Performance Evaluation between Tahoe, Reno and New Reno Congestion Algorithms

A thesis submitted to the Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology University of Malaya

By

SEOW CHEN SIONG (WGA00026)

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Computer Science (Worth 12/36 credit hours)

May 2003

Supervisor: Dr. Rosli Salleh



Abstract

As the number of Internet users continual to grow exponentially, traffic congestion also increases and therefore reliable traffic is required. Meanwhile, traffic congestion control is taking place to ensure that the traffic is reliable.

To make sure traffic congestion controls function properly, there are two pairs of congestion control algorithm. There are Slow Start and Congestion Avoidance, and Fast Retransmit and Fast Recovery. The first pair is used to control the growth of the traffic, whereas the second pair is used to monitor the traffic after loss segment(s) occurs.

Currently, there are several algorithms for performing traffic congestion control. Among them are Tahoe, Reno, NewReno and SACK. These are all TCP versions and have their own strengths and limitations.

The objective of this thesis is to develop a new TCP/IP component, NewReno, into the UM developed network simulator, UMJaNetSim; then evaluate and analyse the strengths and limitations of the three well known, Tahoe, Reno and NewReno to perform network congestion control.

The aim of this thesis is to study and simulate the TCP with IP architecture for its implementation, in performing congestion control. Since UMJaNetSim has Tahoe and Reno components, the NewReno component is developed to enable the simulation of TCP with IP architecture. The simulator supports TCP with IP topology.

This thesis manages to integrate NewReno into UMJaNetSim. It was expected the performance of NewReno is the best as compared to Tahoe and Reno.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Rosli Salleh, for his invaluable guidance and encouragement throughout the entire project.

I would like to express my sincerest gratitude and appreciation to my lecturer Mr. Ling Teck Chaw, Mr Phang Keat Keong and Mr Ang Tan Fong for their invaluable advice and guidance throughout the project development. Their contributions are truly appreciated.

Besides that, I would also like to thank my wife and family members for their support and understanding. Lastly, I would like to thank Mr Lim Shiau Hong and Jeffrey Chua Sern Loong for their support and sharing of their knowledge with me.

Thank you.

Table of Contents

Abstracti
Acknowledgementsii
Table of Contentsiii
List of Figures
List of Tablesviii
Abbreviationix
Chapter 1 Introduction1
1.1 Introduction to Networking Technologies
1.1.1 Introduction of OSI Reference Model
1.1.1.1 The Application Layer
1.1.1.2 The Presentation Layer4
1.1.1.3 The Session Layer4
1.1.1.4 The Transport Layer5
1.1.1.5 The Network Layer
1.1.1.6 The Data Link Layer
1.1.1.7 The Physical Layer
1.1.2 Mapping TCP/IP model with OSI Model7
1.1.2.1 Physical and Data Link Layers7
1.1.2.2 Network Layer
1.1.2.3 Transport Layer
1.1.2.4 Application Layer
1.1.3 Introduction to Transmission Control Protocol10
1.2 Introduction to Simulation11
1.3 Objective of The Thesis12
1.4 Scope of The Thesis12
1.5 Report Organizations
Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW14
2.1 An introduction to TCP14
2.1.1 TCP congestion control14
2.1.1.1 Slow Start and Congestion Avoidance

2.1.1.2	Fast Retransmit and Fast Recovery17	
2.1.2 TCP	Terminology18	
2.1.2.1	Lost Segment	
2.1.2.2	Duplicate Acknowledgement18	
2.1.2.3	Slow Start Threshold19	
2.1.2.4	Retransmission Time-out (RTO)19	
2.1.3 TCP	version of Congestion Control Algorithms	
2.1.3.1	Introduction to Tahoe	
2.1.3.2	Introduction to Reno	
2.1.3.3	Introduction to New Reno	
2.1.3.4	Introduction of Selective Acknowledgement	
2.1.3.5	Summary of TCP version	
	puter Network Simulation24	
3.1 Computer	r Simulation	
	lation Model25	
	lation Approach	
	Existing Network Simulators	
3.2.1 INSA	ANE	
3.2.2 OMNET++		
3.2.3 OPN	ET	
3.2.4 PAR	SEC	
3.2.5 REAL Network Simulator		
	Г ATM/HFC31	
	2	
3.2.8 DEL	SI	
	ages	
	antages	
	laNetSim	
3.2.10 Summary of Existing Simulator		
	ming Technique	
3.3.1 Approach		
3.3.1.1 Procedural Approach		
3.3.1.2 Structure Programming Approach		
3.3.1.3 Object-Oriented Programming		

