

# CHAPTER 3

## NULLITY DISTRIBUTION

ON  $(TM, g^c, \nabla^c)$

### 3.1 Nullity distributions

The nullity distribution was first defined by Chern and Kuiper in their paper [Ch] on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$ . The distribution assigns to each point  $m \in M$ , the subspace

$$N(m) = N_m = \{X \in T_m M \mid R(X, Y) = 0, \text{ for all } Y \in T_m M\},$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Riemannian connection with respect to  $g$  in  $M$  and  $R$  is the curvature tensor. We denote the nullity distribution by  $N$ . The dimension of  $N_m$  is denoted by  $\mu(m)$ .

In the same way, the nullity distribution on an affine manifold  $(M, \nabla)$ , a manifold with a connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  can be considered.

If  $U$  is an open set in  $M$ , then  $\Gamma(U, N)$  denotes the set of all vector fields  $X$  such that  $X(m) \in N_m$  for any  $m \in U$ . When  $U = M$ , we write  $\Gamma(N)$  for short.

We say that a nullity distribution  $N$  on  $M$  is *involutive* if the presheaf of cross sections over open sets of  $M$  is involutive. Then we have

#### Theorem 3.1 [Tan, page 324]

The nullity distribution  $N$  on an affine manifold  $M$  is involutive.

Proof: Let  $U$  be an open set in  $M$ ,  $Y, Z \in \Gamma(U, N)$ . It is sufficient to show that  $R([Y, Z], X) = 0$  for arbitrary vector field  $X$  defined on  $U$ . Since

$$\nabla_X(R(Y, Z)) = \nabla_Y(R(Z, X)) = \nabla_Z(R(X, Y)) = 0,$$

we have

$$0 = S(\nabla_X(R(Y, Z))) = S\{(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z) + R(\nabla_X Y, Z) + R(Y, \nabla_X Z)\},$$

where  $S$  denotes the cyclic sum. Recall the following Bianchi Second Identity,

$$S\{(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z) + R(T(X, Y), Z)\} = 0.$$

Therefore, when we substitute for  $(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)$ , we have

$$0 = S(-R(T(X, Y), Z) + R(\nabla_X Y, Z) + R(Y, \nabla_X Z)).$$

By actually carrying out the cyclic sum, and noting that  $Y, Z \in \Gamma(U, N)$ , we obtain

$$0 = R(-T(Y, Z) + \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y, X).$$

Since  $T(Y, Z) = \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y - [Y, Z]$ , we find that  $R([Y, Z], X) = 0$ . This proves the theorem. ■

The curvature tensor can also be considered as a bundle map  $R : TM \rightarrow \text{Hom}(TM, \text{End}TM)$ . The nullity distribution  $N$  of  $(M, \nabla)$  can now be defined as the kernel of the map  $R$ , namely  $N \equiv \ker R$ .

Since  $R$  is a bundle map, the dimension at each fibre is always upper semicontinuous. Thus the function  $\mu(m)$  is upper semicontinuous. Hence the set  $V$  where  $\mu(m)$  assumes its minimum value is open in  $M$ .

The distribution  $N$  is nonsingular only on the set  $V$ . In general  $N$  is singular. The index  $\mu$  will not take the value  $n-1$  at any point, where  $n$  is the dimension of  $M$ . If so, then there exists linearly independent  $X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}$  in  $T_m M$  such that  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}\}$  form a basis in  $N_m$ . Choose  $X_n$  such that  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n\}$  form a basis in  $T_m M$ . Since  $R(X_i, X_n) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , so  $R(X_n, -) = 0$  implies that  $\mu(m) = n$ .

**Corollary 3.2 [Tan, page 325]**

If  $\mu(m)$  is locally constant on an open submanifold  $M'$  of  $M$ , then  $N$  is integrable on  $M'$ .

Since the affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  may not induce connections on the leaves, we cannot say that the leaves of  $N$  are flat.

**Proposition 3.3 [Tan, page 325]**

Let  $f: M \rightarrow M$  be an affine transformation [Ko, page 226] of the affine manifold  $(M, \nabla)$ . Then  $\mu(m) = \mu(f(m))$ .

**Proof:** Since  $f$  is a transformation, for every  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ,  $X$  is  $f$ -related to  $f_*X$ . Also, since  $f$  is an affine transformation  $f_*R(X, Y)Z = R(f_*X, f_*Y)f_*Z$  for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , the result follows immediately because  $f_*$  is an isomorphism. ■

From now on we assume that the nullity distribution  $N$  of an affine manifold  $(M, \nabla)$  is nonsingular.

