## CONTENTS

Acknowledgements		i
Abstract		ii
Abstrak		iii
Contents		iv
List of Tables		vi
List of Figures		viii
CHAPTER ONE	INTRODUCTION	
	Background of the Problem	3
	Statement of the Problem	4
	Purpose of the Study	6
	Theoretical Framework of the Study	7
	Significance of the Study	. 9
	Scope of the Study	10
	Limitations of the Study	10
	Definition of terms	11
CHAPTER TWO	LITERATURE REVIEW	15
CHAPTER THREE	METHODOLOGY	
	Research Design	18
	Sampling Design	18
	Research Instrument	18

## CHAPTER FOUR ANALYSIS OF DATA

Research Findings	
Background Information of the Respondents	22
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived by Subordinates	25
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Friendly	26
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Leaves an Impression	27
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Relaxed	29
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Likes to Discuss	30
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Gives Attention	31
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Accurate	33
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Showing their Expressiveness	· 34
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Dramatic	35
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived they are Open	37
Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Dominant	38
Main Communication Styles of the Division Heads	39
Level of Organizational Commitment of Subordinates	40
Subordinates Feeling Proud of the Organization	41
Subordinates Involvement in Organization	42

	Subordinates' Loyalty to Organization	43
	Associated Relationship between the Dimensions of Communication styles and the Organizational Commitment	44
CHAPTER FIVE	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	
	Summary of the Findings	48
	Implication of the Study	51
	Suggestions for Further Study	52
BIBLIOGRAPHY		54
APPENDIX	Appendix 1: Questionnaire	56

## LIST OF TABLES

Table I	Distribution of Items in the Dimensions of Communication Styles of Division Heads	19
Table II	Distribution of Items in the Dimensions of Organizational Commitment of Subordinates	20
Table III	The 5-point Responses of Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived by Subordinates	20
Table IV	The 5-point Responses of Organizational Commitment of Subordinates	21
Table V	The Scoring of the Communication styles Measurement (CSM) and Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ)	21
Table VI	Distribution of Respondents According to the Divisions	23
Table VII	Distribution of Respondents According to Gender	23
Table VIII	Distribution of Respondents According to Age Group	24
Table IX	Distribution of Respondents According to the Number of Years Worked in the PHI	25
Table X	Range of Scores for each Category of Communication Styles	26
Table XI	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Friendly	27
Table XII	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived to Leave an Impression	28
Table XIII	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Relaxed	30
Table XIV	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Likes to Discuss	31
Table XV	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Gives Attention	32
Table XVI	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Accurate	34

Table AVII	Expressive	35
Table XVIII	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Dramatic	36
Table XIX	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Open	38
Table XX	Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived Being Dominant	39
Table XXI	The Main Communication Styles of Division Heads Perceived by Subordinates	40
Table XXII	The Continuum of the Subordinates' Level of Organizational Commitment	41
Table XXIII	Level of the Subordinates Feeling Proud of the Organization	42
Table XXIV	Level of the Subordinates' Involvement in the Organization	43
Table XXV	Level of Loyalty of the Subordinates to the Organization	44
Table XXVI	Interpretations of Correlation Coefficient Values	45
Table XXVII	Associated Relationship between the Dimensions of Communication Styles of Division Heads with the Subordinates'	46

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I Concrad's Model of Four Levels of Cause-Effect Relationship of Communication in Enhancing Performance

8