



**EFFECTS OF FISH CAGE CULTURE ON THE WATER
QUALITY OF THE MATANG MANGROVE ESTUARIES,
PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

WONG SUH CHUEN

**SUBMISSION OF DISSERTATION
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT FOR
THE MASTERS OF TECHNOLOGY
(ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT)**

**INSTITUTE OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR**

JUNE 2003

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A511759038

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Chong Ving Ching, for his invaluable guidance, advice, constructive criticisms and encouragement throughout the study. I would also like to express my sincere appreciation to my consultant, Dr Daniel M. Alongi of the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS), for his guidance during the field work and providing critical comments on the thesis write-up. Mr Frank Tirendi of AIMS is thanked for his encouragement and advice on chemical analysis.

My appreciation goes to fellow graduate students, namely, Ooi Ai Lin, Patricia Natin, John Madin, Affendy Nasruddin, Muhammad Ali b. Syed Hussein, Harinder Singh, Tin Joo Yen, Amy Then and Chew Li Lee for the assistance rendered during the fieldwork and in the laboratory. I am grateful to John Madin and Choy Mun Kit who had helped in the nutrient leaching experiment and chlorophyll *a* analysis, respectively.

I am grateful to the fish farm operators for allowing me to collect water samples from the fish farms and for sharing their experiences. The field works were made possible with the assistance of Mr Lee Thien Heng and Mr Rajoo Nachiappan @ Raymond of the Institute of Biological Sciences (ISB), Faculty of Science, UM. I would like to thank the boatmen, Mr Lee Cheng Seong, Uncle Tam and Encik Mustapha for the use of the fishing boats, and the later who allowed me to set up a temporary laboratory at his home.

I am most grateful to my employer, Mr Rod McBride of Environmental and Risk Management Sdn. Bhd. for allowing me to pursue this study. My ex-colleague and friend, Ms Den Shu Chien, has been giving encouragement throughout the study.

To my husband, Lay Teck, thank you for understanding the demand of this project and most of all for making this endeavor worthwhile. This study is dedicated to my daughter, Milan, and my mother, Mdm Lim Kui Guat, who believes education is the best gift for her children.

This project is funded by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment Malaysia, under the scheme 'Intensified Research in Priority Area (IRPA)', Grant No. 08/02/03/0644 (Cage Culture Project).

ABSTRACT

A study on the effects of fish cage aquaculture on water quality was carried out in three Matang mangrove estuaries of the Sangga Besar (SSB), Jaha (SJ) and Sangga Kecil rivers. These estuaries, on a comparative basis, represented high-density, low-density and no aquaculture, respectively. To test the hypothesis that cage aquaculture increases the nutrient concentration of surrounding waters, and consequently increases chlorophyll *a* concentration (phytoplankton biomass), several investigations comprising comparisons of background (non-cage sites) nutrient levels among estuaries and cage and non-cage (control) sites, with consideration for confounding effects by tide (flood/ebb), diel (day/night) and season (wet/dry), were carried out. A nutrient leaching experiment compared the short-term effects (2 hours) of pellet feed and trash fish feed on water nutrient levels. Surface nutrient (ammonia nitrogen, nitrate, nitrite and reactive phosphate) and chlorophyll *a* concentrations, pH and salinity were measured and compared. The mean background $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and PO_4^{3-} concentrations in the SSB were respectively 100% and 25% higher than that in both SJ and SSK. The mean background $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ and PO_4^{3-} concentrations at SJ and SSK were similar. Irrespective of locations (cage or non-cage site), higher mean $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ and $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$ levels were observed in SSB and SSK, while a higher mean chlorophyll *a* level was observed in SJ. Significantly higher $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ concentrations were observed within the fish farms (SSB: $3.14 \mu\text{mol/L NH}_3\text{-N}$; SJ: $2.88 \mu\text{mol/L NH}_3\text{-N}$) as compared to outside the farm area (SSB: $1.56 \mu\text{mol/L NH}_3\text{-N}$; SJ: $1.44 \mu\text{mol/L NH}_3\text{-N}$). Seasonal and tidal effects interactions indicated a dilution effect on the nutrient concentrations during ebb tide in the wet season. There were no significant diel effects on the nutrient levels. Pellet and trash fish feeds contributed equally to nutrient enrichment of the water column. Overall, the chlorophyll *a* concentrations in the estuaries were however not consistent with the levels of nutrient concentration. The present study supports the hypothesis that fish cage culture increases nutrient concentrations in the water column. However, the idea that increased concentrations of nutrients cause eutrophication cannot be verified in the short-term study, given the estuarine complexity and dynamics of the study area.

ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|--------------------------------|
| ANOVA | Analysis of Variance |
| MMFR | Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve |
| PCA | Principal Components Analysis |
| Sg. | Sungai/ river |
| SJ | Jaha River |
| SSB | Sangga Besar River |
| SSK | Sangga Kecil River |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ABBREVIATIONS | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | v |
| LIST OF TABLES | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | ix |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xii |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Ecological Role of Mangrove Ecosystem | 1 |
| 1.2 Nutrients | 3 |
| 1.2.1 Nutritive Factors | 4 |
| a) Nitrogen Compounds | 4 |
| b) Phosphorus Compounds | 4 |
| 1.2.2 Behavior of Nutrients in Estuarine Environment | 5 |
| 1.3 Photosynthetic Pigments | 8 |
| 1.4 Brackishwater Culture in Malaysia | 8 |
| 1.5 Impacts of Aquaculture on Water Quality | 10 |
| 1.6 Objectives of Study | 13 |
| 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS | 14 |
| 2.1 Location of Study Area | 14 |
| 2.2 Meteorology | 16 |
| 2.2.1 Rainfall | 16 |
| 2.2.2 Tides | 18 |
| 2.3 Sampling Design | 19 |
| 2.3.1 Grid Sampling | 19 |
| 2.3.2 12-hour Sampling | 24 |
| 2.3.3 Short-interval Serial Sampling (Nutrient Leaching Experiment) | 25 |

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| 2.4 | Analytical Procedures | 26 |
| 2.4.1 | Sampling and Sample Pre-treatment | 26 |
| 2.4.2 | Laboratory Analyses | 27 |
| | a) Analysis of Nutrients | 27 |
| | b) Analysis of Chlorophyll <i>a</i> | 29 |
| 2.4.3 | Constraints and Limitations | 30 |
| 2.5 | Statistical Analysis | 31 |
| 2.5.1 | Univariate Analysis – ANOVA | 31 |
| 2.5.2 | Multivariate Analysis – Principal Components Analysis (PCA) | 33 |
| 2.5.3 | Contour Plots of Nutrient and Chlorophyll <i>a</i> Concentrations | 35 |
| 3 | RESULTS | 37 |
| 3.1 | Physical Parameters | 37 |
| 3.2 | Comparison of Background Nutrient and Chlorophyll <i>a</i> Concentrations among Estuaries | 39 |
| | a) Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) | 39 |
| | b) Nitrate-Nitrogen (NO ₃ -N) | 39 |
| | c) Nitrite-Nitrogen (NO ₂ -N) | 40 |
| | d) Reactive Phosphate (PO ₄ ³⁻) | 42 |
| | e) Chlorophyll <i>a</i> | 43 |
| 3.3 | Comparison of Fish Cage Culture Estuaries in Relation to Seasonal and Tidal Effects | 44 |
| | a) Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) | 44 |
| | b) Nitrate-Nitrogen (NO ₃ -N) | 46 |
| | c) Nitrite-Nitrogen (NO ₂ -N) | 49 |
| | d) Reactive Phosphate (PO ₄ ³⁻) | 50 |
| | e) Chlorophyll <i>a</i> | 52 |
| 3.4 | Effects of Fish Cage Culture in Relation to Tidal and Diel Effects (12-hour Study) | 55 |
| 3.4.1 | Graphical Presentation | 55 |
| 3.4.2 | ANOVA study | 58 |
| | 3.4.2.1 Stations (IN*OUT*CTRL) and Tides (Flood*Ebb) | 59 |
| | a) Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) | 59 |
| | b) Nitrate-Nitrogen (NO ₃ -N) | 60 |