**Proposition 3.4 [Tan, page 326]**

Let  $M$  be an affine manifold with curvature tensor parallel with respect to  $N$ . Then  $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(N)$  for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(N)$ .

Proof: We need to show that  $R(\nabla_X Y, Z) = 0$  for all  $Z \in \mathcal{H}(M)$ . Since  $\nabla_X R = 0$ , then

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X (R(Y, Z)) - R(\nabla_X Y, Z) - R(Y, \nabla_X Z) = 0$$

implies that  $R(\nabla_X Y, Z) = 0$  since  $R$  is parallel with respect to  $N$  by our assumption. ■

Note that in a Riemannian manifold, the curvature tensor of its canonical connection is already parallel with respect to its nullity distribution  $N$ .

Let  $M'$  be a submanifold of  $M$ . We know that in a Riemannian manifold,  $\nabla$  will induce a connection on  $M'$  but this may not be true for an affine manifold. However, if  $M'$  is an autoparallel submanifold of the affine manifold  $(M, \nabla)$ , that is, for each  $X \in TM'_m$  and every curve  $\gamma$  in  $M'$  with  $\gamma(0) = m$ , the parallel displacement of  $X$  along  $\gamma$  with respect to the affine connection of  $M$ , yields a vector tangent to  $M'$ , then the affine connection on  $M$  induces a connection on  $M'$  in a natural manner.

**Proposition 3.5 [Ko, volume II, page 55]**

Let  $M'$  be a submanifold of the affine manifold  $(M, \nabla)$ . Then  $M'$  is an autoparallel submanifold if and only if  $\nabla_X Y$  is tangent to  $M'$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M')$ . When  $M'$  is an autoparallel submanifold,  $\nabla$  induces a connection on  $M'$  in a natural manner.

**Proposition 3.6 [Tan, page 326]**

If the curvature tensor is parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ , then every leaf of  $N$  is autoparallel.

**Proof:** Let  $L$  be an integral submanifold of  $N$ . We need to show that  $\nabla_X Y$  is tangent to  $L$  for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(L)$ . This follows from Proposition 3.4. ■

### **3.2 Characterization of nullity distributions**

We have the following simple characterization of a nullity distribution which we will need in the sections to follow.

**Proposition 3.7**

$X \in \Gamma(N)$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=1}^n X^k R_{kji}^h = 0$  for all  $h, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $R_{kji}^h$ ,

$X^k$  are local components of  $X$  and  $R$  respectively.

**Proof:** If  $X \in \Gamma(N)$ , then  $R(X, Y)Z = 0$  for all  $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Let us express  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  in terms of local coordinates,

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, Y = \sum_{i=1}^n Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, Z = \sum_{i=1}^n Z^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},$$

where  $X^i, Y^i, Z^i \in C^\infty(M)$ . Take  $R_{kji}^h$  as components of the curvature tensor  $R$  with

respect to the coordinate  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ , namely  $R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \sum_{h=1}^n R_{kji}^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x^h}$ . Then

$$R(X, Y)Z = \sum_{i,j,k,h=1}^n X^k Y^j Z^i R_{kji}^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x^h} = 0 \quad \text{for all } Y^j, Z^i \in C^\infty(M).$$

Thus we have  $\sum_{h,k=1}^n X^k R_{kji}^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x^h} = 0$ ; which implies that  $\sum_{k=1}^n X^k R_{kji}^h = 0$  for all

$h, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $X$  is in  $\Gamma(N)$  if and only if  $\sum_{k=1}^n X^k R_{kji}^h = 0$  for all  $h, i, j$ . ■

### 3.3 Nullity distribution on $(TM, g^c, \nabla^c)$

We already know that for a Riemannian manifold  $(M, g, \nabla)$ , where  $R$  and  $T$  are the curvature and torsion tensors with respect to  $\nabla$ , the tangent bundle  $TM$  with metric  $g^c$ , being the complete lift of  $g$  to  $TM$ , will form a pseudo-Riemannian manifold and the connection induced by  $g^c$ , will be  $\nabla^c$  while the curvature and torsion tensors will be  $R^c$  and  $T^c$  respectively (see section 2.15). Let us consider the nullity distribution of the manifold  $(TM, g^c, \nabla^c)$ .

