AUDITING AND BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT OF OLEOCHEMICAL INDUSTRY WASTE

FAUZIAH BT SHAHUL HAMID

Dissertation submitted to University of Malaya for partial fulfillment of the requirements for degree of Master of Technology (Environmental Management)

Institute of Postgraduate Studies and Research University of Malaya 50603 Kuala Lumpur

July 2001



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to extend my greatest appreciation to my supervisor, Professor P. Agamuthu, for his invaluable directions and advice during the project and preparation of this dissertation.

I am very grateful to Mr. Vijayasekaran and Mr. Lian from Cognis Oleochemical (M) Sdn. Bhd. for allowing me to conduct part of my project in the plant and collect samples from the plant. I would like to express my gratitude to all the staff who helped me when the project was carried out at the plant.

To the staff of IPSP particularly, Mr. Zulhizan, Mr. Osman, Mr. Jasmi, Mr. Hasin and Mr. Karim, thank you for assisting me during the course of the research. Also to the staff of ISB farm, particularly Mr. Ramankhuty and Mr. Zawawi, thank you very much for all the cooperation and help during the course of composting process.

Last, but not the least, I would like to thank all my family members and friends, for their assistance, guidance and supports.

i

ABSTRACT

The utilization of renewable resources particularly from plant-based industries, such as, in the oleochemical sector makes it possible for further expansion of down-stream process products. However, to remain competitive the pollution generated from this industry should not be taken lightly. Implementation of cleaner technology into the oleochemical process will increase the efficiency of resource utilization of the industry, concurrently reducing quantity of waste generated.

Waste audit conducted at Cognis Oleochemical (M) (COM) Sdn. Bhd. indicated that the major process-wastes generated by the facility were glycerol residue (GR) from Cognis Rika (M) Sdn. Bhd. (CRM) and wastewater sludge (WWS) from COM wastewater treatment plant; the daily production was 1.0 tonne and 3.0 tonnes, respectively. Besides GR, which is classified as scheduled waste, the process operations also generated 1.17 tonnes/day of other hazardous wastes including spent chemicals and contaminated items. Currently, 65% of the total waste generated by COM is recycled and reused within the premises. Waste paper from Administrative center was 21.17 kg/day while plastic waste generated was 1.71 kg/day. The percentage of recycling and reuse options can be increased with the implementation of appropriate reduction, reuse and recycling programs.

Based on the waste audit data, it was established that WWS and GR were the principal wastes, and experiments were conducted to investigate the possibility of composting

WWS and GR generated by COM as both wastes contained high percentage of carbon at 94 % and 18 %, respectively. The moisture content of the WWS was more than 86 % while GR contained less than 5 % moisture. The C/N ratio of both wastes ranged from 23.9 to 26.2. Total phosphorous in WWS and GR were 3.5 % and 1.44 %, respectively. WWS contained 76 ppm of magnesium, while GR contained 149 ppm. Potassium content in WWS was lower (0.7 %) than in GR (7.68 %). Microbial analysis on both wastes indicated that the WWS contained at least six types of bacteria and two types of fungi, while GR was free of microorganisms indicating that to enhance biological degradation of GR, microbes or additives would have to be introduced into the residue.

Three composting trials were carried out using WWS and GR with various additives such as urea, soil, garden waste, chicken manure, goat manure (GM), mangrove soil, sewage sludge (SS) and spent grain (SG). The first composting trial showed that WWS with chicken manure composted with temperature increase up to 55°C. WWS can also undergo composting even without additives, due to the presence of its' indigenous microbes. GR, with very high alkalinity (pH 10-12) and high salt content, ranging from 30% to 60%, did not undergo composting when it was added with additives such as chicken manure, garden waste, soil, urea or the WWS. The second composting trial indicated that GR hindered composting process even with the introduction of mangrove soil as the source of high salinity-tolerant microbes. However, when GR added was below 4 %, composting was possible but at a very slow rate.

The third composting trial showed that addition of GM, SS and soil can speed up the composting of WWS to less than 7 weeks compared to 9 weeks for the control WWS without additives. The C/N ratio of the composts produced in the third trial at the final week (week 9), ranged from 13.0 to 33.2, while the initial C/N ratio was 27.2 to 57.4. Microbial analysis conducted showed that there were at least three types of microorganisms in every compost treatment except compost with the combinations of WWS+ sewage sludge (SS)+GR, which showed no sign of microbial growth.

