

Ⓜ

2718748

**EVALUATION OF LANDFILL COVER SYSTEMS**

**KHAIRUDIN BIN LONG**

**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE INSTITUTE OF POSTGRADUATE  
STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA IN PARTIAL FULLFILLMENT OF  
THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTERS IN  
TECHNOLOGY (ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT)**

**INSTITUTE OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES  
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA  
KUALA LUMPUR  
2004**

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A512556326

## Abstract

Landfill is an important component in solid waste management hierarchy. It posed undesired environmental impacts by producing leachate and gases which contaminated water resources, surrounding soil and atmosphere. In view of this, a study was conducted to evaluate the efficiency of landfill final-cover systems performance in preventing water infiltration that produced leachate. Five types of cover systems namely T-1, T-2, T-3, T-4, and T-5 were studied to determine the depth of leachate generated. One of these models, T-4 was selected and further investigated to find the effectiveness of each cover layer based on water balance component (WBC). The parameters studied are topsoil thickness, surface slope, saturated hydraulic conductivity of topsoil, drainage materials and barrier soil layer. The analysis of WBC was carried out using software called VHELP which required meteorological data, such as, daily precipitation, solar radiation, and mean temperature, to execute analysis. Assuming equal surface area of study for the landfill, the model type T-1, which consisted of topsoil and barrier soil layer, generated leachate of depth at 1345.5 mm, with the cost of construction estimated at RM 7/m<sup>2</sup>. The type T-2 model cover consisted of topsoil, geomembrane, and barrier soil layer, and generated leachate depth at 960.3 mm with cost of construction at about RM 22/m<sup>2</sup>. Type T-3 model cover consisted of three natural layers that are, topsoil, lateral drainage materials, and barrier soil layer, and generated leachate of depth at 660.43 mm, with the cost of construction at about RM 11/m<sup>2</sup>. The type T-4 model cover consisted of topsoil, lateral drainage materials, geomembrane, and barrier soil layer, and generated leachate depth at 125.06 mm with the cost of construction at RM 26/m<sup>2</sup>. The type T-5 model cover consisted of topsoil, lateral drainage materials, geonet, geomembrane, and barrier soil layer, and generated

leachate at depth of 78.6 mm, and the cost was estimated to be RM 40/m<sup>2</sup>. According to USEPA (1995), type T-4 and T-5 cover complied with EPA guidelines where leachate was generated at a depth less than 300 mm. Therefore T-4 which gave comparable efficiency as T-5 model of cover system, was recommended to be more suitable for Malaysian landfills since the cost of T-4 is lower and is economical compared to type T-5 cover. When model T-4 was further studied, a good combination of cover layer was identified for the various functions tested. Various parameter inputs were tested for each component of the cover system. It was found that the topsoil with surface slope less than 5% was the most appropriate since it generated a runoff at a depth of only 204.7 mm. When silty loam soil of hydraulic conductivity of 0.00019 cm/s was used, the evapotranspiration depth was 1860.74 mm compared to minimum of 1515.04 mm. For lateral drainage materials, results showed gravel with hydraulic conductivity of 0.3 cm/s was superior when the drainage water was at depth of 1525.03 mm compared to lowest range of 1206.63 mm. For barrier soil layer, clay with hydraulic conductivity of  $68.0 \times 10^{-7}$  cm/s gave minimum leachate depth of 162.4 mm, while the maximum was 292.3 mm and therefore the above materials with the corresponding characteristics formed a good combination of final-cover system.

