mothers had only primary schooling. The occupations of both the parents are low on the social scale (majority of the mothers are housewives). Students who wished to continue generally come from families with smaller number of siblings as compared to those who wished to stop schooling. In terms of academic achievement, students who wished to continue are influenced by their form four grades, 64.1 percent obtained Grade 1 or 2 while among those who wished to discontinue, 63.7 percent obtained Grade 3 or failed. Students are not affected by the PMR results.

The study also found other motivational key factors such as parental encouragement and expectations, and the greatest influence in the lives of the students. Most parents encourage and expect the students to continue education and the greatest influence in the lives of the students is the mother irrespective of gender, ethnic groups or income groups.

Clearly, many factors affect a student's decision to continue schooling as well as his/her choice of educational stream. It is clear that many factors exert an influence on students' decision to further their education or not to. To identify the key factors, the decisions and choices of the students will be analyzed by means of logistic regressions based on the educational

choice model described in chapter 3 and summarized in Figure 3.1. This part will analyze the quantitative factors as specified by Marceau (1979). The qualitative factors are not included in the logistic analysis because these factors are subjective in nature (such as parental ecouragement and expectations). The analysis will be done in parts. Firstly, the overall sample will be examined. After which, the analysis will be done separately by gender, by location (urban and rural) and according to the ethnic groups. This is to study whether gender, location and ethnicity have any bearing on the educational decision and choice of the students (Figure 3.1).

The problem is to predict whether a student will continue schooling (further his education). In this context, the dependent variable 'CONTINUE' is given the value of 1 if the student indicates a desire to continue his/her schooling and 0 otherwise. In order to which influence the students' factors study the educational choice in terms of the science and technical or the arts and social sciences, a logistic regression is taken. The dependant variable 'SAT' takes the value of 1 if the student chooses the science and technical field and 0 if the student chooses the arts field. The in the science and students' desired occupations technical or arts fields are taken as a proxi for

students' science and technical or arts choices ('SAT').

## 6.2 The explanatory variables / independent variables<sup>1</sup>.

Following Marceau (1979), the independent variables are grouped into four major categories: psychological or individual, structural or institutional, social or familial and economic or financial.

## 6.2.1 Psychological or individual.

化复合物 医乳液的 化分子 计算法 计脉冲分子机 importance of sex-role stereotyping The SEX is considered a surrogate factor cannot be ignored. decision the CONTINUE via the to relates that transmission of sex-role stereotypes. Boys are expected to do better, have higher aspirations and a stronger propensity to continue studies for bread-winning purposes than girls. However, in this sample of students it was 1 found that more girls than boys prefer to continue education while more boys wished to enter the labour 11 16 19 1 1 1 1 The variable SEX takes the value 1 if the market. respondent is male and 0 otherwise, and is expected to correlate negatively with the continue decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a brief review on variables and arguments in past studies, see Psacharopoulos G. (1979, 1982), and Soumelis C. (1981).

### 6.2.2 Social or familial.

FOCC and MOCC are discrete variables representing the occupations of the fathers and mothers based on the Dictionary of Occupational Classification (DOC) by the Ministry of Human Resource and Manpower. The occupations are converted into numerical units according the Standard International Occupational Prestige to Scores following Treiman (1975). The higher the numerical value the better the prestige level of the parents. These variables are included to test if the decision to significantly influenced by parents' CONTINUE is occupational prestige. It is hypothesized that both parents' occupation will correlate positively with the decision to continue.

化化合合 化建筑电子调度 机合金

**FEDU** and **MEDU** are also discrete variables representing the educational level of the student's father and mother respectively. They are classified as follows:

1 = HSC, College and above
 2 = MCE or upper-secondary education
 3 = SRP or lower-secondary education
 4 = Primary education and below.

The basic hypothesis is that parents who are better

educated tend to push their children towards higher educational attainment. **FEDU** and **MEDU** variables are expected to correlate negatively with the decision to continue.

**SIB** is a discrete variable indicating the number of children in the family. It is expected to correlate negatively with the dependent variable.

the state of 11 for a

## 6.2.3 Structural or institutional.

GPMR and GRADE are two discrete variables denoting lower secondary and form four school grades, that is grades obtained at the PMR and the most recent form four examination, respectively. The detailed breakdown of GPMR and GRADE are as follows:

| GPMR  | :       | 1 | 12  | More than 6 distinctions |
|-------|---------|---|-----|--------------------------|
|       | с.<br>С | 2 | -   | 4 - 5 distinctions       |
|       |         | 3 | -   | 1 - 3 distinctions       |
|       |         | 4 |     | less than 1 distinction  |
| GRADE | ŧĹ,     | 1 | *   | Grade 1                  |
|       | ř :     | 2 | ÷   | Grade 2                  |
|       |         | 3 | ×   | Grade 3                  |
|       | 1 2     | 4 | ja: | Fail                     |

医外口 化二乙基基乙基基化乙二基基乙二乙

The lower the numerical value of the grades the better the results obtained in both cases. These are expected to correlate negatively with the dependent variable.

SCIENCE is also inserted to test whether enrolment in the science stream has a significant influence on the decision to continue schooling. SCIENCE is a 0-1 dummy variable taking unit value if the respondent is in the science stream, and 0 otherwise. SCIENCE is expected to correlate positively to the decision to continue.

1. 人名贝尔尔 医子宫 法运行 计分子

#### 6.2.4 Economic or financial factors.

Financial considerations can influence the decision to continue in several ways. This is partly because students from well-to-do families are able to attend private tuition classes in order to improve their academic performance in areas they are weak in. High family income would also mean low marginal utility on money devoted to educational expenditures<sup>2</sup>. Moreover, the family can afford to wait regarding pecuniary contributions from the student to the household. On the other hand, students from low-income families would tend to discontinue their studies at the end of secondary education in order to support themselves and/or their

families. The decision to **CONTINUE** schooling can also be influenced via expected returns from further education.

**YFAM** is a continuous variable representing family monthly income, that is the sum of father's and mother's incomes. It is expected to correlate positively to the decision to CONTINUE.

**YSPM** represents the expected earnings after Form Five should the student decide to stop schooling after the SPM examination. This is a continuous variable that is expected to correlate negatively to the decision to continue.

**YAGE** denotes expected earnings at the age of 26, making an assumption that by then, the student would probably have established his career whether he had continued his studies or not. This is a continuous variable and is expected to correlate positively to the decision to continue.

