#### Appendix 1

#### Worksheet 1

Company:	Input Materials	Date: 3-12-1997
Don Brake (M) Sdn Bhd	Summary	

	Description of Input Materials				
Name/ID	Asbestos	Glass Fiber	Friction Dust	Rubber Crumb	Barytes
Form:	Light fiber	Light fiber	Light fiber	Granular	Fine particles
Hazard Potential: 1 Animal Toxicity Inhale Oral Skin	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Annual consumption rate (kg/annum)	39,105	7,820	18,025	44,380	192,200
Purchase price (RM/kg)	1.25/kg	14.18/kg	4.90/kg	2.07/kg	0.73/kg
Annual cost (RM) <sub>2</sub>	48,881.25	110,887.6	88,322.5	91,866.6	140,306
Delivery mode	Lorry	Lorry	Lorry	Lorry	Lorry
Shipping container type <sub>3</sub>	Plastic bag	Plastic bag	Plastic bag	Plastic bag	Paper bag
Storage mode <sub>4</sub>	Warehouse	Warehouse	Warehouse	Warehouse	Warehouse
Transport mode 5	Forklift	Forklift	Forklift	Forklift	Forklift
Empty container disposal	Landfill	Landfill	Landfill	Landfill	Landfill
Expected shelf live	>5 years	>5 years	>5 years	>5 years	>5 years
Level of inventory maintained on site	≈15%	≈15%	≈15%	≈15%	≈15%
Would suppliers - accept expired material?	No	No	No	No	No
- accept shipping container? - revise expiration rate?	No No	No No	No No	No No	No No

<sup>1</sup> Indicate Yes or No

<sup>2</sup> Based on actual usage on 1998

<sup>2</sup> based of actual stage of 1794 ags, tanks, etc
4 e.g. outdoor, warehouse, underground, above ground etc
5 e.g. pump, forklift, pneumatic transport, conveyor, etc
6 e.g. crush and landfill, clean and recycle, return to supplier, etc

# Worksheet 2

Company:		
Don Brake (M) Sdn Bhd	Products	Date: 3-12-1997
(iii) Sun Bild	Summary	

Attribute	Description
Name of product	Brake lining
Annual production rate (pcs/year)	≈ 0.5 million pieces
Annual revenues (RM)	≈ 24 million
On site storage mode <sub>1</sub>	Warehouse
Shipping container size and type2	Wrapped by plastic bag and put into carton
Shipping mode <sub>3</sub>	Forklift
Containers returnable? (Y/N)	No
Shelf life	> 10 years
Rework possible? (Y/N)	Yes
Would customer	100
relax specification? (Y/N)	No
accept larger containers? (Y/N)	No.

l e.g. outdoor, warehouse, underground, above ground, etc

<sup>2</sup> e.g. 002 drums, paper bags, tanks, etc

<sup>3</sup> e.g. pump, forklift, pneumatic transport, conveyor, etc

Company:	Waste Stream	Date: 3-12-1997
Don Brake (M) Sdn Bhd	Assessment	
A. Waste Generation		
1. Process unit / operation	Brake lining production	on line.
2. Waste stream identifica	ation <u>Cutting, Grinding, Dr</u>	illing, Chamfering.
3. Waste leaves the proces	ss as	
( ) Air emission	( ) Wastewa	ter
( ✓) Solid waste	( ) Hazardou	us waste
4. Is the waste mixed with	n other waste? ( ) Yes	(✔) No
(If the answers is yes, f	ill out a sheet for each of the in	ndividual waste streams)
5. Describe how the waste	e is generated.	
The waste is generated	l during cutting, grinding, drii	lling and chamfering of the
brake lining dust.		
B. Waste Characteristics		
(Attach additional sheets v	with composition data, as nece	essary)
1. Type		
( ) Gas ( ) Liqui	d (✓) Solid ( ) Mixe	ed ( ) Sludge
2. Generation rate		
Annual : <u>318.</u>	25 metric tonne/year	
Max : <u>31.3</u>	3 metric tonne/month	
Average: 26.5	2 metric tonne/month	

3. Occurrence	( ) Continuous
	(✓ ) Discrete
	( ) Periodic (length of period:)
	( ) Sporadic/irregular
	( ) Non-recurrent
4. Physical appea	arance
Light fiber ar	nd fine particles in greyish color.
5. Chemical cor	nponents
Contains of h	neavy metals: Barium, Chromium, Plumbum, Zinc, Copper, Ferum.
	organic : Phenol, Formaldehye.
6. Behavior of	waste in environment. Please comment on how the waste may
behave and	affect the environment once it is released. Discuss which of the
following ap	ply.
Toxicity/Hea	lth Hazard:
Cause lung c	ancer if exposed for long period.
Biodegradab	ility
<u>N/A</u>	
Tendency to	accumulate, persist or magnify in the food chain
<u>N/A</u>	
Synergistic e	ffect
N/A	
Overall envi	ronmental risk
Contains of t	oxic heavy metals and organics, may contaminate ground
water if not p	properly disposed.

