

ACV1167.

**ASSESSING METHODOLOGIES FOR IDENTIFICATION OF  
SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS IN THE ISO 14001 EMS  
(ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS)**

**ANN WANG WYE-WYE**

**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE INSTITUTE OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES,  
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF  
MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY (ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT)**

*(M. Tech (Env. Mgmt.)) - -*

Perpustakaan Universiti Malaya



A510233179

ok

**INSTITUTE OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES  
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA  
KUALA LUMPUR**

**NOVEMBER 2001**

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank the Almighty God for standing by me all the way and helping me to complete this research project.

My deepest gratitude to Dr. Noor Zalina Mahmood, my research supervisor, for all her guidance, advice and assistance throughout the course of this research. I would also like to extend my heartfelt and sincere thanks to Environmental Resources Management (M) Sdn. Bhd. and the staff, in particular Miss Phang Oy Cheng, who diligently supervised me and have shaped my thinking and understanding in the environmental management area, and made it possible for this research to be carried out.

This research was funded through the VOT PJP (Peruntukan Jangka Pendek) and I would like to take this opportunity to thank the Dean of the Institute of Postgraduate Studies for this allocation. My appreciation also extended to my friends for their fellowship during my time of thesis writing.

I would like to express my love and gratitude to my parents, Mr. and Mrs. Wang, and all my family members especially Wang Lay Kim, for their support, encouragement and prayer for me to succeed in my field of interest.

## ABSTRACT

ISO 14001 is a voluntary environmental management system specification standard in the ISO 14000 series. It contains those elements that must be satisfied by an organisation seeking registration or certification to the standard. Identification of significant environmental impacts is one of the requirements as stated in clause 4.3.1 of MS ISO 14001 EMS – specification with guidance for use, and is an important step in the implementation of ISO 14001 standard. A study on the methodologies for identification of significant environmental impacts in the ISO 14001 EMS (Environmental Management Systems) was carried out.

Three methods that have been developed are applied in this study, and an electrical/electronic industry has been chosen as the subject of the study. Method A is a qualitative method, which shows the significance of impacts as 'top', 'high', 'medium' or 'low'. Method B and Method C are both quantitative assessment, which involve numerical scoring system to enable prioritisation of each significant impact. Method C further categorised the significance level into three ranges, namely, 'very significant', 'significant' and 'non-significant'. Generally, each of the three methods has its strengths and weaknesses.

The results obtained by each method is not consistently the same, yet, do not differ much. Method B is deemed to be most suitable for assessing the significant impacts in the selected case study, as the description and usage of the key criteria are more applicable to its activities, products and services. However, these three methods are still incomprehensive, but can be incorporated to set up a more thorough method. Therefore in setting up a method of analysis that is more comprehensive some criteria would have to be included in a method for identifying significance. It is important that the scope of descriptions should also be specific to each issues of concern. Qualitative and quantitative assessments are therefore recommended and have to be integrated in the method of analysis so as to provide a thorough view of its significant aspects and impacts. This would enable a company to assess and act accordingly to the requirements whether legal or other wise. Hence any method incorporated by a company for identification of significant impacts must be thorough, yet flexible and practical to be used.

iv

**PENILAIAN KAEDAH-KAEDAH BAGI MENGENALPASTI KESIGNIFIKAN IMPAK-  
IMPAK ALAM SEKITAR DALAM ISO 14001 (SISTEM PENGURUSAN  
ALAM SEKITAR)**

**ABSTRAK**

ISO 14001 merupakan suatu piawaian spesifikasi sistem pengurusan alam sekitar yang terdapat dalam siri ISO 14000. Piawaian ini mengandungi elemen-elemen yang perlu dicapai oleh sesebuah organisasi yang ingin mendapatkan pendaftaran atau pensijilan kepada piawai tersebut. Pengenalpastian kesignifikan impak-impak alam sekitar adalah salah satu kehendak yang dinyatakan dalam klaus 4.3.1 MS ISO 14001 EMS – spesifikasi dengan panduan kegunaan, dan merupakan langkah yang amat penting dalam pelaksanaan piawaian ISO 14001. Penilaian kaedah-kaedah bagi pengenalpastian kesignifikan impak-impak dalam ISO 14001 telah dijalankan.

