

(r) b

READING PROBLEMS IN ENGLISH OF
RURAL SECONDARY SCHOOL LEARNERS

WONG LOW WAH

FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR

SEPTEMBER 2003

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A511432863

4bb2773

READING PROBLEMS IN ENGLISH OF
RURAL SECONDARY SCHOOL LEARNERS

WONG LOW WAH

RESEARCH REPORT SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER IN ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE

FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
KUALA LUMPUR

SEPTEMBER 2003

PERAKUAN TENTANG HASIL KERJA AKADEMIK

Dengan ini, saya, **WONG LOW WAH** Nombor Pendaftaran **TGBX 01009** mengaku bahawa naskah Laporan Penyelidikan yang serah bertajuk: **“READING PROBLEMS IN ENGLISH OF RURAL SECONDARY SCHOOL LEARNERS”** merupakan hasil kerja akademik saya sendiri kecuali bahan-bahan/petikan yang telah dinyatakan sumber asalnya.

Sekian, terima kasih.

Yang benar,



Nama calon : **WONG LOW WAH**

Tarikh : **23 SEPTEMBER 2003**

ABSTRACT

This study is an investigation of whether the reading comprehension scores obtained by a class of rural secondary school students reflect their actual comprehension. This study also attempts to provide an insight into the reading problems these students face and how they try to overcome them. 30 Form Three students participated in this study. A four-prong approach comprising reading comprehension tests, a free recall task, questionnaires and interviews with 6 key informants, is used to collect data necessary for the investigation.

First, the students were required to sit for reading comprehension tests for the purpose of characterizing their reading comprehension abilities. Then, the students participated in the recall task which required them to write their recall in English after reading an English narrative text. The written recalls were assessed for the number of propositions that were recalled. They were also analyzed for the reading difficulties the students encountered and the strategies they used to make sense of what they read.

The key findings indicated that the reading comprehension scores the students obtained did reflect their comprehension. The analysis also showed that these students faced reading difficulties ranging from weaknesses in semantic, syntactic and inferential skills to weaknesses in comprehension monitoring and working memory capabilities. To overcome these difficulties, the students utilized strategies such as using technical aids, classification and simplification. The findings also indicated the feasibility of using the recall task as a tool to study and understand the reading process of second language learners.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti sama ada pencapaian kefahaman dalam Bahasa Inggeris pelajar-pelajar sebuah kelas di sebuah sekolah menengah luar bandar ada kaitannya dengan tahap pemahaman Bahasa Inggeris mereka. Kajian ini juga cuba mengenal pasti masalah-masalah pembacaan yang dihadapi oleh pelajar-pelajar ini dan cara mereka mengatasinya. 30 orang pelajar Tingkatan Tiga telah terlibat dalam kajian ini. Pendekatan serampang empat mata telah digunakan dalam kajian ini, iaitu ujian kefahaman Bahasa Inggeris, tugas mengingat kembali, soal selidik, dan temubual dengan 6 orang pelajar terpilih.

Mula-mula, pelajar-pelajar dikehendaki menduduki ujian kefahaman Bahasa Inggeris untuk mengetahui tahap kebolehan mereka. Kemudian, mereka melakukan tugas mengingat semula, iaitu pelajar-pelajar ini dikehendaki mengingat dan menulis semula dalam Bahasa Inggeris teks naratif (narrative) yang telah dibaca. Hasil tugas bertulis yang diperoleh telah dinilai untuk mengetahui bilangan proposisi (proposition) yang dapat diingat kembali. Analisis juga telah dibuat untuk mengetahui masalah pembacaan dalam Bahasa Inggeris yang dihadapi oleh pelajar-pelajar ini dan cara mereka mengatasinya.

Hasil analisis menunjukkan bahawa pencapaian kefahaman Bahasa Inggeris pelajar-pelajar ini memang berkait rapat dengan tahap pemahaman Bahasa Inggeris mereka. Analisis juga menunjukkan bahawa pelajar-pelajar ini menghadapi pelbagai masalah pembacaan Bahasa Inggeris daripada kelemahan dalam kemahiran semantik, sintaksis dan inferensi sehingga kelemahan dalam pemantauan kefahaman (comprehension monitoring) dan kemampuan daya memori (working memory) mereka.

