### APPENDIX A

### PREPARATION OF 10% OF SODIUM HYDROXIDE SOLUTION

Source of sodium hydroxide is from Poland with 100% concentration. 10 grams of sodium hydroxide was dissolved in 90 grams of deionised water.

1 molar of NaOH = 40 gm/liter or 40 gm/1000 ml.

Therefore, 10 gm/100 ml =  $\underbrace{(10 \text{ gm}/100 \text{ ml})}_{\text{(40 gm}/1000 \text{ ml)}} \text{ x}$  1 M

= 2.5 M

pH of this solution is 13.46.

215

### APPENDIX B

### CALCULATION OF SODIUM STEARATE FORMED IN MOISTURISER PRODUCT

Stearic acid used is a is a cosmetic grade from Henkel KgaA, Germany (Edenor STIMY). Its saponification value is in the range of 206 to 214. For the calculation, the saponification value is taken as 210. Stearic acid used in the moisturiser product formulation is 3wt/wt% or 3 grams/100 grams of moisturiser product developed.

Reaction to form sodium stearate is as follows:

NaOH + 
$$C_{18}H_{36}O_2$$
  $\longrightarrow$  Na. $C_{18}H_{35}O_2$  +  $H_2O$   
(Stearic acid) (sodium stearate)

### **Definition of Saponification Value**

Saponification value is the number of 100% KOH to saponify 1 gram of stearic acid.

Therefore, the actual amounts of KOH required per 100 parts of stearic acid is

= <u>saponification value</u> x <u>molecular weight of NaOH</u> x 100 1000 molecular weight of KOH

= 14.97 grams of NaOH

This means, 100 grams of stearic acid will require 14.97 grams of NaOH (100% concentration) to be saponified. From the formulation, 3 wt/wt% of stearic acid was used. Thus, to 100% saponify this stearic acid to form sodium stearate, the amount of NaOH (100%) required is (3/100 x 14.97 gram NaOH) or 0.4491 gram NaOH. Therefore, 3 grams of stearic acid will need 0.4491 grams of 100% concentration of NaOH. So for 10% solution of NaOH was used in the formulation, this means

- $= \frac{100\%}{10\%}$  x 0.4491 grams
- = 4.491 grams of NaOH

So, 3 grams stearic acid will require 4.491 grams of NaOH (10% solution) to form sodium stearate 100%. If 1.05 gm NaOH (10% solution) is used in the product formulation, this means 1.05 gm/4.491gm  $\,$ x  $\,$ 3 gm stearic acid = 0.7014 gm of stearic acid is reacted to form sodium stearate. Thus, there will be (3 gm - 0.7014 gm = 2.2986 gm) or 76.62% excess of stearic acid not reacted.

### APPENDIX C

### VISCOSITY PROFILE FOR MOISTURISER BASE PRODUCT

Table C.1 Viscosity Profile for Moisturiser Base Product Formulations at  $50^{\circ}$ C,  $0.1288 \text{ s}^{-1}$ 

Formulation	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	В6	B7	B8
Code								
wt/wt% NaOH	0.5	0.55	0.6	0.65	0.75	0.85	0.95	1.05
Wk 0	35538	36491	37103	38056	40451	45217	49353	52884
Wk 1	22063	23281	24402	25106	27993	33217	37513	41484
Wk 2	10413	11743	14578	18635	23562	26516	31106	34351
Wk 3	9325			14454	17837	21356	24959	31778
Wk 4	7315	8485	9664	11759	13817	15576	20245	26098
Wk 5	5889	6351	7015	7987	9564	10476	14509	22084

Note: The darker shaded showed the physical separation occurred in two layers and the lighter shaded showed the physical separation occurred into 3 layers.

### APPENDIX D

### COLOUR PROFILE FOR MOISTURISER BASE PRODUCT AT 50°C

Table D.1 Moisturiser Base Formulation – Colour Profile for Stability Test at 50°C

Formulation	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	В6	B7	B8
% NaOH	0.5	0.55	0.6	0.65	0.75	0.85	0.95	1.05
Wk 0	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
L	93.08	93.11	93.16	93.21	93.29	93.37	93.43	94.03
a	-0.71	-0.73	-0.74	-0.76	-0.77	-0.79	-0.80	-0.85
ь	0.41	0.42	0.43	0.45	0.49	0.52	0.55	0.39
Wk 1	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
L	93.14	93.18	93.22	93.27	93.35	93.44	93.57	94.08
a	-0.73	-0.75	-0.76	-0.77	-0.78	-0.83	-1.00	-1.04
b	0.42	0.44	0.46	0.48	0.51	0.63	1.26	1.25
Wk 2	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
L	"N/A	N/A	93.29	93.37	93.42	93.55	93.75	94.15
a		ASSESSED AND	-0.78	-0.80	-0.81	-0.91	-1.15	-1.17
ь			0.49	0.53	0.59	1.11	1.77	1.77
Wk 3	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
L	N/A	N/A	N/A	93.43	93.52	93.63	93.77	94.16
a				-0.82	-0.89	-1.11	-1.17	-1.23
b				0.61	1.07	1.54	1.82	2.11
Wk 4	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
L	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	93.61	93.72	93.82	94.18
a			Acceptable		-1.07	-1.13	-1.22	-1.28
b		· SHAN	10000		1.41	1.63	2.16	2.53
Wk 5	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW	MW
L	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	93.86	94.23
a							-1.27	-1.30
b		25			2 5 2	Sale a	2.46	2.74

Note: The darker shaded showed the physical separation occurred in 2 layers and the lighter shaded showed the physical separation occurred into 3 layers.

MW - Milk White

N/A means not applicable.

### APPENDIX E

### MOISTURISER CONTAINING Baccaurea motleyana hook f. FRUIT'S EXTRACT (VISCOSITY PROFILE AT 50°C)

Table E.1 Viscosity Profile for Moisturiser Product Containing Baccaurea motleyana hook f. Extract at 50°C, Measured at 0.1288 s<sup>-1</sup>

Formulation Code	B8	MR1	MR2	MR3	MR4	MR5
% Rambai Extr.	0	10	13	15	17	20
Wk 0	52884	43004	40877	38980	36229	32063
Wk 1	41484	30893	28456	26348	23279	18563
Wk 2	34351	27653	25732	23578	19623	15314
Wk 3	31778	24871	22389	19864	16548	12048
Wk 4	26098	21685	19637	16217	14589	10573
Wk 5	22084	19418	17157	14638	10968	7967

### APPENDIX F

### MOISTURISER CONTAINING Baccaurea motleyana hook f. FRUIT'S EXTRACT - COLOUR PROFILE AT 50°C

Table F.1 Moisturiser Containing Baccaurea motleyana hook f. Extract – Colour Profile at 50°C