## Abstrak

Tapak pelupusan sampah kambus tanah adalah satu komponen yang penting dalam hierarki pengurusan sampah. Tapak pelupusan sampah boleh mencemarkan sumber air, udara, dan alam sekitar dengan penghasilan air sampah dan gas beracun. Oleh yang demikian satu kajian telah dijalankan untuk menilai keberkesanan sistem penutup akhir tapak pelupusan sampah kambus tanah. Usaha ini adalah bertujuan untuk menghindarkan penyisipan air melalui system penutup akhir yang akan menghasilkan air sampah. Lima jenis sistem penutup model T-1, T-2, T-3, T-4, dan T-5 dikaji untuk menentukan penghasilan air sampah. Model T-4 dipilih untuk kajian selanjutnya bagi mencari keberkesanan setiap lapisan penutup berdasarkan komponen keseimbangan air. Parameter-parameter yang dikaji adalah ketebalan tanah atas, kecerunan permukaan, pekali kealiran tanah atas, pekali kealiran bahan pengaliran sisi, pekali kealiran lapisan tanah penghadang. Analisa keseimbangan air dijalankan dengan menggunakan perisian VHELP yang mana memerlukan data cuaca, seperti hujan, pancaran matahari, dan suhu purata. Andaian dibuat ialah menganggarkan luas permukaan tapak pelupusan sampah adalah tetap. Model T-1, yang terdiri daripada tanah atas dan lapisan tanah penghadang menghasilkan air sampah pada sedalam 1345.5 mm dengan kos pembinaan dianggarkan RM 7/m<sup>2</sup>. Jenis penutup T-2 yang terdiri daripada tanah atas, geomembrin dan lapisan tanah penghadang menghasilkan air sampah sedalam 960.3 mm dengan kos pembinaan RM 22 / m<sup>2</sup>. Jenis model penutup T-3 yang terdiri daripada tanah atas, bahan pengaliran sisi, dan lapisan tanah penghadang menghasilkan air sampah sedalam 660.43 mm pada kos pembinaan RM 11/ m<sup>2</sup>. Jenis model penutup T-4 yang terdiri daripada tanah atas, bahan pengaliran sisi, geomembrin, dan lapisan tanah penghadang menghasilkan air sampah sedalam 125.06 mm dengan kos pembinaan

RM 26 / m<sup>2</sup>. Jenis model penutup T-5 terdiri daripada tanah atas, bahan pengaliran sisi, geonet, geomembrin, dan lapisan tanah penghadang menghasilkan air sampah sedalam 78.6 mm pada kos pembinaan RM 40 / m<sup>2</sup>. Menurut USEPA (1995), jenis T-4 dan T-5 mematuhi garis panduan EPA kerana menghasilkan air sampah pada kedalaman kurang daripada 300 mm. Oleh yang demikian model T-4 dicadangkan supaya digunapakai di Malaysia kerana harganya agak ekonomik jika dibandingkan dengan model T-5. Kajian lanjut model T-4 dibuat untuk mencari satu kombinasi lapisan penutup yang berkøsan. Pelbagai fungsinya diuji ke atas lapisan tersebut. Hasilnya didapati tanah atas pada kecerunan permukaan kurang daripada 5 % merupakan yang paling sesuai kerana hanya menghasilkan air larian permukaan pada kedalaman 1860.74 mm, apabila menggunakan tanah 'silty loam' dengan pekali kealiran 0.00019 cm /s. Manakala bahan pengaliran sisi, dengan pekali kealiran 0.3 cm / s menghasilkan air pada kedalaman 1525.03 mm. Lapisan tanah penghalang pula dengan pekali kealiran  $6.8 \times 10^{-3}$  cm /s menghasilkan air sampah sedalam 162.4 mm, oleh itu bahan-bahan tersebut di atas dapat menghasilkan kombinasi berciri baik bagi penutup akhir tapak pelupusan sampah di rantau ini.

## Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my project supervisor Professor Dr. P. Agamuthu for his encouragement and inspiring guidance throughout the duration of this dissertation. Thanks are also due to the Director of Meteorological Department Petaling Jaya, Director of the Worldwide Landfill's Sdn. Bhd. and Landfill Planning Engineer of Alam Flora Sdn. Bhd for their assistance in providing data, information, materials and equipments to accomplish this research. Thanks are also due to my daughters and son, Yuhanis, Norhafizah, Siti Khadijah, Siti Aisyah, Fatimah Hanim, Norfitriah, Nur Aminah and Muhammad Fikri for their full support and patience in writing this dissertation.

Last but not least, a special thanks and appreciation to my wife Ramlah Abu Hassan, my daughter Nadiyah Adillah for their endlessly full support, assistance and co-operation as well as patience in making this dissertation a success.

Khairudin Bin Long,  
No 2751 / 4, Jalan Negeri Sembilan Selatan,  
Federal Hill,  
50480 Kuala Lumpur

**TABLE OF CONTENTS****PAGE**

<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Scope of Study.....	5
1.3 Research Objectives.....	10
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Introduction to Waste Disposal Facility.....	11
2.2 Municipal Solid Waste Management.....	13
2.2.1 Malaysian Environmental Quality Acts 1974.....	14
2.2.2 Issues Related to Landfill.....	17
2.3 Landfill Components .....	19
2.3.1 Waste Containment System.....	20
2.3.2 Landfill Configuration.....	24