Recall that for the tangent bundle of  $(M, g, \nabla)$ , the curvature tensor  $R^c$  of  $\nabla^c$  has components  $\bar{R}_{CBA}^D$ ,  $A, B, C, D = 1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}$ , which can be expressed in terms of components of the curvature tensor  $R$  with respect to  $\nabla$ ,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \bar{R}_{kji}{}^h &= R_{kji}{}^h, \bar{R}_{kji}{}^{\bar{h}} = \partial \mathcal{R}_{kji}{}^h = \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{kji}{}^h}{\partial \bar{x}^l}, \\ \bar{R}_{kji}{}^{\bar{h}} &= R_{kji}{}^h, \bar{R}_{kji}{}^{\bar{h}} = R_{kji}{}^h, \bar{R}_{\bar{k}ji}{}^{\bar{h}} = R_{kji}{}^h, \\ \text{all the others being zero,} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (*)$$

with respect to the induced coordinates  $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{x}^{\bar{1}}, \dots, \bar{x}^{\bar{n}})$  or  $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n)$  in  $TM$ .

If  $\bar{X} = \sum_A \bar{X}^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^A} = \sum_{h=1}^n \left( \bar{X}^h \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^h} + \bar{X}^{\bar{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}^h} \right)$  is in  $\Gamma(\bar{N})$ , where  $\bar{N}$  is the nullity

distribution in  $TM$  with respect to the curvature tensor  $R^C$  of  $\nabla^C$ , then from Proposition 3.7, we have

$$\sum_C \bar{R}_{CBA}{}^D \bar{X}^C = 0 \quad \text{for any } A, B, D. \quad (**)$$

From (\*), the above equations (\*\*) reduce to the following two cases:

(i)  $D = h, B = j, A = i$ , we have

$$\sum_C \bar{R}_{Cji}{}^h \bar{X}^C = \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k = 0, \quad (I)$$

(ii)  $D = \bar{h}, B = j, A = i$ , we have  $\sum_C \bar{R}_{Cji}{}^{\bar{h}} \bar{X}^C = 0$ ; which implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\bar{R}_{kji}{}^{\bar{h}} \bar{X}^k + \bar{R}_{\bar{k}ji}{}^{\bar{h}} \bar{X}^{\bar{k}}) = 0,$$

and from (\*), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (\partial \mathcal{R}_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k + R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^{\bar{k}}) = 0. \quad (II)$$

So the vector field  $\bar{X}$  in  $TM$  is in  $\Gamma(\bar{N})$  if and only if its components  $\{\bar{X}^A\}$  satisfy

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji} {}^h \bar{X}^k &= 0 \\ \sum_{k=1}^n (\partial \mathcal{R}_{kji} {}^h \bar{X}^k + R_{kji} {}^h \bar{X}^{\bar{k}}) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad h, i, j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (***)$$

Now we consider the vertical and complete lifts of vector fields in  $\Gamma(N)$ . If

$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  is in  $\Gamma(N)$ , then we know that the  $X^i$ 's satisfy  $\sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji} {}^h X^k = 0$ , for all  $h, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

The vertical lift of  $X$  will be  $X^{V'} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{X}^k \\ \bar{X}^{\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ X^k \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^n X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$ . Its components

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji} {}^h \bar{X}^k &= 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n (\partial \mathcal{R}_{kji} {}^h \bar{X}^k + R_{kji} {}^h \bar{X}^{\bar{k}}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji} {}^h X^k \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\bar{X}^k = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and Proposition 3.7. Hence  $X^{V'} \in \Gamma(\bar{N})$ .

The complete lift of  $X \in \Gamma(N) \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(M)$  will be

$X^C = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{X}^k \\ \bar{X}^{\bar{k}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^k \\ \partial \mathcal{X}^k \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^n (X^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + \partial \mathcal{X}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k})$ . Its components satisfy

$$\sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji} {}^h \bar{X}^k = \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji} {}^h X^k = 0 \quad \text{and}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^n (R_{kji}^h \bar{X}^{\bar{k}} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{kji}^h \bar{X}^{\bar{k}}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n (R_{kji}^h \partial X^k + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{kji}^h X^k) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \partial (R_{kji}^h X^k) \\
&= \partial \left( \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}^h X^k \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $h, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $X^C$  is in  $\Gamma(\bar{N})$ .

### Proposition 3.8

For any  $X \in \Gamma(N)$ , we have  $X^\nu, X^C \in \Gamma(\bar{N})$ .