Formulation Code	B8	MR1	MR2	MR3	MR4	MR5
% Rambai Extr.	0	10	13	15	17	20
Wk 0	milk white	white	white	white	off white	off white
L	94.03	93.12	92.96	92.80	92.63	91.84
a	-0.85	-0.55	-0.61	-0.69	-0.78	-0.36
b	0.39	3.53	3.81	3.77	3.74	4.10
Wk 1	milk white	white	white	white	off white	off white
L	94.08	92.96	92.86	92.69	92.55	91.61
a	-1.04	-0.59	-0.66	-0.72	-0.82	-0.45
b	1.25	4.14	3.77	3.72	3.70	4.04
Wk 2	milk white	white	white	white	off white	off white
L	94.15	92.81	92.75	92.54	92.50	91.43
a	-1.17	-0.61	-0.69	-0.76	-0.86	-0.63
b	1.77	4.03	3.74	3.68	3.64	3.96
Wk 3	milk white	white	white	white	off white	off white
L	94.16	92.73	92.61	92.48	92.43	Not
a	-1.23	-0.65	-0.73	-0.82	-0.89	Applicable
b	2.11	3.95	3.70	3.64	3.60	
Wk 4	milk white	white	white	white	off white	
L	94.18	92.64	92.50	92.40	92.37	Not
a	-1.28	-0.68	-0.76	-0.86	-0.93	Applicable
b	2.53	3.91	3.64	3.59	3.55	
Wk 5						
L	94.23	92.56	92.45	92.36	Not	Not
a	-1.30	-0.72	-0.79	-0.89	Applicable	Applicable
b	2.74	3.87	3.61	3.57		

### APPENDIX G

### BUFFERED MOISTURISER CONTAINING Baccaurea motleyana hook f. FRUIT'S EXTRACT - COLOUR PROFILE AT 50°C

Table G.1 Colour Profile For Buffered Moisturiser Product Containing Baccaurea motleyana hook f. Fruit's Extract At 50°C

Formulation Code	BR1	BR2	BR3	BR4
wt/wt% Rambai Extr.	17	20	23	25
Wk 0				
L	92.79	91.98	90.83	90.65
a	-0.63	-0.21	-0.35	-0.47
b	3.86	4.17	4.73	4.70
Wk 1				
L	92.70	91.90	90.75	90.58
a	-0.67	-0.24	-0.39	-0.51
b	3.84	4.10	4.69	4.64
Wk 2				
L	92.62	91.84	90.68	90.50
a	-0.69	-0.29	-0.43	-0.55
b	3.80	4.04	4.62	4.58
Wk 3				
L	92.58	91.78	90.60	Not
a	-0.73	-0.32	-0.46	Applicable
b	3.75	4.00	4.58	
Wk 4				
L	92.51	91.70	Not	Not
a	-0.76	-0.35	Applicable	Applicable
b	3.70	3.95	图 2 图 图 3	多等别
Wk 5			No.	Marie Control of the
L	92.46	91.65	Not	Not
a	-0.79	-0.39	Applicable	Applicable
b	3.64	3.90		

### APPENDIX H

### VISCOSITY PROFILE FOR BUFFERED MOISTURISER PRODUCT CONTAINING Baccaurea motleyana hook f. FRUIT'S EXTRACT

Table H.1 Viscosity Profile for Buffered Moisturiser Product Containing Baccaurea motleyana hook f. Fruit's Extract measured at 0.1288s<sup>-1</sup>

Formulation Code	BR1	BR2	BR3	BR4
wt/wt% Rambai Extr.	17	20	23	25
0	40845	38603	35445	32241
1	28615	26182	22813	19291
2	25813	23297	19325	15087
3	22463	19439	16213	12007
4	19762	16015	12013	10332
5	17235	14312	10662	7724

### APPENDIX I

### YIELD AND HYSTERISIS VALUE FOR MOISTURISER PRODUCT

Table I.1 Yield stress and hysteresis value for moisturiser base products

Formulation Code	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	B6	B7	В8
Viscosity at 0.1288 s <sup>-1</sup>	47361	51183	55170	58276	64701	69913	74898	79997
Yield Stress Value	149.187	149.532	150.170	150.505	151.175	151.785	152.497	153.234
Hysteresis Value, A	699	750.5	813.9	925.2	1078	1171	1277	1356

Table I.2 Yield stress and hysteresis for moisturiser products containing Baccaurea motleyana hook f. fruit's extract

Formulation Code	MR1	MR2	MR3	MR4	MR5
Viscosity at 0.1288 s <sup>-1</sup>	67961	64306	59380	53510	48504
Yield Stress Value	152.230	151.416	150.728	149.615	148.548
Hysteresis Value, A	1210	1118	1094	885.7	743.8

Table 1.3 Yield stress and hysteresis value for buffered moisturiser products containing *Baccaurea motleyana hook f.* fruit's extract

Formulation Code	BR1	BR2	BR3	BR4
Viscosity at 0.1288 s <sup>-1</sup>	64412	59127	52987	48372
Yield Stress Value	151.175	150.615	149.354	148.438
Hysteresis Value, A	1124	1085	872.8	730.8

Note: The shaded column showed unstable product formulations.

### APPENDIX J

### MOISTURISER PRODUCT EFFICACY TEST

### RESEARCH INFORMATION

### INTRODUCTION

This research is about the topical usage of skincare product, namely moisturiser that contain Baccaurea motleyana hook f extract. Baccaurea motleyana hook f, extract contain alpha-hydroxy acid, a type of organic acid. Our skin has three layers, the epidermis, the dermis and the hypodermis. The epidermis is the most outer part of the skin where there is a layer called artaunu corneum made out of dead skin cells. The function of alpha-hydroxy acid (AHA) is to speed up the natural process of peeling and shedding of dead cells on the skin by means of causing irritation to the skin. This increases the production of new skin cells. AHA also acts as a humectant where it absorbs moisture from the air. Thus, increasing the level of moisture in the skin

You are invited to take part in this research. However, your participation is voluntary and you may withdraw from this research at any given time.

### OBJECTIVE OF RESEARCH

This research is divided into 2 parts. The first is to evaluate the physical characteristics of the moisturiser product and the second part is to study the efficacy of using the product for the period of 4 weeks.

### WHAT DO THE PARTICIPANTS NEED TO DO?

If participants agree to take part in this research study, they are requested to sign an agreement letter for their consent. The participants are also required to reveal their history in using cosmetic and skincare products.

### WHAT ARE THE ADVANTAGES OF USING THE PRODUCT?

Since the product contains AHA extract, it is expected to lighten, moisturise and soften the skin.

