

P

2006533

**CORRELATES OF DEVIANT BEHAVIOUR -
AN ETIOLOGICAL STUDY**

Chan Siok Gim



A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of Education,
University of Malaya in Fulfilment of the
Requirements for the Degree of Doktor
of Philosophy in Education

2002

Dedicated to

The memories of my late parents Chan Peck Kheng and Teh Iee Lian,
and doting mother-in-law, Tan Geok Hiang

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The idea for this study that started with my muddled scribbling has culminated in this thesis. My heartfelt thanks to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Chiam Heng Keng who has to go through the numerous drafts it entails.

I would like to thank the staff at the Faculty of Education, University of Malaya, especially Professor Dr. Siow Heng Loke, who has rendered me much help. Due thanks should also be given to the officers at the Educational Planning and Research Department, and the Malaysian Social Welfare Department who granted me the permission to carry out this research.

Encik Mizan Bin Hj. Maulud, the principal of the Approved School was very co-operative in this study. He supplied all the necessary information and is hopeful that the feedback from this study will help him run the place even more effectively. The selfless efforts by Tg. Shariffudin Bin Tg. Putra, the Kota Bharu welfare officer at helping the delinquents strengthen my conviction that this study is also a noble attempt towards the same direction.

I hope that by undertaking this project I have set a good example for my two children, Lim Kai Yi and Lim Ruo Ping to follow. My husband, Lim Boon Huat has always been very encouraging and supportive.

ABSTRACT

This study aims to ascertain whether social and personal resources are related to deviant behaviour of adolescents. The social resources investigated are those available to them in their home, peer-group and school, and are measured in terms of parental support, peer-group support and school experiences. The personal resources studied are concepts of self, coping behaviour and moral development. Comparing delinquent subjects to controls will ascertain the resources that helped adolescents abstain from deviant behaviour.

Survey method is used for data collection. The questionnaire is administered to 63 male delinquent subjects in an approved school. The questionnaire is posted to 16 of their non-delinquent male siblings who are close in age. The same questionnaire is later administered to 69 controls in a normal day school. Home visits are made to four families of the delinquents to collect qualitative data to obtain greater understanding of juvenile delinquency.

Among the delinquent subjects, 63.6 per cent are referred for property crimes while 23.7 per cent are for drug charges. Only nine per cent are for violent crimes and 3.6% for status offences. Rank order of their self-reported offences shows that *Smoking* is the most frequent offence and only one of them reported *Attempted Murder*. Motivation for delinquency includes lack of finances and wanting to have fun. The coping behaviours frequently employed by delinquent subjects are those described as avoidance-focused. Talking to parents about their problems is least common among them.

Correlation analyses show that most of their offences correlated with substance use. The results also suggest that mother and father played different roles in parental support. Correlates of deviant behaviour include attachment and commitment of the delinquent subjects to their peer-group and the number of delinquents in the peer-group. In school, correlates of deviant behaviour are lack of school enjoyment and problem with teachers. Low concepts of self, especially of perceived self intelligence, are associated with deviant behaviour. Seeking parental support and spiritual support are found to be more effective coping behaviours. Deviant behaviour is also found to be associated with lower stages of moral development.

Analysis using Stepwise Regression shows that peer-group variables are the best predictors of deviant behaviour among adolescents. Attachment and commitment to peer-group and the number of delinquents in the group accounted for 40 per cent of the total variance in deviant behaviour among them. These factors together with lack of finances as the motive for delinquency accounted for 44 per cent of the total variance in deviant behaviour.

When t-tests are used to compare the resources of the delinquent subjects and controls, controls reported receiving more parental support. They had peers who placed more importance on getting good grades in school and had fewer friends who were delinquents. Controls enjoyed schooling more. In contrast, delinquent subjects were more attached and committed to their peer-groups. They were more involved in sports, gave their teacher more problems and played truant more often. The controls, when compared to delinquent subjects, had higher perceptions of self except for peer acceptance which the two groups did not differ significantly. Delinquent subjects often used emotion-focused or avoidance-focused coping strategies. In contrast, the

controls used problem-focused coping behaviours, such as seeking parental and spiritual support. The controls were at higher stages of moral development compared to the delinquent subjects.