Let  $\pi$  be the projection from  $TM$  onto  $M$ . The differential of  $\pi, \pi_*$  will map the tangent bundle of  $T(TM)$  to  $TM$ ,  $\pi_*: T(TM) \rightarrow TM$ . If  $\bar{Y}$  is in  $T(TM)$  at  $(p, X)$ , then  $\pi_*\bar{Y}$  will be a tangent at  $p$  with  $(\pi_*\bar{Y})f = \bar{Y}(f \circ \pi)$  for all  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .

The nullity distribution  $\bar{N}$  of  $TM$  is a subspace of the tangent bundle of  $TM$ , we would like to see the effect of  $\pi_*$  over  $\bar{N}$ .

Consider the nullity subspace  $\bar{N}(p, X)$  for  $(p, X) \in TM$ . For any  $\bar{Y}(p, X) \in \bar{N}(p, X)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Y}(p, X) &= \sum_A \bar{Y}^A(p, X) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^A}(p, X), \\
\pi_*\bar{Y}(p, X) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{Y}^i(p, X) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^i}(p),
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $h, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

From (\*) we have  $\sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji} {}^h \bar{Y}^k(p, X) = 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^n (\partial \mathcal{R}_{kji} {}^h \bar{Y}^k + R_{kji} {}^h \bar{Y}^k)(p, X) = 0$ .

Then  $\pi_* \bar{Y}(p, X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{Y}^i(p, X) \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i}(p)$  whose components clearly satisfy

$$\sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji} {}^h \bar{Y}^k(p, X) = 0, \text{ for all } h, i, j = 1, \dots, n, \text{ which implies that } \pi_* \bar{Y}(p, X) \text{ is in } N(p).$$

This is true for all  $\bar{Y}(p, X)$  in  $\bar{N}(p, X)$ . Therefore,  $\pi_* \bar{N}(p, X) \subseteq N(p)$ . Hence we have

$\pi_*: \bar{N} \rightarrow N$ . Moreover from Proposition 3.8  $\pi_*: \bar{N} \rightarrow N$  is an onto map. This proves the following:

### Proposition 3.9

$$N(p) = \pi_*(\bar{N}(p, X)).$$

### 3.4 The dimension of the nullity distribution on $(TM, g^c, \nabla^c)$

Suppose that the dimension of the nullity distribution  $N$  of  $M$  is  $d$ . Let  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$  be a local basis of  $\Gamma(N)$ . We already know that  $e_i^V$  and  $e_i^C$  are in  $\Gamma(\bar{N})$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ .

Let  $e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n e_{ij} \partial_j$ . Since the dimension of  $N$  is  $d$ , the rank of the matrix  $(e_{ij})$  is also  $d$ . The

set  $\{e_i^V\}_{i=1}^d$  is linearly independent since  $e_i^V = \sum_{j=1}^n e_{ij} \partial_j$  and it has the same matrix  $(e_{ij})$ .

Consider  $\sum_{i=1}^d (\gamma_i e_i^V + \beta_i e_i^C) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^n (\gamma_i e_{ij} \partial_j + \beta_i \partial_{ij} \partial_j + \beta_i e_{ij} \partial_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^n ((\gamma_i e_{ij} + \beta_i \partial_{ij}) \partial_j + \beta_i e_{ij} \partial_j) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_i e_{ij} \partial_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_i e_i = 0$  implies that  $\beta_i = 0$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^n ((\gamma_i e_{ij} + \beta_i \partial_{ij}) \partial_j) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_i e_{ij} \partial_j = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i e_i = 0 \text{ implies that } \gamma_i = 0 \text{ since } \{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$$

is linearly independent. Hence we know that  $\{e_i, e_i^C\}$  is linearly independent. This shows that the dimension of  $\bar{N}$  is greater or equal to  $2d$ .

We would like to show that under the assumption that both  $N$  and  $\bar{N}$  are regular, the dimension of  $\bar{N}$  is actually  $2d$ , where  $d$  is the dimension of  $N$ , i.e., at each point  $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n)$ ,  $\bar{N}_{(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n)}$  is a  $2d$  dimensional subspace of  $T_{(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n)}(TM)$ .

For any  $\bar{X} \in \Gamma(\bar{U}, \bar{N})$ , let  $\bar{X}^B, \bar{R}_{BCD}^A$  be the local components of  $\bar{X}$  and  $R^C$  respectively with respect to the induced coordinates. Then from Proposition 3.7, we have

$$\sum_B \bar{R}_{BCD}^A \bar{X}^B = 0 \quad \text{for any } A, C, D. \quad (1)$$

We already know that

$$\bar{R}_{kji}^h(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n) = R_{kji}^h(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n),$$

$$\bar{R}_{kji}^{\bar{h}} = \partial \mathcal{R}_{kji}^h = \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{kji}^h}{\partial \bar{x}^l}, \quad \bar{R}_{\bar{k}ji}^{\bar{h}} = \bar{R}_{kji}^{\bar{h}} = \bar{R}_{kji}^{\bar{h}} = R_{kji}^h \quad \text{for all } i, j, h, k = 1, \dots, n$$

all the others being zero, where  $R_{kji}{}^h$  are local components of  $R$ .