### WHAT ARE THE SIDE EFFECTS OF USING THE PRODUCT?

The exfoliating or lightening effects of the skin could cause the skin to become more sensitive. As result, the skin might suffer conditions such as inflammation, redness and irritation. Also, without enough protection from ultra-violet rays, skin could become darken.

### REMINDER

Participants are asked to immediately stop using the product if suffering from any negative effects from usage of the product. You are advised to contact Pn. Zulaikha Paidi for more information on these side effects.

### CONSENT AGREEMENT

With this, I agree to take part in this research using a skin moisturising product containing Baccaurea mollevana hook f, extract being carried out by Pn. Zulaikha Paidi.

I also understand that this research may have side-effects on my skin. However, I am clear of this risk and am free to withdraw my participation at any time. I will also inform the researcher (Pn. Zulaikha Paidi) of any negative effects that may occur during the duration in which the research is being carried out. I am also informed that I can contact the researcher at any moment via this number (Tcl: 0.3-55446998). 03-55446998.

I am clear that any information concerning myself will be kept as a confidential document pertaining to the record of the researcher. However, I have agreed to let the data being used and analysed accordingly for the purpose of the research study.

I have read and fully understood the contents of this agreement and am inclined to sign it.

Name of participant:	
I/C Number :	
Date :	
Signature :	
Name of witness:	
I/C Number :	
Date :	
Signature :	

### PRODUCT EVALUATION FORM

### INSTRUCTION:

Assessors must use all sets of products according to the instruction given. Assessors are required to evaluate the product and answer all the questions in the questionnaires given. All the products must be used for the duration of 4 consecutive weeks.

Participant's personal details :

\*please dispose of the unnecessary or put \( \sqrt{.} \).

Age: Gender:
Type of skin: normal/dry/oily/combination
Occupation :
Are you frequently exposed to sunlight: no/a little/yes
When are you normally exposed to sunlight during the day:
9.00 to 11.00 am 11.00 to 2.00 pm 2.00 to 5.00 pm
Is your face problematic? Yes/No
Pimples Scar Pigmentation Freckles Others
Are you used to using skin care products? Yes/No
The brand of the product used :
Have you ever taken any skin whitening treatment? $\ensuremath{\text{Yes/No}}$
If yes, please state when :

### PRODUCT EVALUATION FORM - PART 1

### INSTRUCTION:

Assessors are required to apply the product on the back of the palm and evaluate the product as stated below. Please refer to the table given for product's evaluation rating scale.

### Please circle the answer.

### A. Product Physical Characteristics

Scent of product	1	2	3	4	5
Strength of product scent	1	2	3	4	5
3. Product viscosity	1	2	3	4	5
4. Colour of product	1	2	3	4	5
5. Texture of product	1	2	3	4	5

### B. Rubbing Effect of Product Application

6. Easiness of product application	1	2	3	4	5
7. Endurance of scent	1	2	3	4	5
8. Absorption into the skin	1	2	3	4	5
Moisturising effect	1	2	3	4	5
10. Tackiness of skin	1	2	3	4	5
11. Oiliness of the skin	1	2	3	4 -	5

### C. Overall Product Evaluation

Overall Product Evaluation	1	2	3	4	5

Table J.1 Moisturiser Product's Attributes Rating Scale

Product Attributes	Product's Rating Scale						
	1	2	3	4	5		
Physical							
characteristics							
Scent of product	Very bad	Bad	Acceptable	Good	Very good		
2. Strength of	Very weak	Weak	Moderate	Strong	Very strong		
product's scent							
3. Viscosity of	Very thin	Thin	Acceptable	Viscous	Very viscous		
product					,		
4. Colour of product	Very bad	Bad	Acceptable	Good	Very good		
5.Texture of product	Very bad	Bad	Acceptable	Good	Very good		
6. Easiness of	Very bad	Bad	Acceptable	Good	Very good		
product's application							
Product's rubbing							
effect							
7. Endurance of	Very short	Short	Moderate	Long	Very long		
product's scent							
<ol><li>Absorption into</li></ol>	Very slow	Slow	Moderate	Quick	Very quick		
the skin							
<ol><li>Moisturising effect</li></ol>	Very little	Little	Moderate	Much	Very much		
<ol><li>Tackiness of the</li></ol>	Very much	Much	Moderate	Slightly	Very slightly		
skin							
11. Oiliness of the	Very much	Much	Moderate	Slightly	Very slightly		
skin							
Effectiveness of							
Product					-		
12. Moisturising	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
effect	effective	effective			effective		
13. Decrease	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
pigmentation/freckles	effective	effective			effective		
14. Lighten skin	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
colour	effective	effective			effective		
<ol><li>Soften of the skin</li></ol>	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
	effective	effective			effective		
<ol><li>Reduce skin pore</li></ol>	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
size	effective	effective			effective		
17. Inactivate acne	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
growth	effective	effective			effective		
18. Exfoliating effect	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
	effective	effective			effective		
19. Decrease skin	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
oiliness	effective	effective			effective		
20. Reduce acne scars	Not	Slight	Moderate	Effective	Very		
	effective	effective			effective		
Overall product	Very bad	Bad	Acceptable	Good	Very good		
evaluation							

### PRODUCT EVALUATION FORM - PART 2

### INSTRUCTION:

Assessors are required to apply the product on the face and evaluate the product as stated below. Please refer to the table given for product's evaluation rating scale.

### Please circle the answer.

### A. Product Physical Characteristics

Scent of product	1	2	3	4	5
<ol><li>Strength of product scent</li></ol>	1	2	3	4	5
3. Product viscosity	1	2	3	4	5
4. Colour of product	1	2	3	4	5
5. Texture of product	1	2	3	4	5

### B. Rubbing Effect of Product Application

6. Easiness of product application	1	2	3	4	5
<ol><li>Endurance of scent</li></ol>	1	2	3	4	5
8. Absorption into the skin	1	2	3	4	5
Moisturising effect	1	2	3	4	5
<ol><li>Tackiness of skin</li></ol>	1	2	3	4	5
11. Oiliness of the skin	1	2	3	4	5

### C. Effectiveness of Product (after 4 weeks of product's use)

12. Moisturising skin	1	2	3	4	5
<ol><li>Lessens pigmentation/pimples effect</li></ol>	1	2	3	4	5
14. Lightens skin	1	2	3	4	5
15. Softens skin	1	2	3	4	5
16. Makes pores smaller	1	2	3	4	5
17. Makes pimples inactive	1	2	3	4	5
18. Peels skin	1	2	3	4	5
<ol><li>Lessens oil on oily skin</li></ol>	1	2	3	4	5
20. Lessens the effects of pimple scars	1	2	3	4	5

### D. Overall Product Evaluation

Overall Product Evaluation	1	2	3	4	5

E. Please comment on the product's physical characteristics, after rubbing effect and product effectiveness compared to the commercial product used by rating the product using the following rating scale.

