

CHAPTER 4

(TM, \tilde{g}_s) AND GEODESICS IN (TM, \tilde{g}_s)

4.1 Sasaki metric

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. The metric g as a $(0, 2)$ tensor on M can be written as $g = \sum_{j,i=1}^n g_{ji} dx^j \otimes dx^i$, with g_{ji} as its tensor components. Let Γ_{ji}^h be the Christoffel symbols formed with g_{ji} . In a neighbourhood $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of TM , where U is an open set in M , we write $\delta\bar{y}^h = d\bar{y}^h + \sum_{j,i=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \bar{y}^j d\bar{x}^i$. Then $\sum_{j,i=1}^n g_{ji} \delta\bar{y}^j \otimes \delta\bar{y}^i$ will be a $(0, 2)$ tensor on TM . The *Sasaki metric* [Sa] is defined by

$$\tilde{g}_s = \sum_{j,i=1}^n (g_{ji} d\bar{x}^j \otimes d\bar{x}^i + g_{ji} \delta\bar{y}^j \otimes \delta\bar{y}^i).$$

Let us look at the components of the Sasaki metric,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_s &= \sum_{j,i=1}^n (g_{ji} d\bar{x}^j \otimes d\bar{x}^i + g_{ji} \delta\bar{y}^j \otimes \delta\bar{y}^i) \\ &= \sum_{j,i=1}^n \left(g_{ji} d\bar{x}^j \otimes d\bar{x}^i + \sum_{h,k,l,s=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^s \Gamma_{hj}^l g_{ls} \bar{y}^k \bar{y}^h d\bar{x}^j \otimes d\bar{x}^i + \sum_{k,s=1}^n \Gamma_{kj}^s \bar{y}^k g_{si} d\bar{x}^j \otimes d\bar{y}^i \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s,k=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^s \bar{y}^k g_{js} d\bar{y}^j \otimes d\bar{x}^i + g_{ji} d\bar{y}^j \otimes d\bar{x}^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,i=1}^n \left(\left(g_{ji} + \sum_{h,k,l,s=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^s \Gamma_{hj}^l g_{ls} \bar{y}^k \bar{y}^h \right) d\bar{x}^j \otimes d\bar{x}^i + \sum_{s,k=1}^n \Gamma_{kj}^s \bar{y}^k g_{si} d\bar{x}^j \otimes d\bar{y}^i \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s,k=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^s \bar{y}^k g_{js} d\bar{y}^j \otimes d\bar{x}^i + g_{ji} d\bar{y}^j \otimes d\bar{y}^i \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we write $\Gamma_s^t = \sum_{h=1}^n \Gamma_{hs}^t \bar{y}^h$, then \tilde{g}_s in matrix form will be:

$$\tilde{g}_s = (\tilde{g}_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{ji} + \sum_{s,j=1}^n g_{is} \Gamma_j^i \Gamma_i^s & \sum_{s=1}^n \Gamma_j^s g_{si} \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_i^s g_{sj} & g_{ji} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can see that for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{I}_0^l(M)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_s(X^H, Y^H) &= \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n (X^h \partial_h - \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_m^h X^m \partial_{\bar{h}}), \sum_{h=1}^n (Y^h \partial_h - \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_m^h Y^m \partial_{\bar{h}}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (g_{ji} + \sum_{s,j=1}^n g_{is} \Gamma_j^i \Gamma_i^s) X^j Y^i - \sum_{i,j,m,s=1}^n g_{is} \Gamma_j^s X^j \Gamma_m^i Y^m \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j,m,s=1}^n g_{sj} \Gamma_i^s Y^i \Gamma_m^j X^m + \sum_{i,j,m,s=1}^n g_{ji} \Gamma_m^j X^m \Gamma_s^i Y^s \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ji} X^j Y^i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_s(X^V, Y^V) &= \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n X^h \partial_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n Y^h \partial_{\bar{h}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ji} X^j Y^i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_s(X^H, Y^V) &= \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n (X^h \partial_h - \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_m^h X^m \partial_{\bar{h}}), \sum_{h=1}^n Y^h \partial_{\bar{h}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,s=1}^n \Gamma_j^s g_{si} X^j Y^i - \sum_{i,j,m=1}^n \Gamma_m^j X^m Y^i g_{ji} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus we have

$$\tilde{g}_s(X^H, Y^H) = \tilde{g}_s(X^V, Y^V) = (g(X, Y))^V,$$

$$\tilde{g}_s(X^H, Y^V) = 0.$$

For an alternative approach to the Sasaki metric, see [Kw] and [Do].

4.2 Adapted frames

In this section, we shall use some notation to simplify our structure: $\Gamma_s^t = \sum_{h=1}^n \Gamma_{hs}^t \bar{y}^h$

$\hat{\wedge}_{ji} = \sum_{t=1}^n \Gamma_j^t g_{ti} = \sum_{t,k=1}^n \Gamma_{kt}^t \bar{y}^k g_{ti}$, from $\sum_{t=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^t g_{tk} = \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k}$, it is clear that $\hat{\wedge}_{ji} = \hat{\wedge}_{ij}$.

So we can write $\bar{g}_s = (\bar{g}_{AB}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{ji} + \sum_{s,t=1}^n \hat{\wedge}_{ji}^s & \\ & g_{ji} \end{pmatrix}$.

Let U be an open set in M with local coordinate (x^1, \dots, x^n) . Take

$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right)$ as its local basis on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. Consider $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^V = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ and

$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^H = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \sum_{h,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \bar{y}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^h} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \sum_{h=1}^n \Gamma_i^h \frac{\partial}{\partial y^h}$. We put $D_i = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^H$ and

$D_i = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^V$. Then the set $\{D_i, D_i\}$ is a local basis for $\pi^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \subseteq T(TM)$. We call

this the *adapted frame*.

If we write

$$(A_B^A) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i^h & -\Gamma_i^h \\ 0 & \delta_i^h \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } (A_B^A)^{-1} = (A^A_B) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i^h & \Gamma_i^h \\ 0 & \delta_i^h \end{pmatrix},$$

then we will have

$$D_\alpha = \sum_A A_\alpha^A \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A},$$

and if we write the coframe of $\{D_i, D_i\}$ as $\{\theta^i, \theta^i\}$, where

$$\theta^\beta = \sum_B A^\beta_B d\bar{x}^B, \quad \alpha, \beta, A, B = 1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n},$$

we can see clearly that $\theta^i = d\bar{x}^i$, $\theta^j = d\bar{y}^j$.

Since $(A_\beta^A)^{-1} = (A^A_\beta)$, we have $d\bar{x}^A = \sum_\alpha A_\alpha^A \theta^\alpha$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}_\gamma &= \sum_{A,B} \bar{g}_{AB} d\bar{x}^A \otimes d\bar{x}^B \\ &= \sum_{A,B} \bar{g}_{AB} \left(\sum_\gamma A_\gamma^A \theta^\gamma \right) \otimes \left(\sum_\beta A_\beta^B \theta^\beta \right) \\ &= \sum_{A,B,\gamma,\beta} \bar{g}_{AB} A_\gamma^A A_\beta^B \theta^\gamma \otimes \theta^\beta.\end{aligned}$$

If we let $\tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta}$ be the components of \tilde{g}_s with respect to the coframe $\{\theta^\alpha\}$, that is,

$\tilde{g}_s = \sum_{\gamma,\beta} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta} \theta^\gamma \otimes \theta^\beta$, then we have

$$\tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta} = \sum_{A,B} \bar{g}_{AB} A_\gamma^A A_\beta^B, \text{ for all } \gamma \text{ and } \beta.$$

$$\text{From } \begin{pmatrix} D_h \\ D_{\bar{h}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i^h & -\Gamma_i^h \\ 0 & \delta_i^h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^h} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}^h} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\theta^h \quad \theta^{\bar{h}}) = (d\bar{x}^h \quad d\bar{y}^h) \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i^h & \Gamma_i^h \\ 0 & \delta_i^h \end{pmatrix}, \text{ we have}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^h} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}^h} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i^h & \Gamma_i^h \\ 0 & \delta_i^h \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_h \\ D_{\bar{h}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (d\bar{x}^h \quad d\bar{y}^h) = (\theta^h \quad \theta^{\bar{h}}) \begin{pmatrix} \delta_i^h & -\Gamma_i^h \\ 0 & \delta_i^h \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that $(\tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & g_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}$. Using the same argument, $(\tilde{g}^{\gamma\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} g^{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & g^{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix}$.

Define $\Omega_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha = \sum_A (D_\gamma A_\beta^A - D_\beta A_\gamma^A) A^A_\alpha$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}$.

The only non zero term are $\Omega_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = -\Omega_{ij}^{\bar{h}} = -\sum_{k=1}^n R_{jik}^{\bar{h}} \bar{y}^k$ and $\Omega_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = -\Omega_{ij}^{\bar{h}} = \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{h}}$,

where $R_{\mu k}^{\bar{h}}$ are the local components of the curvature tensor with respect to ∇ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Omega_{ji}^{\bar{h}} &= \sum_A (D_j A_i^A - D_i A_j^A) A^{\bar{h}}_A \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n (D_j (-\Gamma_i^k) \delta_k^{\bar{h}} - D_i (-\Gamma_j^k) \delta_k^{\bar{h}}) \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \left(-\partial_j \Gamma_{li}^k + \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_{mi}^{\bar{h}} \Gamma_{lj}^m \right) - \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \left(-\partial_i \Gamma_{lj}^k + \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_{mj}^{\bar{h}} \Gamma_{li}^m \right) \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^n \bar{y}^l \left(\partial_i \Gamma_{lj}^k - \partial_j \Gamma_{li}^k + \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_{mi}^{\bar{h}} \Gamma_{lj}^m - \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_{mj}^{\bar{h}} \Gamma_{li}^m \right) \\
 &= -\sum_{k=1}^n R_{jik}^{\bar{h}} \bar{y}^k = \sum_{k=1}^n R_{ijk}^{\bar{h}} \bar{y}^k = -\Omega_{ij}^{\bar{h}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Omega_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = -\Omega_{ij}^{\bar{h}} = \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{h}}$ can be proved similarly.

Let us evaluate the Lie Bracket $[D_\alpha, D_\beta]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 [D_\alpha, D_\beta] &= \left[\sum_B A_\alpha^B \partial_B, \sum_C A_\beta^C \partial_C \right] \\
 &= \sum_{B,C} (A_\alpha^B \partial_B (A_\beta^C) \partial_C - A_\beta^C \partial_C (A_\alpha^B) \partial_B) \\
 &= \sum_{B,C} (A_\alpha^B \partial_B (A_\beta^C) - A_\beta^B \partial_B (A_\alpha^C)) \partial_C \\
 &= \sum_C (D_\alpha A_\beta^C - D_\beta A_\alpha^C) \partial_C \\
 &= \sum_{B,C,\gamma} (D_\alpha A_\beta^C - D_\beta A_\alpha^C) A_\gamma^C A_\gamma^B \partial_B \\
 &= \sum_\gamma \Omega_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma D_\gamma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the metric connection in TM with respect to the Sasaki metric \tilde{g}_s .

Let $\tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta}$ be the tensor components of \tilde{g}_s with respect to the adapted frame $\{D_\alpha\}$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha$ be

the Christoffel symbols with respect to the adapted frame $\{D_\alpha\}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A$ be the Christoffel symbols with respect to the coordinate vector fields $\{\partial_B\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{\nabla}_D D_\beta &= \sum_\alpha \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha D_\alpha = \sum_A D_\gamma A_\beta^A \partial_A + \sum_{B,C} A_\gamma^C A_\beta^B (\tilde{\nabla}_{\partial_C} \partial_B) \\
 &= \sum_{A,\alpha} (D_\gamma A_\beta^A) A^\alpha_A D_\alpha + \sum_{A,B,C} A_\gamma^C A_\beta^B \bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A \partial_A \\
 &= \sum_{A,\alpha} (D_\gamma A_\beta^A) A^\alpha_A D_\alpha + \sum_{A,B,C,\alpha} A_\gamma^C A_\beta^B \bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A A^\alpha_A D_\alpha \\
 &= \sum_{A,\alpha} (D_\gamma A_\beta^A + \sum_{B,C} A_\gamma^C A_\beta^B \bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A) A^\alpha_A D_\alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha = \sum_A (D_\gamma A_\beta^A + \sum_{B,C} A_\gamma^C A_\beta^B \bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A) A^\alpha_A$.

Since $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, that is, $\tilde{\nabla}_\delta \tilde{g}_s = 0$, $\delta = 1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{\nabla}_\delta \tilde{g}_s)(D_\gamma, D_\beta) &= \tilde{\nabla}_\delta (\tilde{g}_s(D_\gamma, D_\beta)) - \tilde{g}_s(\tilde{\nabla}_\delta D_\gamma, D_\beta) - \tilde{g}_s(D_\gamma, \tilde{\nabla}_\delta D_\beta) \\
 &= D_\delta \tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta} - \tilde{g}_s(\sum_\epsilon \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta\gamma}^\epsilon D_\epsilon, D_\beta) - \tilde{g}_s(D_\gamma, \sum_\epsilon \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta\beta}^\epsilon D_\epsilon) \\
 &= D_\delta \tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta} - \sum_\epsilon (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta\gamma}^\epsilon \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta\beta}^\epsilon \tilde{g}_{\gamma\epsilon}) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

we have $D_\delta \tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta} = \sum_\epsilon (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta\gamma}^\epsilon \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\delta\beta}^\epsilon \tilde{g}_{\gamma\epsilon})$. Thus we have

Proposition 4.1 [Yal, page 160]

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\epsilon (\tilde{g}^{\alpha\epsilon})(D_\gamma \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} + D_\beta \tilde{g}_{\gamma\epsilon} - D_\epsilon \tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha + \Omega^\alpha_{\gamma\beta} + \Omega^\alpha_{\beta\gamma})$$

where $\Omega^\alpha_{\gamma\beta} = \sum_{\epsilon,\delta} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} \Omega_{\gamma\delta}^\delta$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon} (\tilde{g}^{\alpha\epsilon}) (D_{\gamma} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} + D_{\beta} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\epsilon} - D_{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} + \Omega_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} + \Omega_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\epsilon} (D_{\gamma} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} + D_{\beta} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\epsilon} - D_{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\beta}) + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon, \delta} (\tilde{g}^{\alpha\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\delta\beta} \Omega_{\epsilon\gamma}^{\delta} + \tilde{g}^{\alpha\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\delta\gamma} \Omega_{\epsilon\beta}^{\delta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon, \theta} (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\theta}^{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\theta}^{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\theta\epsilon} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\theta} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\theta}^{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\epsilon} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\theta\gamma}^{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} + \tilde{\Gamma}_{\theta\beta}^{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\gamma\epsilon}) \tilde{g}^{\alpha\theta} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\epsilon, \theta} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\theta} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\beta} (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\theta\gamma}^{\epsilon} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\theta}^{\epsilon}) + \sum_{\epsilon, \theta} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\theta} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\gamma} (\tilde{\Gamma}_{\theta\beta}^{\epsilon} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\theta}^{\epsilon}) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\epsilon, \theta} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\theta}^{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\theta\epsilon} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\theta} + \sum_{\epsilon, \theta} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\epsilon\theta} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\theta} \right) \\
&= \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}$$

■

Now we find all the Christoffel symbols of $\tilde{\nabla}$, let $R^h{}_{jki} = \sum_{s,l=1}^n g^{hl} g_{is} R_{jk}{}^s$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^h &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon} \tilde{g}^{h\epsilon} (D_j \tilde{g}_{\epsilon i} + D_i \tilde{g}_{j\epsilon} - D_{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{ji}) + \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{ji}^h + \Omega_{ji}^h + \Omega_{ij}^h) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (\tilde{g}^{hk} \partial_j \tilde{g}_{ki} + \tilde{g}^{hk} \partial_i \tilde{g}_{jk} - \tilde{g}^{hk} \partial_k \tilde{g}_{ji}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon, \delta} (\tilde{g}^{h\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\delta i} \Omega_{j\epsilon}^{\delta} + \tilde{g}^{h\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\delta j} \Omega_{i\epsilon}^{\delta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (\tilde{g}^{hk} \partial_j \tilde{g}_{ki} + \tilde{g}^{hk} \partial_i \tilde{g}_{jk} - \tilde{g}^{hk} \partial_k \tilde{g}_{ji}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (g^{hk} \partial_j g_{ki} + g^{hk} \partial_i g_{jk} - g^{hk} \partial_k g_{ji}) \\
&= \Gamma_{ji}^h ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^h &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\epsilon} \tilde{g}^{h\epsilon} (D_j \tilde{g}_{\epsilon i} + D_i \tilde{g}_{j\epsilon} - D_{\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{ji}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Omega_{ji}^h + \sum_{\epsilon, \delta} \left(\tilde{g}^{h\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\delta\epsilon} \Omega_{ij}^{\delta} + \tilde{g}^{h\epsilon} \tilde{g}_{\delta j} \Omega_{\epsilon i}^{\delta} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t=1}^n \tilde{g}^{ht} \tilde{g}_{si} \Omega_{tj}^s \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t, k=1}^n \tilde{g}^{ht} \tilde{g}_{si} R_{jtk}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t, k=1}^n g^{ht} g_{st} R_{jtk}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t, k=1}^n g^{ht} g_{st} R_{tjk}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{jki}^h \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t, k=1}^n g^{ht} g_{ts} R_{tjk}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t, k=1}^n g^{ht} (g_{ts} R_{tjk}^s) \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t, k=1}^n g^{ht} R_{tjk}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t, k=1}^n g^{ht} R_{kij}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t, k=1}^n g^{ht} R_{kij}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, t, k=1}^n g^{ht} R_{kij}^s g_{st} \bar{y}^k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s, k=1}^n \delta_s^h R_{kij}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kij}^h \bar{y}^k,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^h &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\varepsilon} \tilde{g}^{h\varepsilon} (D_j \tilde{g}_\varepsilon + D_i \tilde{g}_{j\varepsilon} - D_\varepsilon \tilde{g}_{ji}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Omega_{ji}^h + \sum_{\delta, \varepsilon} \left(\tilde{g}^{h\varepsilon} \tilde{g}_{\delta\varepsilon} \Omega_{ji}^\delta + \tilde{g}^{h\varepsilon} \tilde{g}_{\varepsilon\delta} \Omega_{ji}^\delta \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{s,t=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{ht} \tilde{g}_{sj} \Omega_{ti}^s \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,t,k=1}^n \tilde{g}^{ht} \tilde{g}_{sj} R_{tk}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,t,k=1}^n g^{ht} g_{sj} R_{tk}^s \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{ik}^h \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,t,k=1}^n R_{tk}^s g^{ht} g_{js} \bar{y}^k \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t,k=1}^n R_{tkj} g^{ht} \bar{y}^k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t,k=1}^n R_{kji} g^{ht} \bar{y}^k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,t,k=1}^n R_{kji}^s g_{ts} g^{ht} \bar{y}^k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \bar{y}^k .
\end{aligned}$$

With the same argument, we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^h &= \Gamma_{ji}^h, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^h = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{jki}^h \bar{y}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kij}^h \bar{y}^k, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^h = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{ikj}^h \bar{y}^k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \bar{y}^k, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^h = 0, \\
\tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{\bar{h}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n R_{jik}^{\bar{h}} \bar{y}^k, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = \Gamma_{ji}^{\bar{h}}, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = 0, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{ji}^{\bar{h}} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

4.3 Geodesics in (TM, \tilde{g}_s)

For a curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$, $\gamma : t \rightarrow \gamma(t)$, we define along γ the notion $\frac{\delta}{dt}$ by

$$\nabla_{\frac{d}{dt}} f = \frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\delta f}{dt}, \quad \nabla_{\frac{d}{dt}} X = \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{\frac{d}{dt}} X^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\delta X^i}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i} \text{ for } f \text{ a function in } M, X \text{ a vector}$$

field in M , where X can be written locally as $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i}$.

$$\text{We have } \frac{\delta X^h}{dt} = \nabla_{\frac{d}{dt}} X^h = \frac{dX^h}{dt} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} X^i.$$

Let $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow TM$ be a curve in TM defined by

$$\bar{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(t), V(t)) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t), V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t)).$$

Obviously for a parallel vector field $V(t)$ along $\gamma(t)$, where $V(t) = (V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t))$,

$$\frac{\delta V^h}{dt} = 0 \text{ for all } h = 1, \dots, n. \text{ Now we write}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma}(t) &= (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t), V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t)) \\ &= (\bar{\gamma}^1(t), \dots, \bar{\gamma}^n(t), \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{1}}(t), \dots, \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{n}}(t)) \\ &= (\bar{\gamma}^A(t)). \end{aligned}$$

If $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow TM$ is a geodesic in TM with respect to the Sasaki metric \tilde{g}_s , then

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t)} \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t) = 0, \text{ which implies that } \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{d}{dt}} \sum_A \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^A}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^A} = 0, \text{ thus}$$

$$\sum_A \left(\frac{d^2 \bar{\gamma}^A}{dt^2} + \sum_{B,C} \bar{\Gamma}_{BC}^A \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^B}{dt} \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^C}{dt} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial X^A} = 0,$$

hence

$$\frac{d^2 \bar{\gamma}^A}{dt^2} + \sum_{B,C} \bar{\Gamma}_{BC}^A \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^B}{dt} \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^C}{dt} = 0 \text{ for all } A = 1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n},$$

It will be much more convenient to consider the geodesic equation with respect to the adapted frame $\{D_i, D_i\}$.

We write

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\theta^h}{dt} &= \sum_B A^h{}_B \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^B}{dt} = \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^h}{dt}, \\ \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} &= \sum_B A^{\bar{h}}{}_B \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^B}{dt} \\ &= \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{h}}}{dt} + \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i^{\bar{h}} \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^i}{dt} \\ &= \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{h}}}{dt} + \sum_{j,i=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^{\bar{h}} \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^i}{dt} \bar{\gamma}^j \\ &= \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^{\bar{h}}}{dt} + \sum_{j,i=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^{\bar{h}} \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^i}{dt} \bar{\gamma}^j \\ &= \frac{\delta \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{h}}}{dt} \\ &= \frac{\delta V^h}{dt}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, from $\frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} = \sum_A A^\beta{}_A \frac{d\gamma^A}{dt}$, $\frac{d\bar{\gamma}^A}{dt} = \sum_\beta A_\beta{}^A \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt}$ and

$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha = \sum_A (D_\gamma A_\beta{}^A + \sum_{B,C} \bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A A_\gamma{}^C A_\beta{}^B) A^\alpha{}_A$, where $\bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha$ are the Christoffel symbols of

$\tilde{\nabla}$ with respect to the coordinate vector fields and adapted forms $\{\theta^\alpha\}_\alpha$ respectively, we

have $\bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A = \sum_{\gamma,\beta} (\sum_\alpha \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha A_\alpha{}^A - D_\gamma A_\beta{}^A) A^\beta{}_B A^\gamma{}_C$.

Thus from $\frac{d^2\gamma^A}{dt^2} + \sum_{B,C} \bar{\Gamma}_{CB}^A \frac{d\gamma^C}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^B}{dt} = 0$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_\beta A_\beta{}^A \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} \right) + \sum_{B,C,\gamma,\beta} \left(\sum_\alpha \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha A_\alpha{}^A - D_\gamma A_\beta{}^A \right) A^\beta{}_B A^\gamma{}_C \left(\sum_{\xi,\eta} A_\xi{}^C \frac{\theta^\xi}{dt} A_\eta{}^B \frac{\theta^\eta}{dt} \right) = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{\beta} A_{\beta}^A \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \left(\sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^A - D_{\gamma} A_{\beta}^A \right) \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\gamma}}{dt} = 0 .$$

If $A = h$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{\beta} A_{\beta}^h \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \left(\sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^h - D_{\gamma} A_{\beta}^h \right) \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\gamma}}{dt} = 0 ,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\theta^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^h \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\gamma}}{dt} = 0 . \quad (*)$$

If $A = \bar{h}$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{\beta} A_{\beta}^{\bar{h}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \left(\sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha}^{\bar{h}} - D_{\gamma} A_{\beta}^{\bar{h}} \right) \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\gamma}}{dt} = 0 . \quad (**)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta, \gamma} D_{\gamma} A_{\beta}^{\bar{h}} \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\gamma}}{dt} &= \sum_{\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n D_{\gamma} (-\Gamma_i^h) \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\gamma}}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{i, k=1}^n \left(\partial_k - \sum_{l=1}^n \Gamma_k^l \partial_l \right) \left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \bar{y}^j \right) \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^k}{dt} + \sum_{i, k=1}^n \partial_{\bar{k}} \left(-\sum_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \bar{y}^j \right) \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\bar{k}}}{dt} \\ &= \sum_{i, k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}^j \Gamma_{jk}^i \Gamma_{li}^h - \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}^j \partial_k \Gamma_{ji}^h \right) \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^k}{dt} - \sum_{i, k=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^h \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\bar{k}}}{dt} , \end{aligned}$$

(**) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-\Gamma_i^h \frac{\theta^i}{dt}) + \frac{\theta^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\gamma, \beta} \left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^h - \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^i \Gamma_i^h \right) \frac{\theta^{\gamma}}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\beta}}{dt} \\ &- \sum_{i, k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}^j \Gamma_{jk}^i \Gamma_{li}^h - \bar{y}^j \partial_k \Gamma_{ji}^h \right) \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^k}{dt} + \sum_{i, k=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^h \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\bar{k}}}{dt} = 0 , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(- \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^h \bar{y}^j \right) \frac{\theta^i}{dt} - \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i^h \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\theta^i}{dt} \right) + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\theta^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^h \frac{\theta^\gamma}{dt} \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} - \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i^h \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^i \frac{\theta^\gamma}{dt} \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} \\
& - \sum_{i,k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j,l=1}^n \bar{y}^j \Gamma_{jk}^i \Gamma_{li}^h - \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{y}^j \partial_k \Gamma_{ji}^h \right) \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^k}{dt} + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^h \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^k}{dt} = 0, \\
& \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\theta^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^h \frac{\theta^\gamma}{dt} \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma_i^h \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\theta^i}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^i \frac{\theta^\gamma}{dt} \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} \right) \\
& - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n (\partial_k \Gamma_{ji}^h) \bar{y}^j \frac{d\bar{y}^k}{dt} \frac{\theta^i}{dt} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\bar{y}^j}{dt} \frac{\theta^i}{dt} - \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \bar{y}^j \Gamma_{jk}^i \Gamma_{li}^h \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^k}{dt} \\
& + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \bar{y}^j \partial_k \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^k}{dt} + \sum_{i,k=1}^n \Gamma_{ki}^h \frac{\theta^i}{dt} \left(\frac{d\bar{y}^k}{dt} + \sum_{l,m=1}^n \Gamma_{lm}^k \frac{d\bar{y}^l}{dt} \bar{y}^m \right) = 0. \tag{***}
\end{aligned}$$

From (*) and $\frac{\theta^k}{dt} = \frac{d\bar{y}^k}{dt}$, equation (***) can be reduced to $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\theta^h}{dt} + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^h \frac{\theta^\gamma}{dt} \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} = 0$.

Hence a curve \bar{y} in TM is a geodesic if with respect to the adapted forms $\{\theta^\alpha\}_\alpha$, we

have $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\theta^\alpha}{dt} + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^\alpha \frac{\theta^\gamma}{dt} \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} = 0$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}$.

Then we have for $\alpha = h$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d\gamma^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^h \frac{\theta^\gamma}{dt} \frac{\theta^\beta}{dt} = 0. \\
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d\gamma^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{\theta^j}{dt} \frac{\theta^i}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{\theta^j}{dt} \frac{\theta^i}{dt} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{\theta^j}{dt} \frac{\theta^i}{dt} = 0, \\
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d\gamma^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0, \\
& \frac{\delta}{dt} \left(\frac{d\gamma^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0.$$

For $\alpha = \bar{h}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta V^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\gamma\beta}^{\bar{h}} \frac{\partial \gamma}{dt} \frac{\partial \beta}{dt} = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta V^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{\delta V^i}{dt} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 V^h}{dt^2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0,$$

$$\text{but } \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \left(\partial_j \Gamma_{ik}^h - \partial_i \Gamma_{jk}^h + \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_{jm}^h \Gamma_{ik}^m - \sum_{m=1}^n \Gamma_{im}^h \Gamma_{jk}^m \right) \bar{y}^k \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0,$$

therefore the equations for a geodesic

$\bar{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(t), V(t)) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t), V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t))$ in (TM, \tilde{g}_s) are

$$(a) \quad \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}{}^h \bar{y}^k \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0,$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{\delta^2 V^h}{dt^2} = 0$$

for all $h = 1, \dots, n$.

If we put t as arc length in TM , then we have $\tilde{g}_s(\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t), \dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t)) = \sum_{\beta, \gamma} \tilde{g}_{\beta\gamma} \frac{\partial \beta}{dt} \frac{\partial \gamma}{dt} = 1$ or

$$\text{in full } \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(g_{ji} \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} + g_{jj} \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \right) = 1.$$

Since $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, $(\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\bar{\gamma}}(t)} \tilde{g}_s) \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\partial^h}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\partial^h}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \tilde{g}_s) \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \left(\tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \right) - \tilde{g}_s \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \\
&\quad - \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \left(\tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} + \sum_{i,j,h=1}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_{i\bar{h}}^j \theta^i(\dot{\gamma}(t)) \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_j + \sum_{i,j,h=1}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_{i\bar{h}}^j \theta^i(\dot{\gamma}(t)) \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_j \right) \\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \left(\tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{\bar{h}} \theta^i(\dot{\gamma}(t)) \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} \right) D_{\bar{h}} + \sum_{i,j,h=1}^n \tilde{\Gamma}_{i\bar{h}}^j \theta^i(\dot{\gamma}(t)) \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_j \right) \\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \left(\tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^{\bar{h}} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} \right) D_{\bar{h}} \right) \\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \left(\tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\delta V^h}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\delta V^h}{dt} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - 2 \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \left(\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta V^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^h \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \right) D_{\bar{h}} \right) \\
&= \tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ji} \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{\delta V^i}{dt} \right) - 2 \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\theta^{\bar{h}}}{dt} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\delta^2 V^h}{dt^2} D_{\bar{h}} \right) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta t} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ji} \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{\delta V^i}{dt} \right) - 2 \tilde{g}_s \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \theta^{\bar{h}} D_{\bar{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\delta^2 V^h}{dt^2} D_{\bar{h}} \right) = 0.$$

Since $\frac{\delta^2 V^h}{dt^2} = 0$ thus $\frac{\delta}{\delta t} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ji} \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{\delta V^i}{dt} \right) = 0$. Therefore

$$\left(\frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g_{ji} \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = \text{constant},$$

s being the arc length in M so that s and t are related linearly and may conveniently be taken to be identical. Thus the arc length for a geodesic in TM is linearly related with the arc length parameter of its projection on M .

4.4 Geodesics on a fibre

Take a curve on a fibre, that is, $\gamma^h = \text{constant}$ for $h = 1, \dots, n$. Then (b) on page 116 can be reduced to

$$\frac{\delta^2 V^h}{dt^2} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta V^h}{dt} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{\delta V^i}{dt} = \frac{d^2 V^h}{dt^2} + \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} V^i \right) = \frac{d^2 V^h}{dt^2} = 0,$$

$h = 1, \dots, n$, these imply that $V^h = a^h t + b^h$, a^h, b^h are constants. Thus a curve on a fibre is a geodesic if and only if it is of the form $\bar{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(t), V(t)) = (\gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^n, a^1 t + b^1, \dots, a^n t + b^n)$, where γ^h, a^h, b^h are constants for $h = 1, \dots, n$.

4.5 Natural and horizontal lifts

Let $\gamma(t) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t))$ be a geodesic in M . The horizontal lift of $\gamma(t)$ will be:

$$\bar{\gamma}(t) = \gamma''(t) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t), V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t)),$$

where $\frac{\delta V^h}{dt} = \frac{dV^h}{dt} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} V^i = 0$ and $\frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} = 0$.

We note that a proposition in [Ya1, proposition 7.2, page 174], stated that the horizontal lift of a geodesic is a geodesic in TM , the proof was not given. In this thesis we show that the converse is also true.

Proposition 4.2

The horizontal lift of a curve γ in M is a geodesic in (TM, \tilde{g}_s) if and only if γ is a geodesic in (M, g) .

Proof: Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ be a curve in (M, g) defined by $\gamma(t) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t))$. The horizontal lift of γ at a point (p, V) , where $p = \gamma(0)$ and $V \in T_p M$, is a unique curve $\bar{\gamma} : I \rightarrow TM$ given by

$$\bar{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(t), V(t)) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t), V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t)),$$

where $\bar{\gamma}$ projects onto γ , the vector field $V(t) = (V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t))$ has these properties:

$V(0) = V$ and is parallel along $\gamma(t)$, that is, $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} V(t) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \bar{\gamma}^k \frac{\delta V^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta^2 V^h}{dt^2} = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \gamma^h \quad \text{satisfy}$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} = 0, \quad \text{that is, } \gamma(t) \text{ is a geodesic in } (M, g). \blacksquare$$

Consider now the natural lift γ^N of a curve γ in M . Let $\bar{\gamma}^N : I \rightarrow TM$,

$$\bar{\gamma}^N(t) = (\bar{\gamma}^1(t), \dots, \bar{\gamma}^n(t), \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{1}}(t), \dots, \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{n}}(t)), \bar{\gamma}^{\bar{h}} \quad \text{satisfy}$$

$$\bar{\gamma}^h(t) = \frac{d\bar{\gamma}^h(t)}{dt} = \frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt} \text{ for } \gamma(t) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t)).$$

It is clear that

$$(a) \quad \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \frac{d\gamma^k}{dt} \frac{\delta}{dt} \left(\frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \right) \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \frac{d\gamma^k}{dt} \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^j}{dt^2} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0,$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{\delta}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^h}{dt} \right) = \frac{\delta^3 \gamma^h}{dt^3} = 0.$$

Thus we have

Proposition 4.3 [Ya1, proposition 7.3, page 174]

If γ is a geodesic in (M, g) , then γ^N is a geodesic in (TM, \tilde{g}_s) .

On the other hand, if a natural lift of a curve is a geodesic in (TM, \tilde{g}_s) , then, it satisfies the equations

$$(a) \quad \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h \frac{d\gamma^k}{dt} \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^j}{dt^2} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0,$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{\delta}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^h}{dt} \right) = \frac{\delta^3 \gamma^h}{dt^3} = 0.$$

Thus from (b), we can see that $(\tilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \tilde{g}_s) \left(\sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} D_{\tilde{h}}, \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} D_{\tilde{h}} \right) = 0$ which implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n g_{ji} \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^j}{dt^2} \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^i}{dt^2} \right) = 0, \text{ thus } \frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2} = \rho Y^h, \text{ where } \rho \text{ is a constant, } Y^h \text{ the unit vector}$$

in the direction of the vector $\frac{\delta^2 \gamma^h}{dt^2}$. If we write $X^h = \frac{d\gamma^h}{dt}$, the unit vector in the direction of $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ and if $\rho \neq 0$, then we can write equation (a) on page 120 as follow

$$Y^h + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji}^h X^k Y^j X^i = 0.$$

Transvecting this with Y^h , we will have

$$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^n R_{kji} X^k Y^j X^i Y^h = -1,$$

hence we can conclude that the Riemannian sectional curvature with respect to the section determined by the osculating plane of the curve in M is constant. Thus if the natural lift γ^N of a curve γ is a geodesic, then either γ is a geodesic or the first curvature of γ is a constant and the Riemannian sectional curvature with respect to the section determined by the osculating plane of γ at any point is a constant [Ya1, proposition 7.4, page 175].

4.6 Tangent vector fields of the liftings of the geodesics

Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic in (M, g) , $\gamma(t) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t))$. We already know that the natural and horizontal lifts of γ are both geodesics in (TM, \tilde{g}_s) . Now we consider the tangent to each γ^N and γ^H .

The natural lift is given by

$$\gamma^N(t) = \left(\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t), \frac{d\gamma^1(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\gamma^n(t)}{dt} \right) = \left(\gamma^h(t), \frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt} \right).$$

The horizontal lift of γ at a point (p, V) is given by

$$\gamma^H(t) = (\gamma^1(t), \dots, \gamma^n(t), V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t)) = (\gamma^h(t), V^h(t))$$

with $\gamma(0) = p$, $V(0) = V$, $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} V(t) = 0$.

We already know that $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} V(t) = 0$, and since γ is a geodesic in M , we also have

$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}(t)} \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0$, thus $(V^1(t), \dots, V^n(t))$ and $\left(\frac{d\gamma^1(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\gamma^n(t)}{dt}\right)$ are two parallel vector

fields along γ . It is clear that the natural lift of a geodesic is actually a horizontal lift with initial properties $\gamma(0) = p$, $V(0) = \dot{\gamma}(0)$ and $V(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$.

The tangent vector fields along γ^H and γ^N denoted by $\frac{d\gamma^H(t)}{dt}$ and $\frac{d\gamma^N(t)}{dt}$

respectively, are

$$\frac{d\gamma^H(t)}{dt} = \left(\frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt}, \frac{dV^h(t)}{dt} \right) = \left(\frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt}, -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j(t)}{dt} V^i \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{d\gamma^N(t)}{dt} = \left(\frac{d\gamma^1(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\gamma^n(t)}{dt}, \frac{d^2\gamma^1(t)}{dt^2}, \dots, \frac{d^2\gamma^n(t)}{dt^2} \right) = \left(\frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt}, \frac{d^2\gamma^h(t)}{dt^2} \right).$$

The tangent of $\gamma(t)$ is

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt} = \left(\frac{d\gamma^1(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\gamma^n(t)}{dt} \right) = \left(\frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt} \right),$$

and the horizontal lift of $\frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt}$ as a vector field along $\gamma(t)$ will be

$$\left(\frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt} \right)^H = \left(\frac{d\gamma^1(t)}{dt}, \dots, \frac{d\gamma^n(t)}{dt} \right)^H = \left(\frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt}, -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j(t)}{dt} V^i \right).$$

Along the curve γ^H , we have

$$\left(\frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt}\right)^H \circ \gamma'' = \left(\frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt}, -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} V^i\right).$$

Along the curve γ^N , we have

$$\left(\frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt}\right)^H \circ \gamma^N = \left(\frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt}, -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt}\right),$$

but since γ is a geodesic, $\frac{d^2\gamma^h(t)}{dt^2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Gamma_{ji}^h \frac{d\gamma^j}{dt} \frac{d\gamma^i}{dt} = 0$, then

$$\left(\frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt}\right)^H \circ \gamma^N = \left(\frac{d\gamma^h(t)}{dt}, \frac{d^2\gamma^h(t)}{dt^2}\right).$$

Thus we have

Proposition 4.4

If γ is a geodesic in M , then for any horizontal lift γ'' of γ , we have

$$\left(\frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt}\right)^H \circ \gamma'' = \frac{d\gamma''(t)}{dt}. \text{ In particular } \left(\frac{d\gamma(t)}{dt}\right)^H \circ \gamma^N = \frac{d\gamma^N(t)}{dt}.$$