However, in analyzing the data obtained from the sample of students, it may be found that some of the above variables may be highly correlated with each other resulting in the problem of multicollinearity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Assuming that all man have one single objective in education.

Thus, a correlation test among the variables is first taken to determine the variables to be used for the logit analysis. The results showed that **GPMR** is significantly correlated with **GRADE** and with **STREAM**. FOCC and MOCC, on the other hand, are highly correlated with **FEDU** and **MEDU**, and **YAGE** with **YFAM** (see Table 6.1). To overcome the problem of multicollinearity, the following variables are used for the purpose of our logit analysis as independent

variables: SEX, FEDU, MEDU, SIB, GRADE, STREAM, YFAM AND YSPM.

Table 6.1 : Correlations Table

| FOCC      | MOCC      | GPMR                | YAGE   |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------|--|
| -0.4885** | -0.4674** | -                   | -  |
| -0.4091** | -0.3264** | -                   | -  |
|           | -         | 0.5499**            | -  |
|           | -         | -0.4775**           | -  |
|           | -         | -                   | 0.4521**   |
|           | -0.4885** | -0.4885** -0.4674** | -0.4885** -0.4674** -<br>-0.4091** -0.3264** -<br>0.5499** |

1-tailed significance : \* -0.01 \*\* -0.001

# 6.3 The Logistic Regression Analysis (I) : Determinants of The Decision to Continue Further Education.

The relationship between the variable CONTINUE and the independent variables is summarized in the equation below:

CONTINUE =  $a_0 - a_1$  SEX -  $a_2$  FEDU -  $a_3$  MEDU -  $a_4$  SIB -  $a_5$  GRADE +  $a_6$  STREAM +  $a_7$  YFAM -  $a_8$  YSPM where  $a_0$  to  $a_8$  are constants.

Table 6.2 shows the estimated coefficients of the independent variables obtained by fitting a logistic regression to the above model for the overall sample. In this table and in all subsequent tables, column 2 reports the maximum likelihood coefficients ( $\beta$ i) of the logit estimation:

$$P = \frac{1}{-\Sigma\beta i x i}$$

$$1 + e$$

Column 2 gives the regression coefficients (B), column 3 the Standard Error (S.E.), column 3 gives the Wald statistics (WALD), column 4 indicates the degree of freedom (df), column 5 the significance level for the Wald Statistics (Sig), column 5 the R values and column 6 reports the factor by which the odds change when the independent variable increases by one unit (Exp(B)). The Wald Statistics has an undesirable property in that when the absolute value of the regression becomes large, the estimated standard error also becomes large, and thus produces a small Wald Statistics. This can lead to a failure to reject the null hypothesis when in fact one should.

#### 6.3.1 The Results of the Logistic Regressions

#### 6.3.1.1 Overall Sample

The -2 Log likelihood value for the logistic regression has a chi-square value of 236.782 with a significance of 0.7873 and a Goodness of Fit value of 256.977 with a significance of 0.4535. The observed significance level indicates that this model does not differ significantly from the 'perfect model'. Overall, 79.55 percent of the sample were correctly classified (Table 6.2).

|          | Explain   |           | le 6.2<br>ecision to Cor | ntinue |  |       |        |
|----------|---|-----------|--------------------------|--------|--|-------|--------|
| Variable | в   | S.E.      | Wald                     | df     | Sig  | R     | Exp(B  |
| SEX      | -1.3667   | .3521     | 15.0687                  | 1      | .0001                                      | 2149  | .2550  |
| FEDU     | 6073  | .1991     | 9.3038                   | 1      | .0023                                      | 1607  | .5448  |
| MEDU     | .2285   | .2447     | .8720                    | 1      | .3504                                      | .0000 | 1.2568 |
| SIB      | 1651  | .0886     | 3.4708                   | 1      | .0625                                      | 0721  | .8476  |
| GRADE    | 2909  | .1566     | 3.4493                   | 1      | .0633                                      | 0716  | .7476  |
| STREAM   | 1.0585  | .4643     | 5.1982                   | 1      | .0226                                      | .1063 | 2.8821 |
| YFAM     | .0001   | .0002     | .6756                    | 1      | .4111                                      | .0000 | 1.0001 |
| YSPM     | .0007   | .0007     | .9841                    | 1      | .3212                                      | .0000 | 1.0007 |
| Constant | 3.6965  | .9541     | 15.0095                  | 1      | .0001                                      |       |        |
|          | -2 log likelihood<br>Goodness of Fit<br>Total number of c<br>Number of case in<br>Percent correctly | cluded in | the analysis =           | • 264  | ignificance<br>0.7873<br>0.4535<br>79.55 % |       |        |

From the regression, SEX, FEDU and STREAM are found to be statistically significant at the 0.05 level. SIB and GRADE are significant at the 0.10 level. All have the *a priori* signs.

Taking separate logistic regressions for urban and rural students gave the following results. For urban students, **FEDU** is significant at the 0.05 level of significance while **STREAM** is found to be significant at the 0.10 level of significance. Both have the a priori signs (Table 6.3).

Table 6.3 : Explaining the Decision to Continue (among Urban students) Sig R Exp(B) S.E. Wald df в Variable .3545 .0000 .5358 -.6240 .6740 .8572 1 SEX -.1614 .4821 .3353 4.7340 1 .0296 FEDU -.7296 .1514 .4028 1 .6972 .0000 1.1697 MEDU .1568 1.0798 .2987 .0000 .7691 1 .2527 -.2626 SIB .2685 .0000 .7519 1.2245 -.2851 .2577 1 GRADE .0787 .1020 4.5731 1.5202 .8645 3.0919 1 STREAM 1.0003 .0003 .0002 1.5877 1 .2077 .0000 YFAM .0458 .8306 .0000 1.0003 1 YSPM .0003 .0013 1 .0275 4.8577 3.9843 1.8078 Constant Chi-square Significance -2 log likelihood 84.418 0.4056 Goodness of Fit 77.629 0.6161 Total number of cases = 190 Number of case included in the analysis = 91 Percent correctly classified for overall sample = 75.82 %

In the regression of the rural students, SEX and FEDU are significant at the 0.05 level while GRADE and STREAM are found to be significant at the 0.10 level. All have the expected signs (Table 6.4).