### INSTRUCTION:

Assessors are required to apply the product on the face and evaluate the product as stated below. Please refer to the table given for product's evaluation rating scale.

### Please circle the answer.

### A. Product Physical Characteristics

Scent of product	1	2	3	4	5
2. Strength of product scent	1	2	3	4	5
3. Product viscosity	1	2	3	4	5
4. Colour of product	1	2	3	4	5
5. Texture of product	1	2	3	4	5

### B. After Rubbing Effect of Product Application

6. Easiness of product application	1	2	3	4	5
7. Endurance of scent	1	2	3	4	5
8. Absorption into the skin	1	2	3	4	5
9. Moisturising effect	1	2	3	4	5
10. Tackiness of skin	1	2	3	4	5
11. Oiliness of the skin	1	2	3	4	5

### C. Effectiveness of Product (after 4 weeks of product's use)

12. Moisturising skin	1	2	3	4	5
13. Lessens pigmentation/pimples effect	1	2	3	4	5
14. Lightens skin	1	2	3	4	5
15. Softens skin	1	2	3	4	5
16. Makes pores smaller	1	2	3	4	5
17. Makes pimples inactive	1	2	3	4	5
18. Peels skin	1	2	3	4	5
19. Lessens oil on oily skin	1	2	3	4	5
20. Lessens the effects of pimple scars	1	2	3	4	5

### D. Overall Product Evaluation

Overall Product Evaluation	1	2	3	4	5

### PRODUCT EVALUATION FORM - PART 3

### INSTRUCTION:

Assessors are requested to evaluate the irritation effect of the product and answer the following questions.

Brief stinging, warming, itchiness, tightness sensation may be a signal of a product's efficacy. But discontinue using the product if the effect is severe.

### Please circle the answer.

QUE	STIONS
1.	Did you experience any unpleasant skin sensations from the product?
	Yes (Continue with the rest of the questions)     No (Stop. No need to answer the rest of the questions)
2.	Did you experience any unpleasant experience after using the product about a. 1 week b. 2 weeks c. 3 weeks d. 4 weeks e. 5 weeks
3.	What did you experience? a. tingling b. stinging c. burning sensation d. tightness e. itchiness f. others
4.	Where did you experience it? a. Forehead b. Cheek c. Chin d. Mouth area e. Eye area d. Others
5.	Would you say the sensations were
5.	When did it start?  a. Immediately after application b. Within 5 – 10 mins c. Within 15 – 30 mins. d. After 30 mins e. Other
6.	How long did it last? a. Within $5-10$ mins b. Within $15-30$ mins c. After $30$ mins d. Other
7.	Would you say this effect influence your opinion of the product? a. Very much b. Somewhat c. Slightly d. Not at all
8.	Was the influence positive or negative? a. Positive (Acceptable) b. Negative (Unacceptable)

### APPENDIX K

### SELF-PRODUCT EVALUATION RESULT

### (a) PART 1

Grading Points	1	2	3	4	5
1. Scent of product (%)			56	44	
2. Strength of product scent (%)			72	28	
Product viscosity (%)			24	52	24
4. Colour of product (%)			68	32	
5. Texture of product (%)			72	28	
6. Easiness of product application (%)				72	28
7. Endurance of scent (%)		24	68	8	
8. Absorption into the skin (%)				72	28
9. Moisturising effect (%)				64	36
10. Tackiness of skin (%)			8	80	12
11. Oiliness of the skin (%)			8	80	12
12. Overall product evaluation (%)			56	44	

### (b) PART 2

Grading Points	1	2	3	4	5
1. Scent of product (%)			56	44	
2. Strength of product scent (%)			72	28	_
3. Product viscosity (%)			24	52	24
4. Colour of product (%)			68	32	
5. Texture of product (%)			72	28	
6. Easiness of product application (%)				72	28
7. Endurance of scent (%)		24	68	8	
8. Absorption into the skin (%)				76	24
9. Moisturising effect (%)				60	40
10. Tackiness of skin (%)			8	80	12
11. Oiliness of the skin (%)			8	80	12
12. Moisturising skin (%)				60	40
13. Lessens pigmentation/pimples effect (%)			76	24	
14. Lightens skin (%)			76	24	
15. Softens skin (%)			16	76	8
16. Reducing pore sizes (%)		32	68		
17. Inactivate acne growth			28	72	
18. Exfoliating skin (%)			76	24	
20. Reducing acne scar (%)			76	24	
21. Overall product evaluation (%)			56	44	

### APPENDIX L

### PRODUCT EVALUATION RESULT – COMPARISON STUDY TO COMMERCIAL PRODUCT

Grading Points	1	2	3	4	5
1. Scent of product (%)			56	44	
2. Strength of product scent (%)			72	28	
Product viscosity (%)			24	52	24
4. Colour of product (%)			68	32	
5. Texture of product (%)			72	28	
6. Easiness of product application (%)				72	28
7. Endurance of scent (%)		24	68	8	
8. Absorption into the skin (%)				76	24
9. Moisturising effect (%)				60	40
10. Tackiness of skin (%)			8	80	12
11. Oiliness of the skin (%)			8	80	12
12. Moisturising skin (%)				60	40
13. Lessens pigmentation/pimples effect (%)			76	24	
14. Lightens skin (%)			76	24	
15. Softens skin (%)			16	76	8
16. Reducing pore sizes (%)		32	68		
17. Inactivate acne growth			28	72	
18. Exfoliating skin (%)			76	24	~
20. Reducing acne scar (%)			76	24	
21. Overall product evaluation (%)			56	44	

### APPÉNDIX M

# PRODUCT EVALUATION RESULT - PART 3

### Table M.1: Product evaluation - adverse effect

Type of Questions			Results			2 Assessors
<ol> <li>Did you experience any unpleasant skin sensations from</li> </ol>						
the product?	YES	NO				
Number of Assessors	5	20				25
<ol><li>Did you experience any unpleasant experience after</li></ol>			e e			
using the product about	l wk	2 wk	3 wk	4 wk	immediately	
Number of Assessors	1	1	2		_	5
3. What did you experience?	tingling	stinging	warming	tightness	itchiness	
Number of Assessors		1	2	_	-	5
4. Where did you experience it?	forehead	cheek	chin	mouth	eye area	
Number of Assessors	1	5				5
5. Would you say the sensations were	strong	moderate	mild	slight		
Number of Assessors	1	1	_	2		5
5. When did it start?	Immediately	5-10 mins	15-30 mins	> 30 mins	Other	
Number of Assessors	2	2	-			5
				Other,		
6. How long did it last?	5-10 mins	15-30 mins	> 30 mins	<5mins		
Number of Assessors	2	2		_		5
<ol><li>Would you say this effect influence your opinion of the</li></ol>						
product?	Very much	somewhat	slightly	not at all		
Number of Assessors			4	_		
8. Was the influence positive or negative?	Acceptable	Unacceptable				
Number of Assessors	5					5

