1.14.2	State-Changes	20
2.1.15	Structure Chart	21
2.1.16	Top-down Decomposition	23
2.1.17	Structured Methods	25
2.1.17.1	Structured Analysis/Structured Design (SA/SD)	
2.1.17.2	Other Structured Methods	
2.2	Object Oriented Methodologies	29
2.2.1	History of Object-Orientation	29
2.2.2	Object-Oriented Paradigm	31
2.2.2.1	Object	32
2.2.2.2	Object Type	.33
2.2.2.3	Instance	
2.2.2.4	Operation	
2.2.2.5	Method	
2.2.2.6	Encapsulation	
2.2.2.7	Requests	
2.2.2.8	Messages	
2.2.2.9	Class	
2.2.2.10	Abstract Data Type (ADT)	.38
2.2.2.11	Inheritance	
2.2.2.12	Polymorphism	
2.2.2.13	Binding	
2.2.2.14	Link	
2.2.2.15	State	
2.2.2.16	Events	.42
2.2.2.17	Association	.42
2.2.2.18	Aggregation	.42
2.2.2.19	Generalization	.43
2.2.2.20	Terminologies Used By Language Implementation	.44
2.2.3	Object-Oriented Methods	.45
2.2.3.1	Coad & Yourdon OOA & OOD	
2.2.3.1.1	Four Components, Four Activities	
2.2.3.1.2	Five Layers, Five Activities	
2.2.3.2	Other Object-Oriented Methods	.51
Compa	rison of Methodologies	.52
2.3.1	Similarities	
2.3.1.1	Entity and Object	.53
2.3.1.2	Attributes of Entities and Objects	.53
2.3.1.3	Entity and Object Types	.54
2214	Entity and Object Instances	54

2.3.2	Differences	
2.3.2.1	Process-Oriented Versus Object Models	54
2.3.2.2	Top-down Versus Bottom-up Techniques	56
2.3.2.3	Solution versus Problems (Differences in Paradigms)	56
2.3.3	Project Management Points of View of Object-Oriented Methodologies	57
2.3.3.1	Benefits	
2.3.3.1.1	Short Development time	
2.3.3.1.2	Higher Quality Software	
2.3.3.1.3	Easier Maintenance	
2.3.3.1.4	Lower Development and Maintenance Costs	
2.3.3.2	Risks	
2.3.3.2.1	Learning Curves	59
2.3.3.2.2	High Training Cost	
2.3.3.2.3	High Software Cost	
2.3.3.2.4	High Design Cost	
2.3.3.2.5	Lack of Supporting CASE Tools	
2.3.3.2.6	Lack of Tools for Mapping Requirements Specification	60
2.3.4	Guidelines for Adopting Object-Oriented Methodologies	
2.3.5	Conclusion	
3	Hybrid Convergence Analysis for Large Scale Projects	62
3.1	Introduction	62
3.2	Conventional Versus OO Approach	
3.2.1	Strengths of OO Methodologies	
3.2.2	Weaknesses of OO Methodologies	66
3.2.3	Weaknesses of Structured Methodologies	
3.2.4	Strengths of Structured Methodologies	
3.3	Analysis Principles	
3.4	Hybrid Convergence Model for Large Scale Systems	
3.4.1	Prototyping	
3.4.2	User Involvement.	
3.5	Justification for The Hybrid Convergence Model	77
3.6	Conclusion	
4	Hybrid Convergence Analysis Model	81
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Level 1 Analysis Concepts	
4.2.1	System	
4.2.2	Process	
4.2.3	Class (CRD)	
4.3	Relationships	
4.3.1	Class Inheritance (Generic-Specific)	91
4.3.2	Possession	
4.3.3	Association	
4.4	Level 2 Analysis	

