

R

**ORGANISATIONAL DIAGNOSTICS
AND
PLANNED ORGANISATIONAL CHANGE**

**AN APPLICATION OF AN ADAPTED VERSION OF SOFT SYSTEM
METHODOLOGY :**

THE CASE FOR A PRIVATE HEALTHCARE INSTITUTION

Patricia Rita Nunis

**Bachelor of Social Sciencee
Universiti Sains Malaysia
Penang
1979**



**Submitted to the Faculty of Business and Accountancy
University of Malaya, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree
of Master of Business Administration**

February 2002

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A work like this would not be possible without the guidance and support of an interested mentor and I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to Dr. Mohd. Yusof Omar, my research supervisor, who pointed the way and cleared the cobwebs of confusion as I ploughed through the readings and task of making sense of the whole exercise. His firm stand in seeing a pattern and system in everything - from individuals to organisations, has given me a new perspective on the world and my interactions.

My sincere thanks also to my ex-colleagues and friends in SJMC, who shared with me eight years of working together, and broadened my understanding of organisations and the people who make them work.

My heartfelt thanks to my family, who have supported my efforts to complete this course on schedule despite the unexpected crises and obstacles that have come our way. Their understanding and support as I rushed deadlines and prepared for examinations, in the midst of countless rites of passage and life events, have made this journey possible.

Finally, my humble thanks to the Giver of Life, He who controls the universe, who has given humankind the ability to see, reflect and understand, and share in the wonderful work of spreading the light of knowledge, reason and truth to all who would receive it.

To Him be praise forever.

*Patricia Nunis
February 2002*

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

THE RESEARCH PROJECT

This is an exploratory, qualitative study that utilises an adapted version of Soft Systems Methodology developed by Dr Mohd. Yusof Omar, to conduct an organisational diagnosis and plan interventions for change for a complex organisation. The target of the study was the 15-member senior management team of Subang Jaya Medical Centre (SJMC). Data was collected over a period of 8 months (Jan-Aug 2001), via participant observation using the framework of action research.

THE FINDINGS

It was determined that the organisation exhibited borderline capability to weather anticipated changes in the future, due to the composition of its current management team and their internal dynamics. It was recommended that the key intervention to be made was a facilitated process consultation to review the current gaps in the Quality System, and, flowing from there, to address the issues raised and agreed upon within the management team. The criterion for identification of gaps or critical success factors was the mean score of <4 on the diagnostic elements and their link to the Quality System. However the question remains as to whether the team members themselves would respond to such an initiative – given that they exhibit reluctance to change their way of inter-relating. To achieve a measure of success the initiative to change would require a champion – someone who would challenge the status quo, and act as a catalyst.

THE METHODOLOGY

The Model of Organisational Diagnosis and Planned Change developed by Mohd. Yusof Omar, involved the use of a Likert-based measurement instrument to assess the organisation on the following structures and systems:

1. The Self Actuation Structure
2. The Individual Actor System
3. The Group Actor System
4. The Dissipative Structure System and
5. The Six Level Organisational Diagnostics

The findings of the diagnostics were then matched against the ISO 2000 system requirements, and, also the conceptual model of the Quality System currently implemented in SJMC. The gaps so identified were the critical areas that required intervention.

The intervention approaches were drawn from the intervention model developed by Cummings & Worley (2001). The selection of appropriate interventions and their implementation priority were done by the researcher based on the analysis of data, and her understanding of the cultural perspectives of the organisation.

The selected interventions were then scheduled on a Gantt chart Action Plan format for presentation to the organisation.

Patricia Nunis
February 2002

CONTENTS

PAGE

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION.

1.1	Purpose Of The Study _____	2
1.2	Significance Of The Study _____	5
1.3	The Research Question _____	5
1.4	Scope Of The Study _____	7
1.5	Limitations Of The Study _____	7
1.6	Organisation Of The Study _____	8

CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Overview _____	9
2.2	Self Actuation Systems _____	10
2.3	Actor Systems _____	11
	2.3.1. Emergence _____	12
	2.3.2. Development _____	12
	2.3.3. Stabilization _____	12
	2.3.4 Black Box _____	13
2.4	Dissipative Structures and Complex Systems _____	14
	2.4.1. Edge of Chaos Paradox _____	15
	2.4.2. Emergence, Self-Organisation _____	15
	2.4.3. Feedback And Positive Returns _____	16
2.5	The Conceptual Links _____	18
2.6	Six-level Organisational Diagnostics – the Cummings Model _____	20
	2.6.1 The Organisational Level _____	22
	2.6.2 The Group Level _____	22
	2.6.3 The Individual Level _____	22
2.7	The ISO 2000 Management System – a Diagnostic Guide _____	23
2.8.	The Cummings Intervention Model _____	24
2.9.	Action Research _____	25
	2.9.1 Participant Observation _____	25
	2.9.2 The Question of Privileged Access _____	27