| Variable  | B                | S.E.       | Wald     | df         | Sig                                   | R     | Exp(B) |
|-----------|------------------|------------|----------|------------|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| SEX       | -1.6146          | .4988      | 10.4784  | 1          | .0012                                 | 2189  | .1990  |
| FEDU      | 6456             | .2664      | 5.6745   | 1          | .0154                                 | 1480  | .5244  |
| MEDU      | .2164            | .3292      | .4319    | 1          | .5110                                 | .0000 | 1.241  |
| SIB       | 1724             | .1065      | 2.6196   | 1          | .1056                                 | 0592  | .841   |
| GRADE     | 3660             | .2143      | 2.9172   | 1          | .0876                                 | 0720  | .693   |
| STREAM    | 1.0083           | .5877      | 2.9441   | 1          | .0862                                 | .0730 | 2.741  |
| YFAM      | 0002             | .0003      |          | 1          | .6082                                 | .0000 | ,999   |
| YSPM      | .0009            | .0008      | 1.1476   | 1          | .2841                                 | .0000 | 1.000  |
| Constant  | 4.3400           | 1.4234     | 9.2963   | 1          | .0023                                 |       |        |
|           | <i>б</i> ., т.б. | Ċŀ         | i-square | , j t      | Significat                            | nce   |        |
|           | a an isan        | <b>~</b> * |          |            | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |       |        |
| -2 log li | ikelihood        | or N / N   | 148.412  | 2 - 4      | 0,803                                 | 50    |        |
| Goodn     | ess of Fit       |            | 171.446  | e na cas y | 0.329                                 | 3     |        |
| 1000 C    | umber of ca      |            |          |            |                                       |       |        |

The variable SEX is observed to be a very significant variable. Therefore separate logistic regressions are estimated for each gender. The following results are obtained. The results of the regressions show that for the male students, STREAM is significant at the 0.05 level while FEDU and YFAM are significant at the 0.10 level. All have the expected signs (Table 6.5). For the female students, FEDU, GRADE and YFAM are significant at the 0.05 level. All have the expected signs except for YFAM (Table 6.6).

| Variable | 8             | S.E.      | Wald      | df  | Sig       | R       | Exp(8)   |
|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----|-----------|---------|----------|
| FEDU     | 5008          | .2589     | 3.7420    | 1   | .0531     | 1177    | .6060    |
| MEDU     | 0448          | .3871     | .0134     | 1   | .9079     | .0000   | .9562    |
| SIB      | 2474          | .1514     | 2.6680    | 1   | .1024     | 0729    | .7809    |
| GRADE    | 0668          | .2329     | .0824     | 1   | .7741     | .0000   | .9353    |
| STREAM   | 1.7159        | .6589     | 6.7822    | 1 . | .0092     | .1951   | 5.5615   |
| YFAM     | .0005         | .0003     | 2.8160    | 1   | .0933     | .0806   | 1.0005   |
| YSPM     | 9.05E-05      | .0009     | .0102     | 1   | .9194     | .0000   | 1.0001   |
| Constant | 2.5150        | 1.5695    | 2.5678    | 1   | .1091     | <u></u> | (        |
|          |               | C         | hi-Square |     | Significa | ince    | <b>.</b> |
| -21      | og likelihood |           | 100.738   |     | 0.18      | 5 7     |          |
|          | odness of Fit |           | 88.233    | 1.1 | 0.50      | 30      | a<br>t   |
| То       | tal number of | cases = 1 | 53        | 7   |           |         |          |

| Variable | В           | S.E.       | Wald      | df | Sig       | R      | Exp(B |
|----------|-------------|------------|-----------|----|-----------|--------|-------|
| FEDU     | 9575        | .3616      | 7.0138    | 1  | .0081     | 1863   | .3838 |
| MEDU     | .4759       | .3709      | 1.6463    | 1  | .1995     | .0000  | 1.609 |
| SIB      | 1252        | .1208      | 1.0741    | 1  | .3000     | .0000  | .882  |
| GRADE    | 5569        | .2406      | 5.3560    | 1  | .0207     | 1524   | .573  |
| STREAM   | .5700       | .7057      | .6523     | 1  | .4193     | .0000  | 1.768 |
| YFAM     | 0006        | .0003      | 4.0500    | 1  | .0442     | 1191   | .999  |
| YSPM     | .0011       | .0011      | .9425     | 1  | .3316     | .00,00 | 1.001 |
| Constant | 4.9322      | 1.4674     | 11.2976   | 1  | .0008     |        |       |
|          | c 18.85     | 14.1       | 14 1      |    | -         |        |       |
|          |             | 5 A A      |           |    |           |        |       |
|          |             |            | • •       |    | a:        |        |       |
|          |             | CI         | ni-Square |    | Significa | ince   |       |
| -2 100   | likelihood  |            | 123.017   |    | 0.98      | 44     |       |
| -        |             |            |           |    |           |        |       |
| Goodi    | ness of Fit |            | 154.707   |    | 0.58      | 14     |       |
| Total    | number of c | ases = 252 | 2         |    | 1 16 1    |        |       |

6.3.1.3 By ethnic groups

Taking estimates by ethnic groups gave the following results. Among the Malay students, SEX and GRADE are significant variables, at the 0.05 level and SIB is significant at the 0.10 level. All have the expected signs (Table 6.7). Among the Chinese students, only FEDU is significant at the 0.05 level with the *a priori* sign (Table 6.8). 'Among the Indian students, none of the variables is eignificant: 'Among these insignificant variables, only SEX, FEDU, STREAM and YFAM have the *a priori* signs. The rest have opposite signs (Table 6.9).

|  | and the second se | 3 1<br>    | 1.5       |         |           |       |       |
|--|---|------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------|-------|
| Variable   | В   | S.E.       | Wald      | df      | Sig       | R     | Exp(B |
| SEX  | -1.2993   | .6424      | 4.0903    | - 1     | .0431     | 1421  | .272  |
| FEDU   | 4801  | .3294      | 2.1244    | - 1     | .1450     | 0347  | .618  |
| MEDU   | .3427   | .3872      | .7833     | î       | .3761     | .0000 | 1.408 |
| 518  | 2594  | .1334      | 3.7792    | î       | .0519     | 1311  | .771  |
| GRADE  | 7219  | .3187      | 5.1314    | ī       | .0235     | 1739  | .485  |
| STREAM   | .6547   | .8415      | .6053     | 1       | .4366     | .0000 | 1.924 |
| YFAM   | -7.8E-05  | .0004      | .0468     | - ī     | .8287     | .0000 | .999  |
| YSPH   | .0003   | .0014      | .0412     | 1       | .8391     | 0000  | 1.000 |
| Constant   | 5.2273  | 1.8284     | 8.1733    | 1       | .0043     |       |       |
| n geninge kladet og i følgend geli det for stelligt for stalet som en stor som | and the second  |            | 行制成       |         | 1 2-4     | 1     |       |
|  | N 2 4   |            | 9.3 %     |         | 144       |       |       |
|  | the state   |            | hi-Souare |         | Significa | ance  |       |
| - 21   |   |            |           | A       | 0.86      | 00    |       |
| -2 10  | og likelihood   | ·11.19.19  | 83.902    | 6       |           |       |       |
| Go   | odness of Fit   | 李 法 " 你 平  | 108.952   | 16 12 3 | 0.23      | 21    |       |
| To   | tal number of   | cases = 19 | 96        |         |           |       |       |
|  | mber of case  |            |           |         | ~~        |       |       |

