

A Web-Based Tool To Support Jigsaw Collaborative Learning Technique

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Computer Science & Information Technology, University of Malaya in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Computer Science

by

LOW SAI KIT (WGADS 00049)

March 2004

Supervisor: Assc. Prof. Dr. Siti Salwah Salim



Abstract

The emergent of the Internet and World Wide Web has made collaborative learning feasible to be carried out in a web-based environment. Computer Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) utilizes the use of information and communication technology as a mediation tool within collaborative methods of learning. At the same time, a number of applications started to make use of the agent technology to enhance their applications. This thesis endeavors to develop a web-based tool which utilizes web agents in supporting primary schools jigsaw collaborative learning. First, literature reviews on the theoretical aspects of collaborative learning are carried out. It covers the collaborative learning definition, its benefits and limitations as well as various collaborative learning techniques. The reviews further investigate CSCL and its applications for supporting primary schools education particularly in Malaysia. Then, it attempts to support the jigsaw activity proposed by a group of teachers from a workshop carried out in year 2000. As a result, a G-Jigsaw process model is formulated which comprises of Initial Level, Expert Level and Jigsaw Level of collaborations. This process model restructured the Initial Level of Aronson's Jigsaw Classroom technique to allow students' collaboration throughout every level of the collaborative session. Secondly, reviews on software agent literature, specializing on web agent are carried out. It describes how a multi-agent architecture is formulated to enable the web agents to communicate with each other in simplifying and automating the jigsaw activities. Further, this thesis presents the development and implementation of G-Jigsaw that incorporates the process model, the multi-agent architecture and the deployment of web agents in supporting the jigsaw collaborative learning. Next, it highlights the teachers (pilot testing) and students (hands-on testing) evaluations and the results indicated that

web agents greatly simplified the complex jigsaw processes. Finally, the research contributions and future enhancements are enclosed.

Acknowledgement

Normally, dissertation research resembles a solitary expedition. As one of the team members in this collaborative project however, I view this research as a joint effort too. The work reported here, at least, would not have achieved the current stage without support, discussions, and other forms of collaboration with many people, a few of whom are mentioned below.

Firstly, I wish to express my heartiest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Salwah Salim for giving me the opportunity and environment to carry out my research under her supervision. I thank her for her confidence in me, for her intellectual support, for her the time spent reviewing my system and commenting drafts of my thesis chapters.

Secondly, I feel fortunate for being part of the members in Human Computer Interaction Laboratory (HCI Lab). I am grateful to both current and former members who has been working hard the Lab: Siti Soraya Abdul Rahman, Rafidah Pakir Mohamad, Halimah Ab. Rahman, Abdul Majid Hissen, Kasthuri Subaramaniam, Kemalatha Krishnasamy, Nazila bt. Pardi, Latifah bt. Abd Latib. Their contributions range from reviewing G-Jigsaw at various stages (design, prototype and system), to assisting me to coordinate testing which involved large group of users. Special thanks to Rose Zaimi Mohd Zaid for her willingness in assisting me to proof read my thesis chapters.

Thirdly, I sincerely thank to a number of friends who have strengthened and challenged me at difficult moments throughout the research: Chuah Chong Wei, Peh Boon How, Lim Khong Leng, Simon Seow Chen Siong, Huang Li Li, Ang Ley Poh, Beh Bee Luan, Lim Shueh Lih, Tan Ley Teng and Yap Bee Ling.

Fourthly, I would like to thanks the Sekolah Kebangsaan Putrajaya 2. Thanks to the school's headmaster Mr. Sukimin for his permission to conduct the testing, to Mr. Masnawi for assisting me in setting up the system at their school's environment and to Mr. Meor and Mr. Khairi for their cooperation in coordinating the teachers and students involved in the testing.

Finally, I thank my parents, Low Kwai Teng and Chin Ah Thaim for their fully support through my study and research. I wish I had devoted myself to them as much as I did to this work.

This research is supported by the IRPA research grant 04-02-03-0704.