### APPENDIX N

# CHANGES AFTER APPLICATION OF PRODUCT/PLACEBO RESULT

### (a) CHEEK - AGE GROUP: 21 - 30 YEARS

Table N.1: Changes After Product/Placebo Application On The Cheek (21-30 Years)

15	14	13	12	=	10	9	∞	7	6	S	4	ယ	2	1	-	5
C	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	Site	Body
1.6	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.8	1.7	Product	L-V
0.5	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7	Placebo	L-Value
2.1	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.1	Product	a-Value
0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	Placebo	alue
-55	-52	-53	-53	-57	-53	-57	-56	-53	-51	-52	-56	-55	-52	-53	Product	Melanin
-16	-19	-17	-18	-19	-20	-19	-17	-18	-16	-19	-20	-16	-17	-16	Placebo	anin
44	48	45	42	45	42	45	43	45	45	43	40	44	48	45	Product	Eryt
13	14	=	14	13	15	15	13	14	12	14	14	15	12	=	Placebo	Erythema
0.4	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.4	0	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.5	Product	pH
0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	Placebo	H
31	36	33	32	35	32	35	35	33	34	36	38	31	36	33	Product	Skin H
15	13	13	14	14	14	13	15	14	15	13	14	13	15	13	Placebo	Skin Hydration

Table N.1: Changes After Product/Placebo Application On The Cheek (21-30 Years), continued

24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	No.	
С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	Site	Body
1.6	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.9	Product	1-1
0.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	Placebo	L-Value
2.3	1.8	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.1	Product	a-1
0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	Placebo	a-Value
-51	-48	-52	-53	-53	-56	-57	-52	-56	Product	Me
-19	-20	-18	-17	-20	-16	-17	-16	-17	Placebo	Melanin
45	38	46	45	45	48	45	43	40	Product	Ery
14	15	Ξ	Ξ	14	15	12	=	=	Placebo	Erythema
0.4	0	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4	0	0.1	Product	P
0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.4	Placebo	PH
34	35	32	30	31	37	34	36	38	Product	Skin H
15	14	14	13	14	13	15	13	13	Placebo	Skin Hydration

### (b) CHEEK - AGE GROUP: 31 - 40 YEARS

Table N.2: Changes After Product/Placebo Application On The Cheek (31-40 Years)

5	4	w	2	-	No.	
С	С	С	С	С	Site	Body
1.4	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.3	Product	L-Value
0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	Placebo	alue
1.7	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.6	Product	a-Value
0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	Placebo	alue
-46	-44	-48	-40	-43	Product	Melanin
-12	-12	-15	<u>-11</u>	-10	Placebo	anin
37	34	38	33	36	Product	Erythema
7	∞	∞	9	7	Placebo	iema
0.1	0.2	0	-0.3	-0.1	Product	pН
0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	Placebo	H
28	25	27	23	20	Product	Skin Hydration
10	12	9	=	10	Placebo	dration

Table N.2: Changes After Product/Placebo Application On The Cheek (31-40 Years), continued

_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_
No.	6	7	∞	9	10	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Site	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С
Product	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.5
Placebo	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.30	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.30
Product	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.5
Placebo	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.40	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.40
Product	-45	-43	-44	-45	-46	-46	-45	-42	-46	-48	-40	-43	41	-43	-42	-44	-41	-44	-40
Placebo	-10	-15	-12	-12	-15	-13	-10	-12	-14	-10	÷	-12	-11	-14	-10	-15	-12	-10	-14
Product	33	34	36	34	39	37	39	36	39	38	36	32	37	36	39	35	38	35	39
Placebo	9	9	7	6	∞	9	7	7	7	6	<b>«</b>	7	9	6	7	∞	6	7	7
Product	0.3	0.2	-0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.4	0	-0.3	-0.4	-0.3	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3
Placebo	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
Product	24	27	25	28	23	28	27	24	21	26	23	21	25	20	23	24	27	28	23
Placebo	9	9	12	10	9	12	=	10	=	10	=	10	=	9	10	12	=	10	=

Table N.3: Changes After Product/Placebo Application On The Cheek (41-50 Years)

									I	_				_		_		_	-	
19	8	17	16	15	4	23	12	Ξ	10	9	∞	7	6	S	4	ယ	2	-	No.	
С	С	С	С	С	С	С	C	C	С	С	С	C	C	C	C	C	С	С	Site	Body
0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.7	_	0.9	0.8	_	0.9	_	_	0.7	0.9	_	0.8	Product	L-Value
0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0	Placebo	alue
1.1	Ξ	1.2	1.3	1.4	Ξ	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.3	Product	a-Value
0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	Placebo	alue
-31	-30	-31	-34	-37	-33	-35	-31	-32	-35	-30	-37	-35	-36	-35	-35	-39	-38	-35	Product	Melanin
-2	-2	ა	_	-2	<u>-</u>	-1	-2	င်း	-2	-2	-2	÷	4	÷		-	-5	-2	Placebo	anin
22	29	26	23	28	25	23	27	25	28	20	26	29	29	26	25	29	29	26	Product	Erythema
2	2	2	4	2	2	4	4	4	w	2	2	4	2	ω	2	4	4	2	Placebo	nema
0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	Product	pН
0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	Placebo	Ξ
=	10	12	22	18	16	13	17	13	14	16	16	13	18	19	12	15	16	13	Product	Skin Hydration
∞	∞	5	7	6	7	6	7	5	5	5	∞	6	7	7	6	∞	∞	5	Placebo	dration

ত

Table N.3: Changes After Product/Placebo Application On The Cheek (41-50 Years), continued

24	23	22	21	20	No.	
¢ C	_	-		) c	_	Body
C	С	С	С	C	Site	уdy
0.6	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.8	Product	L-Value
0.1	0.1	0	0	0.1	Placebo	alue
1.1	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.3	Product	a-Value
0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	Placebo	alue
-31	-30	-31	-33	-30	Product	Melanin
-1	-2	-2	-1	-1	Placebo	anin
22	29	28	25	21	Product	Erythema
4	2	2	3	4	Placebo	ета
0	0.1	0.1	0	0	Product	pН
0.1	0.1	0.2	0	0.2	Placebo	Ξ
=	15	=	19	15	Product	Skin Hydration
5	5	7	6	8	Placebo	/dration