| فتقديه فبالجواذ الشفار بسيان فالبرط بالمجازين المتاديل وتعاقد وارتبعه |  | S.E.  | Wald   | df       | Sig   | R           | Exp(8         |
|---|--|---|--------|----------|-------|-------------|---------------|
| Variable  | B  | 5.2.  |        |          |       | .0000       | .556          |
|   | 5864   | .5169   | 1.2874 | 1        | .2565 | 2076        | .365          |
| SEX   | -1.0060  | .3573   | 7.9285 | 1        | .9051 | 0000        | 1.052         |
| FEDU  | .0513  | .4300   | .0142  | 1        | .6429 | .0000       | .923          |
| MEDU  | 0792   | .1708   | .2149  | 8 8 A .  | .1263 | 0495        | .703          |
| SIB   | 3516   | .2300   | 2.3374 | +        | .2219 | .0000       | 2.213         |
| GRADE   | .7943  | .6503   | 1.4920 | 1        | 3255  | .0000       | 1.000         |
| STREAM  | .0002  | .0002   | .9666  | 1        | 7101  | .0000       | .999          |
| YFAM  | - 0003   | .0009   | .1382  | <u> </u> | .0064 |             |               |
| YSPM  | 5.4540   | 2.0006  | 7.4340 | 1        | .0004 |             |               |
| Constant  | 5.4414   | a a sur a |        | - 90° 30 |       |             |               |
| Goo   | g likelihood<br>dness of Fit                                   | *   | 105.11 | At       | 1, 14 | 1236<br>0 0 |               |
| Goo   | dness of Fit<br>al number of<br>nber of case<br>cent correctly | cases = 1<br>included<br>classific  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>;</b> • [  |
| Goo   | dness of Fit   | cases = 1<br>included<br>y classifie  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>[</b> *]   |
| Goo   | dness of Fit   | cases = 1<br>included<br>classific  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>≱</b> +1   |
| Goo   | dness of Fit   | cases = 1<br>included<br>y classific  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>\$</b> = 1 |
| Goo   | dness of Fit   | cases = 1<br>included<br>y classifie  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>₽</b> e1   |
| Goo   | dness of Fit   | cases = 1<br>included<br>classifie  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>2</b> 将着   |
| Goo   | dness of Fit   | cases = 1<br>included<br>classifie  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>₽</b> ~ [  |
| Goo   | dness of Fit   | cases = 1<br>included<br>classifie  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>∦</b> ≈1   |
| Goo   | dness of Fit   | cases = 1<br>included<br>classifie  | 50 🔹   | A:       | 1, 14 | ¢0          | <b>₽</b> • 1  |

化化学 化化学 化化学 化学学 化学学 化化学学 化化学学

| Variable | B             | S.E.         | Wald       | df      | Sig       | R     | Exp(B    |
|----------|---------------|--------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------|----------|
| SEX      | -10.3174      | 8.8288       | 1.3656     | 1       | .2426     | .0000 | .0000    |
| FEDU     | -1.7946       | 2.2660       | .6272      | 1       | .4284     | .0000 | .1662    |
| MEDU     | 2.9734        | 2.6045       | 1.3033     | 1       | .2536     | .0000 | 19.5584  |
| SIB      | 6958          | .9905        | .4935      | 1       | . 4824    | .0000 | .4987    |
| GRADE    | 2.9234        | 3.2565       | .8059      | 1       | .3693     | .0000 | 18.6042  |
| STREAM   | 17.0550       | 78.9491      | .0467      | 1       | .8290     | .0000 | 25521884 |
| YFAM     | .0006         | .0016        | .1400      | 1       | .7082     | .0000 | 1.0000   |
| YSPM     | .0109         | .0132        | .6831-     | 1       | .4085     | .0000 | 1.0110   |
| Constant | -9.2446       | 8.3468       | 1.2267     | 11      | .2681     | w     |          |
|          |               | Cł           | i-Square   |         | Significa | nce   |          |
| -2 log   | likelihood    |              | 10.835     |         | 1.00      | 00    |          |
| •        | ness of Fit   |              | 9.335      |         | 1.000     | 00    |          |
| Total    | number of     | cases $= 60$ |            |         |           |       |          |
| Num      | ber of case i | ncluded in   | the analys | sis = 4 | 4         |       |          |
| Num      | ber of case i | ncluded in   |            |         |           |       |          |

#### 6.4 The Logistic Regressions Analysis (II) : Determinants of the

## Decision to Choose the Science over the Arts Fields.

The relationship between the variable SAT and the independent variables are summarized in the equation below.

## $SAT = a_0 - a_1 SEX - a_2 FEDU - a_3 MEDU -$

 $a_4$  SIB -  $a_5$  GRADE +  $a_6$  YFAM -  $a_7$  YSPM where  $a_0$  to  $a_8$  are constants.

#### 6.4.1 The Results of the Logistic Regressions.

#### 6.4.1.1 The Overall Sample

The -2 Log likelihood value for the logistic regression has a chi-square value of 254.297 with a significance of 0.2513 and a Goodness of Fit value of 247.547 with a significance of 0.3552. The observed significance level indicates that this model does not differ significantly from the `perfect model'. Overall, 77.82 percent of the sample were correctly classified (Table 6.10).