Untuk mengatasi masalah-masalah ini, mereka menggunakan beberapa strategi seperti bantuan teknikal, klasifikasi dan simplifikasi (simplification). Hasil kajian ini juga menunjukkan keberkesanannya tugasannya ingat kembali untuk mengkaji dan memahami proses pembacaan yang dialami oleh pelajar bahasa kedua.

Untuk mengatasi masalah-masalah ini, mereka menggunakan beberapa strategi seperti bantuan teknikal, kelasifikasi dan simplifikasi (simplification). Hasil kajian ini juga menunjukkan keberkesanannya tugasannya ingat kembali untuk mengkaji dan memahami proses pembacaan yang dialami oleh pelajar bahasa kedua.

Untuk mengatasi masalah-masalah ini, mereka menggunakan beberapa strategi seperti bantuan teknikal, kelasifikasi dan simplifikasi (simplification). Hasil kajian ini juga menunjukkan keberkesanannya tugasan ingat kembali untuk mengkaji dan memahami proses pembacaan yang dialami oleh pelajar bahasa kedua.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to many people who have contributed greatly towards the completion of this research report.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Ms. Jagdish Kaur, for her invaluable supervision, continuous guidance and commitment in helping me prepare this research report.

I would also like to express my gratitude and appreciation to the principal of SMK Juasgeh for granting me the permission to conduct this study in the school. To the teachers and students involved in this study, I express my heartfelt thanks for their cooperation, spontaneity and helpfulness.

To my sister, Low Kwan, her husband, Sarbjeev and her son, Sanjeev, my deepest appreciation for their patience, understanding and support. Without them, my course of study would have been a lot more arduous.

Last but not least, I thank God for His divine blessings and my parents for their unwavering support and love. Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE PAGE | i |
| PERAKUAN TENTANG HASIL KERJA AKADEMIK | ii |
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ABSTRAK | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xii |
| CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 The English Language Curriculum | 1 |
| 1.3 Reading | 3 |
| 1.4 Reading Comprehension | 4 |
| 1.5 Reading Comprehension Tests | 4 |
| 1.6 Statement of Problem | 6 |
| 1.7 Purpose of the Study | 7 |
| 1.8 Research Questions | 8 |
| 1.9 Significance of the Study | 8 |
| 1.10 Limitations of the Study | 9 |
| CHAPTER 2 : REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | |
| 2.1 Introduction | 10 |
| 2.2 Models of the Reading Process | 10 |
| 2.2.1 The Bottom-up Model of Reading | 11 |
| 2.2.2 The Top-down Model of Reading | 12 |
| 2.2.3 The Interactive Model of Reading | 13 |
| 2.3 Reading Difficulties | 16 |
| 2.3.1 Semantic skills | 16 |
| 2.3.2 Syntactic skills | 16 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.3.3 | Referential skills and Integration | 17 |
| 2.3.4 | Understanding text structure | 17 |
| 2.3.5 | Comprehension monitoring | 18 |
| 2.3.6 | Working memory | 18 |
| 2.4 | Learning Strategies | 19 |
| 2.5 | Reading Strategies | 20 |
| 2.5.1 | Technical-aid moves | 21 |
| 2.5.2 | Clarification and Simplification moves | 21 |
| 2.5.3 | Coherence-detecting moves | 22 |
| 2.5.4 | Monitoring moves | 22 |
| 2.6 | Reading Assessment | 23 |
| 2.7 | Story Grammars | 25 |
| 2.7.1 | Stein and Glenn's Story Grammar | 28 |
| 2.8 | Conclusion | 33 |

CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 34 |
| 3.2 | Background Information | 34 |
| 3.2.1 | The School | 34 |
| 3.2.2 | The Class | 35 |
| 3.2.3 | The Key Informants | 36 |
| 3.2.4 | The English Language lessons | 36 |
| 3.2.5 | The English Language Paper of the PMR | 36 |
| 3.3 | The Research Materials | 37 |
| 3.3.1 | The Reading Comprehension Tests | 37 |
| 3.3.2 | The Recall Task Text | 37 |
| 3.3.3 | The Questionnaires | 40 |
| 3.3.4 | The Structured Interview | 40 |
| 3.4 | The Pilot Study | 40 |
| 3.5 | The Research Procedure | 41 |
| 3.6 | The Scoring Procedure for the Written Recalls | 43 |
| 3.7 | Conclusion | 46 |

CHAPTER 4 : FINDINGS

| | | |
|---------|---|------|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 47 |
| 4.2 | Analysis of Data collected | 47 |
| 4.2.1 | The Reading Comprehension tests | 47 |
| 4.2.2 | Questionnaires I and II | 50 |
| 4.2.2.1 | Students' English language background | 50 ✓ |
| 4.2.2.2 | Students' attitude towards English | 51 ✓ |
| 4.2.2.3 | Students' learning and reading strategies | 51 ✓ |
| 4.2.2.4 | Students' reading habits | 52 ✓ |
| 4.2.2.5 | Students' test wiseness | 52 ✓ |
| 4.2.2.6 | Students' Techniques in reading comprehension tests | 55 ✓ |
| 4.2.2.7 | Students' Response to the Recall Task | 55 — |
| 4.2.3 | The Students' Written Recalls | 56 |
| 4.2.4 | A Comparison of Students' Scores | 58 |
| 4.2.5 | Students' Reading Difficulties | 60 |
| 4.2.5.1 | Semantic skills | 60 |
| 4.2.5.2 | Syntactic skills | 61 |
| 4.2.5.3 | Inferential skills and integration | 62 |
| 4.2.5.4 | Understanding text structure | 63 |
| 4.2.5.5 | Comprehension monitoring | 64 |
| 4.2.5.6 | Working memory | 65 |
| 4.2.6 | Reading Strategies | 66 |
| 4.2.6.1 | Technical-aid moves | 66 |
| 4.2.6.2 | Clarification and simplification moves | 67 |
| 4.2.6.3 | Coherence-detecting moves | 68 |
| 4.2.6.4 | Monitoring move | 69 |
| 4.3 | Conclusion | 70 |

CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 71 |
| 5.2 | Main Concerns of the Study | 71 |
| 5.3 | Insights from the Reading Comprehension Tests | 71 |
| 5.4 | Insights from the Recall Task | 72 |
| 5.5 | Insights from the Questionnaires | 74 |
| 5.6 | Insights from the Structured Interview | 75 |
| 5.7 | Pedagogical Implications | 75 |
| 5.8 | Suggestions for Further Research | 76 |
| 5.9 | Conclusion | 76 |

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Table 4.1 : Analysis of Reading Comprehension Tests Responses | 48 |
| Table 4.2a : Analysis of Questionnaire I Responses | 53 |
| Table 4.2b : Analysis of Questionnaire II Responses | 54 |
| Table 4.3 : Analysis of Students' Written Recalls | 56 |
| Table 4.4 : Analysis of the Quantity of Recalls for each Story Category | 57 |
| Table 4.5 : Comparison of Students' Scores | 59 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Fig. 2.1 : Grammar Rules for Simple Stories | 27 |
| Fig. 2.2 : Rules defining the Internal Structure of a Story | 31 |
| Fig. 3.1 : Text of the Recall Task | 38 |
| Fig. 3.2 : Internal Structure of the Recall Task Text | 39 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Appendix 1 : Reading Comprehension Test (Questions 1-50) | 85 |
| Appendix 2 : Reading Comprehension Test (Questions 51-100) | 92 |
| Appendix 3 : Text for the Recall Task | 100 |
| Appendix 4 : Questionnaire I | 101 |
| Appendix 5 : Questionnaire II | 103 |
| Appendix 6 : The Structured Interview | 104 |
| Appendix 7 : Students' Written Recalls | 105 |
| Appendix 8 : Interview Transcripts | 120 |