1.5	Level 2 Analysis Concepts	102
1.5.1	System Interaction Diagram (SID)	
1.5.1.1	Object Interaction Diagram (OID)	105
1.5.1.2	State-Evolution (SED)	
1.5.1.3	Definitions	110
1.6	Conclusion	113
	D. I. CANTALLIA I. CANTET I	115
5	Development of A Hybrid Analysis CASE Tool	
5.1	Introduction	
5.2	Computer-Aided Software Engineering (CASE)	
5.2.1	The Goals of CASE	
5.2.2	CASE Environment	
5.3	CASE Tools	
5.4	Benefits of CASE Tools	
5.4.1	Reducing Development Time and Costs	
5.4.1.1	Accelerate the Drawing of Diagrams	
5.4.1.2	Accelerate the Generation of Documentation	
5.4.1.3	Accelerate the Creation of Systems	
5.4.1.4	Accelerate the Validation of Data	
5.4.2	Improving Software Quality	119
5.4.2.1	Consistent Diagrams	
5.4.2.2	Completeness of the Development Process	
5.4.2.3	Standard Conformance	
5.4.2.4	Correctness of the Programming Code	119
5.4.3	Reducing Maintenance Time and Costs	120
5.5	Risks of CASE Tools	
5.5.1	Lack of Support for Full Development Life Cycle	120
5.5.2	Lack of Integrated Project Support Environment	
5.5.3	Lack of Support for Complete System Code Generation	120
5.5.4	Lack of Short-term Benefits	
5.6	Integration of CASE and Object-Oriented Technologies	121
5.6.1	Automation of Reusable Software Components	
5.6.2	Automation of Transition of Phases	122
5.6.3	Showing and Hiding Details	
5.6.4	Generation Complete Software Code	122
5.6.5	Object-Oriented Repository	
5.7	Lack of Object-Oriented CASE Tools	123
5.8	The HYCONAN CASE Tool	123
5.9	The "Baseball" Model of System Development	123
5.10	A Hybrid Convergence Analysis/Hybrid Convergence Design CASE System	124
5.11	Model Development	
5.12	The Requirements of the Hybrid Convergence Analysis Graphics Editor	127
5.13	Method Modelling using MetaEdit	
5.14	The Structure of the Meta-Metamodel	
5.15	Metamodels of HYCONAN's Diagramming Techniques	134
5.16	The Draw Window	138

5.17 6	Conclusion 140 Conclusion 141			
6.1	Future of Systems Analysis, Design and Methodologies			
6.1.1 6.2	Research Relating to Analysis, Design and Methodologies			
6.3	Limitations and Future Research 144			
6.4	Final Analysis			
Appendi	Appendix A146			
A.1	Information Engineering Analysis (IE)146			
A.2	Structured Systems Analysis and Design Method (SSADM)150			
A.3	Structured Analysis and Design Techniques (SADT)			
A.4	Information Systems Activity and Change Analysis (ISAC)151			
A.5	Jackson System Development (JSD)			
A.6	Nijssen's Information Analysis Method (NIAM)			
A.7	Mascot-3			
Append	ix B Other Object-Oriented Methodologies153			
B.1	Booch Object-Oriented Design (OOD)153			
B.2	Object-Oriented Modelling and Design (OMT)155			
B.3	Wirfs-Brock et al. Responsibility-Driven Design (RRD)157			
B.4	Shlaer and Mellor Object-Oriented Systems Analysis (OOSA)			
B.5	Bailin Object-Oriented Requirements Specification Method (OOS)163			
Append				
C.1	Case Study One165			
C.2	Case Study Two			
C.3	Case Study Three			
C.4	Case Study Four			
Append	ix D			
Referen	ces204			
List of F	igures .			
Figure 2.1	Notations for External Entities12			
Figure 2.2	Notations for Data Stores			
Figure 2.3				
Figure 2.4	Notations for Processes			
Figure 2.5				
Figure 2.6	An example of Structured English15			

Figure 2.7	An example of Decision Table	
Figure 2.8	An example of Decision Tree	
Figure 2.9	An Entity Set	
Figure 2.10	A Relationship Set	
Figure 2.11	Attributes	
Figure 2.12	States	
Figure 2.13	State-transition Diagram	
Figure 2.14	Components of a Structured Chart	
Figure 2.15	Hierarchy of DFDs	
Figure 2.16	Structured System Development Cycle (SSDC)	
Figure 2.17	Structured Analysis	
Figure 2.1.1	Object Encapsulation	
Figure 2.1.2	Object Types	
Figure 2.1.3	Instances of an EMPLOYEE Object Type	34
Figure 2.1.4	Operations of an Object Type	34
Figure 2.1.5	A comparison of Encapsulation and Information Hiding	36
Figure 2.1.6	Message Connection	37
Figure 2.1.7	Inheritances (represented by Gen-Spec Notations)	38
Figure 2.1.8	Polymorphism	40
Figure 2.1.9	State of TRAFFIC-LIGHT Object	41
Figure 2.1.10	Association or Instance Connection	
Figure 2.1.11	Aggregation or Whole-Part Notations	
Figure 2.1.12	Generalization-Specialization Connection	44
Figure 2.1.13	Multi-Layer, Multi-Component Model	45
Figure 2.1.14	Class-&-Object and Class Notations	47
Figure 2.1.15	Gen-Spec Structure and Whole-Part Structure Notations	48
Figure 2.1.16	Subject Notations, collapsed	48
Figure 2.1.17	Subject Notations, partially expanded (a CASE Tool Option)	49
Figure 2.1.18	Subject Notations, expanded (when shown with other layers)	49
Figure 2.1.19	Attributes Notations	50
Figure 2.1.20	Instance Connection Notations	50
Figure 2.1.21	Service Notations	50
Figure 2.1.22	Message Connection Notations	51
Figure 2.2.1	Comparison of the Entity and Object Models	53
Figure 2.2.2	Process-Oriented Model	55
Figure 2.2.3	Object Model	55
Figure 3.1	Hybrid Convergence Model with Functional and Class Disintegration	
Ü	Process and Analysis phases	72
Figure 3.2	Hybrid Convergence Life-Cycle Model	73
Figure 3.3	General Phases of the Hybrid Convergence Model	
Figure 3.4	Convergence to final product by continuous refinement	76
Figure 4.1	System with its components processes	84
Figure 4.2	Process with its constituent class(s)	
Figure 4.3	Graphical Representation of A Class in a CRD	
Figure 4.4	A Class Instance	90
Figure 4.5	A Canaria Class and its Instances	