The regressions results show that SEX, FEDU, GRADE, and YSPM are significant at the 0.05 level of significance. Only FEDU and GRADE have the expected signs while SEX and YFAM are found to be of opposite signs (Table 6.10).

| Variable | В              | S.E.      | Wald         | df      | Sig      | R     | Exp(B  |
|----------|----------------|-----------|--------------|---------|----------|-------|--------|
| SEX      | .7357          | .3340     | 4.8504       | 1       | .0276    | .1011 | 2.0869 |
| FEDU     | 4444           | .1795     | 6.1307       | 1       | .0133    | 1217  | .6412  |
| MEDU     | .1586          | .2150     | .5442        | 1       | .4607    | .0000 | 1.1719 |
| SIB      | .0245          | .0846     | .0841        | 1       | .7719    | .0000 | 1.0246 |
| GRADE    | 3702           | .1514     | 5.9776       | 1       | .0145    | 1194  | .6906  |
| YFAM     | 0002           | .0002     | 1.2319       | 1       | .2670    | .0000 | .9998  |
| YSPM     | .0012          | .0005     | 5.8577       | 1       | .0155    | .1176 | 1.0012 |
| Constant | 4559           | .8433     | .2923        | 1       | .5888    |       |        |
|          |                | C         | hi-Square    |         | Signific | ance  |        |
|          |                | Ç-        | 经公司证书        |         |          |       |        |
| -2 log   | likelihood     |           | 254.297      |         | 0.25     | 13    |        |
| Good     | iness of Fit   |           | 247.547      |         | 0.35     | 52    |        |
| Tota     | l number of c  | ases = 40 | )6           |         | 1111     |       |        |
| Num      | ber of case in | cluded in | n the analys | sis = 1 | 58       |       |        |

Taking separate logistic regressions for

urban and rural students gave the following results. For urban students, only FEDU is significant at the 0.05 level of significance with the expected sign. (Table 6.11). In the regression of the rural students, GRADE and YSPM are significant at the 0.10 level of significance. GRADE has the expected sign whereas YSPM has unexpected sign (Table 6.12).

| Table 6.1                              | l : Explaining<br>(among U | the Choice of<br>Jrban Student | Science and as). | Technica | l or Arts Fi | elds  |        |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------|--------------|-------|--------|
| Variable                               | В                          | S.E.                           | Wald             | df       | Sig          | R     | Exp(B) |
| SEX                                    | .5023                      | 5000                           | 4                |          |              |       |        |
| FEDU                                   | 6740                       | .5937                          | .7158            | 1        | .3975        | .0000 | 1.6525 |
| MEDU                                   | .2555                      | .2916                          | 5.3440           | 1        | .0208        | 1812  | .509   |
| SIB                                    | 0257                       | .3562                          | .5144            | 1        | .4732        | .0000 | 1.291  |
| GRADE                                  | 3097                       | .2044                          | .0158            | 1        | .8999        | .0000 | .9746  |
| YFAM                                   | 0001                       | .2419                          | 1.6398           | 1        | .2004        | .0000 | .7336  |
| YSPM                                   | .0013                      | .0003                          | .2897            | 1        | .5904        | .0000 | .999   |
| Constant                               | .2357                      | .0010                          | 1.6959           | 1        | .1928        | .0000 | 1.001: |
| a a se a | .2357                      | 1.4510                         | .0264            | 1        | .8710        |       |        |
|  |                            | Chi                            | -Square          | Si       | ignificanc   | æ     |        |
| -                                      | likelihood                 |                                | 91.354           |          | 0.0443       |       |        |
| Good                                   | ness of Fit                |                                | 77.096           |          | 0.2622       |       |        |
| Total                                  | number of ca               | nses = 100                     |                  |          |              |       |        |
|  | Manifest OI V              | 1000 100                       |                  |          |              |       |        |

| 640 1.5<br>386 1.7<br>823 .6<br>022 .6<br>0049 2.6<br>006 3.5<br>485 .7<br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168. | Wald<br>5161<br>7246<br>5050<br>8316<br>8434<br>3035<br>5341<br>3927<br>Jarc<br>105 | df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>Sig | Sig<br>.2182<br>.1891<br>.9438<br>.3618<br>.0917<br>.2536<br>.0601<br>.5309 |  | .731<br>1.020<br>1.097<br>.707<br>.999   |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 386 1.3<br>823 .0<br>022 .0<br>003 1.3<br>006 3.4<br>485 .<br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.              | 7246<br>0050<br>8316<br>9434<br>3035<br>5341<br>3927                                | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1         | .1891<br>.9438<br>.3618<br>.0917<br>.2536<br>.0601<br>.5309                 | .0000<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>0704<br>.0000<br>.0950  | .707                                     |
| 386 1.3<br>823 .0<br>022 .0<br>003 1.3<br>006 3.4<br>485 .<br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.              | 7246<br>0050<br>8316<br>9434<br>3035<br>5341<br>3927                                | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1         | .1891<br>.9438<br>.3618<br>.0917<br>.2536<br>.0601<br>.5309                 | .0000<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>0704<br>.0000<br>.0950  | .7310<br>1.020<br>1.097<br>.707<br>.9999 |
| 823 .<br>022 .<br>049 2.<br>003 1.<br>006 3.<br>485 .<br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.                   | 0050<br>9316<br>9434<br>3035<br>5341<br><u>3927</u><br>Jare                         | 1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1              | .9438<br>.3618<br>.0917<br>.2536<br>.0601<br>.5309                          | .0000<br>.0000<br>0704<br>.0000<br>.0950   | 1.020<br>1.097<br>.707<br>.999           |
| 022 .<br>049 2.<br>003 1.<br>006 3.<br>485 .<br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.                            | 8316<br>8434<br>3035<br>5341<br><u>3927</u><br>JATC                                 |   | .3618<br>.0917<br>.2536<br>.0601<br>.5309                                   | .0000<br>0704<br>.0000<br>.0950  | 1.097<br>.707<br>.999                    |
| 049 2.0<br>003 1.3<br>006 3.4<br>485 .<br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.                                  | 9434<br>3035<br>5341<br><u>3927</u><br>1 <b>AFC</b>                                 |   | .0917<br>.2536<br>.0601<br>.5309<br>nificanc                                | 0704<br>.0000<br>.0950   | .707<br>.9999<br>1.001                   |
| 003 1.:<br>006 3.:<br><u>485 .</u><br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.                                      | 3035<br>5341<br>3927<br>1are  | i<br>i<br>i<br>Sig                      | .2536<br>.0601<br>.5309<br>nificance  | .0000<br>.0950   | .999                                     |
| 006 3.4<br>485 .<br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.  | 5341<br>3927<br>1are  | i<br>1<br>Sig                           | .0601<br>.5309<br>nificance   | .0950<br>e   |  |
| 485<br>Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.   | 3927<br>1arc  | i<br>Sig                                | .5309<br>nificance  | e  | -<br>-                                   |
| Chi-Squ<br>158<br>168.  | are   | Sig                                     | nificano  |  |  |
| 158<br>168.   |   | Sig                                     |   |  | ,  |
| 158<br>168.   |   | Sig                                     |   |  | 5 př. –                                  |
| 168.  | .105  |   |   |  | 9 mil 1                                  |
| 168.  | .105  |   |   |  |  |
| 168.  |   |   | 0.5718  |  |  |
| 168.  | 1 2 1   |   |   |  |  |
|   | 104   |   | 0.3550  |  |  |
| -216  |   |   |   |  |  |
| = 216<br>ed in the a  |   | 100                                     | 1 S .   |  |  |
| ed in the a   | analysis  | = 40                                    |   |  |  |
| fied for (  | overall   | sample =                                | = 81.18   | %  |  |
|   | o , or out i  | AMTTE DAA                               |   |  |  |
|   |   |   | in the second   | and the second design of the |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |
| •   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |  |  |
| 1.45  |   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   | fied for overall                        |   |  |  |