Table of Contents

Abstract	
Acknowledgement	i
Table of Contents	,,,,,,
List of Figures	
List of Tables	
Chapter 1 – Introduction	
1.1 Research Overview	
1.2 Research Motivations	
1.3 Research Objectives	
1.4 Research Scopes	
1.5 Research Methodology	
1.6 Thesis Organization	
Chapter 2 – Collaborative Learning	11
2.1 Collaborative Learning Definition	11
2.2 Benefits of Collaborative Learning	11
2.3 Limitations of Collaborative Learning	1
2.4 Collaborative Learning Techniques	14
2.4.1 Circles of Learning (Learning Together)	1/
2.4.2 Student Teams-Achievement Division (STAD)	1/
2.4.3 Teams-Games-Tournaments (TGT)	1/
2.4.4 Team-Assisted Individualization or Team-Accelerated Instruction (TAI)	11
2.4.5 Group Investigation (GI)	
2.4.6 Co-op- Co-op	
2.4.7 Cooperative Integrated Reading and Composition (CIRC)	19
2.4.8 Jigsaw Classroom	10
2.5 Computer Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL)	10
2.5.1 CSCL Applications	20
2.6 CSCL in Malaysian Schools	20
2.7 WebCL Workshop	30
2.7.1 The Workshop's Brainstorming Sessions.	31
2.7.2 The Workshop Formative Evaluation	21
2.7.3 The Workshop Outcomes and Proposal	3:
2.8 The Proposed G-Jigsaw Module	33
2.9 Chapter Summary	25
Chapter 3 – G-Jigsaw (Group Jigsaw) Process Model	36
3.1 Jigsaw Classroom	36
3.2 An Example of Jigsaw Classroom Activities	30
3.3 The advantages and limitation of Jigsaw Classroom	33
3.4 The proposed G-Jigsaw versus Jigsaw Classroom	41
3.5 The G-Jigsaw Process Model	43
3.5.1 Initial Level of Collaboration	40
3.5.2 Expert Level of Collaboration	47
3.5.3 Jigsaw Level of Collaboration	49
3.6 Summary of Aronson's Jigsaw Classroom and G-Jigsaw Process Model	51
3.7 Tools to support jigsaw technique	52
3.8 G-Jigsaw Prototype	54
3.9 Chapter Summary	55
Chapter 4 – Web Agents for Supporting Collaborative Learning	5/
4.1 Agent Definitions	58
4.1 Agent Definitions	
4.2 Agent Classifications	60

4.2.1 Nwana's Agent typology	
4.2.2 Different Agent Types Identified by Nwana	
4.2.2.1 Collaborative Agents	. 64
4.2.2.2 Interface Agents	. 64
4.2.3.3 Mobile Agents	. 64
4.2.2.4 Information Agents	. 65
4.2.2.5 Reactive Agents	. 65
4.2.2.6 Hybrid Agents	. 66
4.2.2.7 Heterogeneous Agents	. 66
4.3 Web Agent	. 67
4.3.1 The Potentials of Web Agents	. 68
4.3.2 Types of Web Agents	. 69
4.4 Agent Architectures for Educational Applications	
4.4.1 Existing Educational Applications with Agent Architectures	
4.4.2 Summary on reviewed Agent Architectures	. 80
4.5 Web Agents in Supporting Collaborative Learning	. 83
4.6 Web Agents in supporting G-Jigsaw Collaborative Learning	. 85
4.7 Review Summary	. 86
Chapter 5 – G-Jigsaw Analysis and Design	. 87
5.1 G-Jigsaw Analysis	. 87
5.1.1 Requirement Analysis	. 87
5.1.1.1 G-Jigsaw Functional Requirements 5.1.1.2 G-Jigsaw Non-functional requirements	. 88
5.1.2 Object-Oriented Analysis	. 91
5.1.2.1 G-Jigsaw's Use Case Diagrams	.91
5.1.2.2 G-Jigsaw Class Modeling	.92
5.1.3 Summary of Web Agents Requirements in G-Jigsaw	95
5.2 G-Jigsaw Design	07
5.2.1 Architecture Design	07
5.2.2 Object-Oriented Design	
5.2.2.1 G-Jigsaw's Sequential Diagrams	102
5.2.2.2 G-Jigsaw's Activity Diagrams	102
5.2.3 G-Jigsaw Graphical User Interface Design1	09
5.3 Chapter Summary	11
Chapter 6 – G-Jigsaw Implementation and Execution	12
6.1 The implementation of G-Jigsaw's Agents	12
6.2 The Implementation of G-Jigsaw's Internal Multi-Agent Architecture	14
6.2.1 G-Jigsaw's Multi-Agent Communication	18
6.3 The Implementation of G-Jigsaw Security Aspects	28
6.4 Scenarios of G-Jigsaw Execution	28
6.4.1 Jigsaw Task Module	29
6.4.2 Initial Group Module	31
6.4.3 Expert Group Module	
6.4.4 Jigsaw Group Module	
6.5 Chapter Summary	38
Chapter 7 – G-Jigsaw Evaluation and Result	39
7.1 G-Jigsaw Evaluation	39
7.2 Pilot Test for Primary Schools Teachers	39
7.2.1 Experimental Material	40
7.2.2 Environment	40
7.2.3 Methodology	41
7.2.4 Activities Performed in the Task Scenarios	41