3.3.2 Java
3.3.3 Tool
3.3.3.1 Visual Age41
3.3.3.2 Visual J++
3.3.3.3 Borland's JBuilder42
3.4 Chapter Summary42
Chapter 4 UMJaNetSim
4.1 UMJaNetSim Architecture
4.1.1 Event Management Architecture45
4.1.1.1 The Simulation Time47
4.1.2 GUI Management47
4.1.3 Simulation Components, Parameters and Events
4.2 UMJaNetSim API
4.2.1 Simulation Events (SimEvent)
4.2.2 Simulation Components (SimComponent)
4.2.2.1 Instant Information Passing
4.2.2.2 "Copy and Paste" Support56
4.2.2.3 The Event Handler
4.2.3 Component Parameters (SimParameter)57
4.3 UMJaNetSim Features
4.4 Chapter Summary60
Chapter 5 System Design, Implementation and Testing
5.1 Object Oriented Design62
5.2 Class Design
5.2.1 TCPIPApp class
5.3 TCPIP Simulator Component Implementation
5.3.1 TCPIPApp class
5.3.1.1 Process Segment Method
5.3.1.2 Retransmission and Timeout Methods
5.3.2 ATMLSR class
5.3.2.1 Attributes
5.3.2.2 Dropping packet Methods67
5.4 TCPIP component Testing
5.4.1 Testing

5.4.1.1 Tahoe component test
5.4.1.2 Reno component test72
5.4.1.3 New Reno component test74
5.4.2 Performance Evaluation of Overall same TCP version Network Topology
Case Study: TCPIP component network Topology79
Chapter 6 Conclusion80
6.1 Objective Achieved
6.2 Development Outcome
6.2.1 System Strength
6.2.2 System Limitation
6.3 Future Enhancement82
6.4 Chapter Summary83
Reference:
Appendix A
Case Study: TCP with IP network Topology
Appendix B91
TCP with IP component Configuration Guideline91

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 OSI Model
Figure 1.2 The OSI and TCP/IP layered structures7
Figure 2.1 TCP congestion window15
Figure 4.1UMJaNetSim overall architecture45
Figure 4.2UMJaNetSim event management architecture
Figure 4.3UMJaNetSim GUI management structure
Figure 4.4UMJaNetSim Screenshot (SimPanel)48
Figure 4.5UMJaNetSim Screenshot (Parameter Dialogs)
Figure 4.6UMJaNetSim Screenshot (Meter Dialogs)50
Figure 4.7UMJaNetSim Screenshot (Custom Dialog)51
Figure 5.1 TCPIP Simulator Objects
Figure 5.2 TCPIP component topology
Figure 5.3 Tahoe sender sequence number
Figure 5.4 Tahoe sender congestion window70
Figure 5.5 Reno sender sequence number72
Figure 5.6 Reno sender congestion window73
Figure 5.7 NewReno sender sequence number75
Figure 5.8 NewReno sender congestion window76
Figure 5.9 TCP/ IP Network Topology Structure
Figure A.1 TCP/IP Network Topology structure
Figure B.1 Configure TCP with IP component (1)91
Figure B.2 Configure TCP with IP component (2)92

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Comparison of Simulators	.36
Table 5.1 Attributes and Methods of TCPIPApp	.64
Table 5.2 Address Space for TCP with IP component (IPTCP)	.78
Table 5.3 Overall network IPTCP Average Throughput 1	.78
Table 5.3 Overall network IPTCP Average Throughput 2	.78
Table 5.4 Overall network IPTCP Average Throughput	.79
Table A.1 Address Space for TCP with IP component (IPTCP)	. 89
Table A.2 Destination Address Space for TCP with IP component (IPTCP)	.89
Table A.3 Over all network IPTCP on Tahoe Average Throughput 1	.90
Table A.4 Over all network IPTCP on Reno Average Throughput 2	.90
Table A.5 Over all network IPTCP on NewReno Average Throughput	90

Abbreviation

ACK	Acknowledgement packet
cwnd	Sender Congestion Window
dupACK	Duplicate Acknowledgement
IP	Internet Protocol
LAN	Local Area Network
MSS	Maximum Segment Size
ndup	Duplicate Acknowledgement count
RTT	Round Trip Time
rwnd	Receiver Advertisement Window
SMSS	Sender Maximum Segment Size
ssthresh	Slow Start Threshold
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TCP/IP	TCP with IP