The results of the regressions show that for the male students, **YSPM** is significant at the 0.05 level while **FEDU** and **GRADE** are significant at the 0.10 level. All have the expected signs except for **YSPM** (Table 6.13). For the female students, **GRADE** is significant at the 0.10 level with the *a priori* sign (Table 6.14).

|                   |               |        | Wald      | df     | Sig            | R       | Exp(B)  |
|-------------------|---------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|---------|---------|
| ariable           | 8             | S.E.   | Wald      | a      | 519            | n       | CAP( D) |
| EDU               | 5019          | .2704  | 3.4467    | 1      | .0634          | 1143    | .6054   |
| EDU               | 0394          | .3580  | .0121     | 1      | .9123          | .0000   | .9613   |
| IB                | 0841          | .1581  | .2828     | 1      | .5949          | .0000   | .9194   |
| RADE              | 3922          | .2346  | 2.7959    | 1      | .0945          | 0848    | .6755   |
| FAM               | 0003          | .0004  | .8570     | 1      | .3546          | .0000   | .9997   |
| SPM               | .0026         | .0009  | 7.6230    | 1      | .0058<br>.6307 | .2253   | 1.0026  |
| onstant           | .7059         | 1.4686 | .2311     | •<br>• |                | /24 / a |         |
| 5.3               | <b>k</b> 1. * | C      | hi-Square |        | Significa      | ance    |         |
| -2 log likelihood |               | 4 + 5  | 92.209    | r è    | 0.16           | 55      |         |
| Goodness of Fit   |               | • •    | 92.032    |        | 0.1687         |         |         |
|                   | umber of a    | 4.00   | -         |        | 08. J          |         |         |

| Variable          | В              | S.E.      | Wald                                     | df              | Sig    | R      | Exp(B) |  |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|--|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| FEDU              | 3827           | .2599     | 2.1684                                   | 1               | .1409  | 0321   | .6820  |  |
| MEDU              | .1931          | .2901     | ,4431                                    | 1               | .5056  | .0000  | 1.2130 |  |
| SIB               | .0797          | .1017     | .6141                                    | 1               | .4332  |        | 1.0829 |  |
| GRADE             | 3638           | .2202     | 2.7290                                   | 1               | .0985  |        | .6951  |  |
| YFAM              | 0004           | .0003     | 1.3738                                   | 1               | .2412  | .0000  | .9996  |  |
| YSPM              | .0003          | .0007     | .1659                                    | 1               | .6838  | .0000  | 1.0003 |  |
| Constant          | 3424           | 1.1574    | .0875                                    | 1               | .7674  |        |        |  |
|                   |                | Ch        | i-Square                                 | re Significance |        |        |        |  |
| -2 log likelihood |                |           | 155.144                                  |                 | 0.45   | 90     |        |  |
| Goodness of Fit   |                |           | 156.642                                  |                 |        | 0.4256 |        |  |
|                   |                |           | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 |                 | 0.,    | •      |        |  |
|                   | number of c    |           |  |                 |        |        |        |  |
| Numl              | ber of case in | cluded in | the analys                               | is = 16         | 51     |        |        |  |
| T A COTTRO        | int correctly  |           |  | 1               | 1 70 ( | 50 0/  |        |  |

#### 6.4.1.3 By ethnic groups

Taking estimates by ethnic groups (Table 6.15), gave the following results. Among the Malay students, only **YSPM** is significant at the 0.10 level with the unexpected sign. Among the Chinese students, **FEDU** and **GRADE** are significant at the 0.05 level with the *a* priori sign. Among the Indian students, only **SEX** variable is significant but it does not have the expected negative sign.

