

**FORECASTING TEA PRODUCTION IN MALAYSIA**

**BY**

**TAMIL SELVAN KRISHNAN  
(EGE98013)**

**SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND ADMINISTRATION,  
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTERS OF APPLIED STATISTICS**

**MAY 2000**



OK

**CLOSED STACKS**

## ABSTRACT

Forecasting is an inevitable part of production planning. Forecasting of future production is an essential input to decision making as knowledge of this has always promised advantages and opportunities of many kinds. The forecaster's goal is to find a useful way to express a time-structured relationship so that predictions of future production can be incorporated into the decision making process.

Fluctuations and variations in the production of tea in Malaysia is affected by uncontrollable and controllable factors. These factors cause fluctuations, trend, seasonality, cycles and irregular patterns in the yield series. These fluctuations create uncertainty and this makes decision making and planning for future a difficult task.

This research based on data for quarterly tea production and average hectareage in production in Peninsular Malaysia noted that over the years more highland tea has been produced. Although average hectareage in production showed a gradual decrease but production has increased. The time series of quarterly tea production per hectare (yield) is made up of a significant upward trend, seasonal and irregular components. The upward trend is mainly due to improvements in the agronomic practices while the seasonal pattern is due to a combination of climatic factors such as rainfall, sunshine hours and humidity.

Forecasting models were developed using Exponential Smoothing Method and Box-Jenkins Methodology. These models were used to generate quarterly forecast yield. Evaluation of these models revealed that

Holt-Winters Additive Seasonality Method is suitable for forecasting tea production in Peninsular Malaysia. Although Holt-Winters Method has performed better than ARIMA Models but forecasts generated by both these method do not differ greatly.

It is hoped that the forecasting models developed in this study may be used for a more effective future planning in the areas of production planning, marketing, scheduling of work plans and labour recruitment so as to ensure optimum use of resources. Furthermore, the generated forecasts may help in determining the total amount of tea that can be supplied by tea estates to meet local demand and hence, the amount that has to be imported in the future.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Throughout the duration of this study, help came from many people. I wish to express my sincere thanks to my supervisor, Professor Shyamala Nagaraj for her constructive criticisms and suggestions in improving the text.

I would also like to mention my appreciation to Taylor's College for their Educational Subsidy without which this study would not have been possible.

I would also like to mention my appreciation to the Department of Statistics, especially to Pn. Nurina Musta'ani bt. Hamedon and Encik Abd. Razak bin. Yusoff for their co-operation and assistance in the collection of data. My appreciation also goes to the managers of Boh Tea Plantations for their assistance and knowledge imparted to me about tea growing in Malaysia.

Ms. Pushpa Leela Ramanujam shared much of the labour of this study and I want to thank her for her encouragement, understanding and tolerance. Words cannot express my gratitude.

Above all to my wife and my children, Danishta and Samiksha whose love and understanding are my constant inspiration, I offer my heartfelt thanks.

Any errors of facts, observations and judgements are my sole responsibility.

## CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	i
CONTENTS .....	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES.....	vii
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	x
 CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Rationale For Study.....	1
1.2 Forecasting.....	5
1.3 Specific Objectives Of The Study.....	7
1.4 Format Of Research Paper.....	8
 CHAPTER 2 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	10
2.1 The Data.....	10
2.1.1 Source of Data.....	10
2.1.2 Measure of Yield .....	12
2.2 Identification Of Factors Affecting Tea Yield.....	12
2.3 Evaluation Patterns In Data.....	13
2.3.1 Examination of Plots.....	13
2.3.2 Decomposition Method.....	13
2.3.3 Statistical Tests.....	15
2.3.3.1 Run Test for Trend.....	16
2.3.3.2 Kruskal-Wallis for Seasonality.....	17
2.3.3.3 Quarterly Cyclical Dominance (QCD).....	18
2.4 Data Transformation.....	19
2.5 Forecasting Methods.....	22
2.5.1 Exponential Smoothing Method.....	25
2.5.2 Box-Jenkins Approach to Univariate Model Building.....	27
2.6 Assessment Of Model Fit And Forecasting Evaluation.....	33
2.7 Forecasting Software.....	34

