

AN ANALYSIS OF
ENHANCED LOSSLESS COMPRESSION METHODS
FOR ROAD MAP IMAGES

NG CHOON BOON

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF COMPUTER SCIENCE

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A511437117

NOVEMBER 2003

Abstract

The goal of this dissertation is to study, implement and analyze enhanced compression methods for road map images. Such images have characteristics that are not well-exploited by existing compression standards such as JPEG or file formats such as GIF.

Approaches based on a statistical lossless compression technique, known as arithmetic coding with adaptive context-based modeling, were tried. Arithmetic coding is known to give optimum compression, given a model of the data.

Two approaches involving context-based modeling were used. The first is bitplane coding, where the image is decomposed into bitplanes, and compression is applied to each bitplane using fixed order context modeling. The second is Prediction by Partial Matching (PPM), which is the state-of-the-art in text compression and adapted here for image compression. It uses variable order context modeling. A method combining both bitplane coding and PPM, each acting independently on the different image planes, was also investigated.

The methods were implemented and tested on randomly selected road map images. Several characteristics of the methods were investigated and the best parameters were found to obtain the most effective compression.

All the methods were able to compress the test images effectively. Compared to GIF, PPM gave an overall reduction of up to 62.8%, while bitplane coding gave a reduction of 43.6%. The compression effectiveness of the combined method was close to that of PPM, but has the advantage of better scalability, which is a problem for PPM. These methods warrant further investigation for use in other types of map images.

Acknowledgement

I would like to take this opportunity to thank my supervisor, Pn. Hannyzzura Pal, who has always been supportive, and for her guidance, advice and suggestions throughout the duration of the project.

I am also grateful to my family members for giving me the confidence and support to further my studies. I have enjoyed the experience of the past year spent in pursuing the degree, thanks to my fellow course-mates, and not also forgetting members of FOD.

The university, in particular the faculty, has provided up-to-date facilities, with excellent service from the lab and library staff.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xii
List of Acronyms	xiv
1. Introduction	2
1.1. Project background	2
1.2. Objective	7
1.3. Scope	8
1.4. Motivation	9
1.5. Organization of chapters	9
2. Literature review	11
2.1. Data compression	11
2.2. Image compression	12
2.3. Image types	14
2.4. Redundancy	15
2.5. Information theory	16
2.6. A general image compression model	18
2.7. Compression methods	19
2.7.1. Lossless compression	19
2.7.1.1. Run-length techniques	20
2.7.1.2. Statistical techniques	21
2.7.1.3. Dictionary techniques	24
2.7.1.4. Lossless predictive techniques	24

2.7.2. Lossy compression	25
2.8. Image compression standards	26
2.9. Compressing road map images	27
3. Methodology	34
3.1. Arithmetic coding concept	34
3.1.1. Tag generation process	34
3.1.2. Decoding	38
3.2. Integer implementation	39
3.2.1. Renormalization and incremental transmission	40
3.2.2. Decoding	42
3.3. Improved implementation	43
3.3.1. Improvements	44
3.3.2. Restrictions	44
3.3.3. Binary arithmetic coder	48
3.4. Modeling	50
3.5. Context-based statistics modeling	53
3.5.1. Neighbourhood template	54
3.6. Bitplane coding	57
3.6.1. Bitplane reduction	58
3.6.2. Reflected Gray coding	60
3.7. Prediction by Partial Matching (PPM)	62
3.7.1. Maximum order	63
3.7.2. Escape probability	63
3.7.3. Exclusion principle	64
3.7.4. Update exclusion	65
3.7.5. Frequency count scaling	65

3.7.6. Neighbourhood template	66
3.8. Combined method of bitplane coding and PPM	66
3.9. Test images	67
3.10. Implementation environment	69
4. Implementation	71
4.1. General structure	71
4.2. Bitplane coding	75
4.2.1. Compression program	75
4.2.1.1. Front end	75
4.2.1.1.1. Bitplane reduction	76
4.2.1.1.2. Bitplane splitting	78
4.2.1.2. File-level encoder	79
4.2.1.2.1. File header	80
4.2.1.2.2. Initialization	81
4.2.1.2.3. Creation and initialization of the models	81
4.2.1.2.4. Finding the context	83
4.2.1.2.5. Encoding each symbol	84
4.2.1.2.6. Termination	85
4.2.1.3. Symbol-level encoder	85
4.2.2. Decompression program	86
4.2.2.1. Front end	87
4.2.2.1.1. Bitplane merging	88
4.2.2.1.2. Inverse of bitplane reduction	89
4.2.2.1.3. File comparison	89
4.2.2.2. File-level decoder	89
4.2.2.2.1. Initialization	91