| I) Malays  |  |   |   |  |  |   |   |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| ariable  | В  | s.E.  | Wald  | df   | Sig  | R   | Exp(B   |
| SEX  | .0243  | .6517   | .0014   | 1  | .9702  | .0000   | 1024  |
| EDU  | 1212   | .3040   | .1590   | 1  | .6901  | .0000   | 1.128   |
| 1EDU   | 2257   | .3436   | .4314   | 1  | .5113  | .0000   | .798  |
| SIB  | .0982  | .1191   | .6804   | 1  | .4094  | .0000   | 1.103   |
| RADE   | 4379   | .2994   | 2.1393  | 1  | .1436  | 0359  | .645  |
| (FAM   | 0005   | .0005   | 1.2505  | 1  | .2635  | .0000   | .999  |
| rspm   | .0021<br>-1.2597   | .0012   | 3.3974<br>.7334   | 1  | .0653  | .1136   | 1.002   |
| onstant  | -1.259/  | 1.4/10  | ./334   | <b>_</b>   | .3918  | x   |   |
|  |  | Chi-  | Square  | Sig  | nificance  |   |   |
| -2 log ]   | ikelihood  | *   | 98.503  |  | 0.4667   |   |   |
|  |  |   |   |  |  |   |   |
|  | ess of Fit   |   | 01.000  |  | 0.39760  |   |   |
| Numb   | number of case in<br>t correctly c   | cluded in t   |   |  | = 81.13  | %   |   |
| Numb   | er of case in  | cluded in t   |   |  | = 81.13  | %   |   |
| Number<br>Percen   | er of case in  | cluded in t   |   |  | = 81.13<br>sig   | %<br>R  | Exp(B   |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX  | er of case in<br>it correctly of   | cluded in t<br>classified f   | or overall  | sample   | Sig<br>.1206   | R<br>.0597  | 2.394   |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU  | B<br>.8732<br>8869   | s.E.<br>5625<br>.3107   | For overall<br>Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463   | sample<br>df<br>1<br>1                               | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043  | R<br>.0597<br>2310                                    | 2.394   |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU  | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278  | s.E.<br>.5625<br>.3107<br>.4319   | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934  | df<br>1<br>1   | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217   | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000                           | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695  |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB   | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435   | s.E.<br>.6625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938  | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505   | df<br>1<br>1<br>1                                    | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222  | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000                  | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044                                     |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE  | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887                                       | s.E.<br>.5625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460   | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472   | df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1                               | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470   | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300          | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613                             |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE<br>YFAM  | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887<br>0002                               | s.E.<br>.5625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460<br>.0003                                    | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472<br>.2304  | sample<br>df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1                | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470<br>.6312  | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300<br>.0000 | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613<br>.999                     |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE  | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887                                       | s.E.<br>.5625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460   | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472   | df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1                               | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470   | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300          | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613<br>.999                     |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE<br>YFAM<br>YSPM                                      | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887<br>0002<br>.0009                      | s.E.<br>.6625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460<br>.0003<br>.0008                           | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472<br>.2304<br>1.3129  | sample<br>df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1           | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470<br>.6312<br>.2519   | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300<br>.0000 | Exp(B<br>2.3944<br>.4119<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613<br>.999<br>1.000 |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE<br>YFAM<br>YSPM                                      | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887<br>0002<br>.0009                      | s.E.<br>5.E.<br>5625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460<br>.0003<br>.0008<br>1.7412          | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472<br>.2304<br>1.3129<br>.0150                               | sample<br>df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470<br>.6312<br>.2519<br>.9027                                  | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300<br>.0000 | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613<br>.999                     |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE<br>YFAM<br>YSPM                                      | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887<br>0002<br>.0009                      | s.E.<br>5.E.<br>5625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460<br>.0003<br>.0008<br>1.7412          | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472<br>.2304<br>1.3129  | sample<br>df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470<br>.6312<br>.2519<br>.9027                                  | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300<br>.0000 | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613<br>.999                     |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE<br>YFAM<br>YSPM<br>Constant                          | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887<br>0002<br>.0009<br>2129<br>ikelihood | s.E.<br>5.E.<br>.6625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460<br>.0003<br>.0008<br>1.7412<br>Chi- | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472<br>.2304<br>1.3129<br>.0150<br>Square<br>94.226           | sample<br>df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470<br>.6312<br>.2519<br>.9027<br>mificance<br>0.4450           | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300<br>.0000 | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613<br>.999                     |
| Numbe<br>Percen<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>MEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE<br>YFAM<br>YSPM<br>Constant                          | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887<br>0002<br>.0009<br>2129              | s.E.<br>5.E.<br>.6625<br>.3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460<br>.0003<br>.0008<br>1.7412<br>Chi- | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472<br>.2304<br>1.3129<br>.0150<br>Square                     | sample<br>df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1 | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470<br>.6312<br>.2519<br>.9027                                  | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300<br>.0000 | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613<br>.999                     |
| Numbe<br>Percent<br>II) Chinese<br>Yariable<br>SEX<br>PEDU<br>SIB<br>GRADE<br>YFAM<br>YSPM<br>Constant<br>-2 log I<br>Goodn<br>Total f | B<br>.8732<br>8869<br>.5278<br>.0435<br>4887<br>0002<br>.0009<br>2129<br>ikelihood | s.E.<br>5.E.<br>5625<br>3107<br>.4319<br>.1938<br>.2460<br>.0003<br>.0008<br>1.7412<br>Chi-   | Wald<br>2.4101<br>8.1463<br>1.4934<br>.0505<br>3.9472<br>.2304<br>1.3129<br>.0150<br>Square<br>94.226<br>93.837 | df<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>1<br>Sig                   | Sig<br>.1206<br>.0043<br>.2217<br>.8222<br>.0470<br>.6312<br>.2519<br>.9027<br>mificance<br>0.4450<br>0.4562 | R<br>.0597<br>2310<br>.0000<br>.0000<br>1300<br>.0000 | 2.394<br>.411<br>1.695<br>1.044<br>.613<br>.999                     |

| Table 6.15: Continued |                | 1 1 1 1    |            |              |         |       |        |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|--------------|---------|-------|--------|
| I) Indians            |                |            |            |              |         |       |        |
| variable              | В              | S.E.       | Wald       | df           | Sig     | R     | Exp(B) |
| SEX                   | 1.6839         | .8834      | 3.6336     | 1            | .0566   | .1762 | 5.3864 |
| FEDU                  | -1.0127        | .7001      | 2.0927     | 1            | .1480   | 0420  | .3632  |
| 1EDU                  | .5279          | .7241      | .5315      | 1            | .4660   | .0000 | 1.6954 |
| SIB                   | .0991          | .2987      | .1100      | 1            | .7401   | .0000 | 1.1042 |
| BRADE                 | 0761           | .3544      | .0461      | 1            | .8301   | .0000 | .9268  |
| FAM                   | 0002           | .0006      | .0840      | 1            | .7.7.19 | .0000 | .9998  |
| rspm                  | 0016           | .0016      | .9514      | 1            | .3294   | .0000 | .9984  |
| Constant              | .8086          | 2,5581     | .0999      | 1            | .7519   |       |        |
|                       |                |            |            |              | •       |       |        |
|                       |                |            | , i        |              |         |       |        |
|                       |                | Chi-Square |            | Significance |         |       |        |
| -2 log likelihood     |                |            | 41.597     |              | 0.144   | 9     |        |
| Goodness of Fit       |                | - F -      | 41.291     |              | 0.152   | 4     |        |
| Total number of       |                |            | 443.1      | d a L        |         | ·     |        |
| Num                   | per of case in | cluded in  | the analys | is = 41      |         |       |        |
| TAMILIA               | nt correctly   |            | · ·        |              | 1 75 /  | 1 0/  |        |

11

## 6.5 Discussion of the Significant Variables.

#### 6.5.1 SEX

SEX is found to be significant in determining the decision to continue schooling. The analysis indicates that being male decreases the propensity to continue studies, ceteris paribus, by the odds of 0.255. It means that more girls in the 90s are orientated towards achieving a higher level of education than before. It could also mean that boys are less enthusiastic about further education than before. Taking

estimates by location, SEX is not significant among the urban students but is significant among the students from the rural schools. Among the rural students, being males decreases the propensity to continue, ceteris paribus, by the odds of 0.199. Among the ethnic groups, SEX is significant only among the Malays; being males decreases the propensity by the odds of 0.273. It seems that more rural and Malay girls are orientated towards achieving higher levels of education. In analyzing the students' choice of science or arts fields, SEX is found to be positive and significant. Being males increase the propensity to chose the science and technical field by the odds of 2.087. Boys are more inclined towards the science and technical fields than girls. This lends to the findings from our sample supports crosstabulations. However, SEX is only significant among the Indian students, being Indian and male increases the propensity to chose the science and technical field by the odds of 5.386.