# C. Waste Management

-1	Ann	licah	e reon	lations

Environmental Quality (Schedul	ed Wastes) Regulations, 1989; First Schedule
(Regulation 2), Part 1 Section 2	0 and Part 2 Section 16.
2. Disposal frequency	
Collection of brake lining dust	was carried out twice a month.
3. Describe how the waste leaves the	he site
The brake lining dust are packed	d in plastic bag and then put into metal drum.
4. Recycling	
Is the waste recycled?	( ) Yes (✓) No
If "Yes", please describe the rec	ycling process (e.g. re-use, energy recovery, etc)
There is potential for recycling.	Further investigation needs to be carried out.
Is any reclaimed material return	to the site?
( ) Yes (✓) No	( ) Used by others
Residue yield	
Residue disposal <i>N/A</i>	
5. Waste treatment:	
( ) Biological	( ) Precipitation
( ) Oxidation/Reduction	( ) Solidification
( ) Incineration	( ) Evaporation
( ) Neutralization	( ✓ ) Other (please describe)

o. I mai	Time rate receptor and mean or aspection					
(	) Landfill	(	) River or ocean			
(	) Pond	(	) Atmosphere			
(	) Lagoon	(	) Sewer			
(	) Deep well	(✓	) Other (please describe) (Storage in metal drum)			

6. Final waste recentor and mode of denosition

#### 7. Over-all cost:

Cost Element	Unit Price (RM)
Plastic bag	1.50/bag
Metal drum	15/drum
Transportation fee	67/metric tonne
Disposal fee	495/metric tonne

# Appendix 2

Dust Ratios	Leachability Indices					
	Ba	Cr	Zn	Pb	Cu	Fe
Cement:Dust						
(60:40)	$7.6 \pm 0.0$	$9.3 \pm 0.3$	$8.4 \pm 0.1$	$8.7 \pm 0.1$	$9.1 \pm 0.1$	$9.0 \pm 0.1$
(50:50)	$7.7 \pm 0.1$	$9.1 \pm 0.3$	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$8.6 \pm 0.1$	$9.0 \pm 0.1$	$8.9 \pm 0.1$
(40:60)	$7.9 \pm 0.0$	$8.9 \pm 0.3$	$8.2 \pm 0.2$	$8.4 \pm 0.1$	9.1 ± 0.4	$8.7 \pm 0.1$
(30:70)	$8.0 \pm 0.0$	$8.7 \pm 0.2$	$8.1 \pm 0.2$	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$8.8 \pm 0.1$	$8.6 \pm 0.1$
AC:Cement:Dust						
(4:56:40)	$8.3 \pm 0.1$	$9.6 \pm 0.2$	$9.0 \pm 0.1$	$9.2 \pm 0.2$	$9.6 \pm 0.1$	$9.4 \pm 0.1$
(5:45:50)	8.5 ± 0.2	$9.3 \pm 0.1$	$8.9 \pm 0.2$	$9.0 \pm 0.1$	$9.4 \pm 0.1$	$9.4 \pm 0.1$
(6:34:60)	$8.7 \pm 0.1$	$9.1 \pm 0.1$	$8.7 \pm 0.1$	$8.9 \pm 0.1$	$9.3 \pm 0.1$	$9.2 \pm 0.1$
(7:23:70)	$8.8 \pm 0.1$	$8.9 \pm 0.1$	$8.7 \pm 0.1$	$8.7 \pm 0.1$	$9.1 \pm 0.1$	$9.1 \pm 0.1$
Polymal:Dust						
60:40 (3%)	$10.0 \pm 0.6$	_a	9.6 ± 0.5	9.5 ± 0.1		-
60:40 (5%)	$10.0 \pm 0.5$	-	$9.0 \pm 0.3$ $9.7 \pm 0.3$	$9.9 \pm 0.2$		
50:50 (3%)	$9.5 \pm 0.4$	-	$9.4 \pm 0.4$	$9.5 \pm 0.2$	-	-
50:50 (5%)	$9.5 \pm 0.4$	-	$9.4 \pm 0.4$	$9.5 \pm 0.1$		-
45:55 (5%)	$9.2 \pm 0.3$	-	$9.1 \pm 0.4$	$9.2 \pm 0.1$	-	-
Hetron:Dust						
60:40 (3%)	$9.7 \pm 0.5$	-	$9.6 \pm 0.4$	$9.8 \pm 0.2$	-	-
60:40 (5%)	$9.7 \pm 0.4$	-	$9.6 \pm 0.3$	$9.8 \pm 0.1$	-	-
50:50 (3%)	$9.5 \pm 0.4$	-	$9.3 \pm 0.3$	$9.4 \pm 0.1$	-	-
50:50 (5%)	$9.4 \pm 0.4$	-	$9.2 \pm 0.3$	$9.4 \pm 0.1$	-	-
45:55 (5%)	$9.2 \pm 0.4$	-	$9.0 \pm 0.2$	$9.0 \pm 0.1$	-	-

a mean ± standard deviation

b The trace metals were not detected in the leachate