CHAPTER 3 : THE TEA INDUSTRY IN MALAYSIA.....	36
3.1 Tea Varieties.....	36
3.2 Trends In Tea Production In Peninsular Malaysia.....	39
3.3 Factors Affecting Yield.....	45
3.3.1 Uncontrollable Factors Affecting Yield.....	46
3.3.1.1 Temperature.....	47
3.3.1.2 Rainfall.....	48
3.3.1.3 Sunshine (Cloudiness) and Humidity.....	50
3.3.1.4 The Relationship between Yield and Climatic Conditions.....	51
3.3.2 Controllable Factors Affecting Yield.....	54
3.3.2.1 Tea Varieties.....	54
3.3.2.2 Propagation and Nursery Care .....	55
3.3.2.3 Pests, Diseases and Weeds.....	56
3.3.2.4 Application of Fertilisers.....	57
3.3.2.5 Plucking and Pruning.....	58
3.4 Summary.....	59
 CHAPTER 4 : PATTERNS IN TEA YIELD SERIES.....	 61
4.1 Descriptive Statistics Of Yield Series.....	62
4.2 Plot of Quarterly Production Of Made Tea Per Hectare.....	62
4.3 Decomposition Of Yield Time Series.....	63
4.3.1 Trend-Cycle Pattern.....	63
4.3.2 Seasonal Pattern.....	66
4.3.3 Cyclical Pattern.....	69
4.4 Data Transformation.....	70
4.5 Summary.....	72
 CHAPTER 5 : FORECASTING.....	 74
5.1 Forecasting Models: By Holt-Winters Method.....	75
5.1.1 Holt-Winters Multiplicative Seasonality (HWMS) on $Y_t$ .....	76
5.1.2 Holt-Winters Additive Seasonality (HWAS) on $LY_t$ .....	79
5.1.3 Holt-Winters Additive Seasonality (HWAS) on $Y1_t$ .....	82
5.1.4 Holt-Winters Additive Seasonality (HWAS) on $Y2_t$ .....	85
5.1.5 Forecast Yield from Holt-Winters Method.....	88
5.1.6 Forecast Performance in the Post Sample Forecast Range: Models by Holt-Winters' Method .....	89

5.2 Forecasting Models: By Box-Jenkins Methodology.....	90
5.2.1 Model Building .....	90
5.2.1.1 Identification .....	90
5.2.1.2 Estimation and Diagnostic Checking.....	100
5.2.2 Fitting and Forecasting.....	104
5.2.3 Forecast Yield by ARIMA Models.....	113
5.2.4 Forecast Performance in the Post Sample Forecast Range: ARIMA Models .....	114
5.3 Summary.....	115
 CHAPTER 6 : CONCLUSION.....	 117
 6.1 Summary Of Findings .....	 118
6.1.1 Trends in Tea Production and Factors Causing the Observed Variations .....	 118
6.1.2 Basic Patterns in the Yield Series.....	120
6.1.3 Data Transformation.....	121
6.1.4 Forecasting.....	122
6.1.5 Evaluation of Models.....	124
6.2 Discussion.....	125
6.2.1 Factors Affecting Yield.....	125
6.2.2 Forecasting Models and Interpretation.....	126
6.2.3 Does Transformation Matter.....	127
6.2.4 Transformation Bias.....	128
6.2.5 Comparing Methodologies.....	128
6.3 Limitations.....	129
6.4 Further Scope Of This Study.....	130
 APPENDIX A : Data of Study, Components of the Yield Series, Series for Statistical Test and Transformed Series.	 131-152
 APPENDIX B : EViews Outputs, Correlogram (Autocorrelation Plots) and Forecast Series.....	 153-172
 BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	 173-178