# (d) T-ZONE – AGE GROUP: 21 – 30 YEARS

Table N.4: Changes After Product/Placebo Application at T-Zone (21-30 Years)

×		7 F	6 F	5 F	4 F	3 F	2 F	1 F	-	No.
	FR	FR	FR	FR	FR	FR	FR	FR	Site Pr	Body
	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.3	Product	L-Value
	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	Placebo	alue
	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.8	Product	a-Value
0	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	Placebo	alue
	-45	-42	-45	-44	-45	-43	-47	-44	Product	Melanin
	-12	-14	-11	-12	-13	-14	-11	-13	Placebo	anin
	36	30	35	36	35	32	33	30	Product	Eryt
,	9	10	8	6	7	∞	6	6	Placebo	Erythema
	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.5	Product	P
	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	Placebo	pΗ
	41	45	43	43	41	43	40	45	Product	Skin H
	16	17	19	15	18	16	18	15	Placebo	Skin Hydration

Table N.4: Changes After Product/Placebo Application at T-Zone (21-30 Years), continued

o O		_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_ [	_	1	гл	64	6.4	6.4
-	_	0	-	12	13	14	15	16	17	8	19	20	21	22	23	24
Body	Site	FR	FR	FR	СН											
L-V	Product	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.3
L-Value	Placebo	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5
a-V	Product	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.9	1.7	1.7	1.9
a-Value	Placebo	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5
Melanin	Product	-42	-44	-46	-48	-42	-43	-45	-45	-42	44	43	-46	-43	43	-46
anin	Placebo	-13	-11	-14	-12	-15	-11	-13	-12	-13	-14	±	-13	÷	-12	-12
Eryt	Product	35	38	36	34	33	33	33	32	35	38	34	37	35	34	37
Erythema	Placebo	6	6	∞	7	9	6	∞	6	7	∞	6	7	7	9	6
	Product	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.4	0	0.1
PH	Placebo	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Skin H	Product	45	46	42	43	46	42	46	46	45	46	40	43	45	40	4.5
Skin Hydration	Placebo	15	18	16	17	16	16	15	18	16	17	16	19	17	16	19

-

### T-ZONE - AGE GROUP: 31 - 40 YEARS

ē

Table N.5: Changes After Product/Placebo Application at T-Zone (31-40 Years)

19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	∞	7	6	S	4	ယ	2	-	No.	
СН	СН	СН	СН	СН	СН	СН	FR	Site	Body											
8.0	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	1	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.8	Product	L-Value
0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	Placebo	alue
1.2	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.3	Product	a-Value
0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	Placebo	alue
-37	-33	-37	-36	-33	-34	-34	-35	-35	-34	-35	-30	-33	-36	-33	-31	-35	-32	-33	Product	Melanin
-6	-5	-7	<b>&amp;</b>	-6	-5	-10	-8	-10	-6	-7	-9	-6	-7	-&	-7	-5	-6	-6	Placebo	anin
23	25	26	24	23	27	27	25	28	29	29	27	25	20	21	23	25	21	22	Product	Erythema
w	5	s	4	υ,	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	S	4	3	2	5	Placebo	ıema
0.2	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	Product	Hd
0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	Placebo	Н
30	27	37	35	32	30	33	30	30	38	33	35	30	38	31	33	36	32	30	Product	Skin Hydration
14	14	12	12	13	13	12	11	12	13	12	14	11	13	11	12	13	12	13	Placebo	dration/

2

Table N.5: Changes After Product/Placebe Application at T-Zone (31-40 Years), continued

23 CH		22 CH	21 CH	20 CH	Site	No. Body
0.0	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8	Product	L-V
0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	Placebo	L-Value
1.2	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.2	Product	a-Value
0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	Placebo	alue
-37	-35	-34	-38	-37	Product	Melanin
-9	-7	-5	-9	-7	Placebo	anin
23	27	26	25	23	Product	Erythema
ω	2	ω	w	2	Placebo	iema
0.1	0.3	0	0	0.2	Product	pΗ
0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	Placebo	Ξ.
37	34	35	30	30	Product	Skin Hydration
13	12	=	14	12	Placebo	dration

### (f) T-ZONE - AGE GROUP: 41 - 50 YEARS

Table N.6: Changes After Product/Placebo Application at T-Zone (41-50 Years)

9	∞	7	6	5	4	w	2	-	No.	
FR	Site	Body								
0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	Product	L-V
0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	Placebo	L-Value
0.8	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.6	Product	a-Value
0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	Placebo	alue
-28	-26	-24	-26	-27	-26	-25	-26	-25	Product	Melanin
-		-2	-2	ပ်		-2	-1	-1	Placebo	anin
19	16	15	17	16	17	18	16	15	Product	Erythema
2	2	w	4	w	2	ω	4	4	Placebo	nema
0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	Product	pH
0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	Placebo	H
23	26	23	21	24	26	22	25	27	Product	Skin Hydration
00	10	10	9	00	00	10	9	5	Placebo	dration

Table N.6: Changes After Product/Placebo Application at T-Zone (41-50 Years), continued

No.		10	=	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
Body	Site	FR	FR	FR	СН											
1-1	Product	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4
L-Value	Placebo	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0
a-V	Product	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.6
a-Value	Placebo	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
Melanin	Product	-23	-26	-23	-25	-26	-20	-24	-21	-20	-25	-22	-21	-23	-27	-30
anin	Placebo	-2	-2	-	-2	-1	-2	-2	-1	-1	-2	-2	-1	-2	-	-2
Eryti	Product	16	18	18	16	19	16	18	18	18	16	19	15	15	17	19
Erythema	Placebo	4	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	3	2	4	2	2	3	4
pН	Product	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	0.3	0	0.2	1.0	0.2	0	0	0.1	1.0
Η	Placebo	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	1.0
Skin H	Product	26	20	27	25	24	23	26	20	25	23	27	22	26	20	25
Skin Hydration	Placebo	8	9	9	∞	9	8	9	10	,	8	8	9	8	8	9

Ã

### APPENDIX O

### PAIRED-T TEST ANALYSIS

Table O.1: L - Value - Comparison of product and placebo t value at 0.05 significant level

Age	Type of Product	SPS	S 11.5 Ca	alculation	t <sub>table</sub> Value	Hypothesis Null, Ho	Conclusion
		Mean	SD	t <sub>cal</sub> Value			
CHEEK							
21 - 30	Product	1.713	.1035	47.523	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	0.575	.0737				
31 - 40	Product	1.292	.1100	37.419	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.204	.0999				
41 - 50	Product	.8	.0608	22.661	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.075	.1096				
T - ZONE							
21 - 30	Product	1.238	.0924	40.74	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.45	.0511				
31 - 40	Product	.796	.0806	25.883	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.179	.0721				
41 - 50	Product	.417	.0868	18.904	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.058	.0504				

