

R

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYA

ACH-7748

INVC.....ams 10/9/99

NUTRITIONAL EVALUATION OF SELECTED TROPICAL BROWSE PLANTS FOR RUSA DEER (*Cervus timorensis*) IN CAPTIVITY

Dissertation submitted to the Institute of Postgraduate Studies and Research, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur for the degree Master of Philosophy (MPhil)

by

IDAYU ZAINAL AMRAN
Institute of Postgraduate Studies and Research
University of Malaya
Kuala Lumpur
(1998)

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A508674297

Dimikrofiskan pada..... 24.08.2000
No. Mikrofis..... 14835
Jumlah Mikrofis..... 2

HAMSI AH BT. MOHAMAD ZAHARI
UNIT REPROGRAFI
PERPUSTAKAAN UTAMA
UNIVERSITI MALAYA

UPR

ABSTRACT

The deer industry is a new growing industry in Malaysia with the existence of several new deer farms in the country. The importation of rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*) from various countries indicate a growing interest in this industry. This study was done to gain more insight in the management of these animals and in particular their nutritional requirements with regards to local plant material.

In the experiment, 37 out of 80 selected plants were evaluated for their potential as deer feed. The proximate and fiber analysis were done on the selected plants using conventional methods. The mineral content of the plant was analysed using the ICP and the anti - nutritional factors such as saponin, terpenoid and alkaloid in the plants were detected using TLC plate. Apart from general analysis, *in vitro* digestibility of the plants were also done on selected potential plants. The metabolisable energy of 9 plants were detected using the gas test while the dry matter disappearance of 11 plants were analysed in RUSITEC fermentation system.

The present studies showed that browse plants including trees, shrubs fodder and legume plants have the potential to be used as deer feed when mixed with grasses or legumes. The browse plants were found to have a high percentage of CP (20 % - 40 %) and a moderate amount of CF (8 % - 39 %). Fiber components in the plants showed low level of lignin but high in cellulose and hemicellulose depending on the parts of plants eaten, type of plants and maturity of the plant. Mineral contents in the browse plants were in moderate amount and sufficient enough for the deer consumption especially the Ca, P, K, Na, Cu, Mn, Zn and Fe. The gas test showed that generally plants have a higher ME in the beginning of the year rather than in the middle or the end of the year, with an average of 7.5 kJ/kg. Dry matter disappearance in the plants showed that with the increase of time, the rate of dry matter disappearance also increases but at different pace.

The use of browse plants should be encouraged in commercial deer farming as they are easier to grow, more economical and found in abundance. Apart from being deer feed, it can provide shade to the animals and hedge to the farm. There are several other potential browse plants to be explored in the tropical forest which can be utilized as deer feed. Further research on this potential plants hopefully can help to upgrade and provide better feed to the deer feed industry.

**Apart from this, the thesis has been presented (poster form) in Malaysian Society of Animal Production (MSAP) conference in Penang(1995) and in International Symposium of Herbivore in France (1995).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my most sincere thanks and gratitude to Dr. Ahmad Salihin Hj. Baba for his patience and guidance throughout the course of the project. Not forgetting Dr. Kamar Khazmi Md. Zain as my co-supervisor.

I owe much also to the Department of Veterinary Services (DVS) especially the Director (R), Dr. Mustapha Babjee in his cooperation in granting the permission to use the lab, farm and library facilities and assistance from the DVS staff.

There were individuals and groups whom provided various aids and taken the trouble to help me in this project; En. Rashid Kudus, En Ahmad Shuhaimi (DVS HQ); En. Khalid Omar (AMDB), En Yusuf and DVS staff in Sabak Bernam; Dr. Siti Salmiah (DVS Selangor); En Jumali and En. Hassan (Unit Agronomi, DVS); Dr. Yusuf, Pn. Normah, En. Sharip, Pn. Fatimah and En. Padhil (Inst. Haiwan, Kluang); staff of DVS Unit Kesihatan Gerombok; En. Ismail (DVS PJ lab); Unit Rusa Perak; En Danial and staff of DVS Siliau; En. Ibrahim and Dr. Zainal Aznam (UPM).

I also take this opportunity to grant my most grateful thank to Puan Noraida Ismail, staff of IPT and fellow colleagues for their constructive advice and assistance while doing this thesis.

I would like to thank them for the constructive suggestions which have been an immense help for the writing of this thesis. Major improvement were done to this thesis with their help, in order to make it as it is now.

Last but not least to my loving husband and families for their support and patience to see me finishing my MPhil.

