## References

- Aksela, M. (2005). Supporting Meaning Chemistry Learning and Higher Order Thinking Through Computer Assisted Inquiry: A Design Research Approach. Retrieved from htto://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/pdf
- Alexander, R. (1992). *Policy and practice in primary education*. London: Routledge.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.) (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. New York, NY: Longman.
- Anderson, J., & Weert, T. (2002). *ICT in education. A curriculum for schools and programme of teacher development*. Paris: UNESCO. Retrieved from unesco.unesco.org/pdf
- Arshadi, N. (2004). Impact the use of computer in chemistry teaching. *Educational Technology Journal*, 1, 8-10.
- Aspy, D. N., Aspy, C. B., & Quimby, P. M. (1993). What doctors can teach teachers about problem-based learning. *Educational Leadership*, 50(7), 22-24.
- Assessment Report. (2001). *Chemistry instruction in 11 classroom level-Urban Tehran 2000-2001*. Chemistry (MP30/1124). Unpublished working paper. Tehran: Research & Curriculum Planning Organization Library, Ministry of Education.
- Ausubel, D. P., Novak, J. D., & Hanesian, H. (1978). *Educational psychology: A cognitive view* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Holt.
- Azimi, F. (2002). The study use students of Roshd Network in the high school. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University Azad of Iran.
- Barton, R. (1997). Computer-aided graphing: A comparative study. *Journal of Information Technology for Teacher Education*, *6*(1), 59-72. Retrieved from http:www.pdfserve.inform world.com/pdf
- Bennett, F. (2002). The future of computer technology in K-12 education. *Phi Delta Kappan*. Retrieved from http://www.cris.com/~faben1/phidel~1.s.html
- Biggs, J. B. (1999). *Teaching for quality learning at university*. Buckingham, U.K: Open University Press.
- Birley, G., & Moreland, N. (1998). A practical guide to academic research. London: Kogan Page.

- Bloom, B. S., Engelhart, M. D., Furst, E. J., Hill, W. H., & Krathwohl, D. R. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: Handbook I: Cognitive domain*. New York: McKay.
- Boden, M. (2001). Creativity and knowledge. In A. Craft, B. Jeffrey & M. Leibling (Eds.), *Creativity in education: A guide for teachers and educators*. London, UK: Continuum Press.
- Bransford, J., Brown, A., & Cocking, R. (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school.* Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Brewer, J., & Hunter, A. (1989). *Multimethod research: A synthesis of style*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- British Educational Communications and Technology Agency. (2004). *A review of the research literature on barriers to the uptake of ICT by teachers*. UK: Becta. Retrieved from http://www.becta.org.uk/pdf
- Brown, A. L., Bransford, J. D., Ferrara, R. A., & Campione, J. C (1983). Learning Remembering and understanding. In J. H. Flavell & E. M. Markman (Eds.), Handbook of child psychology: Cognitive development 3 (4th ed.). New York, NY: Wile
- Bruner, J. (1960). *The process of education*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bryman, A. (1984). The debate about quantitative and qualitative research: A question of method or epistemology? *British Journal of Sociology*, *35*(1), 75-92. Retrieved from http://www.dis.fatih.edu/pdf
- Cartwright, C., & Cartwright, P. (1984). *Developing observation skills*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Chang, C. Y., & Barufaldi, J. P. (1999). The use of a problem-solving based instructional model in initiating change in students' achievement and alternative frameworks. *International Journal of Science Education*, 21, 373-388.
- Chia-Chien Hsu, & Sandford, B. A. (2007). The Delphi Technique: Making sense of consensus. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 12(10). Retrieved from http://pareonline.net/pdf
- Clark, D. J., Weinberger, A., Jucks, R., Spitulnik, M., & Wallace, R. (2003). Designing effective science inquiry in text-based computer-supported collaborative learning environments. *International Journal of Educational Policy, Research*, & *Practice*, 4(1). Retrieved from http://www.psy.uni-muenster.de/inst3/pdf

