

# ERBIUM-DOPED FIBER AMPLIFIER: EFFECTS OF OPTICAL FEEDBACK

bv

### **TEYO TUAN CHIN**

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA

DISSERTATION PRESENTED FOR THE
DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA
2003



#### Abstract

In this thesis, a study of the erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA) with optical feedback is described. The characterization of the amplifier performance concerns the effects of the existence of wavelength selective element in the cavity and different feedback schemes, namely counter-feedback, co-feedback and regenerative-feedback. Comparison with the conventional EDFA system without feedback is presented. Existence of oscillating laser in the cavity either improves or degrades the amplifier performance, depending on the feedback scheme. Gain-clamping effect is exhibited in the system with optical feedback. Laser-induced saturation is observed in the counterfeedback scheme, resulting in deterioration of the noise figure. In contrast, the oscillating laser in co-feedback and regenerative-feedback scheme suppresses the amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) induced self-saturation and significantly improves the noise performance. The thesis ends with the conclusions of the results presented. Suggestions for future work are also given.

#### Abstract

In this thesis, a study of the erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA) with optical feedback is described. The characterization of the amplifier performance concerns the effects of the existence of wavelength selective element in the cavity and different feedback schemes, namely counter-feedback, co-feedback and regenerative-feedback. Comparison with the conventional EDFA system without feedback is presented. Existence of oscillating laser in the cavity either improves or degrades the amplifier performance, depending on the feedback scheme. Gain-clamping effect is exhibited in the system with optical feedback. Laser-induced saturation is observed in the counterfeedback scheme, resulting in deterioration of the noise figure. In contrast, the oscillating laser in co-feedback and regenerative-feedback scheme suppresses the amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) induced self-saturation and significantly improves the noise performance. The thesis ends with the conclusions of the results presented. Suggestions for future work are also given.

#### Abstrak

Dalam tesis ini, penyelidikan terhadap sistem pembesar gentian berdop erbium dengan suap-balik dihuraikan. Pencirian prestasi pembesar gentian adalah mengenai kesan wujudnya elemen pemilihan panjang gelombang di dalam rongga dan skimskim suap-balik yang berlainan. Perbandingan dengan konfigurasi konvensional tanpa suap-balik telah dibuat. Bergantung kepada jenis skim suap-balik, kewujudan laser di dalam rongga samada memperbaiki atau memburukkan prestasi sistem. Kesan gandaan tercengkam dapat diperhatikan di dalam system dengan suap-balik. Penepuan akibat daripada ayunan laser dalam arah bertentangan dengan suap-balik telah memburukkan prestasi kebisingan. Sebaliknya, ayuanan laser di dalam suap-balik bersama dan penjananan semua telah menekan pancaran spontan terganda dan memperbaiki prestasi kebisingan. Tesis ini diakhiri dengan kesimpulan dari keputusan-keputusan yang dilaporkan. Cadangan-cadangan untuk kerja masa depan juga diberikan.

### Acknowledgement

I would like to gratefully acknowledge the contribution made by many members of Photonics Laboratory, such as Leong Mun Kiat, Nor Shahida Mohd. Shah, Prabakaran Poopalan and other members.

In particular, I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Harith Ahmad for providing useful advice, encouragement and motivation.

Finally, I wish to thank National Science Fellowship for financial support.

This work was funded by National Photonics Top-Down Project.

## CONTENTS

Abstract			I		
Abstrak			II		
Acknowledg	ement		III		
Chapter I	Intro	duction	1		
1.1	Brief	History of Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers	1		
1.2	Princi	iples of Amplification Mechanism	3		
1.3	Recent Development in Erbium-doped Fiber Amplifiers				
	With Optical Feedback				
1.4	Outlin	Outline of this Thesis			
Refer	rences		10		
Chapter II	Basic	Principles of Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers	15		
2.1	Introd	luction	15		
2.2	Dope	d Glass Structure and Properties	15		
2.3	Energ	Energy Levels of Er <sup>3+</sup> Ions			
	2.3.1	Stark Effects	18		
	2.3.2	Rate Equations	20		
	2.3.3	Nonradiative Relaxation	22		
	2.3.4	Pump Bands and Excited/Ground State Absorption	24		
2.4	Broadening				
2.5	Spontaneous and Stimulated Emission				
2.6	Absorption and Small-signal Gain Coefficient				
2.7	Principles of Gain-clamping in Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers				
2.8	Key Issues For Optical Amplifiers		35		
	2.8.1	Signal Gain	35		
	2.8.2	Noise	37		
2.9	Simulation Using OptiAmplifier		38		
	2.9.1	Introduction to OptiAmplifier	38		
	2.9.2	Simulation Setup	41		
	2.9.3	Comparison of Simulation Results with			
		Experimental Results	43		
Refere	ences		48		

Chapter III	Components Characterization and Measurement Methods		50		
3.1	Introduction				
3.2	Basic Experimental Setup and Components Characterization				
3.3	Study of Back Reflection				
3.4	Selection of Pumping Scheme				
3.5	Measurement Methods				
	3.5.1	Principle of Interpolation Method	67		
	3.5.2	Principles of Time-Domain-Extinction (TDE) Method	68		
	3.5.3	Results Comparison between Different Measurement			
		Methods	71		
3.6	Study	of Boosted Signal Source	73		
3.7	Conclusions				
References			78		
Chapter IV	Experimental Results and Discussion I:				
	Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Counter-				
	Feedl	pack	80		
4.1	Introduction		80		
4.2	Experimental Setup				
4.3	Amplifier Performance without Wavelength Selective Element				
	4.3.1	Output Spectrums	83		
	4.3.2	Effects of Pump Power Variation	85		
	4.3.3	Effects of Input Signal Power Variation	87		
	4.3.4	Effects of Signal Wavelength Variation	90		
4.4	Performance Comparison Among The Systems		92		
	4.4.1	Output Spectrums	92		
	4.4.2	Effects of Pump Power Variation	94		
	4.4.3	Effects of Input Signal Power Variation	99		
	4.4.4	Effects of Signal Wavelength Variation	103		
4.5	Variat	ion of Lasing Wavelength	106		
	4.5.1	Effects of Lasing Wavelength Variation	106		
	4.5.2	Performance Comparison for Different			
		Lasing Wavelengths	111		