4.2.2.2.2. Decoding each symbol	91
4.2.2.3. Symbol-level decoder	92
4.3. Prediction by Partial Matching (PPM)	93
4.3.1. Compression program	93
4.3.1.1. Front end	93
4.3.1.2. File-level encoder	94
4.3.1.2.1. Creation and initialization of the models	95
4.3.1.2.2. Finding the context	98
4.3.1.2.3. Encoding each symbol	99
4.3.1.2.4. Managing the model	102
4.3.1.3. Symbol-level encoder	104
4.3.1.3.1. Order -1 encoder	104
4.3.1.3.2. Order 0 and above encoder	106
4.3.2. Decompression program	110
4.3.2.1. Front end	110
4.3.2.2. File-level decoder	110
4.3.2.2.1. Decoding each symbol	111
4.3.2.3. Symbol-level decoder	112
4.3.2.3.1. Order -1 decoder	113
4.3.2.3.2. Order 0 and above decoder	115
4.4. Combined method of bitplane coding and PPM	118
4.4.1. Compression program	118
4.4.1.1. Front end	118
4.4.1.2. File-level encoder	119
4.4.2. Decompression program	120
4.4.2.1. Front end	120

4.4.2.2. File-level decoder	122
5. Results and discussion	124
5.1. Bitplane coding	124
5.1.1. Conclusion	129
5.2. Prediction by Partial Matching (PPM)	129
5.2.1. Update exclusion	130
5.2.2. Neighbourhood template	131
5.2.3. Escape method	132
5.2.4. Maximum order	133
5.2.5. Frequency count scaling	134
5.2.6. Best settings	137
5.2.7. Conclusion	139
5.3. Combined method of bitplane coding and PPM	140
5.4. Overall comparison of the methods	140
5.4.1. Compression size	141
5.4.2. Memory requirements	143
5.4.3. Execution time	147
5.5. Summary	149
6. Conclusion	151
6.1. Summary of work accomplished and results	151
6.2. Future work	155
Appendix A: Road map images	156
Appendix B: RAW and JASC-PAL file formats	158
Appendix C: Calculation of GIF file's image data size	159
Appendix D: Memory requirement in PPM	161
References	163

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Example of sources for spatial data	4
Figure 1.2: Examples of spatial data	5
Figure 2.1: An example of an image and a pixel	13
Figure 2.2: A general image compression model for	19
Figure 2.3: A taxonomy of image compression methods	20
Figure 2.4: An example of a road map	27
Figure 2.5: Degradation caused by lossy compression	29
Figure 2.6: Difference between palette and bitmapped format.	30
Figure 3.1: Example of subdividing intervals in arithmetic coding	36
Figure 3.2: Pseudocode for arithmetic encoder of Moffat <i>et al.</i>	46
Figure 3.3: Pseudocode for arithmetic decoder of Moffat <i>et al.</i>	47
Figure 3.4: Pseudocode of Moffat <i>et al.</i> 's binary arithmetic coder	49
Figure 3.5: Incorporating arithmetic coding into a compression system	50
Figure 3.6: An example of a neighbourhood template	55
Figure 3.7: 1-norm and 2-norm neighbourhood templates	56
Figure 3.8: Neighbourhood templates used by JBIG2 standard	56
Figure 3.9: Bitplane decomposition	57
Figure 3.10: An example of the bitplane reduction process	60
Figure 3.11: Converting between binary code and Gray code	61
Figure 3.12: An example of splitting an image into different planes	67
Figure 3.13: Establishing the maps corpus	68
Figure 4.1: General structure of the compression program	72
Figure 4.2: General structure of the decompression program	73
Figure 4.3: Front end of compression program for bitplane coding	75
Figure 4.4: Pseudocode for bitplane reduction process	77

