

**A STUDY OF EPISTEMIC MODALITY IN A TELEVISION  
INTERVIEW**

**PAPIYA CHAKRABARTY**

**SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS  
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE  
DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGLISH AS A SECOND LANGUAGE.**

**FACULTY OF LANGUAGES AND LINGUISTICS  
UNIVERSITY MALAYA**

**2010**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I want to thank several people in helping me complete my studies.

First and foremost, Pn. Khatijah Shamsuddin, my supervisor at the Language and Linguistics Department, University Malaya who has been very helpful and whose constant guidance helped me complete my project.

I would also like to thank all the lecturers who have lectured and tutored me throughout the four years I met after work who helped me enjoy my study at the university immensely. It is with their kind understanding and tolerance that mature students like me can fulfill life-long dreams to become graduates.

Next is the Jabatan Pendidikan Wilayah Persekutuan Kuala Lumpur, on behalf of the Ministry of Education Malaysia who gave their support in allowing further education to working officers during working hours with special amendments and consideration regarding change of schedules to allow easy transition from work at school to study at University Malaya.

I would also like to thank my the administration and my colleagues at SMK Vivekananda Kuala Lumpur who arranged my time-tables for four years so that I could juggle work and study without much difficulty, maintaining my status as full time employee of the Education Sector while fulfilling my obligations as a student at the university.

I would also like to thank my brother Pradeep Kumar Chakrabarty.

Finally, I would like to thank my husband M. Selvaraju who has been very supportive of my studies. He tolerated my time being shared between work, study and my duties as a housewife.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                        |  | Page |
|------------------------|--|------|
|                        | ABSTRACT   | ii   |
|                        | ABSTRAK  | iii  |
|                        | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS   | iv   |
|                        | TABLE OF CONTENTS  | v    |
|                        | LIST OF TABLES   | ix   |
|                        | LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS  | xii  |
| <b>CHAPTER<br/>ONE</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>  |      |
| 1.1                    | INTRODUCTION   | 1    |
| 1.2                    | THE PROBLEM  | 3    |
| 1.3                    | OBJECTIVES   | 4    |
| 1.4                    | THE RESEARCH QUESTION  | 4    |
|                        | 1.4.1 How many epistemic modals are used in a text where several people hold the floor in a forum?   | 4    |
|                        | 1.4.2 What is the percentage difference between modalized statements of forum participants and unmodalized statements within the same interview? | 4    |

|                      |   |           |
|----------------------|---|-----------|
|                      | 1.4.3 How many sentences in the interview reflect commitments of truth by the speakers? | 4         |
| 1.5                  | THE AIMS AND JUSTIFICATION OF THE STUDY   | 4         |
| 1.6                  | THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY   | 5         |
| 1.7                  | LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY  | 6         |
| 1.8                  | SUMMARY   | 6         |
| <b>CHAPTER TWO</b>   | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>  | <b>7</b>  |
| 2.0                  | INTRODUCTION  | 7         |
| 2.1                  | SIGN BASED THEORY   | 8         |
|                      | 2.1.1 Synergesis of language  | 10        |
|                      | 2.1.2 The Philosophy of Truth and Logic   | 13        |
|                      | 2.1.3 Truth Propositions  | 15        |
|                      | 2.1.4 Epistemic Modality  | 15        |
|                      | 2.1.5 Hedging, epistemic modals, root modals & the Law                                  | 16        |
| 2.2                  | FUNCTIONS OF AUXILIARY MODALS ACCORDING TO RESEARCHERS                                  | 18        |
|                      | 2.2.1 Taxonomies of modalities according to researchers                                 | 21        |
|                      | 2.2.2 Modality by Palmer  | 32        |
|                      | SUMMARY   | 36        |
| <b>CHAPTER THREE</b> | <b>METHODOLOGY</b>  | <b>37</b> |
| 3.0                  | INTRODUCTION  | 37        |
| 3.1                  | THE ANALYSIS FRAMEWORK  | 37        |
| 3.2                  | DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES  | 37        |
|                      | 3.2.1 THE FIVE SPEAKERS   |           |