4.6	Conc	Conclusions					
Refe	rences						
Chapter V Experimental Results and Discussion II:							
	Erbiu	ım-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Co-Feedback	122				
5.1	Introd	luction	122				
5.2	Exper	Experimental Setup					
5.3	Ampl	Amplifier Performance - Comparison Among The Systems					
	5.3.1	Output Spectrums	124				
	5.3.2	Effects of Pump Power Variation	125				
	5.3.3	Effects of Input Signal Power Variation	130				
	5.3.4	Effects of Signal Wavelength Variation	137				
5.4	Variat	tion of Lasing Wavelength	140				
5.5	Study of Cavity Loss						
5.6	Effect	Effects of Input Signal on Oscillating signal					
5.7	Concl	usions	165				
References			166				
Chapter VI	Expe	rimental Results and Discussion III:					
Chapter VI	•	rimental Results and Discussion III: nm-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative-					
Chapter VI	•	m-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative-	169				
Chapter VI	Erbiu Feedb	m-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative-	169 169				
·	Erbiu Feedh Introd	nm-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- pack					
6.1	Erbiu Feedb Introd Exper	m-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- oack uction	169				
6.1	Erbiu Feedb Introd Exper	m-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup	169 170				
6.1	Erbiu Feedh Introd Exper Unidin	m-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup rectional-Feedback with Wavelength Selective Element	169 170 172				
6.1	Feedb Introd Exper Unidin 6.3.1 6.3.2	m-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup rectional-Feedback with Wavelength Selective Element Comparison between Different Measurement Methods	169 170 172 172				
6.1 6.2 6.3	Feedb Introd Exper Unidin 6.3.1 6.3.2	am-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup rectional-Feedback with Wavelength Selective Element Comparison between Different Measurement Methods Comparison with System Without Feedback rectional-Feedback without Wavelength Selective Element	169 170 172 172 175				
6.1 6.2 6.3	Erbiu Feedb Introd Exper Unidii 6.3.1 6.3.2 Unidii	mr-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup rectional-Feedback with Wavelength Selective Element Comparison between Different Measurement Methods Comparison with System Without Feedback rectional-Feedback without Wavelength Selective Element	169 170 172 172 175 177				
6.1 6.2 6.3	Erbiu Feedb Introd Exper Unidii 6.3.1 6.3.2 Unidii	mr-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup rectional-Feedback with Wavelength Selective Element Comparison between Different Measurement Methods Comparison with System Without Feedback rectional-Feedback without Wavelength Selective Element Operation Below Threshold	169 170 172 172 175 177				
6.1 6.2 6.3	Erbiu Feedb Introd Exper Unidii 6.3.1 6.3.2 Unidii	mr-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup rectional-Feedback with Wavelength Selective Element Comparison between Different Measurement Methods Comparison with System Without Feedback rectional-Feedback without Wavelength Selective Element Operation Below Threshold 6.4.1.1 Amplifier Performance	169 170 172 172 175 177 177				
6.1 6.2 6.3	Erbiu Feedb Introd Exper Unidii 6.3.1 6.3.2 Unidii	mr-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup rectional-Feedback with Wavelength Selective Element Comparison between Different Measurement Methods Comparison with System Without Feedback rectional-Feedback without Wavelength Selective Element Operation Below Threshold 6.4.1.1 Amplifier Performance 6.4.1.2 Saturation Characteristics	169 170 172 172 175 177 177 177				
6.1 6.2 6.3	Erbiu Feedb Introd Exper Unidii 6.3.1 6.3.2 Unidii	mr-Doped Fiber Amplifier with Optical Regenerative- back uction imental Setup rectional-Feedback with Wavelength Selective Element Comparison between Different Measurement Methods Comparison with System Without Feedback rectional-Feedback without Wavelength Selective Element Operation Below Threshold 6.4.1.1 Amplifier Performance 6.4.1.2 Saturation Characteristics 6.4.1.3 Amplification Bandwidth	169 170 172 172 175 177 177 177 185 188				

			6.4.2.2 Comparison with the System Without Feedback	210	
	6.4.2.3 Comparison with Co-feedback Scheme	220			
	6.5 Injection Locking				
	6.6	6 Regenerative Amplifier Subject To External Injection			
	6.7	Bidirectional-Feedback Regenerative Amplifier			
		6.7.1	Output Spectrums	253	
		6.7.2	Operation Below Threshold	254	
		6.7.3	Operation Above Threshold	259	
	6.8 Conclusions		266		
	References		268		
Chapter VII		Conclusions		271	
	7.1	Introd	uction	271	
	7.2	Counter-Feedback		271	
	7.3	Co-Feedback		272	
	7.4	Regenerative-Feedback		273	
	7.5	Summary of the Work		274	
	7.6	Suggestions for Future Work		276	
	References			277	
APPE	NDIXE	ES			
A	Giles Model		279		
В	Theory	Theory of Regenerative Amplifier Model			
C	Injection Locking Theory Based on Adler's Model			284	
D	Publication List			286	

Publication List