Figure 4.6	Generic and Specific Classes	92
Figure 4.7	A Generic Customer with Specific Cash and Credit Customer	93
Figure 4.8	Logical Possession	94
Figure 4.9	Recursive Logical Possession	
Figure 4.10a	Polygon with its Possessions	95
Figure 4.10b	A Company with its Possessions	96
Figure 4.10c	A Toaster with its Possessions	
Figure 4.11	An example of an Association Relationship	
Figure 4.11a	Example A	
Figure 4.11b	Example B	
Figure 4.12	System Interaction Diagram	102
Figure 4.13	System B triggers two processes in System A	103
Figure 4.14	Diagramming Elements of a System Interaction Diagram (SID)	104
Figure 4.15	System Interaction Diagram for the Student Management System	
Figure 4.16	Diagramming Elements of an OID	106
Figure 4.17	The Sequential OID of a Warehouse Loading System	
Figure 4.18	Concurrent Object Interaction Diagram	
Figure 4.19	Diagramming Elements of a SED	
Figure 4.20	A SED for a Vending Machine	
Figure 5.1	A CASE Environment	
Figure 5.2	The "Baseball" Model	
Figure 5.3	A Hybrid Convergence Analysis/Hybrid Convergence Design CASE Sys	
Figure 5.4	Mapping of the Model to a Meta-CASE Tool in the Development of a CA	
	Tool	
Figure 5.5	Symbols used in the HYCONAN Model	
Figure 5.6	The Four Domain of Model Information in MetaEdit	
Figure 5.7	OPRR Graphical Symbols	
Figure 5.8	An OPRR Specification of the Class Relationship Diagram	134
Figure 5.9	An OPRR Specification of the State Evolution Diagram	
Figure 5.10	An OPRR Specification of the Object Interaction System Diagram	
Figure 5.11	An OPRR Specification of the System Interaction Diagram	
Figure 5.12	The Draw Window in MetaEdit	
Figure 5.13	Draw Window with a SED Diagram	
Figure A.1	Tools for Information Engineering	
Figure B.1	Tools for Booch Object-Oriented Design	
Figure B.2	Tools for Wirfs-Booch et al. Responsibility-Driven Design	
Figure B.3	Tools for Shlaer and Mellor Object-Oriented Systems Analysis	
Figure B.4	Tools for Bailin Object-Oriented Requirements Specifications Methods	
Figure C.1.1	System Interaction Diagram (SID) for the Student Registration System	
Figure C.1.2	Class Registration Diagram (CRD) for the Student Registration System	
Figure C.1.3	Object Interaction Diagram (OID) for the Student Registration System	
Figure C.1.4	State Evolution Diagram (SED) for the Student Registration System	
Figure C.2.1	System Interaction Diagram (SID) for the Library Management System	
Figure C.2.2	Class Relationship Diagram (CRD) for the Library Management System.	171
Figure C.2.3.1	Accounting Processing	172

Hybrid Convergence Analysis Model for Large-scale Projects

Figure C.2.3.2	raculty Requisition	1/2
Figure C.2.3.3	Loan Processing	
Figure C.2.4	State Evolution Diagram (SED) for the Library Management System	173
Figure C.2.4.1	Loan	
Figure C.2.4.2	Book	174
Figure C.2.4.3	Borrower	174
Figure C.3.1	System Interaction Diagram (SID) for the Dental Management System .	177
Figure C.3.2	Class Relationship Diagram (CRD) for the Dental Management System	177
Figure C.3.3	Object Interaction Diagram (OID) for the Dental Management System	178
Figure C.3.4	State Evolution Diagram (SED) for the Dental Management System	178
Figure C.4.1	System Interaction Diagram (SID) for the Alarm System	180
Figure C.4.2	Class Relationship Diagram (CRD) for the Alarm System	181
Figure C.4.3.1	Sensor	182
Figure C.4.3.2	Detector	182
Figure C.4.4	State Evolution Diagram (SED) for the Alarm System	183

List of Tables

Table 2.1.1	Different Terminologies Used For Binding	40
Table 2.1.2	Language Comparison of Object-Oriented Terminology	44
Table 2.2.1	Shift of Mindset	56
Table 3.1	Comparative Summary of the Three Methodologies	79