- Collis, B. (1993). *The impact of computing in education in Europe*. Retrieved from http://etd.Isu.edu/docs/pdf
- Cornu, B. (1995). *New technologies: Integration into education*. In D. Watson & D. Tinsley (Eds.), *Integrating Information Technology into education*. London: Chapman.
- Cox, M., Cox, K., & Preston, C. (1999, September). What factors support or prevent teachers from using ICT in their classroom? Paper presented in BERA Annual conference. Retrieved from http:// www.ac. uk/educol
- Cox, M., Webb, M., Abbott, C., Blakeley, B., Beauchamp, T., & Rhodes, V. (2004). *ICT and pedagogy*. Retrieved from http://www.becta.org.uk/pdf
- Cruickshank, D. R., Bainer, D. L., & Metcalf, K. K. (1999). *The act of teaching* (2nd ed.). Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill.
- Cuban, L. (1986). *Teachers and machines: The classroom use of technology since* 1920. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Curriculum Council. (1998). *Curriculum framework*. Tehran: Curriculum Development Department, Ministry of Education. (NSB 25-32).
- Dalkey, N. C. (1972). The Delphi method: An experimental application of group opinion. In N. C. Dalkey, D. L. Rourke, R. Lewis, & D. Snyder (Eds.). Studies in the quality of life. Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.
- Dawes, L. (2001). What stops teachers using new technology? In M. Leask (Ed.), Issues in teaching using ICT, pp. 1-76. London: Routledge.
- De Corte, E., Verschaffel, L., & De Ven, A. V. (2001). Improving text comprehension strategies in upper primary school children: A design experiment. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71, 531-559.
- Dejong, O. (2005). Research and teaching practice in Chemical Education: Living apart or together? *Chemical Education International*, 6(1).
- Delbecq, A. L., Van de Ven, A. H., & Gustafson, D. H. (1975). *Group techniques for program planning: A guide to nominal group and Delphi processes*. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.
- Denby, D. (2002). *ICT in support of science education: A practical user's guide*. Retrieved from http://www.salters.co.uk/ pdf
- Descombe, M. (2001). The good research guide for small-scale social research projects. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.
- Donahoe, T. (1993). Finding the way: Structure, time and culture in school important. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 75(4), 298-306. Retrieved from http://www.highbeam.com/doc/1G1-147234 97.html

- Donovan, W. J. (2001). Investigation of students' use of web-based tutorial materials and understanding of chemistry concepts. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 78(7), 975-980.
- Dori, Y., & Barak, M. (2000). Computerized molecular modeling: Enhancing meaningful chemistry learning. In B. Fishman & S. O'Connor-Divelbiss (Eds.), Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference of the Learning Sciences (pp. 185-192). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Dreyfus, T., & Halevi, T. (1991). QuadFun: A case study of pupil computer interaction. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 10 (2), 43-48.
- Driscoll, M. P. (1994). *Psychology of learning for instruction*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Driver, R. (1989a). The construction of scientific knowledge in school classrooms. In R. Miller (Ed.), Doing science: Images of science in science education. New York, NY: Falmer Press.
- Driver, R. (1989b). *Changing conceptions*. In P. Adley (Ed.), *Adolescent development and school science* (pp. 79-99). London: Falmer Press.
- Duch, B. J., Groh, S. E., & Allen, D. E. (2001). *The power of problem-based learning*. Sterling, VA: Stylus.
- Edelson, D., Gordon, D., & Pea, R. (1999). Addressing the challenges of inquiry-based learning through technology and curriculum design. *Journal of Learning Sciences*, 8(3&4), 391-450. Retrieved from http://www.worldwatcher.northwestern.edu/pdf
- Edelson, D. C. (1998). Realizing authentic science learning through the adaptation of scientific practice. In B. J. Fraser & K. G. Tobin (Eds.), *International handbook of science education* (pp. 317- 331). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Erduran, S., & Scerri, E. (2002). *The nature of chemical knowledge and chemical education*. In J. Gilbert, R. Justi, D. Treagust, O. De Jong, & J. van Driel, (Eds.), *Chemical education: Towards research-based practice* (pp. 7-27). Dordrecht: Kluwer.