ABSTRAK

KORELASI ANTARA SUMBER-SUMBER DENGAN TINGKAH LAKU DEVIAN

– SUATU KAJIAN SEBAB-MUSABAB

Kajian ini bertujuan menentukan sama ada sumber sosial dan sumber peribadi ada kaitan dengan tingkah laku devian di kalangan remaja. Sumber sosial yang dikaji didapati daripada keluarga, rakan sebaya dan sekolah, diukur dalam bentuk sokongan ibu bapa, sokongan kumpulan rakan sebaya dan pengalaman semasa di sekolah. Sumber peribadi yang diteliti terdiri daripada konsep sendiri, tingkah laku semasa menangani masalah dan peringkat perkembangan moral. Kumpulan delinkuen dibandingkan dengan kumpulan kawalan atas sumber-sumber ini untuk menentukan sumber-sumber yang membantu remaja mengekangi tingkah laku devian.

Kaedah survey diguna untuk mengumpul data. Soal selidik diberikan kepada kumpulan delinkuen yang terdiri daripada 63 orang pelajar lelaki di sebuah sekolah pemulihan akhlak. Soal selidik ini kemudian dikirim kepada 16 orang adik-beradik lelaki mereka yang hampir sama umur tetapi bukan delinkuen. Soal selidik yang sama kemudian diberikan kepada kumpulan kawalan yang terdiri daripada 69 orang pelajar lelaki di sebuah sekolah harian biasa. Empat keluarga di kalangan pelajar delinkuen dilawati untuk mengumpul data berbentuk kualitatif bagi menambahkan lagi pemahaman tentang masalah juvana.

Di kalangan pelajar delinkuen, 63.6 peratus dirujuk atas jenayah harta benda manakala 23.7 peratus adalah untuk penyalahgunaan dadah. Hanya 23.7 peratus adalah untuk jenayah keganasan dan 3.6 peratus adalah untuk salah laku status. Di antara salah laku yang dilaporkan, merokok adalah salah laku yang paling kerap di kalangan mereka dan cuba membunuh hanya dilaporkan oleh seorang pelajar.

Motivasi untuk salah laku termasuk kekurangan wang dan hendak berseronok. Mereka sering menangani masalah dengan tingkah laku yang disifatkan sebagai cuba mengelakkan diri daripada situasi yang bermasalah (avoidance-focused). Mereka jarang berbincang dengan ibu bapa tentang masalah yang mereka sedang hadapi.

Analisis berbentuk korelasi menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan salah laku di kalangan pelajar ada kaitan dengan penyalahgunaan dadah dan arak. Bagi sokongan ibu bapa, nampaknya peranan ibu berbeza daripada peranan ayah. Selain daripada itu, hubungan rapat dengan rakan sebaya, perasaan kurang seronok semasa di sekolah, masalah dengan guru, dan persepsi diri dari segi intelek yang rendah di kalangan pelajar delinkuen ada korelasi dengan salah laku mereka. Berbincang dengan ibu bapa atau bertaqwa merupakan tingkah laku yang lebih berkesan untuk menangani masalah. Tingkah laku devian juga dikaitkan dengan tahap perkembangan moral yang rendah di kalangan delinkuen.

Analisis Regresi mengikut langkah menunjukkan bahawa faktor kumpulan rakan sebaya merupakan penentu utama tingkah laku devian. Faktor kumpulan rakan sebaya menyumbang sebanyak 40 peratus. Kekurangan wang sebagai motif salah laku bersama faktor kumpulan rakan sebaya, menyumbang sebanyak 44 peratus kepada kelainan tingkah laku devian.