| | |
|--|----|
| c) Nitrite-Nitrogen (NO ₂ -N) | 60 |
| d) Reactive Phosphate (PO ₄ ³⁻) | 60 |
| e) Chlorophyll <i>a</i> | 61 |
| 3.4.2.2 Stations (IN*OUT*CTRL) and Diel (Day*Night) | 61 |
| a) Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) | 61 |
| b) Nitrate-Nitrogen (NO ₃ -N) | 61 |
| c) Nitrite-Nitrogen (NO ₂ -N) | 62 |
| d) Reactive Phosphate (PO ₄ ³⁻) | 62 |
| e) Chlorophyll <i>a</i> | 62 |
| 3.5 Nutrient Leaching Study | 62 |
| 3.5.1 Graphical Presentation | 62 |
| 3.5.2 ANOVA Study | 66 |
| a) Ammonia-Nitrogen (NH ₃ -N) | 66 |
| b) Nitrate-Nitrogen (NO ₃ -N) | 67 |
| c) Nitrite-Nitrogen (NO ₂ -N) | 67 |
| d) Reactive Phosphate (PO ₄ ³⁻) | 67 |
| e) Chlorophyll <i>a</i> | 67 |
| 3.6 Synthesis of ANOVA Results | 68 |
| 3.7 Principal Components Analysis (PCA) | 69 |
| 3.8 Spatial Distribution of Nutrient Concentrations | 73 |
| 4 DISCUSSION | 77 |
| 4.1 Significance of Aquaculture-induced Nutrient Concentrations | 77 |
| 4.2 Seasonal, Tidal and Diel Effects | 81 |
| 4.3 Chlorophyll <i>a</i> in Relation to Nutrients and the Physical Environment | 84 |
| 4.4 Comparison of Water Quality | 87 |
| 5 CONCLUSIONS | 92 |
| REFERENCES | 95 |
| APPENDICES | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Page |
|--|------|
| 2.2.1 Tide Schedule and Tidal Amplitudes at Kuala Sepetang | 19 |
| 2.3.1 Layout for Grid Sampling at Sg. Sangga Besar, Sg. Sangga Kecil and Sg. Jaha (Year 2000) | 20 |
| 2.3.2 Codes of Water Sampling Stations | 24 |
| 2.3.3 Tidal and Diel Information and Sampling Layout for 12-hour Study | 25 |
| 2.3.4 Sampling Layout for the Nutrient Leaching Experiment | 26 |
| 2.4.1 Method of Analysis for Nutrient Parameters | 28 |
| 3.1.1 Physical Water Characteristics for the Present Study | 37 |
| 3.6.1 Summary Table of ANOVA Results for Nutrients and Chlorophyll <i>a</i> Study | 69 |
| 3.7.1 Eigenvalues Derived from Principal Components Analysis | 70 |
| 3.7.2 Factor Loadings for the Principal Components | 70 |
| 3.7.3 Observation on the Cluster Formation from PCA Ordination | 73 |
| 4.4.1 Comparison of Nutrient and Chlorophyll <i>a</i> Concentrations among Various Water Bodies | 88 |
| 4.4.2 Comparison of Nutrient Concentrations at the Matang Estuaries with the ASEAN Marine Water Quality Criteria | 90 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|---|------|
| 2.1.1 The Matang Mangrove Forest Reserve in the State of Perak, Peninsular Malaysia. | 17 |
| 2.2.1 Monthly Rainfall Data at Taiping Hospital in Years 1998, 1999 and 2000 | 18 |
| 2.3.1 Schematic Layout of the Fish Cage Farms and Water Quality Sampling Stations at Sg. Sangga Besar Estuary | 21 |
| 2.3.2 Schematic Layout of the Fish Cage Farms and Water Quality Sampling Stations at Sg. Jaha Estuary | 22 |
| 2.3.3 Schematic Layout of the Water Quality Sampling Stations at Sg. Sangga Kecil Estuary | 23 |
| 3.1.1 Mean pH value in Relation to Month-Estuary-Tide | 38 |
| 3.1.2 Mean Salinity (ppt.) in Relation to Month-Estuary-Tide | 38 |
| 3.2.1 Interaction Effect between Estuary and Tide on the Mean $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentrations (August 2000) | 40 |
| 3.2.2 Interaction Effect between Estuary and Tide on the Mean $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentrations (August 2000) | 41 |
| 3.2.3 Interaction Effect between Estuary and Tide on the Mean PO_4^{3-} (\log_{10}) Concentrations (August 2000) | 42 |
| 3.3.1 Interaction Effect between Season and Estuary on the Mean $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentration | 44 |
| 3.3.2 Interaction Effect between Season and Tide on the Mean $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentration | 45 |
| 3.3.3 Interaction Effect among Season, Estuary and Tide on the Mean $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentration | 45 |
| 3.3.4 Interaction Effect between Season and Estuary on the Mean $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentration | 46 |
| 3.3.5 Interaction Effect between Season and Tide on the Mean $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentration | 47 |
| 3.3.6 Interaction Effect among Estuary, Tide and Station on the Mean $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentration | 48 |