#### 6.5.2 FEDU

**FEDU** is significant and is negatively correlated to the decision to continue as hypothesized. Father's educational level seems to influence the decision to continue. A unit decrease in **FEDU** will increase the propensity to continue by the odds of 0.545.

It means that the higher the level of father's education, the higher the probability that the student will continue further education. The significance of this variable can be seen in urban students, the odds being 0.482; in rural students, the odds being 0.524; among male students, the odds being 0.606; among female students, the odds being 0.384; among the Chinese, the odds being 0.366. It. should be noted here that father's education does not seem to influence the decisions of the Malay and Indian boys. In analyzing the choice between science and the arts fields, father's education is significant. The higher the father's education increases the propensity to choose the science and technical field by the odds of 0.641. Father's education is also significant among urban, male and Chinese students. Being in urban schools increases by the odds of 0.51; being male increases by the odds of, 0.605 and being Chinese increases by the odds of 0.412.

#### <u>6.5.3</u> SIB

A unit; increase in the number of children in the family will decrease the propensity to continue by the odds of 0.849. The analysis seems to point to the fact that having a bigger family works against continuing one's education. This variable is significant among Malay students. A unit increase decreases the propensity

to continue by the odds of 0.772. Probably a large family serves as a depressant towards further education among the Malays. Family size is not a significant factor in the choice of Science or Arts fields.

医生物性周围的 机氨基化物 新闻书 计语言分词 化

#### 6.5.4 GRADE

School grades (in this case the uppersecondary examination results) do exert a sizeable influence on the decision to continue. The results indicate that a unit decrease in school grades will increase the propensity to continue by the odds of 0.748. This means better grades propel the students to demand for higher education. If one has good grades, why waste it by not furthering to higher education? This variable is significant in the case of rural students, the odds being 0.694; in female students, the odds being 0.573 and among the Malay students, the odds being 0.489. In analyzing the choice between the Science and Arts field, school grades is significant. A unit decrease in grades will increase the propensity to choose the science and technical field by the odds of 0.691. This variable is significant among rural students (the odds being 0.708), both male and female students (the odds being 0.676 and 0.695), and among the Chinese students (the odds being School grades seem to determine the choice of 0.613). the science field for rural students, for both boys and

girls, and Chinese students. It is interesting to note that school grades does not seem to determine the choice of science field for Malay and Indian students. Having good grades in this case does not mean the Malay or Indian students will automatically select the science and technical field.

. . ......

#### 6.5.5 STREAM

Being in the science stream increases the propensity to continue education by the odds of 2.88. This suggests that science students are confident that they are able to continue education. STREAM is significant among both urban and rural students, and among the male students. The propensity to continue for the urban students is increase by the odds of 4.573, for rural students by the odds of 2.741 and among the male students by the odds of 5.562.

#### 6.5.6 Other variables

The variable for family income, YFAM, is Positively significant among the male students. The Propensity to continue increase by the odds of 1.00 for a Unit increase in YFAM. However, it is negatively Significant among the female students. A one unit

increase in family income decreases the likelihood of continue by the odds of 1.00. Most likely because of sex-role stereotyping, being males, the decision and choice of education will depend on family income. If they had the means, families would provide greater support their male children in the pursuit of higher education. By taking estimates in the choice of science and technical or arts field, family income is not a significant variable. It means the family income is not a determining factor in the choice of educational fields.

YSPM (perceived earnings should one work is significant in the choice of after the SPM) educational fields. The sign however is positive (as opposed to the hypothesized relationship used in the decision to continue education in which YSPM is not significant). A unit increase in expected earnings will increase the likelihood of the choice of science and technical field by the odds of 1.011. In most students who perceived higher earnings likelihood, whether they continue education or not, would chose the science field. Their perception of higher earnings is thus related to the affinity towards the science field, This variable is significant among the rural students,

the male students and among the Malays. A unit increase in earnings expectation increases the desire to choose the science and technical field by the odds of 1.000 (for all).

#### 6.5 Summary of findings.

This chapter deals with the determinants educational decision and choice. Regression of the of overall sample indicates that the mother's education does not seem to be an influence in students' decision to continue education. Students' educational decisions or choices are not significantly affected by mothers' education and occupation. Family income in general, does not seem to be an influence in the decision to continue. The reason could be because as long as students can Obtained good grades, the parents will support them in furthering their education irrespective of family income. Perceived earnings are not a factor of importance in the decision to continue education in general. Probably at this age, the students are unable to predict their salary should they start working after form five, or even after further education. Being male, father's education, being in the science stream, family size and school grades are factors of influence in students' educational decisions at this level (form four).

Among the urban students, father's education and being in the science stream influence students' decisions, as compared 'to rural students whereby being male, and school grades besides father's education and being in the science stream do exert an influence on the students' decisions. Taking the analysis by gender, among the boys, father's education, being in the science stream and family income (positive) play a role in their decision. Among the girls, father's education, school grades and family income (negative) Taking the analysis by ethnic exert an influence. groups, being male, family size and school grades seem to influence the Malay students' decisions whereas among the Chinese students, only father's education seem important as an influencing factor in their decisions. There were no significant factors of influence among the Indian students in educational decisions.

. 64

In analyzing educational choices in terms of the science and technical or arts fields among the students, it was found that mother's education or occupation, family size and family income do not seem to be an influence. Among the brban students, only father's educational level is an influence in the choice of the science field. Among the rural students, school grades and perceived earnings after SPM are significant

variables in the educational choice. Male students' educational choice tend to be influenced by father's educational level, school grades and perceived earnings after SPM. The female students however are only influenced by school grades in their choice of Science and technical field. Analysing by ethnic groups, it was found that the choice of the Malay students are influenced by perceived earnings after SPM, the Chinese students by father's education and school grades. Among the Indian students, being male greatly affects the choice of science and technical field.