- Ertmer, P. (2005). *Teacher pedagogical beliefs and classroom technology use: A critical link*. Retrieved from http://www.edci.purdue.edu/ertmer/docs/pdf
- Ertmer, P., & Newby, T. J. (1993). Behaviorism, cognitivism, and constructivism: Comparing critical features from an instructional design perspective. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 6(4), 50-71.
- Finch, C. R., & Crunkilton, J. R. (1989). *Curriculum development in vocational and technical education*. Rockleigh, NH: Allyn and Bacon.
- Flick, L. B., & Bell, R. (2000). Preparing tomorrow's science teachers to use technology: Guidelines for science educators. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 1(1). Retrieved from http://www.cite Journal.org/htm
- Flowers, J. S., & Ritz, J. M. (1994). *Cooperative learning in technology education*. Retrieved from http://teches.vt.edu/vctte/Monographs 13.html
- Fullan, M. (1993). Change forces: Probing the depths of educational reform. London: Falmer Press.
- Fullan, M. (1998). Leadership for the 21st century: Breaking the bonds of dependency. *Educational Leadership*, 55(7), 6-10. Retrieved from http://www.michaelfullan.ca/pdf
- Gabel, D. L. (1998). The complexity of chemistry and implications for teaching. In B. J. Fraser & K. G. Tobin (Eds.), International handbook of science education (pp. 233-248). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Germann, P. J. (1989). Directed-inquiry approach to learning science process skills: Treatment effects and attitude-treatment interactions. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 26(3), 237-50.
- Germann, P. J. (1991). Developing science process skills through directed inquiry. *American Biology Teacher*, 53(4), 243-47.
- Gilbert, J. K., Justi, R., Van Driel, J., De Jong, O., & Treagust, D. F. (2004). Securing a future for chemical education. *Chemical Education Research and Practice*, 5(1), 5-14. Retrieved from http://www.uoi.gr/cerp/pdf
- Gomes, C. (2005). Integration of ICT in science teaching. *Journal of Environmental Studies*, 35, 51-62. *Retrieved from http://www*. formatex.org/pdf

- Goodison, T. A. (2002). Learning with ICT at primary level: Pupils perceptions. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 18(3).
- Green, H. C., Hunter, C., & Moore, B. (1990). Assessing the environmental impact of tourism development: The use of the Delphi technique. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 35, 51-62.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1983). Epistemological and methodological bases of naturalistic inquiry. In G. F. Madaus, M. S. Scriven, & D. L. Stufflebeam (Eds.), Evaluation models: Viewpoints on educational and human services evaluation (pp. 311-334). Boston: Kluwer-Nijhoff.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Handly, C., & Aitken, R. (1986). *Understanding school as organization*. London: Pelican Books.
- Hanson, D., & Wolfskill, T. (2000). Process workshops- A new model for instruction. *J Chem Educ*, 77, 120-130.
- Harris, S. (2002). Innovative pedagogical practices using ICT in schools in England. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 18(4), 449-458.
- Hawkridge, D. (1990). Who needs computers in schools, and why? *Computers and Education*, 15, 1-3.
- Heck, A., Kedzierska, E., Rogers, L., & Chmurska, M. (2006). *Acid-base titration curves in an integrated computer learning environment*. Retrieved from http://staff.scie-nce.uva.nl/~heck/pdf
- Hegarty-Hazel, E. (1990). *The student laboratory and the science curriculum*. London: Routledge.
- Helmer, O. (1983). Looking forward: A guide to futures research. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Hennessy, S., & Deaney, R. (2004). Sustainability and evolution of ICT supported classroom practice. Retrieved from http://www.bsrlm.org
- Henriques, L. (2002). Preparing tomorrow's science teachers to use technology: An example from the field. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 2(1), 3-18. Retrieved from http://www.citejournal.org/pdf
- Hogarth, S., Bennett, J., Campbell, B., Lubben, F., & Robinson, A. (2006). *ICT in science teaching: The effect of ICT teaching activities in science lessons on students' understanding of science ideas*. Retrieved from http://eppi.ioe.ac.uk/cms/LinkClick.aspx