Application of the compost generated from the second and third composting trials to Chinese mustard (*Brassica* sp.) showed that the compost enhanced leaf production from 12% to more than 500% compared to inorganic fertilizer at the same total nitrogen level. The plants from the 50% soil plus 50% compost combinations of WWS, WWS + GM, WWS, SS + soil, WWS + SS + SG, and WWS + GM + GR, gave 9.85 % to 84.43 % higher fresh weight compared to control (100% soil or soil + inorganic fertilizer). Also, result obtained showed that composts derived from two treatments: WWS + GM and WWS + SS + soil, can act as a good growth medium for Chinese mustard. The compost has commercial potential with a gross profit of RM 424.00 per tonne at current market price for organic fertilizer, while waste management and disposal costs could be reduced by as much as RM 150 per tonne of wastewater sludge, giving a combined advantage of RM 630 per tonne (US\$ 151.24 per tonne) of wastewater sludge.

Content		•	rage
Acknowledge	ement		i
Abstract			ii
Content			v
List of Tables	3		ix
List of Figures			xii
List of Plates			xv
List of Abbreviations			xvi
СНАРТЕ	R 1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	SOLII	O WASTE GENERATION SCENARIO	1
1.2	TREA	TMENT OF WASTE	3
1.3	OLEC	CHEMICAL INDUSTRY	4
	1.3.1	A General Overview of Oleochemical Industry	4
	1.3.2	Cognis Oleochemical (M) Sdn. Bhd.	5
	1.3.3	Waste Generated by Cognis Oleochemical (M)	6
		Sdn. Bhd.	
1.4	COMP	OSTING AS AN ALTERNATIVE FOR WASTE	10
	DISPO	SAL	
1.5	PROJ	ECT SUMMARY	11
1.6	OBJE	CTIVES OF PROJECT.	13

CHAPTER	2.0	LITERATURE REVIEW	14
2.1	THE E	ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION	14
2.2	ENVI	RONMENTAL CONDITION IN MALAYSIA	17
2.3	AGRO	D-BASED INDUSTRY IN MALAYSIA	20
	2.3.1	Palm Oil Industry: The General Overview	20
	2.4.2	Palm Oil Industry in Malaysia	20
2.4	OVER	EVIEW OF OLEOCHEMICAL INDUSTRY IN	24
	MALAYSIA		
	2.4.1	Oleochemical Industries: General Overview	25
	2.4.2	Oleochemical Products and Utilization	27
	2.4.3	The Processes of Oleochemical Products	28
2.5	INDU	STRIAL WASTE	42
	2.5.1	Definition of Industrial Waste	42
	2.5.2.	Hazardous Waste	44
	2.5.3	The Scheduled Waste Scenario in Malaysia	45
	2.5.4	Hazardous Waste Management	49
	2.5.5	Oleochemical Wastes	50
2.6	WAST	TE MINIMIZATION PROGRAM	52
	2.6.1	Definition and Implementation of Waste Minimization	52
		Program	
	2.6.2	Benefits of Waste Minimization	54
	2.6.3	Cleaner Technology	55
	2.6.4	Waste Audit	58
2.7	WAST	TE TREATMENT PROCESS	62
	2.7.1	Composting	63
	2.7.2	Definition of Composting	64
	2.7.3	Composting Techniques	65

		2.7.4	Benefit	s of Composting	70
СНАР	TER:	3 WAST	E AUD	IT	72
	3.1	INTRODUCTION			72
	3.2	DESCRIPTION OF WASTE AUDIT PROCEDURE			72
		3.2.1	Detern	nination of Audit Scope	73
		3.2.2	Compi	lation of Background Information	73
		3.2.3	Identif	ication and Characterization of Input Materials,	73
			Produc	ets and Waste Stream	
		3.2.4	Plant S	Survey	74
	3.3	WAST	ΓE AUD	IT RESULT	75
		3.3.	1 Aud	it Scope	75
		3.3.	2 Coll	ection of Necessary Background Information	75
		3.3.	3 Iden	tification and Characterization of Input Materials,	77
			Prod	ucts and Waste Stream From the Specific Sources	
			Flak	ing Plant (Lot 1)	77
			Tech	nical Division	81
			Adn	ninistration Buildings	84
			Cafe	teria	93
		3.3.	4 Qua	ntification of Waste Generated at The Selected	100
			Sites	3	
СНАР	TER -	4.0 BIG	OLOGIO	CAL TREATMENT	103
	4.1	INTRO	ODUCT	ION	103
	4.2	COLLECTION OF SAMPLES			104
	4.3	PREL	IMINAF	RY ANALYSIS	105
			4.3.1	Physical Analysis	105
			4.3.2	Chemical Analysis	105
			4.3.3	Microbial Analysis	107

