

**ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF SELECTED FUNGI ISOLATED  
FROM DEE AND BARRIENTOS ISLANDS, ANTARCTICA**

SHAMIA MOHAMEDAIN ABD ELGADIR YOUNIS  
(SGF070004)

**INSTITUTE OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCE  
FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA  
KUALA LUMPUR**

**JUNE 2010**

# DEDICATION

A VERY SPECIAL COMPLIMENTS TO MY MOTHER AND MY HUSBAND FOR THE ENDLESS SUPPORT, LOVE AND PATIENCE DURING MY WHOLE STUDY AND WHILE I WAS WRITING MY THESIS. YOUR LOVELY SUPPORT HELPED ME WHEN I NEEDED IT THE MOST.

A VERY SPECIAL GOOD WISHES TO MY SISTERS AND BROTHERS. A VERY SPECIAL APPRECIATION FOR MY COLLEAGUES AND FRIENDS.

## **Acknowledgement**

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincerest appreciation to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Aisyah Alias, for her valuable advice, support, encouragement and useful supervision. Her continuous guidance enabled me to complete my research work successfully.

I am also very thankful to Ms Suhaila Omer and Ms Abiramy Krishnan, the research assistances of National Antarctic Research Centre, Institute of Ocean & Earth Science (IOES) for their considerable help.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who has contributed to this work by their time, help and friendship.

## **ABSTRACT**

This study reports the screening of selected fungi isolated from Dee and Barrientos Islands, Antarctica, for their antimicrobial activities using two methods: a) plug assay method and b) disk diffusion method. The plug assay was carried out as preliminary screening for biological activity against bacteria: *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and yeast: *Candida albicans*. The results showed that 35% from species possessed antibacterial activity; and these included: *Geomyces* sp.50-1/S<sub>7</sub>, Unidentified 75-1/S<sub>1</sub>, *Geomyces* sp.12-1/S<sub>21</sub>, *Penecillium* sp.75-1/S<sub>10</sub>, *Geomyces* sp.3-1/S<sub>5</sub>, *Geomyces* sp.3-4/S<sub>5</sub> and *Geomyces* sp.146/S<sub>5</sub>. Most of the strains exhibited antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis* and no activity detected against yeast.

The disk diffusion assay was carried out to confirm the bioactivity of selected fungi against bacteria: *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Bacillus cereus*, and yeasts: *Candida albicans*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. In disk diffusion assay, crude ethyl acetate extracts of five strains of *Geomyces* species namely, *Geomyces* sp.50-1/S<sub>7</sub>, *Geomyces* sp.12-1/S<sub>21</sub>, *Geomyces* sp.3-1/S<sub>5</sub>, *Geomyces* sp.3-4/S<sub>5</sub> and *Geomyces* sp.146/S<sub>5</sub>, were selected for antimicrobial assay. The selection of these five strains was based on their good activity which they produced during the preliminary screening. These five strains were cultivated on Potato dextrose broth (PDB) under stationary phase for three different incubations periods 10, 15 and 21 days. The strains exhibited activity after 15 days incubation then, the activity decreased.

The results showed that, no activity was detected against yeast and *Geomyces* sp.50-1/S<sub>7</sub> and *Geomyces* sp.12-1/S<sub>21</sub> was lost their activity and this may be due to inconvenient liquid growth medium.

Minimum inhibitory concentration of the active extracts was carried out against; *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *B. cereus* and *P. aeruginosa* and the values ranged between 6.25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> - 25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>. Minimum bactericidal concentration was determined after subculture on to Luria Agar media and the values ranged from 12.5 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> to 25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <b>Title</b>                           | <b>Page</b>  |
|--|--------------|
| <b>DEDICATION</b> .....                | <b>..ii</b>  |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....           | <b>..iii</b> |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b> .....                  | <b>iv</b>    |
| <b>TABLES OF THE CONTENTS</b> .....    | <b>..vi</b>  |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....           | <b>viii</b>  |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....            | <b>ix</b>    |
| <b>SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b> ..... | <b>x</b>     |