|                  |  |    |
|------------------|--|----|
|                  | 3.2.2 THE WORD COUNT   |    |
| 3.3              | DATA PROCESSING CRITERION  | 40 |
|                  | 3.3.1 DEFINITIONS OF EACH CRITERION  | 40 |
| 3.4              | LANGUAGE SOFTWARE AND THE RECORDING METHOD   | 42 |
| 3.5              | STAGES IN DATA ANALYSIS  | 44 |
| 3.6              | SUMMARY  | 45 |
| <b>CHAPTER 4</b> | <b>DATA ANALYSIS</b>   | 47 |
| 4.0              | INTRODUCTION   | 47 |
| 4.1              | AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE SPEAKERS OF A TELEVISION INTERVIEW – WAR IN IRAQ                      | 47 |
| 4.2              | A GRAMMATICAL ANALYSIS OF THE SPEAKERS USING THE CATEGORIZATION MODEL                              | 48 |
|                  | 4.2.1 Frequency count table of auxiliary modals and lexical predicates in text of speaker 1        | 49 |
|                  | Interview Analysis Speaker 2   | 50 |
|                  | Categorization Model for speaker Sen.Graham  |    |
|                  | 4.2.2 Interview Analysis frequency count table Speaker 2 ,James Carville and frequency count table | 57 |
|                  | Categorization model for speaker James Carville  | 58 |
|                  | 4.2.3 Interview Analysis Speaker 3 Newt Gingrich and frequency count table                         | 71 |
|                  | Categorization model for speaker Newt Gingrich   | 72 |

|                     |  |     |
|---------------------|--|-----|
|                     | 4.2.4 Interview Analysis Speaker 4 and frequency count table   | 84  |
|                     | Categorization model for speaker Patrick Buchanan  | 85  |
|                     | 4.2.5 Interview Analysis Speaker 5 and frequency count table   | 97  |
|                     | Categorization model for speaker Mary Matalin  | 98  |
| 4.3                 | SUMMARY  | 99  |
| <b>CHAPTER FIVE</b> | <b>CONCLUSIONS</b>   | 100 |
| 5.0                 | INTRODUCTION   | 100 |
| 5.1                 | REVIEW OF RESEARCH   | 100 |
| 5.3                 | ISSUES PERTAINING TO RESEARCH QUESTIONS  | 104 |
|                     | 5.3.1 Research Question 1 How many epistemic modals are used in a text where several people hold the floor in a forum?                     | 105 |
|                     | Research Question 2  | 105 |
|                     | What is the percentage difference between modalized statements of forum participants and unmodalized statements within the same interview? |     |
|                     | Research Question 3  | 106 |
|                     | How many sentences in the interview reflect commitments of truth by the speakers?  |     |
| 5.4                 | <b>CONCLUSION</b>  | 109 |
| 5.5                 | <b>RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH</b>  | 111 |
|                     | <b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>  | 112 |

|             |     |
|-------------|-----|
| Appendix 1  | 120 |
| Appendix 2  | 136 |
| Appendix 3  | 163 |
| Appendix 4  | 165 |
| Appendix 5  | 167 |
| Appendix 6  | 169 |
| Appendix 7  | 171 |
| Appendix 8  | 174 |
| Appendix 9  | 177 |
| Appendix 10 | 179 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|            |  |    |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 1   | The Structural Paradigm of Language                                    | 12 |
| Table 4.2. | Frequency count of auxiliary modals in Sen.Graham's transcript.        | 49 |
| Table 4.3  | Frequency count of lexical predicates in Sen.Graham's transcript.      | 49 |
| Table 4.4  | Frequency count of auxiliary modals in James Carville's transcripts    | 57 |
| Table 4.5  | Frequency count of lexical predicates in James Carville's transcripts  | 57 |
| Table 4.6  | Frequency count of auxiliary modals in Newt Gingrich's transcript      | 71 |
| Table 4.7  | Frequency count of lexical predicates in Newt Gingrich's transcript    | 71 |
| Table 4.8. | Frequency count of auxiliary modals in Patrick Buchanan's transcript   | 84 |
| Table 4.9  | Frequency count of lexical predicates in Patrick Buchanan's transcript | 84 |
| Table 4.10 | Frequency count of auxiliary modals Mary Jo Matalin transcript         | 97 |



## LIST OF TABLES

|           |   |     |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table 2.1 | Epistemic devices used by native speakers of English              | 21  |
| Table 2.2 | The Dictum and the Modi   | 25  |
| Table 2.3 | Semantic Categories relevant to epistemic modality                | 29  |
| Table 2.4 | Five categories of epistemic commitment                           | 31  |
| Table 2.5 | High factuality and low factuality linguistic signals             | 31  |
| Table 3.1 | Linguistic analysis of epistemic expressions                      | 43  |
| Table 3.2 | Frequency output of auxiliary modals                              | 44  |
| Table 3.3 | Frequency output of lexical predicates                            | 44  |
| Table 4.1 | Analysis of modalized and unmodalized                             | 47  |
| Table 5.1 | Frequency Count of modals found in interview excerpts             | 101 |
| Table 5.2 | Frequency count of lexical predicates found in interview excerpts | 101 |