## LIST OF TABLES

Tables		Page
2.1	Classification Of Forecasting Techniques	23
2.2	Characteristics Of Explanatory And Time Series Univariate Models	24
2.3	Holt-Winters' Updating Equations	26
2.4	Holt-Winters' Forecasting Equations	26
3.1	Percentage Breakdown Of Lowland And Highland Tea For Total Area Planted, Average Hectareage In Production And Production Of Made Tea In Peninsular Malaysia.	40
4.1	Descriptive Statistics Of Production Per Hectare	62
4.2	Seasonal Indices Of Yield Series	68
5.1	Series Used In Developing Forecasting Models	74
5.2	Optimal Values Of Smoothing Parameters	76
5.3	Actual ( $Y_t$ ) And Forecast ( $FY_t$ ) Yield From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By HWMS	78
5.4	Actual ( $LY_t$ ) And Forecast ( $FLY_t$ ) From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By HWAS	81
5.5	Actual ( $Y1_t$ ) And Forecast ( $FY1_t$ ) From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By HWAS	84
5.6	Actual ( $Y2_t$ ) And Forecast ( $FY2_t$ ) From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By HWAS	87
5.7	Actual Yield And Forecast Yield Generated By Holt-Winters Method In The Post Sample Forecast Range	88
5.8	Forecast Performance In Post Sample Forecast Range: Holt-Winters Method	90
5.9	ARIMA Models For $LY_t$ Series And Criterion Measures For Choosing The Parsimonious Model	101
5.10	ARIMA Models For $Y1_t$ Series And Criterion Measures For Choosing The Parsimonious Model	102



5.11	ARIMA Models For $Y_{2t}$ Series And Criterion Measures For Choosing The Parsimonious Model	103
5.12	Estimated Parameters For The Parsimonious Models of $LY_t$ , $Y_{1t}$ And $Y_{2t}$ Series	104
5.13	Actual ( $LY_t$ ) And Forecast ( $FLY_t$ ) Yield From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) <sub>4</sub>	108
5.14	Actual ( $Y_{1t}$ ) And Forecast ( $FY_{1t}$ ) Yield From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) <sub>4</sub>	110
5.15	Actual ( $Y_{2t}$ ) And Forecast ( $FY_{2t}$ ) Yield From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) <sub>4</sub>	112
5.16	Actual Yield And Forecast Yield Generated By ARIMA Models In The Post Sample Forecast Range.	113
5.17	Forecast Performance In Post Sample Forecast Range: ARIMA Models	115
6.1	Forecast Yield Generated By Holt-Winters Method And ARIMA Models	123
6.2	Forecasting Performance In Post Sample Forecast Range For All The Models	125

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figures		Page
2.1	Stages In Model Building	29
2.2	The Forecasting Scenario	35
3.1	Plot Of Annual Ratio Of Made Tea To Green Leaves	41
3.2	Plots Of Average Hectage In Production(AHP) And Production Of Made Tea (PMT)	42
3.3	Plots Of Production Per Hectare (Yield) For Green Leaves (GLPERH) And Made Tea Production Per Hectare (MTPERH)	43
3.4	Plot Of Annual New Planted Areas (NPA) And Replanted Areas (RPA) For The Period 1960-1990	44
3.5	Plots Of Monthly Mean Meteorological Readings Of Temperature, Rainfall Intensity, Sunshine Hours And Relative Humidity For Cameron Highlands	53
4.1	Time Series Plot Of Quarterly Made Tea Per Hectare (Yield) In Peninsular Malaysia From 1960-1996	64
4.2	Trend-Cycle Of Quarterly Production Of Made Tea Per Hectare (Yield) From 1960-1996	65
4.3	Seasonal Plot Of Quarterly Production Of Made Tea Per Hectare	66
4.4	Seasonal Indices Plot Of Yield Series	68
4.5	Plot Of Detrended-Deseasonalised Yield Series	69
4.6	Plots Of Original Yield Series And Transformed Series	73
5.1	Plot Of Yield Series ( $Y_t$ ) And Forecast Series ( $FY_t$ ) By HWMS Method: 1960:Q1-1996:Q4	77
5.2	Plot Of $Y_t$ And $FY_t$ From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By HWMS	78
5.3	Plot Of Yield Series ( $LY_t$ ) And Forecast Series ( $FLY_t$ ) By HWAS Method: 1960:Q1-1996:Q4	80