Table O.2 a - Value - Comparison of product and placebo t value at 0.05 significant level

Age	Type of	SPS	S 11.5 Ca	alculation	t <sub>table</sub>	Hypothesis	Conclusion
_	Product	Mean	SD	t <sub>cal</sub> Value	Value	Null, H <sub>o</sub>	
CHEEK							
21 - 30	Product	2.212	.1296	53.862	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.658	.0504				
31 - 40	Product	1.658	.1139	43.145	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.433	.0482				
41 - 50	Product	1.238	.1096	38.208	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.271	.0464				
Т-							
ZONE							
21 - 30	Product	1.742	.0717	64.976	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.538	.0495				
31 - 40	Product	1.254	.0833	45.561	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.325	.0442				
41 - 50	Product	.696	.1197	15.810	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.225	.0532				

### PAIRED-T TEST ANALYSIS

Table O.3 Melanin Value - Comparison of product and placebo t value at 0.05 significant level

Age	Type of	SPSS	11.5 Cal	culation	t <sub>table</sub>	Hypothesis	Conclusion
	Product	Mean	SD	t <sub>cal</sub> Value	Value	Null, Ho	
CHEEK							
21 - 30	Product	-53.58	2.302	-139.927	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	17.79	1.474				
31 - 40	Product	-43.71	2.349	-85.341	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	12.17	1.810				
41 - 50	Product	-33.5	2.782	-54.832	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	-1.88	1.035				
T -							
ZONE							
21 - 30	Product	-44.25	1.648	-153.097	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	12.54	1.215				
31 - 40	Product	-34.46	2.021	-77.923	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	6.92	1.501				
41 - 50	Product	-24.54	2.536	-44.195	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	-1.58	.584				

Table O.4 Erythema Value - Comparison of product and placebo t value at 0.05 significant level

Age	Type of	SPSS	11.5 Cal	culation	t <sub>table</sub>	Hypothesis	Conclusion
	Product	Mean	SD	t <sub>cal</sub> Value	Value	Null, Ho	
CHEEK							
21 - 30	Product	44.13	2.473	49.287	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	13.08	1.503				
31 - 40	Product	36.25	2.152	53.485	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	7.46	1.021				
41 - 50	Product	25.83	2.808	36.620	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	2.88	.947				
T -							
ZONE							
21 - 30	Product	34.29	2.216	49.974	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	7.17	1.239				
31 - 40	Product	24.75	2.507	38.461	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	3.17	.816				
41 - 50	Product	16.96	1.398	43.21	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	2.79	.884				

### PAIRED-T TEST ANALYSIS

Table O.5: pH Value - Comparison of product and placebo t value at 0.05 significant level

Age	Type of	SPS	S 11.5 C	alculation	t <sub>table</sub>	Hypothesis	Conclusion
	Product	Mean	SD	t <sub>cal</sub> Value	Value	Null, Ho	
CHEEK							
21 - 30	Product	.254	.1532	-0.214	2.069	accept	insignificant
	Placebo	.263	.0875				_
31 - 40	Product	.238	.1469	2.782	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.150	.0511				_
41 - 50	Product	.063	.0495	-4.507	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.158	.083				
Т-							
ZONE							
21 - 30	Product	.188	.1484	-2.366	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	.321	.2284				
31 - 40	Product	.192	.1213	0.947	2.069	accept	insignificant
	Placebo	.167	.0482				
41 - 50	Product	.108	.083	2.095	2.069	reject	significant
		.067	.0482				

Table O.6: Skin Hydration Value - Comparison of product and placebo t value at 0.05 significant level

Age	Type of	SPSS	11.5 Ca	lculation	t <sub>table</sub>	Hypothesis	Conclusion
	Product	Mean	SD	t <sub>cal</sub> Value	Value	Null, Ho	
CHEEK							
21 - 30	Product	34.04	2.274	39.571	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	13.83	.816				_
31 - 40	Product	24.58	2.586	25.886	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	10.42	1.018			-	_
41 - 50	Product	14.79	3.050	13.16	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	6.46	1.179	1			_
T -							
ZONE							
21 - 30	Product	43.54	2.126	49.212	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	16.67	1.341				_
31 - 40	Product	32.75	3.068	30.713	2.069	reject	significant
	Placebo	12.46	.977				_
41 - 50	Product	24	2.284	26.513	2.069	reject	significant
		8.63	1.096				

### APPENDIX P

### ONE-WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA, SINGLE FACTOR)

Table P.1: Body Site: Cheek , Analysed at 0.05 Significant Level (Anova, Single Factor)

		Sum of		Mean		Significant	
Variables		Squares	df	Square	F Value	at 0.05	Conclusion
L - Value	Between Groups	10.012	2	5.006	324.458	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	1.065	69	.015			
	Total	11.077	71				
a - Value	Between Groups	11.479	2	5.739	412.155	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	.961	69	.014			
	Total	12.439	71				
	Within Groups	1.149	69	.017			
	Total	13.471	71				
Melanin	Between Groups	4840.528	2	2420.264	391.287	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	426.792	69	6.185		_	
	Total	5267.319	71			-	
Erythema	Between Groups	4040.861	2	2020.431	325.375	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	428.458	69	6.210			
	Total	4469.319	71				
pН	Between Groups	.541	2	.271	17.094	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	1.092	69	.016			
	Total	1.633	71				
Skin	Between Groups	4447.194	2	2223.597	315.209	.000	Significant
Hydration	Within Groups	486.750	69	7.054			
	Total	4933.944	71				
	Within Groups	180.917	69	2.622			
	Total	1567.778	71				

Note: ANOVA is to compare all means value for product with different groups of age. If alpha value (Significant column) < 0.05, the result is considered significant. This means H<sub>0</sub> can be rejected and there is a significant difference among the ages group means result.

### ONE-WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA, SINGLE FACTOR)

Table P.2: Body Site: T-Zone, Analysed at 0.05 Significant Level (Anova, Single Factor)

		Sum of		Mean		Significant	
Variables		Squares	df	Square	F	at 0.05	Conclusion
L - Value	Between Groups	8.101	2	4.050	538.32	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	.519	69	.008			
	Total	8.620	71				
a - Value	Between Groups	13.145	2	6.573	746.52 2	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	.607	69	.009			
	Total	13.753	71				
	Within Groups	1.508	69	.022			
	Total	11.815	71				
Melanin	Between Groups	4661.083	2	2330.542	528.24 8	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	304.417	69	4.412			
	Total	4965.500	71				
Erythema	Between Groups	3617.583	2	1808.792	412.69 8	.000	Significant
	Within Groups	302.417	69	4.383			
	Total	3920.000	71				
pН	Between Groups	.106	2	.053	3.641	.031	Significant
1	Within Groups	1.003	69	.015			
	Total	1.109	71				
Skin	Between Groups	4599.194	2	2299.597	360.24 3	.000	Significant
Hydration	Within Groups	440.458	69	6.383			
	Total	5039.653	71				
	Within Groups	323.458	69	4.688			
	Total	1754.319	71				

Note: ANOVA is to compare all means value for product with different groups of age. If alpha value (Significant column) < 0.05, the result is considered significant. This means H<sub>0</sub> can be rejected and there is a significant difference among the ages group means result.

