UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION

"A Study of Quality Control Circles in Two Selected

Ministries in the Public Sector"

by

Rohana bt Ahmad

1049632

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Masters in Public Administration

March 1987

Dedicated to Salleh & Rizzall for your affection,
understanding and
tolerance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study would not have materialised without the help and moral support of many people.

First and foremost I wish to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to my supervisor, Prof. Madya Teh Ho Yoke for his continuous inspiration. His willingness to assist and supervise have been a valuable source of encouragement for me.

I also wish to record my immense gratitude to the Director of INTAN, Dr. Mazlan bin Ahmad for allowing me to make use of the available facilities at INTAN in the course of undertaking the study. I am particularly grateful to my friends, Encik Lim Fuan Kee, Puan Normala bt Rahim, Encik Aziz bin Yussof and Encik Nordin bin Rahim also from INTAN for their valuable assistance rendered in the course of conducting the study.

My appreciation is also extended to Tuan Hj. Wan Hassan bin Hj. Wan Teh of the Ministry of Finance, Puan Fauziah bt Hj. Ramly and Puan Fikriah bt Hj. Abd. Rahman of the Ministry of Housing and Local Government and Encik Nik Ab. Kadir bin Nik Mat from the Ministry of Education for which the study would not have been made possible.

A special word of thanks also goes to Ms. Azizah bt Salleh for the speed and accuracy with which she finishes typing the report. To the numerous other individuals who have contributed to the accomplishment of this study, I wish to express my gratitude.

Last but not least, I wish to thank Salleh and Rizzall for all the concern, patience, care and love rendered, all these years to see me through with my assignment.

Abstract

QCC was first introduced into the public sector in late 1982. It has been 4 years since its inception into the public sector. Inspite of the pay-offs QCCs can bring about in increasing productivity levels, yet not much has been documented about QCC development in the public sector. As a result there is no means of gauging QCC performance in the public sector, although INTAN would probably have done some studies to gauge the effectiveness of its training programmes.

QCC is sometimes referred to as Productivity Improvement Teams, Teams, Circles, Quality Circles and a host of others. In the public sector in Malaysia it is called Kumpulan Meningkat Mutu Kerja (KMK). For the purpose of this study, QCCs, QCs and Circles will be used interchangeably.

This study is undertaken therefore with a view to explore the development of the QCCs in the public sector. The main purpose is to explore and examine the factors that exist within and outside the Circles that can facilitate or inhibit the development of QCCs. For the purpose of this study thirty-five QCCs from two selected Ministries were chosen. A total of 240 respondents were involved. It is hoped that the findings or outcome of this study would serve some guide for policy makers to formulate policies for future QCC development. At the same time it would serve the QCCs an independent assessment of their own

performance. To other QCCs in the public sector it would serve some guide so that they can work along the right path to attain activeness of their Circle activities.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| ACKNOWLEDG | EMENT | (i) |
| ABSTRACT | | (iii) |
| TABLE OF C | ONTENTS | (v) |
| LIST OF TA | BLES | (viii |
| LIST OF IL | LUSTRATIONS | (x) |
| LIST OF AB | BREVIATIONS | (xi) |
| CHAPTER | | |
| I. THE GE | NERAL INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.2. | Area of Study | 6 |
| 1.3. | Objectives of Study | 9 |
| 1.4. | Significance of Study | 10 |
| 1.5. | Analytical Model | 11 |
| 1.6. | Research Methodology | 20 |
| 1.7. | Limitations of Study | 24 |
| 1.8. | Organization of Chapters | 25 |
| | | |
| II. QUALIT | Y CONTROL CIRCLES | 26 |
| 2.1. | Background to QCC Development | 26 |
| 2.2. | Definition of QCC | 29 |
| 2 2 1 | Chandamantala of OOO Activities | 20 |

| | 2.4. | The QCC Structure | 33 |
|------|------|---|----|
| | | 2.4.1. The Steering Committee | 34 |
| | | 2.4.2. Facilitator | 35 |
| | | 2.4.3. Leader | 35 |
| | | 2.4.4. Members | 36 |
| | 2.5. | Circle Operations | 37 |
| | 2.6. | Summary Remarks | 39 |
| III. | _ | SYSTEMS IN THE TWO ORGANIZATIONS: IOUS ASPECTS | 41 |
| | 3.1 | . QCC Development in These Two Organizations | 42 |
| | | 3.1.1. Implementation Strategy | 42 |
| | | 3.1.2. Level of Employee Participation in QCC | 45 |
| | | 3.1.3. Number of Completed Projects | 47 |
| | | 3.1.4. QCC Objectives | 49 |
| | 3.2 | • QCC Organizational Structures | 55 |
| | | 3.2.1. Steering Committee | 55 |
| | | 3.2.2. Facilitators | 68 |
| | 3.3 | . Organizational Environment | 84 |
| | 3.4 | . Reward System | 86 |
| | 3.5 | • Training Aspect | 90 |
| IV. | | ICIPANTS' CHARACTERISTIC AND PERCEPTION, CIRCLE OPERATIONS | 95 |
| | 4.1. | Characteristics of Participants | 96 |