2.4	Final-cover Systems.....	28
2.4.1	Components of Final-cover System.....	32
2.4.2	Typical Materials of Cover Layer.....	37
2.4.3	Properties of Landfill Cover.....	44
2.4.4	Factors Affecting Cover Layer.....	47
2.4.5	Factors Affecting Geosynthetic Cover Liner.....	49
2.5	Leachate Generation in Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill.....	50
2.5.1	Composition of MSW .....	51
2.5.2	Municipal Solid Waste of Leachate Characterization.....	54
2.5.3	Factors Affecting Leachate Quantity.....	55
2.5.4	Gas Generation.....	57
2.5.5	Factors Affecting Gas Generation.....	59
2.5.6	Gas Control and Treatment.....	61
	<b>CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Introduction of Visual HELP Programme.....	63
3.1.1	Limitation of Software VHELP.....	64
3.1.2	Water Balance Components.....	65
3.2	Input Data and Parameters.....	67
3.2.1	Input Data.....	67
3.2.2	Parameters Used.....	69
3.2.3	Output of Visual HELP.....	72
3.3	Procedures of Study.....	73
3.3.1	Study of Landfill Cover Systems Performance.....	76
3.4	Statistical Analysis.....	89



<b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>90</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	90
4.2 Influence of Cover Systems on Water Balance Components (WBCs).....	91
4.3 Influence of Level of Vegetation on WBCs.....	97
4.4 Influence of Soil Parameters on WBCs.....	102
4.4.1 Influence of Topsoil Thickness on WBCs.....	102
4.4.2 Influence of Surface Slope on WBCs.....	107
4.4.3 Influence of Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Topsoil on WBCs.....	112
4.4.4 Influence of Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Drainage on WBCs.....	117
4.4.5 Influence of Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Barrier Soil on WBCs....	121
4.5 Cost Analysis of Cover Systems.....	126
4.6 General Discussion.....	129
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>133</b>
5.1 Conclusions.....	133
5.2 Recommendations.....	134
References.....	135
Appendix A- Output analysis from Visual HELP Model	
Appendix B- Parameters Limits of Effluent of Standard A and B From 3 <sup>rd</sup> Schedule EQA, (1974) Environmental Quality Acts (Sewage and Industrial Effluent) Regulation 1979	
Appendix C - Differences between GCLs and CCLs	
Appendix D -Statistical analysis	
Appendix E - Statistical analysis	
Appendix F - Statistical analysis	
Appendix G - Statistical Analysis	

## LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1 Profile of Landfill Cover
- Figure 1.2 Typical Sanitary Landfill
- Figure 1.3 Components of Water Balance
- Figure 2.1 Elements of Integrated Municipal Solid Waste Management
- Figure 2.2 Schematic Diagram of Waste Containment System and Landfill Components
- Figure 2.3 Development and Completion of a Solid Waste Landfill
- Figure 2.4 Four Type of Solid Waste Landfill Geometry
- Figure 2.5 The Five Components of an Engineered Final-cover System
- Figure 2.6 Change in Landfill Gas Composition over Time
- Figure 2.7 Passive Collecting and Venting System of Lateral Gravel Trenches
- Figure 3.1 Type of Cover System
- Figure 3.2 Model Cover System Type T-1
- Figure 3.3 Model Cover System Type T-2
- Figure 3.4 Model Cover System Type T-3
- Figure 3.5 Model Cover System Type T-4
- Figure 3.6 Model Cover System Type T-5
- Figure 4.1 Influence of Cover System on Surface Runoff
- Figure 4.2 Influence of Cover System on Evapotranspiration
- Figure 4.3 Influence of Cover System on Lateral Drainage
- Figure 4.4 Influence of Cover System on Leachate generation
- Figure 4.5 Influence of Level of Vegetation on Surface Runoff
- Figure 4.6 Influence of Level of Vegetation on Evapotranspiration

- Figure 4.7 Influence of Level of Vegetation on Lateral Drainage
- Figure 4.8 Influence of Level of Vegetation on Leachate Generation
- Figure 4.9 Influence of Topsoil Thickness on Surface Runoff
- Figure 4.10 Influence of Topsoil Thickness on Evapotranspiration
- Figure 4.11 Influence of Topsoil Thickness on Lateral Drainage
- Figure 4.12 Influence of Topsoil Thickness on Leachate Generation
- Figure 4.13 Influence of Surface Slope on Runoff
- Figure 4.14 Influence of Surface Slope on Evapotranspiration
- Figure 4.15 Influence of Surface Slope on Lateral Drainage
- Figure 4.16 Influence of Surface Slope on Leachate Generation
- Figure 4.17 Influence Hydraulic Conductivity of Topsoil on Runoff
- Figure 4.18 Influence Hydraulic Conductivity of Topsoil on Evapotranspiration
- Figure 4.19 Influence Hydraulic Conductivity of Topsoil on Lateral Drainage
- Figure 4.20 Influence Hydraulic Conductivity of Topsoil on Leachate Generation
- Figure 4.21 Influence Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Drainage Material on  
Surface Runoff
- Figure 4.22 Influence Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Drainage Material on  
Evapotranspiration
- Figure 4.23 Influence Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Drainage Material on  
Lateral Drainage
- Figure 4.24 Influence Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Drainage Material on  
Leachate Generation