Tiga kaedah yang telah direka digunakan dalam kajian ini, dan suatu industri elektronik/ elektrik telah dipilih sebagai subjek kajian ini. Kaedah A adalah kaedah penilaian kesignifikan impak secara kualitatif, iaitu mengelaskan kesignifikan impak dalam kategori 'sangat tinggi', 'tinggi', 'sederhana' atau 'rendah'. Kaedah B dan Kaedah C pula merupakan penilaian secara kuantitatif, di mana melibatkan sistem skor berangka untuk menentukan tahap kesignifikan impak. Di samping itu, Kaedah C mengelaskan kesignifikan impak kepada tiga kategori, iaitu, 'sangat signifikan', 'signifikan' dan 'tidak signifikan'. Secara am, ketiga-tiga kaedah yang telah dinilai mempunyai kebaikan dan keburukan masing-masing dalam penilaian kesignifikan impak.

Keputusan yang diperolehi daripada ketiga-tiga kaedah adalah tidak konsisten, tetapi tidak jauh berbeza. Kaedah B didapati lebih sesuai untuk penilaian kesignifikan impak bagi kes kajian ini, memandangkan deskripsi dan kegunaan kriteria-kriteria dalam kaedah ini lebih bersesuaian dengan aktiviti-aktiviti, produk-produk dan perkhidmatannya. Walaubagaimanapun, ketiga-tiga kaedah ini masih tidak lengkap, tetapi boleh digabungkan untuk menghasilkan kaedah yang lebih baik. Beberapa kriteria yang telah dinilai sekurang-kurangnya patut diambil kira semasa penilaian impak signifikan. Skop dan deskripsi kriteria perlu spesifik kepada isu-isu tertentu. Penilaian secara kualitatif dan kuantitatif patut digabungkan dalam satu kaedah. Secara keseluruhannya, kaedah yang direka untuk mengenalpasti kesignifikan impak perlu lengkap, praktik dan fleksibel untuk diguna.

## CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>LIST OF CONTENTS</b>	v
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xii
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research objectives	3
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 ISO 14000 series: ISO 14001 and ISO 14004	7
2.3 Why is ISO 14001 EMS important?	11
2.4 Identifying environmental aspects and impacts	15
2.4.1 Environmental aspects	15
2.4.2 Environmental impacts	16
2.4.3 Determining the significant impacts	17
2.5 ISO 14000: the world-wide response	20
2.5.1 The European reaction	21
2.5.2 South American responses	22
2.5.3 Japanese responses	23
2.5.4 Korean responses	24

2.5.5	Taiwanese responses	25
2.5.6	Malaysian responses	25
2.6	Industries and business organisations responses	26
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Literature review and internet search	31
3.3	Document review	31
3.4	Legal review	32
3.5	Personal interview	32
3.6	Methodologies for assessing significant impacts	32
3.6.1	Method A – Qualitative assessment	33
3.6.2	Method B – Quantitative assessment	38
3.6.3	Method C – Quantitative assessment	42
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>		
4.1	Introduction	46
4.2	Issues of concern	47
4.2.1	Air emission	47
4.2.2	Water and wastewater discharges	60
4.2.3	Waste management	72
4.2.4	Contamination of land/ soil and groundwater	79
4.2.5	Occupational safety and health	86
4.3	Analysis of methodologies	
4.3.1	Method A	93
4.3.2	Method B	94
4.3.3	Method C	96
4.3.4	Summary of Method A, Method B and Method C	97
4.4	Analysis of criteria used in setting up a method	100