| | | 4.1.1. | Sex Distribution | 90 |
|------------|-------|----------|---|-----|
| | | 4.1.2. | Race Distribution | 102 |
| | | 4.1.3. | Age Distribution | 105 |
| | | 4.1.4. | Education Levels | 108 |
| | | 4.1.5. | Training of Participants | 114 |
| | | 4.1.6. | Reasons for QCC Participation | 122 |
| | 4.2. | Circle | Operations | 128 |
| | | 4.2.1. | Frequency of Meetings | 128 |
| | | 4.2.2. | Level of Attendance | 131 |
| | | 4.2.3. | Circle Limitations | 133 |
| | 4.3. | Interna | l and External Presentations | 138 |
| | 4.4. | Partici | pants' Perception | 142 |
| | | 4.4.1. | Perception of Various Management Attitudes | 142 |
| | | 4.4.2. | Perception of Management Attendance at Circle Meetings | 145 |
| | | 4.4.3. | Perception of Leader Ability | 147 |
| | | 4.4.4. | Perception of Adequacy of Reward System | 151 |
| ∵ • | RESEA | RCH FINI | DINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 153 |
| | 5.1. | Summary | of Findings | 153 |
| | 5.2. | Recomme | endations | 169 |

APPENDICES

BIBLIOGRAPHY

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Title | Page |
|-----------|---|------|
| 1.1. | Sampling Design of QCC Study | 23 |
| 3.1. | Growth of Circles | 42 |
| 3.2. | Number of Completed Projects | 48 |
| 3.3. | QCC Objectives by Facilitators | 50 |
| 3.4. | Facilitators' Perception of Steering Committee's Understanding of QCC Concepts, Philisophy and Operations | 66 |
| 3.5. | Sex Distribution of Facilitators | 71 |
| 3.6. | Age Distribution of Facititators | 73 |
| 3.7. | Number of Confident Facilitators | 76 |
| 3.8. | Facilititors' Span of Control | 77 |
| 3.9. | Time Taken to Facilitate Circles | 80 |
| 3.10. | Number of Trained Facilitators | 82 |
| 3.11. | Adequacy of Training by Facilitators | 83 |
| 3.12. | Number of Facilitators Who Cite Conducive Organizational Environment | 85 |
| 3.13. | Forms of Rewards | 87 |
| 3.14. | Frequent Problems With Internal Training | 91 |
| 4.1. | Sex Distribution of Members and Leaders | 97 |
| 4.2. | Racial Distribution of Members and Leaders | 103 |
| 4.3. | Age Distribution of Members and Loadors | 106 |

| Table No. | Title | Page |
|-----------|--|------|
| 4.4. | Education Levels of Members and Leaders | 110 |
| 4.5. | Areas of Member and Leader Training | 116 |
| 4.6. | Reasons for QCC Participation | 123 |
| 4.7. | Frequency of Meetings | 130 |
| 4.8. | Attendance Levels in Circle Meetings | 131 |
| 4.9. | Attendance Problems | 133 |
| 4.10. | Problems in Circle Proceedings | 136 |
| 4.11. | Management Presentations | 139 |
| 4.12. | External Presentations by Participants | 140 |
| 4.13. | Management Attitudes That Can Be Conducive to QCC | 143 |
| 4.14. | Respondents Who Cite Management Attendance at Circle Meetings Can Assist Circles | 146 |
| 4.15. | Management Non-Attendance at Circle Meetings | 146 |
| 4.16. | Members' Perception of Leader Abilities | 148 |
| 1 17 | Adominary of Bounted Cystom | 151 |

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| gure | | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 1.1. | Cause and Effect Diagram Relating to the Success or Failure of QCCs | 14 |
| 1.2. | Factors Affecting QCC Development and Functioning | 15 |
| 2.1. | A QCC Structure | 33 |
| 2.2. | Position of the Different Parts of a QCC Structure | 34 |
| 3.1. | QCC Organization Structure in the DFA, Ministry of Education | 56 |
| 3.2. | Formal Organization Structure of the DFA, Ministry of Education | 58 |
| 3.3. | A QCC Organization Structure in the Ministry of Housing and Local Government | 59 |
| 3.4. | Formal Organization Structure of the Ministry of Housing and Local Government | 62 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CWQC - Company-Wide Quality Control

DFA - Division of Finance and Accounts

HSC - Higher School Certificate

INTAN - Institute of Public Administration

JUSE - Union of Japanese Scientists and Engineers

LCE - Lower Certificate of Education

Min. - Ministry

MCE - Malaysian Certificate of Education

NPC - National Productivity Centre

PTD - Administrative and Diplomatic Service Officer

(Pegawai Tadbir dan Diplomatik)

QC - Quality Circles

QCC - Quality Control Circles

Resp. - Respondents

SAO - Senior Administrative Officer

S.S. - Superscale