CHAPTER 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	The Research Objective _____	28
3.2	Sample Size _____	29
3.3	Use of Mean as a Measurement Instrument _____	30
3.4	Frequency and Its Implications _____	31
3.5	Observation Period _____	31
3.6	The Diagnostic Measures _____	32
3.6.1	The Self Actuation System Diagnostics _____	33
3.6.2	The Actor System Diagnostics _____	34
3.6.3	The Dissipative Structure Diagnostics _____	35
3.6.4	Cummings Six- Level Diagnostics _____	36
3.6.4.1	The Organisational Level _____	37
3.6.4.1.1	General Environment & Industry Structure _____	38
3.6.4.1.2	Industry Structure _____	38
3.6.4.1.3	Strategic Orientation _____	39
3.6.4.1	The Group Level _____	40
3.6.4.2	The Individual Level _____	41
3.7	Summary of Propositions _____	42

CHAPTER 4. ANALYSIS OF DATA

4.	Overview _____	43
4.1	The Rich Picture of SJMC _____	44
4.2	The Rich Picture Discussed _____	49
4.3	The Research Propositions _____	50
4.3.1	Self Actuation Diagnostic _____	50
4.3.2	Actor System – Individual Diagnostic _____	53
4.3.3	Actor System – Generic Diagnostic _____	55
4.3.4	Dissipative Structure System Diagnostic _____	57
4.3.5	The Cummings 6-level Diagnostic _____	61
4.4	Synthesis of Diagnostic Findings to build a Concept Model. _____	62
4.4.1	Summary of Findings _____	62
4.5	The Conceptual Model of SJMC Quality System _____	64
4.6	Assessment of SJMC Quality System _____	66
4.7	Synthesis of Findings _____	69

CHAPTER 5. RECOMMENDED INTERVENTIONS & CONCLUSION

5.1	Recommended Interventions _____	70
5.1.1	Phase A: Human Process Interventions for the Management Team _____	71
5.1.2	Phase B: Strategic Interventions. _____	73
5.1.3	Phase C: Techno-structural and Human Resource Interventions _____	74
5.1.3.1	Techno-structural Interventions _____	75
5.1.3.2	Human Resource Interventions _____	76
5.1.4	Summary of Interventions Recommended _____	76
5.2.	Conclusion _____	79
	BIBLIOGRAPHY _____	81

APPENDICES

List of Exhibits**Page**

Exhibit 1:	Mohd. Yusof Omar's Organisational Diagnostics and Planned Change Model _____	9
Exhibit 2:	Graphic Representation of the Control Model _____	10
Exhibit 3:	Comprehensive Model for Diagnosing Organisational Systems _____	21
Exhibit 4:	Model of a process based quality system _____	23
Exhibit 5:	Cummings & Worley, Organisational Interventions _____	24
Exhibit 6:	Soft System Methodology – an adapted approach _____	29
Exhibit 7:	The Sample Group _____	30
Exhibit 8:	The Measurement Scale _____	32
Exhibit 9:	Self Actuation System Diagnostics _____	33
Exhibit 10:	Actor System Dimensions _____	35
Exhibit 11:	The Evolutionary Cycle Of Dissipative Structures. _____	37
Exhibit 12:	Dissipative Structures Diagnostics _____	37
Exhibit 13:	The Rich Picture Of SJMC _____	44
Exhibit 14:	Divisional Structure as at July 2001 _____	48
Exhibit 15:	Self Actuation System Diagnostics _____	50
Exhibit 16:	Individual Actor System Diagnostic Scores _____	53
Exhibit 17:	Frequency table of scores: Individual Actor System Diagnostic _____	54
Exhibit 18:	Frequency Graph: Scores – Individual Actor System Diagnostic _____	54
Exhibit 19:	Group Dimensions: Actor System Diagnostics _____	55
Exhibit 20:	Group Actor System Diagnostics –Frequency of Scores _____	56
Exhibit 21:	Graph of Frequency of Scores- Group Actor Systems _____	56
Exhibit 22:	Dissipative Structure Diagnostics –Group Means _____	58
Exhibit 23:	Dissipative Structures: Frequency Scores _____	58
Exhibit 24:	Dissipative Structures: Frequency Graph _____	59
Exhibit 25:	Comparison of Means & Confirmation of Propositions _____	61
Exhibit 26:	Main Findings _____	62
Exhibit 27:	Conceptual Model of Quality System at SJMC _____	64
Exhibit 28a:	Assessment of SJMC Quality System – part 1 _____	67
Exhibit 28b:	Assessment of SJMC Quality System – part 2 _____	68
Exhibit 29:	Relationship between Critical Success Factors and Quality Issues to be addressed. _____	69
Exhibit 30:	Human Process Interventions _____	72
Exhibit 31:	Strategic Interventions _____	73
Exhibit 32a:	Techno-structural Interventions _____	74
Exhibit 32b:	Human Resource Interventions _____	75
Exhibit 33 :	Summary Table of Selected Interventions _____	77
Exhibit 34:	Proposed Action Plan for SJMC _____	78

**ORGANISATIONAL DIAGNOSTICS
AND
PLANNED ORGANISATIONAL CHANGE:**

**AN APPLICATION OF AN ADAPTED VERSION OF
SOFT SYSTEM METHODOLOGY**

THE CASE FOR A PRIVATE HEALTHCARE INSTITUTION