4.4	COMPOSTIN	G TRIALS	108
	4.4.1	Preparation of Apparatus	108
	4.4.2	Composting Batch 1 (Trial Composting)	108
	4.4.3	Composting Batch 2 (Second Trial Composting)	110
	4.4.4	Composting Batch 3 (Third Trial Composting)	111
4.5	PLANTING T	RIAL	112
	4.5.1	Planting Procedures	114
	4.5.2	Plant Analysis	115
4.6	RESULTS		115
	4.6.1 Analysi	s on Wastewater Sludge and Glycerol Residue	115
	4.6.2 Compos	sting Trials	118
	4.6.2.1	Batch 1 Composting (Trial Composting)	118
	4.6.2.2	2 Batch 2 Composting (Second Trial Composting)	120
	4.6.2.3	3 Batch 3 Composting (Third Trial Composting)	128
	4.6.3 Plantin	ng Trials	135
4.7	ECONOMIC A	SPECTS OF COMPOSTING	138
CHAPTER 5	.0 GENERA	L DISCUSSION	140
CHAPTER 6	.0 CONCLU	SION	149
REFERENC	ES		151

APPENDIXES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1

Table 3.2

Table 3.3

manufacturing, 1998. Table 1.2 Typical characteristics of glycerol residue. Table 2.1 Malaysia: Compliance status of manufacturing industries, 1997. Table 2.2 Export of palm oil and palm oil products. Production of basic oleochemical in 1995 and 2000 (tonnes). Table 2.3 Major uses of oleic/ palmitic acids and their derivatives. Table 2.4 Table 2.5 Catalysts for interesterification process. Hazardous waste generation in Asian countries. Table 2.6 Table 2.7 Percentage of schedule waste generated according to industry, 1997. Table 2.8 Parameter limits for watercourse discharge for POME. Nitrogen content and C:N of various wastes and residues Table 2.9 Table 2.10 Innovative utilization of compost. Table 3.1 Available background information

Industrial wastewater pollution sources by type from agrobased industry and

Table 3.4 Types of waste generated by specific activities in Human Resource

Department and Finance Department

Waste generation associated with the main activities conducted in Technical

Table 3.5 Types of waste generated by specific activities in Electrical Department.

Waste generated from each activity in Flaking Plant.

Division Department.

Table 3.6 Types of waste generated by specific activities in Instrument Department.

- Table 3.7 Types of waste generated by specific activities in Shipping Department.
- Table 3.8 Types of waste generated by specific activities in Logistic Department.
- Table 3.9 Types of waste generated by specific activities in Information System

 Department.
- Table 3.10 Types of waste generated by specific activities in Corporate Affairs and Administration Department.
- Table 3.11 Types of waste generated by specific activities in Commercial Department.
- Table 3.12 Types of waste generated from the activities in the cafeteria.
- Table 3.13 Types of waste generated by CRM plant.
- Table 3.14 Waste generated during the process operation in the CRM (transesterification)
- Table 3.15 Weight of waste for disposal generated monthly by the selected location.
- Table 3.16 Summary of the waste generated by the various site in the plant.
- Table 4.1 Composting Trial #1 weight combinations
- Table 4.2 Composting Trial #2 weight combinations
- Table 4.3 Lists of composting Trial # 3 (combination with appropriate weight).
- Table 4.4 Parameters of sludge and glycerol residue obtained from the preliminary analysis
- Table 4.5 Results of the microbial test of the wastewater sludge using NA and PDA.
- Table 4.6 Results of inoculation on EMB and SS agar with six colonies.
- Table 4.7 Microbiological analysis of the compost samples for the first trial.
- Table 4.8 Physical appearance of the compost after Week 9
- Table 4.9 The final appearance of the composts from Trial # 2

- Table 4.10 Microbiological analysis of the compost samples at Week 9 for the second composting trial.
- Table 4.11 Percentage of nitrogen in the compost mixture at 0,5 and 9 weeks.
- Table 4.12 Percentage of phosphorus in the compost mixture at 0,5 and 9 weeks.
- Table 4.13 Percentage of potassium in the compost mixture at 0,5 and 9 weeks.
- Table 4.14 Mg content (ppm) in composting mixture at week 0, 5 and week 9.
- Table 4.15 Results of microbial analysis after 48 hours incubation at 27°C.
- Table 4.16 The costs associated with the waste management by Cognis Oleochemical