| <b>CHAPTERS</b> .....   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>I INTRODUCTION</b> .....   | <b>1</b>    |
| <b>1.1 The Antarctic continent</b> .....  | <b>1</b>    |
| <b>1.1.1 Geographical position</b> .....  | <b>1</b>    |
| <b>1.1.2 The climatic conditions</b> .....  | <b>1</b>    |
| <b>1.1.2.1 The sub Antarctic region</b> .....   | <b>2</b>    |
| <b>1.1.2.2 The maritime Antarctic region</b> .....  | <b>2</b>    |
| <b>1.1.2.3 The continental Antarctic region</b> .....                                     | <b>2</b>    |
| <b>1.1.3 The microbial life in Antarctica</b> .....                                       | <b>3</b>    |
| <b>1.2 Biodiversity of Antarctic fungi</b> .....  | <b>5</b>    |
| <b>1.2.1 Thermal Classes of Antarctic Fungi</b> .....                                     | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>1.2.2 Physiological adaptations of fungi to continental Antarctic conditions</b> ..... | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>1.2.2.1 Low temperature</b> .....  | <b>8</b>    |
| <b>1.2.2.2 Low water availability</b> .....   | <b>9</b>    |
| <b>1.2.2.3 High UV radiation</b> .....  | <b>11</b>   |
| <b>1.3 Bioactivity of Antarctic fungi</b> .....   | <b>12</b>   |
| <b>1.3.1 Definition of biological activity</b> .....                                      | <b>12</b>   |
| <b>1.3.2 Definition of secondary metabolites</b> .....                                    | <b>12</b>   |
| <b>1.3.3 Fungal ecology as a source of bioactive natural product</b> .....                | <b>12</b>   |
| <b>1.3.3.1 Fungal natural products</b> .....  | <b>12</b>   |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 1.3.4 Biological activity of Antarctic fungi.....   | 15        |
| 1.4 Research objectives .....   | 16        |
| <b>II MATERIAL AND METHODS.....</b>   | <b>17</b> |
| 2.1 Media preparation and subculture of selected Antarctic fungi.....   | 17        |
| 2.2 Preliminary screening for biological activity of Antarctic fungi.....   | 19        |
| 2.2.1 Preparation of the inoculums .....  | 19        |
| 2.3 Qualitative assay- The disc diffusion method.....   | 20        |
| 2.3.1 Extraction of fungal culture filtrate.....  | 20        |
| 2.3.2 Bioassays against test microorganisms .....   | 21        |
| 2.3.3 Quantitative assay – Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC).....  | 22        |
| 2.3.4 Quantitative assay- Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC).....   | 23        |
| <b>III RESULT.....</b>  | <b>24</b> |
| 3.1 Preliminary screening for biological activity of Antarctic fungi .....  | 24        |
| 3.1.1 Antimicrobial activity of Antarctic fungi using Plug assay method.....  | 24        |
| 3.2 Biological activity of extracts of selected Antarctic fungi.....  | 26        |
| 3.2.1 Antimicrobial activity of extracts of selected fungi in disc diffusion assays.....  | 26        |
| 3.3 Quantitative assay- Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC).....   | 29        |
| 3.4 Quantitative assay- Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC).....   | 30        |
| <b>V DISSCUSSION .....</b>  | <b>32</b> |
| 4.1 Media Preparation and Subculture.....   | 32        |
| 4.2. Preliminary screening for biological activity of Antarctic fungi.....  | 33        |
| 4.2.1 Antimicrobial activity of Antarctic fungi using plug assay method.....  | 33        |
| 4.3 Antimicrobial activity of extracts of selected Antarctic fungi using the disc diffusion assay<br>method.....                  | 34        |
| 4.4 Quantitative assay- Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum<br>Bactericidal concentration Concentration (MBC)..... | 37        |
| <b>I CONCLUSION .....</b>   | <b>38</b> |
| <b>REFERENCE.....</b>   | <b>40</b> |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>Figure</b>     | <b>Figure's Title</b>  | <b>Page</b> |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| <b>Figure 3.1</b> | Photograph of inhibition zone in disk diffusion assay. The photos shows the EtOAc extracts of the five <i>Geomyces</i> strains that were exhibit positive results in four types of bacteria namely; <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Bacillus cereus</i> and <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> . | <b>28</b>   |
| <b>Figure 3.2</b> | Photograph of inhibition zone by Chloramphenicol. The diameter of disk= 6mm with 30 mg concentration.  | <b>28</b>   |
| <b>Figure 3.3</b> | Photograph of microtitre plate of MIC test of <i>Geomyces</i> 146/S <sub>5</sub> against <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . The pink colour result from addition of resuzarin dye which indicated the growth of the test microorganism so, the MIC value determines as a well above which it is 6.25 mg ml <sup>-1</sup> .           | <b>30</b>   |
| <b>Figure 3.4</b> | Photograph shows the subculture from microtitre plate of MIC of <i>Geomyces</i> 146/S <sub>5</sub> on Luria agar plates against <i>P. aeruginosa a</i> , the growth appeared on the third plate result in MBC value of 12.5 mg ml <sup>-1</sup> .  | <b>31</b>   |



## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>Table</b>       | <b>Table's Title</b>  | <b>Page</b> |
|--------------------|---|-------------|
| <b>Table: 2.1</b>  | Fungal strains and their location   | <b>18</b>   |
| <b>Table: 3.1</b>  | Activity of strains against bacteria and yeast in preliminary study                             | <b>25</b>   |
| <b>Table: 3.2a</b> | List of selected fungi studied for disc diffusion assay method                                  | <b>26</b>   |
| <b>Table:3.2b</b>  | Activity of Chloramphenicol, the positive control in the disk diffusion assay                   | <b>27</b>   |
| <b>Table: 3.2c</b> | Antimicrobial activity of ethyl acetate extracts of selected fungi in agar disk diffusion assay | <b>27</b>   |
| <b>Table: 3.3</b>  | Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of active extracts in mg ml <sup>-1</sup>         | <b>29</b>   |
| <b>Table: 3.4</b>  | Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) values of active extracts in mg ml <sup>-1</sup>       | <b>31</b>   |

## SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

|                                     |                                    |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| °C                                  | degree celsius                     |
| DMSO <sub>4</sub>                   | dimethyl sulfoxide                 |
| MIC                                 | minimum inhibitory concentration   |
| MBC                                 | minimum bactericidal concentration |
| sp                                  | species                            |
| µl                                  | micro litre                        |
| %                                   | percentage                         |
| EtOAc                               | ethyl acetate                      |
| BaCl <sub>2</sub> 2H <sub>2</sub> O | barium chloride dehydrate          |
| µl                                  | micro litre                        |
| mgml <sup>-1</sup>                  | miligramme per mililitre           |
| <i>B. subtilis</i>                  | <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>           |
| <i>C. albicans</i>                  | <i>Candida albicans</i>            |
| <i>S. cerevisiae</i>                | <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>    |
| <i>S. pombe</i>                     | <i>Schizosaccharomyces pombe</i>   |
| <i>S. aureus</i>                    | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>       |
| <i>B. cereus</i>                    | <i>Bacillus cereus</i>             |
| <i>E. coli</i>                      | <i>Escherichia coli</i>            |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i>                | <i>Pseudomonous aeruginosa</i>     |