- Holbrook, J. (2005). Making chemistry teaching relevant. *Chemical Education International*, 6(1). *Retrieved from http://old.iupac.org/Publications/cei/pdf*
- Hollingworth, R. W. (2002). What role for ICT in teaching and learning chemistry? *Chemical Education Journal*, *6*(2), 6-12. Retrieved from http://chem.sci.utsunomiyauu.ac.jp/v6n2/hollingworth/html
- Hopkins, D. (2002). A teacher's guide to classroom research. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.
- Igelsrud, D., & Leonard, W. H. (1988). Labs: What research says about biology laboratory instruction. *American Biology Teacher*, 50(5), 303-06.
- Impact 2. (2001). Emerging Findings from the Evaluation of the Impact of Information and Communications Technologies on Pupil Attainment. Retrieved from http://www.dcsf.gov.uk/pdf
- Jahangard, N. (2004). *Takfa Iran's road to knowledge-based development*. Retrieved from http://www.Irandoc.com
- Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., & Holubec, E. J. (1993). *Cooperation in the classroom* (6th ed.). Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1994). *Learning together*. In S. Sharan (Ed.), *Handbook of cooperative learning methods* (pp. 51-65). Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- Johnson, L., & Lamb, L. (2007). *Critical and creative thinking: Bloom's taxonomy*. Retrieved from http://eduscapes.com/tap/topicic69.html
- Jonassen, D. H. (1992). What are cognitive tools? In P. A. M. Kommers, D. H. Jonassen, & J. T. Mayes (Eds.), Cognitive tools for learning (pp. 1-6). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Jonassen, D. H. (1996). *Computers as mindtools for schools: Engaging critical thinking*. Columbus, OH: Prentice Hall.
- Jonassen, D. H. (2000). *Computers as Mindtools for Schools*. (2nd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.
- Jonassen, D. H., Carr, C., & Yuch, H. (1998). Computers as mindtools for engaging learning in critical thinking. *Tech Trends*, 43(2), 24-32.
- Jonassen, D. H., Peck, K. L., & Wilson, B. G. (1999). *Learning with technology*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.

- Jones, A. (2004). A review of the research literature on barriers to the uptake of ICT by teachers. UK: Becta. Retrieved from http://www.becta.org.uk.pdf
- Kafai, Y., & Resnick, M. (1996). Constructionist in practice: Designing thinking, and learning in a digital world. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum Associates.
- Kennewell, S., Parkinson, J., & Tanner, H. (2003). *Learning to teach ICT in the secondary school*. London: Routledge.
- Kennedy, D., & Finn, S. (2000). The use of data logging in teaching physics and chemistry in second-level schools in Ireland. Retrieved from http://scholar.google.com
- Kozma, R. (1991). Learning with media. *Review of Educational Research*, 61(2), 179-211. Retrieved from http://www.c31. unioldenburg.de/cde/pdf
- Kozma, R. (1999). Prospect us: Qualitative studies of innovative pedagogical practices using technology research design for the second information technology in education study module 2 (SITES M2). Retrieved from http://sitesm2.org/SITES-Research project. pdf
- Kozma, R. B. (2003). Technology and classroom practices: An international study. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *36*(1), 1-14.
- Kvale, S. (1996). *Interviews: An introduction to qualitative research interviewing*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Lagowski, J. J. (1998). Chemical education: Past, present, and future. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 75(4), 425-436.
- Laurillard, D., Stratfold, M., Luckin, R., Plowman, L., & Taylor, J. (2000). Affordances for learning in a non-linear narrative medium. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*, 2. Retrieved from http://www-jime.open.ac.uk/pdf
- Leithwood, K. (1994.) Leadership for school restructuring. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 30(4), 498-518.
- Lemke, J. (1990). *Talking science: Language, learning, and values.* Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Lewis, S. (2003). Enhancing teaching and learning of science through use of ICT: Methods and materials. *School Science Review*, 84(309). Retrieved from http://www.ase.org.uk/html
- Linn, M. C. (1995). Designing computer learning environments for engineering and computer science: The scaffold knowledge integration framework. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 4(2), 103-126.