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 3.3.7 | Interaction Effect between Season and Tide on the Mean $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentration | 49 |
| 3.3.8 | Interaction Effect among Season, Estuary and Tide on the Mean $\text{NO}_2\text{-N}$ (\log_{10}) Concentration | 50 |
| 3.3.9 | Interaction Effect between Season and Tide on the Mean PO_4^{3-} (\log_{10}) Concentration | 51 |
| 3.3.10 | Interaction Effect between Estuary and Station on the Mean PO_4^{3-} (\log_{10}) Concentration | 52 |
| 3.3.11 | Interaction Effect between Season and Estuary on the Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (\log_{10}) Concentration | 53 |
| 3.3.12 | Interaction Effect between Season and Tide on the Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (\log_{10}) Concentration | 54 |
| 3.3.13 | Interaction Effect between Estuary and Tide on the Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (\log_{10}) Concentration | 54 |
| 3.3.14 | Interaction Effect among Season, Estuary and Tide on the Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (\log_{10}) Concentration | 55 |
| 3.4.1 | Concentrations of Nutrient and Chlorophyll <i>a</i> over Time | 56 |
| 3.4.2 | Mean $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ Concentrations as Influenced by Stations in April 2000 | 59 |
| 3.5.1 | Concentrations of Nutrient and Chlorophyll <i>a</i> over Time for Nutrient Leaching Study | 64 |
| 3.5.2 | Mean $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ Concentrations as Influenced by Feed Type | 66 |
| 3.5.3 | Mean Chlorophyll <i>a</i> Concentrations as Influenced by Feed Type | 68 |
| 3.7.1 | Scatterplot of Factor Loadings: Principal Component 1 versus Principal Component 2 | 71 |
| 3.7.2 | Scatterplot of PCA Ordination Showing the Distribution of Site Samples in Relation to Nutrient and Chlorophyll <i>a</i> Concentrations | 72 |
| 3.8.1 | Spatial Distribution of Mean $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ Concentrations in the Dry Season and Flood Tide in SSB | 75 |
| 3.8.2 | Spatial Distribution of Mean $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ Concentrations during Wet Season and Flood Tide in SSB | 75 |

| Figure | | Page |
|---------------|--|-------------|
| 3.8.3 | Spatial Distribution of Mean $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ Concentrations during Wet Season and Flood Tide in SSB | 76 |
| 3.8.4 | Spatial Distribution of Mean PO_4^{3-} Concentrations during Wet Season and Ebb Tide in SSB | 76 |
| 4.3.1 | Dissolved Oxygen (DO) Recorded by Hydrolab Cast (Alongi, pers. comm.) | 85 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix

- A Comparison of Background Nutrient and Chlorophyll *a* Concentrations among Estuaries (ANOVA)
- B Comparison of Fish Cage Culture Estuaries in relation to Seasonal and Tidal Effects (ANOVA)
- C Effects of Fish Cage Culture in relation to Tidal and Diel Effects (12-hour Study) – Station vs. Tide (ANOVA)
- D Effects of Fish Cage Culture in relation to Tidal and Diel Effects (12-hour Study) – Station vs. Diel (ANOVA)
- E Nutrient Leaching Study (ANOVA)
- F Mean Water Quality Results of the Matang Mangrove Estuaries from the Present Study