Figure 4.25 Influence Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Barrier Soil on Surface  
Runoff

Figure 4.26 Influence Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Barrier Soil on  
Evapotranspiration

Figure 4.27 Influence Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Barrier Soil on Lateral  
Drainage

Figure 4.28 Influence saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Barrier Soil on Leachate  
Generation

## LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2.1 Existing Landfills in Central Malaysia
- Table 2.2 Purposes of Final Soil Cover
- Table 2.3 Primary Role of Various Components within a Landfill Cover
- Table 2.4 Description of Landfill Cover Layers
- Table 2.5 Types of Commonly used Geomembranes on Their Approximate Weight Percentage Formulation
- Table 2.6 MSW Component in Malaysia
- Table 2.7 Projected Waste Generation for Kuala Lumpur & Petaling Jaya
- Table 2.8 Distribution of Hazardous Waste Materials Found in Residential and Commercial MSW<sup>a</sup>
- Table 3.1 Visual HELP Model Input Data Required
- Table 3.2 Soil Material Used and Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity
- Table 3.3 Type of Drainage Materials Used and Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity
- Table 3.4 Barrier Soil Type and Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity
- Table 3.5 Model of Landfill Cover System Tested from T-1 to T-5
- Table 3.6 Level of Vegetation Tested on Model T-4 Cover System
- Table 3.7 Topsoil Thickness tested of Model T-4 Cover System
- Table 3.8 Surface Slope Tested of Model T-4 Cover System
- Table 3.9 Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Topsoil Tested
- Table 3.10 Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Lateral Drainage Material Tested
- Table 3.11 Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity of Barrier Soil Tested

- Table 4.1 Influence of Cover System on WBCs
- Table 4.2 Influence of Level of Vegetation on WBCs Using Type T-4  
Cover System
- Table 4.3 Influence of Different Topsoil Thickness on WBCs Using Type T-4  
Cover System
- Table 4.4 Influence of Different Surface Slope on WBCs Using Type T-4  
Cover System
- Table 4.5 Influence of Different Topsoil and Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity  
on WBCs Using Type T-4 Cover System
- Table 4.6 Influence of Different Drainage Materials and Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity  
on WBCs Using Type T-4 Cover System
- Table 4.7 Influence of Different Barrier Soil Type and Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity  
on WBCs Using Type T-4 Cover System
- Table 4.8 Cost of Landfill Cover System

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARS-	Agriculture Research Service
BOD-	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
CCL-	Compacted Clay Liner
CERCLA-	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
CH <sub>4</sub> -	Methane
CN-	Curve Number
CO <sub>2</sub> -	Carbon Dioxide
CR-	Construction Refuse
CREAMS-	Chemical Runoff and Erosion from Agricultural Management Systems
DG -	Director General
DOE-	Department of Environmental
DR-	Domestic Refuse
EIA-	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIS-	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA -	Environmental Protection Agency
EQA –	Environmental Quality Act
GCL-	Geosynthetic Clay Liner
GM-	Geomembrane
GR-	Garden Refuse
HELP-	Hydraulic Evaluation Landfill Performance
HSSWDS-	Hydraulic Simulation Model for Estimating Percolation at Solid Waste Disposal Sites

LCRS –	Leachate Collection and Removal System
MSW-	Municipal Solid Waste
NEPA-	National Environmental Policy Act
NWSRFS-	National Weather Service River Forecast System
OND-	Other Non Dangerous
PFA-	Pulverised Fuel Ash
RCRA-	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RRA-	Resource Recovery Act
SCS-	Soil Conservation Service
SIC-	Standard Industrial Classification
SWDA-	Solid Waste Disposal Act
SWRRB-	Simulator for Water Resources in Rural Basins
UK-	United Kingdom
USA-	United States of America
USDA-	United States Department of Agriculture
USCS-	Unified Soil Classification System
USEPA-	United States Environmental Protection Agency
USPHS-	United States Public Health Service