- Linn, M. C. (1996). From separation to partnership in science education: Students, laboratories, and the curriculum. In R. F. Tinker (Ed.), Microcomputer-based Labs: Educational research and standards (pp. 13-45). Berlin: Springer.
- Linn, M. C. (1998). The impact of technology on science instruction: Historical trends and current opportunities. In B. J. Fraser & K. Tobin (Eds.), International handbook of science education (pp. 265-295). Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Linn, M. C., & Bell, P. (2000). Scientific arguments as learning artifacts: Designing for learning from the web with KIE. *International Journal Science Education*, 22(8), 797-81. Retrieved from http://www.design based Research.org/pubs/pdf
- Linstone, H., & Turoff, M. (1975). *The Delphi method: Techniques and applications*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Litchfield, B. C., & Mattson, S. A. (1989). The interactive media science project: An inquiry-based multimedia science curriculum. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 9(1), 37-43.
- Loveless, A. (2000). Creativity, visual literacy and information and communications technology. In D. M. Watson & T. Downes (Eds.), Communications and networking in education: Learning in a networked society. Norwell, MA: Kluwer.
- Law, N., Yuen, H. K., Ki, W. W., Li, S. C., Lee, Y., & Chow, Y. (2000). *Studying ICT Supported Pedagogical Practice*. Retrieved from dohttp://www.cite.huk.hk
- Lower, S. K. (1997). Computer-assisted instruction in chemistry. In T. Zielinski & M. L. Swift (Eds.), Using computers in chemistry and chemical education (pp. 355-376). American Chemical Society.
- Marsh, D. D. (2001). Educational leadership for the twenty-first century: Integrating three essential perspectives. In Jossey-Bass Reader on educational leadership. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Martin, D. J. (2003). *Elementary science methods: A constructivist approach* (3rd ed.). Belmont, CA: Thomson-Wadsworth.
- Mathison, S. (1988). Why triangulate? *Educational Researcher*, 17(2), 13-17.
- Mbajiorgu, N., & Reid, N. (2006). Factors influencing curriculum development in chemistry: Report of a Literature Review. Retrieved from http://www.heacade-m.ac.uk/pdf

- McLoughlin, C., & Oliver, R. (1999). *Pedagogic roles and dynamics in telematics environments*. In M. Selinger & J. Pearson (Eds.), *Telematics in education: Trends and issues* (pp. 32-50). Oxford: Elsevier Science.
- Means, B., & Olson, K. (1997). *Technology and education reform*: Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education.
- Meier, D. (1995). The power of their ideas. Boston, MA: Beacon Press.
- Miller, C. S., Lehman, J. F., & Koedinger, K. R. (1999). *Cognitive Science*, 23(3), 305-336. Retrieved from http:// www. nationalacademies.org/pdf
- Moseley, D., Higgins, S., Bramald, R., Hardman, F., Miller, J...Stout, J. (1999). Ways forward with ICT: Effective pedagogy using ICT for literacy and numeracy in primary schools. Newcastle: Newcastle University.
- Movahedian, T. (2002). *Challenge and obstacle in chemistry instruction* (pp. 10-15). (MP12/1122). Tehran: Iran Research and Curriculum Planning Organization.
- Nakhleh, M. B., Polles, J., & Malina, E. (2002). Learning chemistry in a laboratory environment. In J. K. Gilbert, O. De Jong, R. Justi, D. F. Treagust & J. H. Van Driel (Eds.), Chemical education: Towards research-based practice (pp. 69-94). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Narode, R. (1987). *Teaching thinking skills: Science*. Washington, DC: National Education Association.
- National Research Council. 1996). *National science education standards*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Newman, D., Griffin, P., & Cole, M. (1989). *The construction zone: Working for cognitive change in school*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Newton, L. (1997). Graph talk: some observations and reflections on students' datalogging. *School Science Review*, *79*(287), 49-53.
- Novak, A. (1964). Scientific inquiry. *Bioscience*, 14, 25-28.
- Novak, J. D., & Gowin, D. D. (1984). *Learning how to learn*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Office for Standards in Education. (2002). *ICT in school: Effect of government initiative*. London: OFSTED.
- Ormrod, J. E. (1990). *Human learning: Theories, principles and educational applications*. Columbus, OH: Merrill.