Kumpulan delinkuen dibandingkan dengan kumpulan kawalan dengan menggunakan Ujian t. Kumpulan kawalan mendapat sokongan yang lebih daripada ibu bapa. Rakan sebaya mereka lebih mementingkan pencapaian di sekolah dan tidak ramai di kalangan mereka merupakan delinkuen. Semasa di sekolah pula, kumpulan kawalan lebih seronok dengan keadaan persekolahan. Sebaliknya kumpulan

delinkuen mempunyai hubungan yang lebih rapat dengan rakan sebaya, lebih banyak melibatkan diri dalam sukan, lebih bermasalah dengan guru dan lebih kerap ponteng sekolah. Kumpulan kawalan juga mempunyai persepsi diri yang lebih tinggi dari segi intelek dan fizikal. Walau bagaimanapun persepsi diri dari segi penerimaan rakan sebaya kedua-dua kumpulan ini tidak berbeza secara signifikan. Semasa menangani masalah, kumpulan delinkuen lebih dipengaruhi oleh emosi ataupun cuba mengelakkan diri daripada situasi tersebut. Kumpulan kawalan pula lebih suka berbincang dengan ibu bapa atau bertaqwa. Kumpulan kawalan didapati berada pada tahap perkembangan moral yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan kumpulan delinkuen.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATIONS	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
CHAPTER	
ONE	1-19
INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Background of Study	1
1.1 Trends	2
1.2 Delinquency Theories	4
1.3 Theoretical Framework	6
1.3.1 Social Resources	8
1.3.2 Personal Resources	11
1.4 Statement of The Problem	13
1.5 Research Questions	13
1.6 Definition of Terms	14
1.7 Significance of the Study	18
TWO	20-37
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.0 Introduction	20
2.1 Social Resources	21
2.1.1 Perceived Parental Support	21
2.1.2 School Experiences	23
2.1.3 Peer-group Support	25
2.2 Personal Resources	27
2.2.1 Concepts of Self	28
2.2.2 Coping Behaviours	29
2.2.3 Moral Development	33
2.3 Relationship Between Social and Personal Resources	35

THREE	METHODOLOGY	38-66
	3.0 Introduction	38
	3.1 The Subjects	38
	3.1.1 Delinquent Subjects	38
	3.1.2 Non-delinquent Siblings	41
	3.1.3 Controls	42
	3.2 Instrumentation	43
	3.2.1 Social and Personal Resources	43
	3.2.2 Deviant Behaviour	52
	3.2.3 Motivation for Deviant Behaviour	55
	3.3 Data Collection	56
	3.3.1 Delinquent Subjects	56
	3.3.2 Non-delinquent Siblings	57
	3.3.3 Controls	57
	3.3.4 Home Visits	58
	3.4 Reliability and Validity	59
	3.4.1 Cronbach's Alpha	59
	3.4.2 Content Validation	61
FOUR	DATA ANALYSIS	67-126
	4.0 Introduction	67
	4.1 Deviant Behaviour of Adolescents	68
	4.1.1 Crimes against Property	69
	4.1.2 Crimes against Persons	71
	4.1.3 Status Offences	71
	4.1.4 Drug and Liquor Law Violations	71
	4.1.5 Crimes against Public Order	72
	4.2 Motivation for Deviant Behaviour	72
	4.3 Coping Behaviour of Delinquent Subjects	73
	4.4 Relationship Among the Offences	75
	4.5 Relationship Between Deviant Behaviour and Resources	89
	4.5.1 Perceived Parental Support	89

	4.5.2	Peer-group Support	91
	4.5.3	School Experiences	100
	4.5.4	Concepts of Self	104
	4.5.5	Coping Behaviours	105
	4.5.6	Moral Development	111
	4.6	Predictors of Deviant Behaviour	113
	4.7	Differences between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	115
	4.7.1	Deviant Behaviour	115
	4.7.2	Perceived Parental Support	115
	4.7.3	Peer-group Support	119
	4.7.4	School Experiences	119
	4.7.5	Concepts of Self	120
	4.7.6	Coping Behaviour	120
	4.7.7	Moral Development	124
	4.8	Differences between Delinquent Subjects and Non- delinquent siblings	125
FIVE		HOME VISITS	127-150
	5.0	Introduction	127
	5.1	Objective of Home Visits	127
	5.2	Further Data	128
	5.3	Conclusion	149
SIX		RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS	151-181
	6.0	Introduction	151
	6.1	Deviant Behaviour of Delinquent Subjects	151
	6.2	Motivation for Deviant Behaviour	153
	6.3	Coping Behaviour of Adolescents	154
	6.4	Relationship between Offences	155
	6.5	Correlates of Deviant Behaviour	157