5.4	Plot Of $LY_t$ And $FLY_t$ From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By HWAS	81
5.5	Plot Of Yield Series ( $Y1_t$ ) And Forecast Series ( $FY1_t$ ) By HWMS Method: 1960:Q1-1996:Q4	83
5.6	Plot Of $Y1_t$ And $FY1_t$ From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By HWAS	84
5.7	Plot Of Yield Series ( $Y2_t$ ) And Forecast Series ( $FY2_t$ ) By HWMS Method: 1960:Q1-1996:Q4	86
5.8	Plot Of $Y2_t$ And $FY2_t$ From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By HWAS	87
5.9	Plot Of Actual Yield And Forecast Yield Generated By Holt-Winters Method Models	89
5.10	Plot Of Yield Series ( $LY_t$ ) And Forecast Series ( $LY_t$ ) By $(1-B)(1-B^4)LY_t = (1+0.461671B)(1+0.774250B^4)\varepsilon_T$	107
5.11	Plot Of $LY_t$ And $FLY_t$ From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) $LY_t$	108
5.12	Plot Of Yield Series ( $Y1_t$ ) And Forecast Series ( $FY1_t$ ) By $(1-B)(1-B^4)Y1_t = (1+0.473520B)(1+0.784360B^4)\varepsilon_T$	109
5.13	Plot Of $Y1_t$ And $FY1_t$ From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) $Y1_t$	110
5.14	Plot Of Yield Series ( $Y2_t$ ) And Forecast Series ( $FY2_t$ ) By $(1-B)(1-B^4)Y2_t = (1+0.461671B)(1+0.774250B^4)\varepsilon_T$	111
5.15	Plot Of $Y2_t$ And $FY2_t$ From 1997:Q1 To 1997:Q4 By ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) $Y2_t$	112
5.16	Plot Of Actual Yield And Forecast Yield Generated By ARIMA Models	114
6.1	Plot Of Actual Yield And Forecast Yield Generated By Holt-Winters Method And ARIMA Models	124

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibits		Page
5.1	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $LY_t$	91
5.2	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $Y1_t$	92
5.3	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $Y2_t$	93
5.4	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $LY_t$ by using the transformation $ZLY_t = LY_t - LY_{t-1}$	94
5.5	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $Y1_t$ by using the transformation $ZY1_t = Y1_t - Y1_{t-1}$	95
5.6	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $Y2_t$ by using the transformation $ZY1_t = Y1_t - Y1_{t-1}$	96
5.7	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $LY_t$ by using the transformation $ZZLY_t = LY_t - LY_{t-1} - LY_{t-4} + LY_{t-5}$	97
5.8	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $Y1_t$ by using the transformation $ZZY1_t = Y1_t - Y1_{t-1} - Y1_{t-4} + Y1_{t-5}$	98
5.9	Correlogram: Output of the SAC and SPAC for $Y2_t$ by using the transformation $ZZY2_t = Y2_t - Y2_{t-1} - Y2_{t-4} + Y2_{t-5}$	99

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFLY <sub>t</sub>	Forecast from ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) <sub>4</sub> on LY <sub>t</sub>
AFY1 <sub>t</sub>	Forecast from ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) <sub>4</sub> on Y1 <sub>t</sub>
AFY2 <sub>t</sub>	Forecast from ARIMA(0,1,1)(0,1,1) <sub>4</sub> on Y2 <sub>t</sub>
AIC	Akaike's Information Criterion
FLY <sub>t</sub>	Forecast Log Transformed Series
FY1 <sub>t</sub>	Forecast Negative Square Root Reciprocal Transformed Series
FY2 <sub>t</sub>	Forecast Negative Reciprocal Transformed Series
FY <sub>t</sub>	Forecast Yield Series
HWAS	Holt-Winters Additive Seasonality
HWMS	Holt-Winters Multiplicative Seasonality
L	Likelihood Function
Log L	Logarithm of Likelihood Function
LY <sub>t</sub>	Log Transformed Series
MAPE	Mean Absolute Percentage Error
MSE	Mean Squared Error
PSFR	Post Sample Forecast Range: 1997:Q1-1997:Q4
RMSE	Root Mean Squared Error
SAC	Sample Autocorrelation Function
SFR	Sample Forecast Range : 1960:Q1 -1996:Q4
SPAC	Sample Partial Autocorrelation Function
Y1 <sub>t</sub>	Negative Square Root Reciprocal Transformed Series
Y2 <sub>t</sub>	Negative Reciprocal Transformed Series
Y <sub>t</sub>	Quarterly Production Of Tea Per Hectare (Yield Series)