 (M) Sdn. Bhd.
- Table 4.17 Approximate costs of the composting process.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Detailed diagram of the production of wastewater sludge.
Figure 1.2	Simplified process flow of the wastewater treatment plant generating
	sludge.
Figure 1.3	Flowchart of glycerol residue production.
Figure 1.4	Simplified flow chart of steps involve in the project.
Figure 2.1	Global waste inventory, 1990/1.
Figure 2.2	Status of river water quality based on activity, 1997.
Figure 2.3	Range of applications of oleochemical products.
Figure 2.4	Production technology for oleochemicals.
Figure 2.5	Fatty acid distillation.
Figure 2.6	A simplified flow diagram of the manufacturing of methyl ester.
Figure 2.7	The manufacturing of fatty acid methyl esters by esterification.
Figure 2.8	Sweetwater purification.
Figure 2.9	Sweetwater evaporation (triplet effect with vapour condenser).
Figure 2.10	Glycerin distillation and bleaching steps.
Figure 2.11	High pressure hydrogenation of fatty acid methyl esters – fixed bed process.
Figure 2.12	High pressure hydrogenation of fatty acid methyl esters- suspension process.
Figure 2.13	Major participants in waste control.
Figure 2.14	Hierarchy of waste minimization program.
Figure 2.15	Various benefits accrued from implementation of waste minimization

	program
Figure 2.16	Life cycle inventory template.
Figure 2.17	Methodology of waste audit
Figure 2.18	Food web of compost pile-stages of organisms involved in composting.
Figure 2.19	Typical temperature pattern of composting system.
Figure 2.20	Input-output analysis of the composting process.
Figure 3.1	Waste generated during the process operation in Flaking Plant (Lot 1)
Figure 3.2	Flow process in the Technical Department and the type of waste generated
	from each activity.
Figure 3.3	Processes in the Technical Store and the type of waste generated from each
	activity.
Figure 3.4	Process flow of activities conducted in the cafeteria and the waste
	generated.
Figure 3.5	Waste generated during the process operation in the Utility unit.
Figure 3.6	Waste generated during the process operations in Flaking Plant.
Figure 3.7	Types of waste generated by each process operation in the wastewater
	treatment plant.
Figure 4.1	The temperature profile of Batch 1 composting trial with time.
Figure 4.2 (a)	Temperature profile of composting with time.
Figure 4.2 (b)	Changes in pH value during composting for Trial # 2.
Figure 4.3	C/N ratio of compost throughout composting process.
Figure 4.4	Temperature profile of compost during the composting process in Trial $\#$ 3.
Figure 4.5	Moisture content in the compost mixture, over Week 0 to 10.

- Figure 4.6 Weight loss of the compost at the final stage.
- Figure 4.7 Changes in C/N ratio of the compost over 10 weeks.
- Figure 4.8 Increase in height of the plants treated with different types and percentage of compost.
- Figure 4.9 Increase in weight of the plants treated with different types and percentage of compost.
- Figure 4.10 Increase in the number of leaves in plants treated with different types and percentage of compost.

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1

Plate 2

Plate 10

and soil.

Glycerol residue from Cognis Oleochemical (M) Sdn. Bhd. Plate 3 Glycerol residue after composting. Plate 4 Combination of wastewater sludge and chicken manure. Combination of glycerol residue, mangrove soil and urea. Plate 5 Combination of glycerol residue, wastewater sludge, mangrove soil and goat Plate 6 manure. Plate 7 Compost derived from the combination of wastewater sludge and goat manure (1:1)Plate 8 Compost from wastewater sludge, goat manure and small percentage of glycerol residue (10:10:1). Plate 9 Compost derived from the combination of wastewater sludge and goat manure (trial 3).

Compost derived from the combination of wastewater sludge, sewage sludge

Wastewater sludge from Cognis Oleochemical (M) Sdn. Bhd.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BOD Biochemical Oxygen Demand

COD Chemical Oxygen Demand

d Day

DOE Department of Environment

g Gramme

kg Kilogramme

km kilometre

L Litre

LPG Liquid petroleum gas

m Metre

mg Milligramme

RM Malaysian Ringgit

sp. Species