6.5.1	Perceived Parental Support	157
6.5.2	Peer-group Support	158
6.5.3	School Experiences	161
6.5.4	Concepts of Self	162
6.5.5	Coping Behaviour	163
6.5.6	Moral Development	164
6.6	Predictors of Deviant Behaviour	164
6.7	Differences between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	165
6.8	Differences between Delinquent Subjects and Non- delinquent Siblings	170
6.9	Limitations of the Study	172
6.10	Suggestions for Interventions	173
6.11	Conclusion	179

BIBLIOGRAPHY

182-186

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES

1.1	Coverage of Social Ills of Adolescents by Media	2
1.2	Number of Arrests among Juveniles in Kelantan (1996-2000)	3
3.1	Percentage of Delinquent Subjects according to States	38
3.2	Level of Formal Education among Parents	39
3.3	Juvenile Court Referral and Number of Cases	40
3.4	Alpha Values for Items in Perceived Parental Support	60
3.5	Alpha Values for Items in Checklist of Deviant Behaviour	62
3.6	Content Validation of Coping Behaviours	63
3.7	Validation Results to Question 1	64
3.8	Validation Results to Question 2	65
3.9	Validation Results to Question 3	65
4.1	Frequency of Offending (%) by Delinquent Subjects	70
4.2	Motives Delinquent Subjects Gave for Committing Offence	73
4.3	Coping Behaviour of Delinquent Subjects	75
4.4	Correlation Matrix: Crimes Against Property with Crimes Against Persons	76
4.5	Correlation Matrix: Crimes Against Property with Status Offences	78

4.6	Correlation Matrix: Crimes Against Property with Drug and Liquor Law Violations	79
4.7	Correlation Matrix: Crimes Against Property with Offences Against Public Order	80
4.8	Correlation Matrix: Crimes Against Persons with Status Offences	82
4.9	Correlation Matrix: Crimes Against Persons with Drug and Liquor Law Violations	83
4.10	Correlation Matrix: Crimes Against Persons with Offences Against Public Order	83
4.11	Correlation Matrix: Status Offences with Drug and Liquor Law Violations	85
4.12	Correlation Matrix: Status Offences with Offences Against Public Order	85
4.13	Correlation Matrix: Drug and Liquor Law Violations with Offences Against Public Order	86
4.14	Rank Order of Correlation between Offences	87
4.15	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Perceived Emotional Support from Parents	93
4.16	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Perceived Informational Support from Parents	94
4.17	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Perceived Social Support from Parents	95
4.18	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Parents' Educational Level	96

4.19	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Peer-group Support	97
4.20	Rank Order of Peer-group Variables	100
4.21	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with School Experiences	103
4.22	Rank Order of School Experiences	104
4.23	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Concepts of Self	106
4.24	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Problem-focused Coping Behaviours	107
4.25	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Emotional-focused Coping Behaviours	108
4.26	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Avoidance-focused Coping Behaviours	109
4.27	Correlation Matrix: Deviant Behaviour with Moral Development	112
4.28	Relative Importance of Predictor Variables with Deviant Behaviour as Criterion Variable	114
4.29	Differences in Frequency of Offending between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	116
4.30	Differences in Perceived Parental Support between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	118
4.31	Differences in Peer-group Support between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	121

4.32	Differences in School Experiences between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	122
4.33	Differences in Concepts of Self between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	122
4.34	Differences in Coping Behaviours between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	123
4.35	Differences in Stages of Moral Development Between Delinquent Subjects and Controls	124
4.36	Significant Differences in Social and Personal Resources between Delinquent Subjects and Non-Delinquent Siblings	126
5.1	Academic History	131

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES

1.1	A Proposed Causal Model of Delinquency	8
2.1	Revised Integrated Model	27
2.2	Hypothesized Model of Coping	33

APPENDICES

A	Kohlberg's Stages of Moral Development	187
B	Pilot Study on Coping	188
C	Questionnaire (English Version)	189
D	Questionnaire (Malay Version)	200
E	Frequencies (%) of Responses	211
F	Interview Record Sheet	218
G	Adjudicated Juveniles	219-220
H	Campus Grounds	221-222