### APPENDIX O

### POST HOC ANALYSIS - DUNCAN METHOD

Overall Changes at 5% Significant Level Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 24

Table Q.1: Post Hoc Analysis - Duncan Method (L\* Value)

Cheek		M	leans valu	e	Conclusion		
Age	N	1	2	3			
41-50	24	.800			The means of the three		
31-40	24		1.292		age groups are		
21-30	24			1.713	significantly different		
Significant	at alpha = .05	alpha = .05 1.000 1.000 1.00		1.000	from each other.		
T-Zone	_						
41-50	24	.417			The means of the three		
31-40	24		.796		age groups are		
21-30	24			1.238	significantly different		
Significant	at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.		

Table Q.2 Post Hoc Analysis - Duncan Method (a\* Value)

Cheek		M	eans value	•	Conclusion
Age	N	1	2	3	
41-50	24	1.238			The means of the three
31-40	24		1.658		age groups are
21-30	24			2.212	significantly different
Significant	at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.
T-Zone	•				
41-50	24	.696			The means of the three
31-40	24		1.254		age groups are
21-30	24			1.742	significantly different
Significant	t at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.

Table Q.3 Post Hoc Analysis - Duncan Method (Melanin Value)

Cheek		N	1eans valu	Conclusion	
Age	N	1	2	3	
41-50	24	-33.5			The means of the three
31-40	24		-43.71		age groups are
21-30	24			-53.58	significantly different
Significa	nt at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.
T-Zone					
41-50	24	-24.54			The means of the three
31-40	24		-34.46		age groups are
21-30	24			-44.25	significantly different
Significant	at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.

### POST HOC ANALYSIS - DUNCAN METHOD

Table Q.4 Post Hoc Analysis – Duncan Method (Erythema Value)

Cheek		M	leans value	Conclusion	
Age	N	1	2	3	
41-50	24	25.83			The means of the three
31-40	24		36.25		age groups are
21-30	24			44.13	significantly different
Significan	t at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.
T-Zone					
41-50	24	16.96			The means of the three
31-40	24		24.75		age groups are
21-30	24			34.29	significantly different
Significan	t at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.

Table Q.5 Post Hoc Analysis – Duncan Method (pH Value)

Cheek		M	leans valu	ie	Conclusion
Age	N	1	2	3	
41-50	24	.063			The means of age group 1
31-40	24		.238		is significantly difference
21-30	24		.254		from 2 & 3. The means
Significant	at alpha = .05	1.000	.648		of group 2 & 3 is not
T-Zone					significantly different.
41-50	24	.108			
31-40	24		.188		
21-30	24		.192		1
Significant	at alpha = .05	1.000	.905		

Table Q.6 Post Hoc Analysis – Duncan Method (Skin Hydration Value)

Cheek			eans valu	ie	Conclusion		
Age	N	1	2	3			
41-50	24	14.79			The means of the three		
31-40	24		24.58		age groups are		
21-30	24			34.04	significantly different		
Significant	at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.		
	T-Z	one					
41-50	24	24.00			The means of the three		
31-40	24		32.75		age groups are		
21-30	24			43.54	significantly different		
Significant	at alpha = .05	1.000	1.000	1.000	from each other.		

### APPENDIX R

### DESCRIPTIVE PRODUCT EVALUATION RESULT

Table R.1 Product Evaluation (Part 1) – Application of Product on Back of the Palm

Characteristics	N	Rating Scale						
Physical Characteristics	1	1	2	3	4	5_		
Physical Characteristics	25		4	16	56	24		
1. Product scent (%)	25		8	36	40	16		
2. Strength of product scent (%)			_	4	56	36		
3. Product viscosity (%)	25		4		48	44		
4. Colour of product (%)	25		4	4				
5. Texture of product (%)	25		4	12	44	40		
6. Easiness of product's	25			4	20	76		
application (%)  Effect of Product Application					16	8		
7. Endurance of scent (%)	25		12	64		-		
8. Absorption into skin (%)	25		4	12	36	48		
9. Moisturising effect (%)	25			24	60	16		
9. Moisturising effect (70)	25		4	24	56	16		
10. Tackiness of the skin (%)		4	4	28	56	12		
11. Oiliness of the skin (%)	25	4	+ 4	24	64	12		
12. Overall Evaluation (%)	25			24	04	12		

Table R.2 Product Evaluation (Part 2) – Application of Product on the Face

Characteristics	N		F	Rating S	cale	
	1.	1	2	3	4	5
Physical Characteristics	25			20	60	20
1. Product scent (%)		_	8	36	44	12
2. Strength of product scent (%)	25		8		52	40
3. Product viscosity (%)	25			8		
4. Colour of product (%)	25		4	8	44	44
4. Colour of product (70)	25			16	36	48
5. Texture of product (%)	23	-				
6 Easiness of product's				8	24	68
application (%)	25		-	0	24	- 00
Effect of Product Application			-		24	8
7. Endurance of scent (%)	25		16	52		
8. Absorption into skin (%)	25		8	8	44	40
8. Absorption into skin (70)	25		8	12	36	44
9. Moisturising effect (%)	25	+	4	16	60	20
10. Tackiness of the skin (%)	_	-	4	16	60	20
11. Oiliness of the skin (%)	25		4	10	- 00	20

### DESCRIPTIVE PRODUCT EVALUATION

Table R.3 Product Evaluation (Part 2) – Effectiveness After 4 Weeks Application of Product

Characteristics		Rating Scale					
		1	2	3	4	5	
12. Moisturising skin (%)	25		4	8	60	28	
13. Lessens pigmentation or pimples effect (%)	25		12	28	48	12	
14. Lightens skin (%)	25		4	36	48	12	
15. Softens skin (%)	25			24	24	52	
16. Make skin pores smaller (%)	25		8	64	20	8	
17. Make pimples inactive (%)	25		8	24	48	20	
18. Peels of the skin (%)	25		12	28	48	12	
19. Lessens the effects of acne scars (%)	25		12	28	48	12	
20. Overall Evaluation (%)	25			20	60	20	