Figure 4.5: Pseudocode for bitplane splitting	78
Figure 4.6: File-level encoder for bitplane coding	80
Figure 4.7: Example of a code snippet used to find the context	83
Figure 4.8: Pseudocode for encoding each symbol	84
Figure 4.9: Symbol-level encoder for bitplane coding	85
Figure 4.10: Pseudocode for model management	86
Figure 4.11: Front end of the decompression program for bitplane coding	87
Figure 4.12: Pseudocode for bitplane merging and inverse of bit reduction	88
Figure 4.13: Pseudocode for file comparison	89
Figure 4.14: File-level decoder for bitplane coding	90
Figure 4.15: Pseudocode for decoding each symbol	92
Figure 4.16: Symbol-level decoder for bitplane coding	92
Figure 4.17: Front end of compression program for PPM	93
Figure 4.18: Example of how the probability tables are stored	97
Figure 4.19: Pseudocode for initializing a model	97
Figure 4.20: Pseudocode for filling in the <code>neighbour[]</code> array	98
Figure 4.21: Pseudocode for encoding a symbol using PPM	100
Figure 4.22: Pseudocode for updating a model	103
Figure 4.23: Pseudocode for order -1 encoder	105
Figure 4.24: Pseudocode for encoding an escape symbol	107
Figure 4.25: Pseudocode for encoding a normal symbol	109
Figure 4.26: Front end of the decompression program for PPM	110
Figure 4.27: Decoding each symbol in PPM	112
Figure 4.28: Pseudocode for order -1 decoder	113
Figure 4.29: Pseudocode for order 0 and above decoder in method A	115
Figure 4.30: Pseudocode for order 0 and above decoder in method B	116

Figure 4.31: Pseudocode for order 0 and above decoder in method C and D	117
Figure 4.32: Front end of compression program for the combined method	118
Figure 4.33: Pseudocode for plane splitting process	119
Figure 4.34: File-level encoder for the combined method	120
Figure 4.35: Front end of decompression program for the combined method	121
Figure 4.36: Pseudocode for plane merging process	121
Figure 4.37: File-level decoder for the combined method	122
Figure 5.1: Plot of file size versus number of context bits used	127
Figure 5.2: Plot of the total file size against the maximum order	134
Figure 5.3: Plot of the total file size against the scaling value	136
Figure 5.4: Plot of compressed file size of each file	142
Figure 5.5: Compression time for each file	148
Figure 5.6: Decompression time for each file	148
Figure C.1: Structure of a GIF file	159

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Subinterval division process	36
Table 3.2: Probability table	37
Table 3.3: Subinterval limits calculation	37
Table 3.4: Decoding process	38
Table 3.5: Renormalization for floating point arithmetic	40
Table 3.6: Renormalization for integer arithmetic	42
Table 3.7: Minimal bits per pixel required	59
Table 3.8: Reflected Gray codes for 0 to 15	61
Table 3.9: Escape and symbol probabilities	64
Table 3.10: Test image files information	69
Table 4.1: Probability table for order -1 model	105
Table 4.2: Finding l, h and t for escape symbol in method A, B, C and D	108
Table 4.3: Finding l, h and t for a normal symbol in method A, B, C and D	108
Table 5.1: Results for bitplane coding (without reflected Gray coding)	125
Table 5.2: Results for bitplane coding (with reflected Gray coding)	126
Table 5.3: Summary of results for JBIG2 templates	128
Table 5.4: Results for PPM, to compare single and full counting	130
Table 5.5: Results for PPM, to compare 1-norm and 2-norm templates	131
Table 5.6: Results for PPM, to compare escape methods	132
Table 5.7: Results for PPM, to compare various maximum order values	133
Table 5.8: Results for PPM, to compare various frequency count scaling values	135
Table 5.9: Results for PPM using best settings	137
Table 5.10: Best results for the various methods	141
Table 5.11: Calculated minimum memory requirements for the various methods	145
Table 5.12: Compression and decompression time (in seconds)	147

Table 6.1: Comparison of total file sizes (in bytes)	152
Table B.1: JASC-PAL palette file format	158
Table C.1: Test image GIF file size	160
Table D.1: Memory requirement for probability tables in PPM	161

List of Acronyms

Acronym	Actual word
ATM	Asynchronous Transfer Mode
GIF	Graphics Interchange Format
GIS	Geographical Information System
JBIG	Joint Bi-level Image Group
JPEG	Joint Photographic Experts Group
LPS	less probable symbol
LSB	least significant bit
MPS	more probable symbol
MSB	most significant bit
PPM	Prediction by Partial Matching
TIFF	Tagged Image File Format