

# FACULTY OF EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA

### Statement of Original Authorship

| Name of Candidate:WONG OI CHIN   |
|--|
| Registration No.: PGA97050   |
| Title of Project Paper: PEER ASSESSMENT OF A MATHEMATICS GROUP PROJECT   |
| OF A PRE-UNIVERSITY PROGRAMME.   |
|  |
| Area of Specialisation: MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  |
| confirm that the materials contained in this Project paper are my own work Where the works of others have been drawn upon, whether published of impublished (such as books, articles, or non-book materials in the form of viden of audio recordings, electronic publications and the internet) due cknowledgements according to appropriate academic conventions have been given. I also hereby declare that the materials contained in this Project Paper ave not been published before or presented for another programme or degree in my university. |
| igned: OHUI Date: 9/5/2000   |

ACO-20

PEER ASSESSMENT
OF A MATHEMATICS GROUP PROJECT
OF A PRE-UNIVERSITY PROGRAMME

WONG OI CHIN

A Project Paper submitted to the Faculty of Education, University of Malaya in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Education

2000



#### ABSTRACT

This is an exploratory study of peer-assessment on a Mathematics group-project by a group of Pre-University students focusing on a method to assess the individual contribution within a group. The study investigates whether there is a way to discriminate between the contribution of individual student within a group project and to determine whether it is appropriate to make process assessment of the group projects as a part of the peer assessment. It also attempts to find an alternative method to reward individual effort in a group-project.

The subjects of this study consist of four groups of Pre-University students. Each group comprises four students of mixed abilities. The students are required to complete a report and make a presentation on a chosen Mathematics project.

The instrument used is adapted from Lopez-Real and Chan (1999). It consists of three parts. Part 1 comprises the process assessment by students within the group. Part 2 is the assessment on presentation by both teacher and students across the groups. Part 3 consists of students' evaluation of peer assessment on presentation and contribution of the peer assessment to the group projects mark.

The findings indicated that students showed high degree of acceptance of the use of peer assessment in the Mathematics group-projects. Most of the students felt that they had performed better by participating in the peer assessment process and that the participation had exposed them to new ideas. The distinction of overall marks obtained

by each member in the group as the result of peer assessment was found to be a fair way to justify individual contribution and efforts towards the group project. However, students indicated reservation of the use of peer assessment in the oral presentation of the project in view of the lack of confidence and experience.

## PENILAIAN SEBAYA SUATU PROJEK MATEMATIK BERKUMPULAN DARI PROGRAM PRA-UNIVERSITI

#### ABSTRAK

Kajian ini adalah suatu kajian eksplorasi tentang penggunaan penilaian sebaya untuk suatu projek Matematik di peringkat pra-universiti, dengan fokusnya ke atas suatu kaedah untuk menilai sumbangan seseorang individu dalam suatu kumpulan. Kajian ini menyelidik suatu kaedah untuk mendiskriminasikan sumbangan seseorang pelajar dalam projek berkumpulan dan menentukan kesesuaian untuk menjadikan penilaian proses projek berkumpulan sebagai sebahagian daripada penilaian sebaya. Ia juga mencari kaedah alternatif untuk menilai usaha seseorang pelajar dalam projek berkumpulan.

Subjek kajian ini terdiri daripada empat kumpulan pelajar pra-university. Setiap kumpulan mengandungi empat orang pelajar yang mempunyai taraf pencapaian yang berbeza. Pelajar-pelajar ini dikehendaki menjalankan suatu projek Matematik. Projek ini perlu dibentangkan dalam kelas disertakan dengan satu laporan projek.

Insrumen kajian ini diubahsuai dari Lopez-Real dan Chan (1999). Ia mengandungi tiga bahagian. Bahagian I mengenai penilaian proses oleh pelajar di dalam sesuatu kumpulan. Bahagian II terdiri daripada penilaian pembentangan projek yang diberi oleh guru dan pelajar. Bahagian III adalah tentang penilaian terhadap penggunaan

penilaian sebaya dalam pembentangan projek serta sumbangan penilaian sebaya dalam pemberian markah projek berkumpulan.

Hasil kajian telah menunjukkan bahawa penerimaan pelajar adalah tinggi terhadap penggunaan penilaian sebaya dalam projek berkumpulan. Kebanyakkan daripada mereka berpendapat bahawa prestasi mereka meningkat dengan penyertaan dalam proses penilaian sebaya. Ia juga mendedahkan mereka kepada idea yang baru. Perbezaan dalam markah keseluruhan yang diperolehi oleh setiap pelajar dalam sesuatu kumpulan menunjukkan penggunaan penilaian sebaya adalah suatu cara yang adil untuk menjustifikasikan sumbangan dan usaha seseorang pelajar dalam projek berkumpulan. Walaubagaimanapun, pelajar menyuarakan reservasi terhadap penggunaan penilaian sebaya dalam pembentangan projek kerana kekurangan keyakinan dan pengalaman.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my gratitude and my appreciation to all who had helped to make this study possible.

My most sincere and heartfelt appreciation to my supervisor, Ms. Lee Siew Eng for her advice, tremendous encouragement, support and guidance throughout my coursework, which had in many ways contributed to the success of this study.

A very big thank you to all the students who participated with much enthusiasm in this study, particularly to the six students who had most generously given their precious time and effort for the interviews.

I convey my special thanks to my course-mate, Ms Gan Swit Peng, for her help in proof reading the report.

My deepest appreciation and gratitude to my beloved husband, Choo Ka Wai for his continuous supports and help.

I would like to dedicate this research to my husband and my children, Timothy and Samantha who are my source of inspirations.

Finally, but most importantly, I would like to praise God for His abundant grace throughout the course of this study. If there is anything praiseworthy about this research, to God be all the glory.

#### TABLE OF CONTENT

| ABSTRACT          |     |        |   |     |
|-------------------|-----|--------|---|-----|
| ABSTRAK           |     |        |   | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT   |     |        |   | v   |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS |     |        |   | vi  |
| LIST OF TABLES    |     |        |   | x   |
| CHAPTER 1         | INT | RODU   | CTION   | 1   |
|                   | 1.1 | Purpo  | se of the Study   | 4   |
|                   | 1.2 | Staten | nent of the Problem   | 5   |
|                   | 1.3 | Resea  | rch Questions   | 6   |
|                   | 1.4 | Opera  | tional Definitions  | 6   |
|                   | 1.5 | Signif | icance of Study   | 7   |
|                   | 1.6 | Limit  | ations  | 8   |
| CHAPTER 2         | REV | /IEW C | F RELATED LETERATURE  | 10  |
|                   | 2.0 | Introd | uction  | 10  |
|                   | 2.1 | Aspec  | ts of Assessment  | 11  |
|                   | 2.2 | Group  | -projects and Cooperative Learning                            | 12  |
|                   |     | 2.2.1  | The Cooperative Learning Methods                              | 12  |
|                   |     | 2.2.2  | The Understanding of Group Behaviour                          | 14  |
|                   |     | 2.2.3  | Problems in Grading Group Performances and Individual Efforts |     |
|                   |     |        |   | 15  |

|           | 2.3                        | 2.3 Alternative Assessment in Cooperative Learning or Group-work |  | 16 |
|-----------|----------------------------|--|--|----|
|           | 2.4                        | Peer A   | assessment in the Context of Group Projects  | 18 |
|           |                            | 2.4.1  | Research Literature on the Positive Effects of Peer Assessment in Group-work                     | 18 |
|           |                            | 2.4.2  | Research Literatures on the Reservation on Peer Assessment                                       | 20 |
|           | 2.5                        | Metho  | ds of Rewarding Individual Efforts in Group Projects   | 21 |
|           |                            | 2.5.1  | Pool of Mark   | 22 |
|           |                            | 2.5.2  | Two-part of Weighting Factor   | 22 |
|           |                            | 2.5.3  | Equally Shared Mark with Exceptional Teacher Intervention  | 24 |
|           |                            | 2.5.4  | Separation of Process and Product  | 25 |
|           | 2.6                        | Concl  | usion  | 26 |
|           |                            |  |  |    |
| CHAPTER 3 | METHODOLOGY                |  |  | 27 |
|           | 3.0                        | Introduction   |  | 27 |
|           | 3.1                        | The Sample   |  | 27 |
|           | 3.2                        | Description of Instrument  |  |    |
|           | 3.3                        | 3 Procedure  |  | 30 |
|           |                            | 3.3.1  | Implementation of Assessment Structure   | 31 |
|           |                            | 3.3.2  | Evaluations and Perceptions of Student Toward<br>Peer Assessment                                 | 32 |
|           |                            | 3.3.3  | Interviews   | 33 |
|           | 3.4                        | Data (   | Collection   | 33 |
|           | 3.5                        | Pilot S  | itudy  | 33 |
|           |                            |  |  |    |
| CHAPTER 4 | RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION |  |  | 36 |
|           | 4.0                        | Introduction   |  | 36 |
|           | 4.1                        |  | ication of a Way to Discriminate Between of the bution Individual Student within a Group Project | 39 |
|           |                            | 4.1.1  | Across-group Peer Assessment on the Oral   | 39 |

|           |                        | 4.1.2  | Within Group Peer Assessment - Process Assessment  | 40 |
|-----------|------------------------|--------|--|----|
|           |                        | 4.1.3  | The Comparison of the Overall Scores of the Student  | 42 |
|           | 4.2                    | Evalu  | ation on the Peer Assessment   | 44 |
|           |                        | 4.2.1  | Evaluation on the Presentation   | 45 |
|           |                        | 4.2.2  | Evaluation of the Peer Assessment on Group-work  | 48 |
|           |                        | 4.2.3  | Evaluation on the New Assessment System as a Whole   | 53 |
|           | 4.3                    | Interv | iews   | 59 |
|           |                        | 4.3.1  | General Aspects of the Assessment  | 59 |
|           |                        | 4.3.2  | Specific Areas of Peer Assessment  | 61 |
|           |                        | 4.3.3  | Suggestions for Improvement  | 69 |
| CHAPTER 5 | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION |        |  | 71 |
|           | 5.0                    | Introd | uction   | 71 |
|           | 5.1                    | Summ   | nary and Discussion of the Findings  | 72 |
|           |                        | 5.1.1  | Across-group Peer Assessment on Presentation   | 73 |
|           |                        | 5.1.2  | Within-group Peer Assessment - Process Assessment  | 73 |
|           |                        | 5.1.3  | The Comparison of the Overall Scores of Students with and without Component of Peer Assessment | 73 |
|           |                        | 5.1.4  | Evaluation on the Use of Peer Assessment in Oral Presentation (Across-group Assessment)        | 74 |
|           |                        | 5.1.5  | Evaluation on the Use of Peer Assessment in Group-work (Within-group Assessment)               | 75 |
|           |                        | 5.1.6  | Evaluation on the New Assessment System  | 75 |
|           | 5.2                    | Implic | eations of the study   | 76 |
|           | 5.3                    | Recon  | nmendations for Further Research   | 79 |
|           | 5.4                    | Concl  | usion  | 80 |
| REFERENCE | S                      |        |  | 83 |

#### APPENDICES

| Appendix | la: | Peer Assessment Form on Process Assessment  | 87 |
|----------|-----|---|----|
| Appendix | 1b: | Peer Assessment Form on Oral Presentation   | 88 |
| Appendix | 2:  | Relative Assessment Weighting   | 89 |
| Appendix | 3a: | Questionnaire 1: Students' Evaluation of the<br>Peer Assessment of Oral Presentation  | 90 |
| Appendix | 3b: | Questionnaire 2: Students' Evaluation of the<br>Peer Assessment of Contribution of the Peer<br>Assessment of Contribution to Group-work | 91 |
| Appendix | 3c: | Questionnaire 3: Students' Evaluation of the New Assessment Method  | 92 |
| Appendix | 4:  | A Mathematics Group Project on Statistics   | 93 |

#### LIST OF TABLES

| 4.1a | Comparison between Students' and Teachers' Rating for Group Presentation | 39 |
|------|--|----|
| 4.1b | Peer Assessment on the Individual Marks on the Process of the Project    | 41 |
| 4.1c | Comparison of the Overall Scores by Each Student                         | 43 |
| 4.2a | Evaluation on Presentation   | 45 |
| 4.2b | Evaluation on Group-work   | 49 |
| 4.2c | Evaluation on the New Assessment System                                  | 53 |
| 4.3a | Summary of Peer Assessment in Oral Presentation and Group-work           | 57 |
| 4.3b | Perceptions of Students on the New Assessment System                     | 58 |
| 4.5  | Suggestions of the Relative Weighting                                    | 65 |