

②

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Kok Kim Lian for his commitment and invaluable guidance throughout this research.

I am grateful to Dr. Tan Keng Teh, for his encouragement and help during the course of my study.

I would also like to take this opportunity to express my special thanks to all my friends for their help and cooperation in many ways on many occasions.

Last but not least, I would like to give my heartfelt thanks to my beloved family especially my parents for their stout support, understanding and patience.

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A511374588

ABSTRACT

The fundamentalists believe that all securities have an intrinsic value, which can differ from its market price and eventually, the market price of a security will gravitate towards its true value. Graham believed that even though securities market could be overall efficient, any conscientious investor could gain superior returns through pockets of inefficiencies by paying close attention to investment fundamentals and taking advantage of undervaluation and mispricing of individual securities. He also proposed ten selection criteria to identify underpriced securities. The study aims to investigate whether the portfolios formed using Graham's selection criteria could achieve superior performance in the Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange (KLSE). In addition, strategies different from the one recommended by the Graham-Rea approach are also employed for comparison to determine the best strategy. These strategies are: strategy 1- each security is held for either 2 years or until a 100% price appreciation is achieved, whichever comes first; strategy 2- each security is held for either 2 years or until a 75% price appreciation is achieved, whichever comes first; strategy 3- each security is held for either 2 years or until a 50% price appreciation is achieved, whichever comes first and strategy 4- each security is held for either 2 years or until a 25% price appreciation is achieved, whichever comes first. Strategy 3 is the strategy recommended by the Graham-Rea approach.

The results of the study indicate that investors in the KLSE can obtain superior portfolio performance compared to the market performance using two out of the four strategies employed. The average returns achieved by the portfolios formed using Graham's criteria

for the period of study ranges from about 16% to 43% as opposed to the mean market return of about 23%. However, after adjusting for risk, only about 30% of the excess risk-adjusted returns are significant. Nevertheless, almost all the portfolios maintain positive excess risk-adjusted returns throughout the period under study. Comparison of the different strategies employed indicates that strategy 1 and strategy 2 are better than the one suggested by the Graham-Rea approach.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	1
ABSTRACT	11
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 TECHNICAL ANALYSIS	1
1.2 MARKET EFFICIENCY	3
1.3 FUNDAMENTAL ANALYSIS	4
1.4 EMPIRICAL FINDINGS ON THE BEHAVIOUR OF THE KLSE SECURITIES PRICES	5
1.5 GRAHAM'S STOCK SELECTION CRITERIA	6
1.6 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	7
1.7 SCOPE OF THE STUDY	9
1.8 ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY	9
CHAPTER 2	10
LITERATURE REVIEW	10
CHAPTER 3	17
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	17
3.1 DATA	17
3.2 HYPOTHESES	17
3.3 THE SAMPLE	18
3.4 DETERMINING THE PORTFOLIO RETURNS	20
3.5 TESTING THE EFFICACY OF GRAHAM'S STOCK SELECTION CRITERIA	22
3.5.1 <i>Using the Portfolio Returns</i>	23
3.5.2 <i>Using the Risk-Adjusted Returns</i>	25
3.6 COMPARING THE PORTFOLIOS' PERFORMANCES USING DIFFERENT STRATEGIES	30
CHAPTER 4	35
RESULTS	35
4.1 CHAPTER OUTLINE	35
4.2 EFFICACY OF GRAHAM'S STOCK SELECTION CRITERIA USING THE PORTFOLIO RETURNS	35
4.3 EFFICACY OF GRAHAM'S STOCK SELECTION CRITERIA USING RISK-ADJUSTED RETURNS	37
4.4 COMPARISON OF PORTFOLIOS USING DIFFERENT STRATEGIES	48
CHAPTER 5	53
CONCLUSIONS	53

5.1	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	53
5.2	IMPLICATIONS OF THE STUDY	56
5.3	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	56
APPENDIX		58
I	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1988 – 3/1990	59
II	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1989 – 3/1991	60
III	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1990 – 3/1992	61
IV	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1991 – 3/1993	62
V	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1992 – 3/1994	63
VI	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1993 – 3/1995	64
VII	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1995 – 3/1997	65
VIII	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1996 – 3/1998	66
IX	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1997 – 3/1999	67
X	SAMPLED PORTFOLIO CHOSEN FROM ELIGIBLE SECURITIES MEETING CRITERIA 3 AND 6 WITH HOLDING PERIOD 4/1998 – 3/2000	68
REFERENCES		69