4.4.1	Legislation/ regulatory compliance	101
4.4.2	Corporate standards/ external industry standards	103
4.4.3	Policies / directives	104
4.4.4	Customer concerns	105
4.4.5	Public image	106
4.4.6	Community concerns	107
4.4.7	Technical feasibility	109
4.4.8	Financial feasibility	109
4.4.9	Level of control	110
4.4.10	Probability of occurrence	112
4.4.11	Scale of impact	112
4.4.12	Severity of impact	113
4.4.13	Duration of impact	113
4.5	Summary of recommendations for developing methodology	114
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION</b>		
5.1	Summary of main findings	116
5.2	Implication of findings	119
5.3	Limitations of the study	119
5.4	Recommendations for further research	120
<b>REFERENCES</b>		121

## LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Elements of ISO 14001	9
Table 2.2	Number of certificates issued in Asian countries	27
Table 2.3	Examples of organisations that benefited from implementation of ISO 14001	28
Table 3.1	Significance Assessment Matrix (SAM) – Probability	33
Table 3.2	Significance Assessment Matrix – Severity	35
Table 3.3	Adjust probability according to level of control	36
Table 3.4	Significance Assessment Matrix	37
Table 3.5	Scoring matrix of Method B – example of calculation (e.g. air emission – release of HCL fumes)	40
Table 3.6	Scoring matrix of Method C – example of calculation	44
Table 4.1	Result of significance level determined by Method A, Method B and Method C – air emission	49
Table 4.2	Scoring matrix of Method A – use of Halon fire extinguishers for fire fighting	50
Table 4.3	Scoring matrix of Method B – use of Halon fire extinguishers for fire fighting	51
Table 4.4	Scoring matrix of Method C – use of Halon fire extinguishers for fire fighting	53
Table 4.5	Scoring matrix of Method A – discharge of HF & HNO <sub>3</sub> acid fumes	55



Table 4.6	Scoring matrix of Method B – discharge of HF & HNO <sub>3</sub> acid fumes	56
Table 4.7	Scoring matrix of Method C – discharge of HF & HNO <sub>3</sub> acid fumes	58
Table 4.8	Result of significance level determined by Method A, Method B and Method C – water and wastewater	61
Table 4.9	Scoring matrix of Method A – coolant collected disposed in open drain without treatment	62
Table 4.10	Scoring matrix of Method B – coolant collected disposed in open drain without treatment	63
Table 4.11	Scoring matrix of Method C – coolant collected disposed in open drain without treatment	65
Table 4.12	Scoring matrix of Method A – releasing of resin into open drain	67
Table 4.13	Scoring matrix of Method B – releasing of resin into open drain	68
Table 4.14	Scoring matrix of Method C – releasing of resin into open drain	70
Table 4.15	Result of significance level determined by Method A, Method B and Method C – waste management	73
Table 4.16	Scoring matrix of Method A – improper disposal of mercury lamps to general waste area	74
Table 4.17	Scoring matrix of Method B – improper disposal of mercury lamps to general waste area	75
Table 4.18	Scoring matrix of Method C – improper disposal of mercury lamps to general waste area	77
Table 4.19	Result of significance level determined by Method A, Method B and Method C – contamination of land/ soil and groundwater	80

Table 4.20	Scoring matrix of Method A – incorrect storage of chemical waste	81
Table 4.21	Scoring matrix of Method B – incorrect storage of chemical waste	82
Table 4.22	Scoring matrix of Method C – incorrect storage of chemical waste	84
Table 4.23	Result of significance level determined by Method A, Method B and Method C – occupational safety and health	87
Table 4.24	Scoring matrix of Method A – exposure of operators to sparks and fumes without PPE	88
Table 4.25	Scoring matrix of Method B – exposure of operators to sparks and fumes without PPE	89
Table 4.26	Scoring matrix of Method C – exposure of operators to sparks and fumes without PPE	91
Table 4.27	Summary of key criteria of Method A, Method B and Method C	97
Table 4.28	Summary of the strengths and weaknesses of Method A, Method B and Method C	98

**LIST OF FIGURES**

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 2.1    The five steps and elements of ISO 14001	8

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

APS	Activities, Products and Services
CERES	Coalition of Environmentally Responsible Economics
CIMAH	Control of Industrial Major Accident Hazards
EMS	Environmental Management Systems
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
ICC	International Chamber of Commerce
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
OSH	Occupational Safety and Health
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment