ACM -20%

Enhanced Password-Based Authentication Protocol

This dissertation is submitted to

The Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

University of Malaya

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for

Master Degree of Computer Science

By
LEE CHEE KIAM
July 2000

Perpustakaan Universiti Malay

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation submitted for the degree of Masters in the result of my own research, except where otherwise acknowledged. This dissertation is not substantially the same as any that I have submitted or am currently submitting for a degree or diploma or other qualification at any other university.

Signed: forther Ear Lee Chee Kiam

Date: 12 July 2000

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Many individuals have contributed to this project either directly or indirectly. First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my project supervisor, Mr. Omar Zakaria, for his guidance, encouragement, advice and most important of all, his trust in my capabilities in developing E-PAP System.

For special mention, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Mazliza binti Othman and Mr. Woo Chaw Seng for sparing their precious time to be my moderator

To all my friends at University of Malaya, I offer my heartiest thanks for their help and support in my study.

Last, but not least, I wish to thank my beloved family and Miss Teh Suet Ching who have been considerate and supportive during the project.

	,	Jag
DECLARATIO	ON	i
ACKNOWLE	DGEMENT	ii
TABLE OF CO	ONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGU	JRES	ix
LIST OF TAB	LES	. xi
ABSTRACT		xii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Prob	olem Statement	1
1.2 Obje	ectives	2
1.3 Scop	pe of The Dissertation	3
1.4 Sign	ificance of The Research	3
1.5 Meth	hodology	4
1.6 Repo	ort Organization	4
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE SURVEY	7
2.1 Intro	oduction	
2.1 Wha	t is Authentication?	7
2.1.1	ISO 7498	8
2.1.2	ISO/IEC 9798	8
	Authentication Protocol Model	
2.1.4	Cryptographic Mechanisms	1
2.1.5	Freshness Mechanisms	14
2.2 Term	ninology and Background	16
2.2.1	The Players	16
2.2.2	Password and Verifier	16
2.2.3	Known Plaintext and Verifiable Text	17
2.2.4	Poorly Chosen and Well Chosen	18
2.2.5	Symmetric and Asymmetric Cryptography	18
2.2.6	Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)	1
2.3 Secu	urity Threat	2
2.3.1	Active, Passive and Replay Attack	2
	iv	

2.3.2	Brute-force Attack	2
2.3.3	Dictionary Attacks	22
2.3.4	Classification of Attacker	22
2.4	Interactive Proof and Zero Knowledge	23
2.5	The Best Authentication System	24
2.6	Why password is used?	25
2.7	Disadvantages of using Smartcard	27
2.7.1	Equipment's Physical Limitations	27
2.7.2		
2.7.3	Expensive	28
2.7.4	Slower Performance	28
2.7.5	Physical attacks	29
2.7.6	Advanced Attack Techniques	30
2.7.7	Key compromise	3
2.7.8	Risks of PKI	3
2.7.9	Misbehavior of Certificate Authorities	3
2.8	Disadvantages of using Biometric	34
2.8.1	Accuracy Problem	36
2.8.2	2 Cost	38
2.8.3	Integrity	39
2.8.4	Ease of Use Problem	40
2.8.5		
CHAPTE	R 3 SYSTEM ANALYSIS	42
3.1	Performance Requirements	42
3.1.1		
3.1.2		
3.1.3	Prevent Off-Line Dictionary and Brute-Force Attack	42
3.1.4	Integrated Key Exchange	43
3.1.5	No Persistent Recorded Secret or Sensitive Host-Specific Data	43
3.1.6	,	
3.2	Obsolete Password Method	4
3.2.1	Clear password	4
3.2.2	Scrambled password	4:

3.2.	3 Challenge/Hashed-Random Response (CHRAP)	4
3.2.	4 Kerberos Logon	4
3.2.	5 S/Key	4
3.2.	6 SecurID	4
3.2.	7 Clear Password Over An SSL Signed Channel	4
3.3	Key-Exchange Algorithms	5
3.3.	1 Diffie-Hellman	5
3.3.	2 Station-to-Station Protocol	5
3.3.	3 Encrypted Key Exchange (EKE)	5
3.4	Simple Password-Authenticated Exponential Key Exchange	5
3.4.	1 The Protocol	5
3.4.	2 Why is SPEKE used?	5
CHAPTI	ER 4 DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION	5
4.1	Introduction	5
4.2	Files Distribution	5
4.3	Basic Concept	6
4.4	E-PAP spekebn.dll Library	6
4.5	E-PAP spekekit.dll Library	6
4.6	E-PAP Server	6
4.6.	1 Requirements	6
4.6.	2 checkIP	6
4.6.	F	
4.6.	4 doServerHandshake	6
4.6.	5 processAuthenticatedRPC	6
4.6.	6 processNewConnection	6
4.6.	7 runServer	7
4.7	E-PAP Client.	7
4.7.	1 Requirements	7
4.7.	2 changePw	72
4.7.	3 detectBadPw	7
4.7.	4 doClientHandshake	7
4.7.	5 authenticatedRPC	7
4.7.	6 doClient	7

4.7.	7 runClient	75
4.8	Procedure Sequence Flow Chart	76
4.9	E-PAP Server Implementation	84
4.10	E-PAP Client Implementation	85
CHAPTI	ER 5 TESTING AND RESULTS	87
5.1	Purpose and Assumptions	87
5.1.	1 Purpose	87
5.1.	2 Assumptions	87
5.2	Participants and Venue	87
5.3	Procedures	88
5.4	Testing and Result	88
5.4.	1 Phase I: Generating a Credential	89
5.4.	2 Phase II: Starting E-PAP Server	89
5.4.	3 Phase III: Starting E-PAP Client	90
5.4.	4 Phase IV: Starting Authentication	93
5.4.	5 Phase V: Changing Password	96
5.4.	6 Phase VI: Other Tests	101
5.5	Discussion	102
5.5.	1 Provide Mutual Authentication Without Revealing The Pass	word102
5.5.	2 Prevent Off-Line Dictionary Or Brute Force Attack	103
5.5.	3 Prevent On-Line Dictionary And Brute-Force Attack	104
5.5.	4 Integrated Key Exchange	104
5.5.	5 No Persistent Recorded Secret Or Sensitive Host-Specific De	ata104
5.5.	6 Forward Secrecy	105
5.6	Strengths of E-PAP	105
5.6.	1 Fulfill All Strong Authentication Requirements	105
5.6.	2 Upgraded Existing Network Logon System	105
5.6.	3 Multi-Factor Authentication	106
5.6.	4 Numeric-Keypad-Only System	106
5.6.	5 Diskless Workstations	106
5.6.	6 Bootstrapping	106
5.7	Limitations of E-PAP	107
5.7	1 Operating System Integration Problem	107

5.7.2	Single-threaded Server	10
CHAPTER 6	EVALUATION AND CONCLUSION	108
6.1 Ach	nievements	108
6.1.1	Theoretical Knowledge on Network	108
6.1.2	Theoretical Knowledge on Network Security	108
6.1.3	Theoretical Knowledge on Windows Socket	109
6.1.4	Practical Knowledge	110
6.2 Futi	ure Enhancement	110
6.2.1	Multithreaded Server	110
6.2.2	Internet Standard for E-PAP System	110
6.3 Cor	nclusion	11
References		112
Appendix A		11′

LIST OF FIGURES

	page
Figure 1-1: Overall Thesis Process	6
Figure 2-1: An authentication protocol model	10
Figure 2-2: Ali Baba's Cave [7].	24
Figure 2-3: Removing a smartcard plastic cover. [64].	29
Figure 2-4: Read-out attack modifications with a FIB workstation [64].	30
Figure 2-5: Forged Certificate [33].	32
Figure 2-6: Exchanged Message [33].	33
Figure 2-7: Register same user id and key in different domain [33].	33
Figure 2-8: Examples of different biometric characteristics [46].	35
Figure 2-9: Variability is inherent in all signal readings, whether from (a) signature, (b) face or (c) fingerprint [46].	37
Figure 2-10: Pass Rate of Biometric [62].	38
Figure 2-11: Cost versus accuracy [46].	39
Figure 2-12: Usable, marginal and unusable fingerprint [46].	40
Figure 3-1: Basic Authentication	44
Figure 3-2: SecurID	48
Figure 3-3: SSL icon for Netscape Communicator	50
Figure 3-4: An expired certificate for Internet Explorer 5	51
Figure 4-1: E-PAP System files distribution.	60
Figure 4-2: E-PAP Client	71
Figure 4-3: Change password module	77
Figure 4-4: Authentication module.	77
Figure 4-5: Change password procedure flow between E-PAP Server and Client	78
Figure 4-6: Detailed processChangePassword() and changePassword().	79

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4-7: Detailed detectBadPw() routine.	80
Figure 4-8: Authentication procedure flow between E-PAP Server and Client	81
Figure 4-9: Detailed handshake flow between Server and Client.	82
Figure 4-10: Detail Authenticated RPC flow between Server and Client	83
Figure 4-11: Windows restrict logon access.	85
Figure 4-12: Client for Microsoft Logon	85
Figure 4-13: Microsoft Family Logon	86
Figure 5-1: A message box alerted the user to provide a server name.	91
Figure 5-2: A message box alerted the user to provide a logon name.	92
Figure 5-3: A message box alerted the user to provide a port number.	92
Figure 5-4: A message box alerted the user to provide a port number between $49152\ to\ 65535.$	92
Figure 5-5: A message box alerted the user to provide correct server name or port number.	92
Figure 5-6: A message box alerted the user's logon name or password is wrong.	94
Figure 5-7: logxuser.txt file	94
Figure 5-8: logxpw.txt file	95
Figure 5-9: A message box notified the user is verified and enquire if the user want to send a message.	95
Figure 5-10: A dialog box for sending authenticated message.	95
Figure 5-11: A message box notified the message has been successfully sent and enquire the user want to send other message again.	96
Figure 5-12: A message box alerted the user that only a maximum of 3 messages is allowed.	96
Figure 5-13: A message box alerted the user to provide other new password.	100
Figure 5-14: A message box alerted the user to provide new password with minimum eight characters.	100
Figure 5-15: A message box alerted the user to re-input password.	100

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 5-16: A message box alerted the user to choose an other password.	100
Figure 5-17: A message box alerted the user to choose an other password.	101
Figure 5-18: A message box alerted the user to choose an other password.	101
Figure 5-19: A message box notified user about password has been successfully changed.	101
Figure 5-20: A message notified user that he / she was blocked from server.	102

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2-1: Dramatis Personae	16
Table 2-2: Password search time with respect to the password and charset size [51].	26
Table 3-1: Notation for EKE protocol.	54
Table 3-2: Notation of SPEKE protocol	56
Table 4-1: Technical Specification for E-PAP System	59
Table 4-2: FreeSPEKE Routines	63
Table 4-3: Installation Requirement for E-PAP Server	66
Table 4-4: Installation Requirement for E-PAP Client	71
Table 5-1: IP address, host name and user.	88
Table 5-2: Logon name, password and credential (1)	103
Table 5-3: Logon name, password and credential (2)	103

ABSTRACT

This dissertation introduces Enhanced Password-Based Authentication Protocol (E-PAP) System, E-PAP System combines asymmetric (public-key) and symmetric (secret-key) cryptography that allow two parties sharing a small shared secret to provide authentication service, exchange confidential and authenticated information over an insecure network like Internet, E-PAP System also provides authentication service by using something you know concept. It has some advantages over biometric which uses something you are concept and smartcard that uses something you have concept, as it is free of equipment's physical limitations, accuracy and cost problem as well as other constraints. The core for E-PAP System is FreeSPEKE SDK, a free open source development's toolkit for Simple Password-Authenticated Exponential Key Exchange (SPEKE), which can prove knowledge of a small secret without revealing anything else about it by using zero-knowledge proof. E-PAP System has the properties that the password is protected against off-line "dictionary" and bruteforce attacks that can crack hash-based challenge/response methods, such as Microsoft's LAN Manager for Windows NT4, Point-to-Point Protocol Challenge-Handshake Authentication Protocol (PPP CHAP), Kerberos version 5 for UNIX family platforms and Windows 2000, which have been the dominant forms of password protocol to date. E-PAP System was developed using Visual C++ version 6. It consists of E-PAP Client and E-PAP Server. E-PAP Server will handle all client authentications and process authenticated Remote Procedure Call (RPC). Two simple audit log files (E-PAP System Invalid Password Log and E-PAP System Invalid User Log) and a blocking IP file had been added to increase E-PAP Server performance and security. E-PAP Client is used to authenticate itself to E-PAP Server and to change user password. A bad password detector has been built to avoid bad-chosen password. The testing had been carried out in three sessions and the results could be used for future authentication protocol enhancement.