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

|          |           |                 |           |           |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>G</b> | <b>1</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>1</b>  | <b>50</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>2</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>2</b>  | <b>50</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>3</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>3</b>  | <b>51</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>4</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>4</b>  | <b>51</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>5</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>5</b>  | <b>52</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>5</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>6</b>  | <b>52</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>6</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>7</b>  | <b>53</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>7</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>8</b>  | <b>53</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>8</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>9</b>  | <b>54</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>9</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>10</b> | <b>54</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>10</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>11</b> | <b>55</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>11</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>55</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>13</b> | <b>55</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>56</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>13</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>15</b> | <b>56</b> |
| <b>G</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>16</b> | <b>56</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>1</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>1</b>  | <b>58</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>2</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>2</b>  | <b>58</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>3</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>3</b>  | <b>59</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>4</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>4</b>  | <b>60</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>5</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>5</b>  | <b>60</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>6</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>6</b>  | <b>61</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>7</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>7</b>  | <b>61</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>8</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>8</b>  | <b>62</b> |
| <b>C</b> | <b>9</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>9</b>  | <b>62</b> |

|          |           |                 |           |    |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|----|
| <b>C</b> | <b>10</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>10</b> | 63 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>11</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>11</b> | 63 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>12</b> | 64 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>13</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>13</b> | 64 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>14</b> | 65 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>15</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>15</b> | 65 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>16</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>16</b> | 66 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>17</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>17</b> | 66 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>18</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>18</b> | 67 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>19</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>19</b> | 67 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>20</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>20</b> | 68 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>21</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>21</b> | 68 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>22</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>22</b> | 69 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>23</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>23</b> | 69 |
| <b>C</b> | <b>24</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>24</b> | 70 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>1</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>1</b>  | 72 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>2</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>2</b>  | 72 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>3</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>3</b>  | 73 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>4</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>4</b>  | 73 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>5</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>5</b>  | 74 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>6</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>6</b>  | 74 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>7</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>7</b>  | 75 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>8</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>8</b>  | 75 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>9</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>9</b>  | 76 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>10</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>10</b> | 76 |
| <b>N</b> | <b>11</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>11</b> | 77 |

|          |           |                 |           |           |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>N</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>77</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>13</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>13</b> | <b>78</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>78</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>15</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>15</b> | <b>79</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>16</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>16</b> | <b>79</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>17</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>17</b> | <b>79</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>18</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>18</b> | <b>80</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>19</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>19</b> | <b>80</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>20</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>20</b> | <b>81</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>21</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>21</b> | <b>81</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>22</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>22</b> | <b>82</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>23</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>23</b> | <b>82</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>24</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>24</b> | <b>83</b> |
| <b>N</b> | <b>25</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>25</b> | <b>83</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>1</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>1</b>  | <b>85</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>2</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>2</b>  | <b>85</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>3</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>3</b>  | <b>86</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>4</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>4</b>  | <b>86</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>5</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>5</b>  | <b>87</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>6</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>6</b>  | <b>87</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>7</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>7</b>  | <b>88</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>8</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>8</b>  | <b>88</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>9</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>9</b>  | <b>89</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>10</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>10</b> | <b>90</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>11</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>11</b> | <b>90</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>12</b> | <b>91</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>13</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>13</b> | <b>91</b> |
| <b>P</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>14</b> | <b>92</b> |

|          |           |                 |           |    |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|----|
| <b>P</b> | <b>15</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>15</b> | 92 |
| <b>P</b> | <b>16</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>16</b> | 93 |
| <b>P</b> | <b>17</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>17</b> | 93 |
| <b>P</b> | <b>18</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>18</b> | 94 |
| <b>P</b> | <b>19</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>19</b> | 94 |
| <b>P</b> | <b>20</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>20</b> | 95 |
| <b>P</b> | <b>21</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>21</b> | 95 |
| <b>P</b> | <b>22</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>22</b> | 96 |
| <b>P</b> | <b>23</b> | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>23</b> | 96 |
| <b>M</b> | <b>1</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>1</b>  | 97 |
| <b>M</b> | <b>2</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>2</b>  | 98 |
| <b>M</b> | <b>3</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>3</b>  | 98 |
| <b>M</b> | <b>4</b>  | <b>Analysis</b> | <b>4</b>  | 99 |