Idayu Zainal Amran

MPhil 98

CONTENT	Page
Abstracts	
Acknowledgement	i
Contents	ii
List of abbreviations	vi
List of figures	vii
List of plates	viii
List of tables	ix
List of appendix	x
1.0 Introduction	1
Literature review	2
2.1 Deer	2
2.2 General biology	
2.3 Domestication of deer	5
2.4 Population of deer in Malaysia	6
2.4.1 Species of indigenous and exotic deer in Malaysia	7
2.4.2 Deer farming in Malaysia	9
2.5 Natural feed resources for deer in captivity	9
2.5.1 Grasses, creeping legumes and weeds	10
2.5.2 Browse plants	11
2.6 Nutrient contents of feeds : Proximate Analysis	12

2.6.1 Dry matter/moisture	12
2.6.2 Crude protein	12
2.6.3 Ether extract/ Crude fat	13
2.6.4 Crude Fiber	13
2.6.5 Ash	14
2.6.6 Nitrogen free extract	14
2.6.7 Mineral	14
2.7 Anti – nutritional factors	16
2.7.1 Saponin	17
2.7.2 Terpenoid	17
2.7.3 Alkaloid	18
 An evaluation of deer farming	
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Governmental farms vs commercial farms	19
3.3 General Management	20
3.3.1 Fencing	20
3.3.2 Paddock	21
3.3.3 Feeding system	21
3.3.4 Stag to hind	22
3.3.5 Taming	22
 Materials and Methods	
4.1 Introduction	23
4.2 Collection and identification of plant material	23
4.3 Proximate analysis	23

4.3.1 Dry matter determination and storage of plant materials	25
4.3.2 Crude fibre determination	25
4.3.3 Crude fat/ ether extract determination	26
4.3.4 Crude protein determination	27
4.3.5 Total ash determination	28
4.3.6 Nitrogen free extract determination	29
4.4 Principal of fibre analysis determination	29
4.4.1 Determination of neutral detergent fibre	29
4.4.2 Determination of acid detergent fibre	30
4.4.3 Determination of lignin	31
4.5 Determination of mineral contents in plants	32
4.6 The gas test method for Metabolizable Energy determination	34
4.7 Rumen simulation technique	38
4.8 Determination of anti - nutritional factor	41
4.8.1 Saponin	42
4.8.2 Terpenoid	42
4.8.3 Alkaloid	42
4.9 Statistical analysis	43
 Results	
5.1 Introduction	44
5.2 Plant selection	48
5.3 Chemical content of forages	49
5.3.1 Proximate analysis	49
5.3.2 Fiber component	57
5.3.3 Mineral	60
5.3.4 Metabolizable energy	65
5.3.5 Anti - nutritional value	67

CONTENT	Page
5.3.6 RUSITEC fermentation system	69
5.3.7 Statistical analysis	69
Discussion	
6.1 Chemical composition	74
6.1.1 Proximate analysis	76
6.1.2 Mineral	77
6.1.3 Fiber composition	80
6.2 Plant selection	82
6.2.1 Browse plants	85
6.2.2 Shrubs legumes	88
6.2.3 Undergrowth (weeds)	90
6.2.4 Grasses	92
6.3 <i>In Vitro</i> Methods : Estimation of ME contents and DM digestibilities	93
6.3.1 Metabolizable energy	93
6.3.2 Rusitec fermentation system	94
6.4 Anti – nutritional Factors (ANF)	96
7.0 Conclusion	100
References	
Appendices	

ABBREVIATION

DM	=	Dry matter
CP	=	Crude protein
CF	=	Crude fiber
EE	=	Ether extract
NFE	=	Nitrogen free extract
ME	=	Metabolizable energy
NDF	=	Neutral detergent fiber
ADF	=	Acid detergent fiber
DMD	=	Dry matter digestibility
VFA	=	Volatile fatty acid
ANF	=	Anti - nutritional factor -
wt	=	weight
g	=	gram
°C	=	degree Celsius
cm	=	centimeter
ml	=	millilitre
ppm	=	part per million
kg	=	kilogram
kJ	=	kilojoule
MJ	=	mega joule
h	=	hour
L	=	litre
ha	=	hectare
min	=	minutes
Mcal	=	Megacalories
N	=	nitrogen
m	=	metres
mm	=	millimetres
mg	=	milligram

LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 2.1 : Classification of the deer in the Ungulates	3
Figure 2.2 : Deer farms in Malaysia	6
Figure 4.1 : Components of proximate analysis showing the inorganic and organic components of feed on dry basis	24
Figure 4.2 : Schematic diagram of one unit vessel in RUSITEC	40
Figure 4.3 : Schematic presentation of extraction procedure of feed samples	41
Figure 5.1 : Average PA for various selected deer feed	56
Figure 5.2 : Mean metabolizable energy (kJ/kg) in selected plants	66
Figure 5.3 : Dry matter digestibility of selected browse plants in RUSITEC fermentation system	70

