EB: 699 RESEARCH PAPER CUSTOMER SATISFACTION OF COPIER USERS

KOH LIAN PENG Bachelor Of Science In Mechanical Engineering University of Washington 1987

Submitted to the Faculty of Economics and Administration ' University of Malaya

in fulfillment of EB699 Research Paper for the degree of Master of Business Administration March 1996

CLOSED STACKS

ABSTRACT

The Study on customer satisfaction has become a major concern for businesses. This study chose a very competitive industry to study the impact of service quality on customer satisfaction.

The study found that there is only one service dimension for the copier service, instead of five dimensions identified by pervious researchers. The study also found strong correlation between customer satisfaction and likelihood to repurchase, and service quality affects satisfaction more significantly than product quality.

Through the usage of both SERVQUAL and SERVPERF scale, it was found that SERVPERF is more superior that SERVQUAL scale in measuring service quality.

Competitive study on competitive brands' quality reveals that all leading brands could not meet customer expectations, in most of the service characteristics. And that Xerox may be overall leader in the industry in terms of quality.

Dimikrofiskan pada	11. 01. 2000
No. Mikrofis	14767
Jumlah Mikrofis	
HAMSIAH BT. MO	W
	ISTAKAAN LITAHA

Profile of high satisfaction customers were found to be related to higher speed machine and newer machine users. All these have major implications to the marketers in the copier industry.

~

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

In the completion of this paper, I specially like to express my thanks to my supervisor Prof. Madya Dr. Mohd. Nor. Othman. His valuable advises and guidance have helped me in successfully completing this paper.

I also would like to thank Mr. Kamarulzaman and Mr. Tan Seng Khee, for assisting me in the area of data analysis. And last but not least, my sincere thanks to my wife, my parents and sisters in their total supports and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION
2	LITERATURE REVIEW
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
4	RESEARCH RESULTS28 Characteristics of Respondents28
	 1) Characteristics of Respondents Companies

CHAPTER

4

5

Page

SERVPERF Dimensions
Reliability
Quality, Satisfaction and Repurchase40/
Relationships Between Satisfaction,
Repurchase and Quality40
Comparing Brands
Competitive Brand Study Results50
Characteristics of High and Low
Satisfaction Customer
Satisfaction by Speed of Machine54
Satisfaction by Year of Machine in use.55
RECOMMENDATIONS57
Overview of the Study57
Dimensionality of Service Quality57
Relationship between Quality,
satisfaction and repurchase
Implication of the Study
Performance of Competing Brands60
Profile of High Satisfaction
Customers
Suggestion for Future Research63
Conclusion64
APPENDIX65

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Table	Page
1.1	WORLDWIDE REVENUE OF KEY COMPETITORS	7
1.2	REVENUE TABLE OF KEY COMPETITORS IN MALAYSIA	8
4.1	CHARACTERISCTICS OF RESPONDENT COMPANIES	29
4.2	CROSSTABULATION OF INDUSTRY WITH COMPANY SIZE	30
4.3	CHARACTERISTICS OF RESPONDENTS	32
4.4	CROSSTABULATION OF EDUCATION LEVEL WITH TYPES OF RESPONDENTS	33
4.5	CHARACTERISTICS OF COPIER	34
4.6	VARIMAX ROTATE FACTOR TO MATRIX OF SERVQUAL SCALE	37
4.7	INTERNAL RELIABILITY COEEFIECIENT OF SERVQUAL	38
4.8	VARIMAX ROTATION FACTOR TO MATRIX OF SERVPERF	39
4.9	INTERNAL RELIABILITY COEFFICIENT FOR SERVPERF	40
4.10	CORRELATION COEFFICIENT OF QUALITY, SATISFACTION & REPURCHASE	41
4.11	REGRESSION ANALYSIS FOR LIKEHOOD TO REPURCHASE	42
4.12	REGRESSION ANALYSIS FOR SATISFACTION	43
4.13	REGRESSION ANALYSIS FOR SERVICE QUALITY	43
4.14	PAIRED T TEST FOR XEROX SERQUAL SCALE	46
4.15	PAIRED T TEST FOR CANON SERQUAL SCALE	47
4.16	PAIRED T TEST FOR CANON SERQUAL SCALE	48
4.17	PAIRED T TEST FOR MINOLTA SERQUAL SCALE	49
4.18	COMPETITING BRANDS SERVQUAL MEAN SCORE TABLE	51
4.19	COMPETITING BRANDS SERVPERF MEAN SCORE TABLE	52

	COMPETITING BRANDS QUALITY, SATISFACTION & REPURCHASE MEAN SCORE TABLE	53
4.21	ONE-WAY ANOVA ON SATISFACTION BY MACHINE TYPE	55

4.22 ONE-WAY ANOVA ON PRODUCT QUALITY BY BRANDS 56

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.	Figures	Page
2.1	A MODEL FOR POSTACQUISITION POCRESS	12
2.2	EXPECTANCY DISCONFIRMATION MODEL	14
2.3	THE GAP MODEL	17
2.4	COMPARISON BETWEEN CUSTOMER EVALUATION OF OERCEIVED QUALITY AND SATISFACTION	21