



FACULTY OF EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA

Statement of Original Authorship

Name of Candidate: **REMA RAGAVAN**

Registration No.: **PGA 98051**

Title of Project Paper: **WORK STRESS EXPERIENCED BY ADMINISTRATORS**

..... **IN PETALING JAYA SECONDARY SCHOOLS**

.....
Area of Specialisation: **EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION**

I confirm that the materials contained in this Project paper are my own work. Where the works of others have been drawn upon, whether published or unpublished (such as books, articles, or non-book materials in the form of video and audio recordings, electronic publications and the internet) due acknowledgements according to appropriate academic conventions have been given. I also hereby declare that the materials contained in this Project Paper have not been published before or presented for another programme or degree in any university.

Signed: *Reema* Date: 10 MAY 2000

ACO - A688

INVC 156/02 - rass

WORK STRESS EXPERIENCED BY ADMINISTRATORS IN
PETALING JAYA SECONDARY SCHOOLS

Rema Ragavan

A Project Paper Submitted to the Faculty of Education,
University of Malaya in Partial Fulfilment
Of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Education

2000

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A510643600

OK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to first and foremost my supervisor, Prof. Madya Dr. Sufean Hussin for his guidance, his time, his patience and most of all for inspiring me to do my best.

My husband, Felix, for saving me from many a derailment and keeping me on track.

My sun, Reshma and my star, Kabil, for being amazingly understanding(mostly) and their sacrifice of play time.

My parents, Acha and Amma for all the love and support I wish all children in the world could have.

My bro' Prakash, for when I needed a rock to lean on.

Datin Norsiah Ariffin, my principal for not only coming to my rescue but for her encouragement and invaluable support.

Usha and Premala my guides, my goads.

Last of all, but in no way least, Lina for saving me from impending doom!

Thank you all and may The All-Mighty shower you with his blessings.

ABSTRACT

WORK STRESS EXPERIENCED BY ADMINISTRATORS IN PETALING JAYA SECONDARY SCHOOLS

The main purpose of the study was to determine the work stress levels of secondary school administrators in Petaling Jaya. The study also aimed to identify the ten major stressors of these administrators. Lastly, the study attempted to determine the relationship between different groups of administrators and their level of work stress.

The sample of the study comprised 50 secondary school administrators – 27 principals and 23 assistant administrators (Assistant Principals and Afternoon Supervisors) – from the (urban) district of Petaling Jaya in the state of Selangor.

The survey method was employed and a two-part questionnaire was used to collect data. The first part identified the characteristics of the administrator and their self-reported stress. The second part was the 35-item Administrator Stress (ASI), in which each item pertains to a potential stressor. These stressors are categorized into 4 dimensions of stress – Task-Based Stress, Role-Based Stress, Conflict-Mediating Stress and Boundary-Spanning Stress.

The study revealed three major findings. Firstly, the study indicated high level of stress among secondary school administrators in Petaling Jaya, with 40% of the respondents self-reporting high stress levels, while 47% recorded high stress levels as measured by the ASI.

Second, the study identified the ten major stressors of secondary school administrators. They were:

1. Completing reports/paperwork on time
2. Gaining public approval/funds for school programs.
3. Making decisions that effect the lives of colleagues, staff and students.
4. Evaluating staff members' performance.
5. Meetings that take too much time.
6. Supervising and coordinating many people's tasks.
7. Too heavy a workload to finish during normal workday.
8. Resolving parent/school conflict.
9. Complying with state, federal and organizational rules and policies.

The dimension of stress that recorded the highest mean stress value was the Task-Based Dimension.

Lastly, various analyses indicate that there were no significant differences in the stress levels of principals and assistant administrators; administrators of schools with large student enrolments and small student enrolments; and administrators with large staff sizes and small staff sizes. Work stress levels were, however, found to decrease as the number of years of administrative experience increased.

**TEKANAN PENGURUSAN DI KALANGAN PENTADBIR SEKOLAH
MENENGAH DI PETALING JAYA**

ABSTRAK

Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan tahap tekanan pengurusan di kalangan pentadbir sekolah menengah di Petaling Jaya. Kajian ini juga bertujuan mengenalpasti 10 punca utama tekanan pengurusan di kalangan pentadbir tersebut. Akhir sekali, kajian telah cuba memastikan sama ada terdapat hubungan antara ciri-ciri pentadbir dengan tahap tekanan pengurusan.

Sampel kajian terdiri daripada 50 orang pentadbir sekolah menengah di Petaling Jaya, iaitu seramai 27 orang pengetua dan 23 orang penolong pentadbir (Penolong Kanan I, Penolong Kanan II dan Penyelia Petang).

Data diperolehi melalui kaedah survey yang menggunakan borang soal selidik. Bahagian pertama borang soal selidik itu menyoal latar belakang (ciri-ciri) responden dan tahap tekanan pengurusan yang dilapor sendiri, manakala bahagian keduanya adalah Indeks Tekanan Pengurusan atau Administrator Stress Index (ASI) yang terdiri daripada 35 punca tekanan pengurusan bagi pentadbir sekolah. Punca-punca tekanan ini telah dikatogerikan kepada 4 dimensi tekanan – Tekanan Tugas, Tekanan Perwatakan, Tekanan Penyelesaian Konflik dan Tekanan Hubungan Luaran.

Tiga dapatan utama telah diperolehi melalui kajian ini. Pertama, tahap tekanan pengurusan di kalangan pentadbir sekolah menengah di

Petaling Jaya adalah tinggi, iaitu 40% responden melaporkan sendiri bahawa tekanan pengurusan yang dialami mereka adalah tinggi, manakala 47% responden telah didapati mengalami tahap tekanan pengurusan tinggi sebagaimana yang diukur menggunakan ASI.

Keduanya, kajian telah mengenal pasti 10 punca utama tekanan pengurusan di kalangan pentadbir sekolah menengah di Petaling Jaya. Tiga punca tekanan yang paling tinggi adalah: "Banyak masa mengisi borang dan menyediakan laporan dalam masa yang ditetapkan"; "memperolehi sokongan dan bantuan kewangan dari pihak luar untuk sekolah"; dan "membuat keputusan yang mungkin menjelaskan kedudukan rakan sekerja, guru dan pelajar".

Dimensi tekanan pengurusan yang mengakibatkan tekanan pengurusan tertinggi adalah dimensi Tekanan Tugas.

Akhirnya, analisis statistik dapat memutuskan bahawa tidak terdapat hubungan yang signifikan antara tahap pengurusan di kalangan pengetua dan pembantu tadbir lain, sekolah dengan enrolmen murid yang berlainan dan dengan bilangan staf yang berlainan. Hubungan yang signifikan diperolehi dalam tahap tekanan pengurusan di kalangan pentadbir dengan jangkamasa pengalaman pentadbiran yang berbeza. Iaitu, pentadbir dengan pengalaman mentadbir yang lebih lama didapati mengalami kurang tekanan pengurusan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.0 Background of the Study	1
1.1 Statement of the Problem	6
1.2 Purpose of the Study	8
1.3 Rationale of the Study	8
1.4 Research Questions	10
1.5 Significance of the Study	11
1.6 Limitation of the Study	12
1.7 Operational Definitions	13
CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	
2.0 Introduction	16
2.1 The Effects of Stress on Work Performance	16
2.2 Work Stress and the School Administrator	18
2.3 Stressors of School Administrators	20
2.4 Dimensions of Work Stress among School Administrators	22
2.5 Variability of Work Stress Levels among School Administrators	24
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.0 Introduction	28
3.1 The Subjects of the Study	28
3.2 The Instrument of the Study	31
3.3 Data Collection	34
3.4 Data Analysis	35

CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH FINDINGS

4.0	Introduction	36
4.1	The Levels of Work Stress	36
4.2	The Major Work Stressors	49
4.3	Dimensions of Stress	51
4.4	Stress Levels of the Principals and Assistant Administrators	55
4.5	Stress Levels of Administrators with Different Staff Size	56
4.6	Stress Levels of Administrators with Different Enrolment	57
4.6	Stress Levels of Administrators with Different Length of Administrative Experience	58

CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY AND SUGGESTIONS

5.0	Introduction	60
5.1	Summary of Findings	60
5.2	Implications of the Findings	62
5.3	Suggestions from the Findings	63
5.4	Suggestions for Further Study	64
5.5	Conclusion	65

BIBLIOGRAPHY	67
---------------------	----

APPENDIX – The Instrument of the Study	
---	--

LIST OF TABLES	Page
Table 1 : Frequency and Percentage of Respondents by Age	29
Table 2 : Frequency and Percentage of Respondents by Gender	29
Table 3 : Frequency and Percentage of Respondents by Years of Administrative Experience	29
Table 4 : Frequency and Percentage of Respondents by their Student Enrolment	30
Table 5 : Frequency and Percentage of respondents by Staff Size Under Their Control	30
Table 6 : Stressor (ASI items) and Stress Dimensions	31
Table 7 : Frequency and Percentage for Levels of Self-Reported Stress	36
Table 8 : Level of Stress Reported for Each Stressor	38
Table 9 : The Mean Stress Value of the Ten Major Work Stresses	49
Table 10 : Ranking of the Four Dimensions of Stress	51
Table 11 : Mean Stress Value of Task-Based Stress	52
Table 12 : Mean Stress Value of Conflict-Mediating Stress	53
Table 13 : Mean Stress Value of Boundary-Spanning Stress	53
Table 14 : Mean Stress Value of Role-Based Stress	54
Table 15 : Comparison of Stress Levels Between Principals and Assistant Administrators	55
Table 16 : Comparison of Stress Levels Between Administrators with Different Staff Size	56
Table 17 : Comparison of Stress Levels Among Administrators with Different School Enrolments	57
Table 18 : Comparison of Stress Levels Among Administrators with Different Years of Experience	58