LIST OF PLATES

	page
Plate 4.1 : A rotor with holes holding glass piston syringes in oven	36
Plate 4.2 : Glass syringes were filled with the carbon dioxide-equilibrated liquor mixture	37
Plate 4.3 : The liquor mixture (rumen liquor & buffer) was gased with CO ₂ to maintain an anaerobic condition	37
Plate 5.1 : The Parit Baru deer farm in Selangor.	45
Plate 5.2 : Island of trees in Segamat deer farm.	45

LIST OF TABLES

	page
Table 2.1 : Deer population in Malaysia (1980 - 1995)	7
Table 2.2 : Morphometric characters of deer species	8
Table 2.3 : Functions of minerals needed by the animals	14
Table 5.1 : Plants availability in various deer farms in Malaysia	46
Table 5.2 : List of plant samples	47
Table 5.3 : Classification of browse plants consumed by rusa deer in captivity	48
Table 5.4 : Plants which were known eaten by rusa deer in captivity	50
Table 5.5 : Plants with high potentials as rusa deer feed	51
Table 5.6 - a : Proximate composition of tree leaves	53
Table 5.6 - b : Chemical composition of legume plants	53
Table 5.6 - c : Proximate composition of weeds	53
Table 5.6 - d : Chemical composition of leaves from selected shrubs	55
Table 5.6 - e : Proximate composition of selected grass samples	55
Table 5.7- a : Fiber components of leaves from selected tree	59
Table 5.7 - b : Fiber components in legume plants	59
Table 5.7 - c : List of fiber components in weeds	59
Table 5.7 - d : Fiber components of selected shrubs	60
Table 5.7 - e : Fiber components in grass samples	60
Table 5.8 : List of macrominerals in selected plants consumed by rusa deer	62
Table 5.9 : List of microminerals in selected plants consumed by rusa deer	64
Table 5.10 : Presence of anti - nutritional factors in plant samples	68
Table 5.11 : Analysis of variance of nutrient composition on different parts of selected browse plants	72
Table 6.1 : Difference between leaves (L), shoot (O), stem (S) and twigs (T) from selected plants	75
Table 6.2 : Comparison between CP content and <i>in vitro</i> DMD (RUSITEC) in 11 selected plants	96

LIST OF APPENDICES

A - List of chemicals used in the present studies

B - Preparation of solution

B.1 Neutral detergent solution (NDS)

B.2 Acid detergent solution (ADS)

B3 Lignin reagent

C - List of deer farm species in Malaysia (adapted from Vidyadaran et al., 1991)

D - Artificial saliva for Rumen simulating technique (RUSITEC)

E - List of unidentified species of plants eaten by deer

F - Reagents used in the Metabolizable Energy (ME) determination

G - Preparation of reagents for Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)

H - The analysis of varians (ANOVA) on PA for *Sapium baccatum*

INTRODUCTION

Nutrition is the process whereby the animals procure and processes portions of its external chemical environment for the continued functioning of internal metabolism. Chemical analysis determines the quantities of nutrients present in a given sample of feedstuff. Feeding value of forages is affected by morphological, anatomical and chemical characteristic which vary between species, maturity, temperature, water balance and nutritional conditions of plant growth. These characteristics also influence the acceptability, edibility and digestibility of plants material and the amount and balance of nutrient desired (Egan et al., 1985).

Studies on the nutritive values of existing and potential feed are an integral part in the management of domestic and wildlife animals. The nutritive value of animal feed is conventionally classified into these components i.e. digestibility, voluntary feed intake and energetic efficiency (Fonnesback, 1977). For forages, the nutritive value is determined by the level of intake, in addition to digestibility and chemical (mineral) composition of the feeds. The presence of toxins or anti-nutritive factors would affect both digestibility and nutrients availability.

To date, few attempts have been made to evaluate the nutritional value of plants available to captivated ungulates in the humid tropics. The present studies were carried to meet the following objectives :

- 1) to evaluate the nutrients content in selected browse plant samples eaten by deer in captivity.
- 2) to determine the relative level of saponin, alkaloid and terpenoid in the plant samples.
- 3) to estimate the digestibility of selected browse plants
- 4) to evaluate certain type of plants which is suitable for mass cultivation which has promising potential browse plants as supplementary feed in addition to pellet/concentrates in deer farming.