From (\*\*\*) on page 96, we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k &= 0, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n (R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^{\bar{k}} + \partial R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k) &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{for all } i, j, h = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

The second equation of (2) can be further reduced:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^{\bar{k}} + \partial R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^{\bar{k}} - R_{kji}{}^h \partial \bar{X}^k + R_{kji}{}^h \partial \bar{X}^k + \partial R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h (\bar{X}^{\bar{k}} - \partial \bar{X}^k) + \sum_{k=1}^n \partial (R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h (\bar{X}^{\bar{k}} - \partial \bar{X}^k) + \partial \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k = \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h (\bar{X}^{\bar{k}} - \partial \bar{X}^k), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $h, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , since  $\sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k = 0$ . Thus we have  $\bar{X} \in \Gamma(\bar{U}, \bar{N})$  if and only if

its components  $\bar{X}^B$  satisfy

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{X}^k &= 0, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h (\bar{X}^{\bar{k}} - \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \frac{\partial \bar{X}^k}{\partial \bar{X}^l}) &= 0, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{for all } i, j, h = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3)$$

Since  $R_{kji}{}^h(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n)$  are functions of  $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$  only, we can show that the dimension of  $\bar{N}_{(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n)}$  is  $2d$ .

The system (3) at  $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n)$  will be

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n R_{h\bar{j}}{}^h \bar{X}^k \right) (\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n) &= 0, & 4(i) \\ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n R_{h\bar{j}}{}^h (\bar{X}^{\bar{k}} - \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \frac{\partial \bar{X}^k}{\partial \bar{X}^l}) \right) (\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n) &= 0, & 4(ii) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{for all } i, j, h = 1, \dots, n, \quad (4)$$

and its solutions will have the form  $(\bar{X}^k, \bar{X}^{\bar{k}}) = \left( \bar{X}^k, \bar{Y}^k + \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \frac{\partial \bar{X}^k}{\partial \bar{X}^l} \right), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$

where  $\{\bar{X}^k\}_{k=1}^n, \{\bar{Y}^k\}_{k=1}^n$  satisfy equation 4(i).

Since the degree of freedom of  $\{\bar{X}^k\}_{k=1}^n$  is equal to the dimension of  $N_{(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n)}$

which is equal to  $d$ , we now have to show that the degree of freedom of

$$\left\{ \bar{Y}^k + \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \frac{\partial \bar{X}^k}{\partial \bar{X}^l} \right\}_{k=1}^n \text{ is } d.$$

From the assumption that  $\bar{N}$  is regular we have

$\dim \bar{N}_{(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, \bar{y}^1, \dots, \bar{y}^n)} = \dim \bar{N}_{(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, 0, \dots, 0)}$ , thus, we only need to show that

$$\left\{ \left( \bar{Y}^k + \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \frac{\partial \bar{X}^k}{\partial \bar{X}^l} \right) (\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, 0, \dots, 0) \right\}_{k=1}^n \text{ is of degree } d. \quad \text{But}$$

$$\left\{ \left( \bar{Y}^k + \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \frac{\partial \bar{X}^k}{\partial \bar{X}^l} \right) (\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, 0, \dots, 0) \right\}_{k=1}^n = \{ \bar{Y}^k (\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, 0, \dots, 0) + 0 \}_{k=1}^n, \quad \text{hence}$$

the degree of freedom of  $\{ \bar{Y}^k (\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, 0, \dots, 0) \}_{k=1}^n$  is clearly  $d$  since equation 4(ii) at

$$(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ reduces to } \left( \sum_{k=1}^n R_{h\bar{j}}{}^h \bar{Y}^k \right) (\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n, 0, \dots, 0) = 0.$$

We summarize the above result in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.10

If the nullity distribution  $\bar{N}$  on  $TM$  is nonsingular, then the dimension of  $\bar{N}$  is twice the dimension of  $N$ .

Remarks:-If we assume only that  $N$  is regular,  $\bar{N}$  may still be singular. If we consider other liftings of  $g$  to  $TM$ , then their corresponding nullity distributions may also be studied.