7.2.5 Designed Questionnaire	143
7.2.6 Pilot Test Results	145
7.3 Hands-On Testing for Primary School Students	154
7.3.1 Experimental Material	
7.3.2 Environment	
7.3.3 Methodology	
7.3.4 Designed Questionnaire	156
7.3.5 Student Hands On Testing Results	156
7.4 Discussion on G-Jigsaw's Achievement	
7.5 Chapter Summary	
Chapter 8 - Conclusion and Future Enhancements	
8.1 Research Summary	
8.2 Research Contributions	
8.3 Future Enhancements	
References	167
Appendix A: G-Jigsaw Object-Oriented Analysis and Design	175
Appendix B: G-Jigsaw Evaluation Task Scenarios	
Appendix C: G-Jigsaw Questionnaires	210
Appendix D: G-Jigsaw Web Agents' Algorithm	

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Research Methodology	10
Figure 3-1 Aronson's Jigsaw Classroom Process Model	
Figure 3-2 The Forming of Initial Groups	47
Figure 3-3 Initial Level of Collaboration	
Figure 3-4 The Forming of Expert Groups	49
Figure 3-5 Expert Level of Collaboration	
Figure 3-6 The Forming of Jigsaw Group	
Figure 4-1 Nwana's Agent Typology (from Nwana, 1996)	62
Figure 4-2 A Summary of Nwana's Different Agent Types (from Nwana, 1996)	63
Figure 4-3 Various Types of Web Agents with Different Roles on the Internet	72
Figure 4-4 EduBots' Internal Architecture (Adapted from Andoh et. al., 2001)	
Figure 4-5 Agent Architecture (Adapted from Olguin et. al. 2000)	
Figure 4-6 A Proposed Architectural Scheme of a Multi-Agent System (Adapted from	m
Fenton-Kerr et. al., 1998)	79
Figure 5-1 Use Case Diagram For Jigsaw Task Module	93
Figure 5-2 Use Cases Diagram For Initial Group Module	94
Figure 5-3 Use Cases Diagram For Expert Group Module	94
Figure 5-4 G-Jigsaw Class Model	95
Figure 5-5 G-Jigsaw Environment	
Figure 5-6 Domino Web Server Architecture	
Figure 5-7 G-Jigsaw's Multi-tier Client/Server Architecture	
Figure 5-8 G-Jigsaw Successful Login Scenario	103
Figure 5-9 Jigsaw Task Module's Create New Jigsaw Task Scenario	
Figure 5-10 Initial Group Module's Compose Response Scenario	
Figure 5-11 Expert Group Module's View Summaries Scenario	
Figure 5.12 Jigsaw Group Module's Integrate All Reports Scenario	
Figure 5-13 Activity Diagram Showing Students' Jigsaw Activity Process	
Figure 5-14 G-Jigsaw's Homepage GUI Design	
Figure 5-15 G-Jigsaw's Page GUI Design	
Figure 6-1 G-Jigsaw Internal Agent Architecture	116
Figure 6-2 The Multi-agent Internal Architecture's Communication in G-Jigsaw	
Figure 6-3 The Sharing Agent Execution	
Figure 6-4 The Navigation Agent Execution	
Figure 6-5 The Integration Agent Execution	
Figure 6-6 G-Jigsaw Homepage	
Figure 6-7 G-Jigsaw's Question Templates	
Figure 6-8 Creating a Jigsaw Task	
Figure 6-9 Jigsaw Tasks View	
Figure 6-10 Questions to be responded in Initial Group	
Figure 6-11 Giving Responses in Initial Group	
Figure 6-12 The Summary View and Form	
Figure 6-13 Expert Group View	
Figure 6-14 Providing comments and feedback to the Summary	
Figure 6-15 The Report View	
Figure 6-16 The Report Form	
Figure 6-17 Jigsaw Group Report View	
Figure 6-18 Integrate Report View	
Figure 6-19 Completed Task View	137
Figure 7-1 Comparison Results on the significant differences of web agents in simplifying the jigsaw activities	1 47
simpinying the jigsaw activities	14/

Figure 7-2 Comparison Results on the level of difficulties in learning to use G-Jigsav	
Figure 7-3 Web Agents in supporting G-Jigsaw Activities.	
Figure A-1-1 Accessing G-Jigsaw Use Case Diagram	
Figure A-1-1 Accessing G-Jigsaw Ose Case Diagram. Figure A-1-2 Accessing Jigsaw Task Module Use Case Diagram.	
Figure A-1-2 Accessing Jigsaw Task Module Use Case Diagram.	
Figure A-1-4 Accessing Expert Group Module Use Case Diagram	
Figure A-1-4 Accessing Expert Group Module Use Case Diagram	177
Figure A-2-1 G-Jigsaw Class Diagram	
Figure A-3-1 Successful Login Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-2 Login Failure Sequential Diagram	181
Figure A-3-4 Read/View Jigsaw Tasks Sequential Diagram	182
Figure A-3-5 Compose New Jigsaw Task Sequential Diagram	183
Figure A-3-6 Preview and Select Templates Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-7 Retrieve Jigsaw Task Questions Sequential Diagram	184
Figure A-3-8 Edit Jigsaw Task Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-10 Submit Jigsaw Task Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-11 Set Profile Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-12 Compose Response Sequential Diagram	187
Figure A-3-13 Submit Response Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-14 Read/View Responses Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-15 Compose Summary Sequential Diagram	189
Figure A-3-16 Retrieve Responses Sequential Diagram	189
Figure A-3-17 Submit Summary Sequential Diagram	190
Figure A-3-18 Read/View Summaries Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-19 Respond to Summaries Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-20 Compose Report Sequential Diagram	191
Figure A-3-21 Retrieve Summary Sequential Diagram	192
Figure A-3-22 Submit Report Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-23 Read/View Reports Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-24 Edit Report Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-25 Delete Report Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-26 Integrate Reports Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-3-27 Switch Group Sequential Diagram	
Figure A-4-1 Create New Jigsaw Task Activity Diagram	
Figure A-4-2 Read and/or Edit Jigsaw Task Activity Diagram	
Figure A-4-3 Respond to Group Members Activity Diagram	
Figure A-4-4 Compose Summary Activity Diagram	
Figure A-4-5 Provide Comments and Compose Report Activity Diagram	
Figure A-4-6 Read, Edit and Integrate Group Reports Activity Diagram	
Figure A-4-7 The Entire Jigsaw Session Activity Diagram	202

List of Tables

Table 2-1 CSCL Applications that support Children's Collaborative Learning	25
Table 3-1 The advantages and limitation of Jigsaw Classroom	
Table 3-2 Jigsaw Classroom and G-Jigsaw Requirements Comparison	43
Table 3-3 Steps for Aronson's Jigsaw Classroom and G-Jigsaw Process Model	52
Table 4-1 Summary of Web Agents' Implementation in Educational Applications.	80
Table 4-2 Web Agents Roles in Supporting Collaborative Learning Activities	84
Table 6-1 Activity Agency's Reactions Towards User's Requests	118
Table 6-2 Sharing Agent's Communication	
Table 6-3 Pseudo-codes for Sharing Agent's Algorithm	120
Table 6-4 Navigation Agent's Communication	
Table 6-5 Map Profile Agent's mapping table	122
Table 6-6 Pseudo-codes for Navigation Agent's Algorithm	
Table 6-7 Integration Agent's Communication	
Table 6-8 Pseudo-codes for Integration Agent's Algorithm	
Table 7-1 Summary of Pilot Test Designed Questionnaire	
Table 7-2 The Average Time Spent in Performing Each Jigsaw Activity	145
Table 7-3 Group Report Integration Steps (with and without web agents) Comparis	on
Table 7-4 (a) Results on the Usefulness of G-Jigsaw's Facilities	
Table 7-4 (b) Results on the Collaboration among the Teachers and Students	
Table 7-4 (c) Results on the helpfulness of G-Jigsaw's Quick Helps and Instruction	
Table 7-4 (d) G-Jigsaw's Performance Evaluation Results	
Table 7-4 (e) G-Jigsaw Ease-of-use Results	
Table 7-5 G-Jigsaw's Usability Test Results	
Table 7-6 Summary of Questionnaire Evaluation Categories	
Table 7-7 Student Hands-On Testing Results	156