- Osborne, J. (2003). *Literature Review in Science Education and the Role of TCT: Promise, Problems and Future Directions. Retrieved from http://hal.*archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/pdf
- Osborne, M., & Freyberg, P. (1985). *Learning in science: Implications of children's knowledge*. Auckland, New Zealand: Heinemann.
- Papert, S. (1987). Computer criticism, techno centric thinking. *Educational Researcher Journal*, 16(1), 22-30. Retrieved from http://www.http.//links.jstor.org
- Paul, C. (2002). *Literature review: The impact of ICT on learning and teaching*. Retrieved from http://www.det.wa.edu.au/pdf
- Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pelgrum, W. J., & Anderson, R. E. (1999). *ICT and the emerging paradigm for lifelong learning*. An IEA educational assessment of infrastructure, goals, and practices in twenty-six countries (2nd ed.). Amsterdam: IEA. Retrieved from http:// www.iea.nl/Home/IEA/Publications/SITES-book/pdf
- Piaget, J. (1973). To understand is to invent. New York, NY: Grossman.
- Report of the Science Teacher Association. (2005). *Chemistry teaching classroom Level 11-Urban Tehran 2005*. Chemistry (MP35/124). Unpublished working paper. Tehran: Research & Curriculum Planning Organization Library, Ministry of Education.
- Robitaille, D. F. (1996). *TIMSS Monograph No. 2: Research questions and study design*. Vancouver, Canada: Pacific Educational Press. (1996).
- Roschelle, J. (1996). What Should Collaborative Technology Be? A Perspective from Dewey and Situated Learning, 21. Retrieved from http://www-cs195.indiana.edu/index.html
- Roschelle, J., Pea, R., Hoadley, C., Gordin, D., & Means, B. (2001). Changing how and what children learn in school with computer-based technologies. *The Future of Children*, 10(2). Retrieved from http://hal.archivesouvertes.fr/docs/pdf
- Rosenholtz, S. J. (1989). *Teacher's workplace: The social organization of schools*. White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Roshd Network. (2004). Iran National Network. *Information and Communication Technology Bulletin*, 1, 2-25.

- Rothwell, W. J., & Kazanzs, H. C. (1992). *Mastering the instructional design process: A systematic approach*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Russell, J. W., Kozma, R. B., Jones, T., Wykoff, J., Marx, N., & Davis, J. (1997). Use of simultaneous-synchronized macroscopic, and symbolic representations to enhance the teaching and learning of che mical concepts. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 74(3), 330.
- Russell, T. (2001). Teaching and using ICT in secondary schools (pp. 39-40). London: Fulton.
- Rutherford, F. J., & Ahlgren, A. (1990). *Science for all Americans*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Sarason, S. (1993). The case for change: Rethinking the preparation of educators. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Satari, Z. (2005). The impact of Roshd Network from the high school students and teachers view point in Urban Tehran. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Azad, Iran.
- Savery, J. R., & Duffy, T. M. (1995). Problem based learning: An instructional model and its constructivist framework. *Educational Technology*, 35(5), 31-38.
- Selliger, M. (2001). The role of the teacher: Teacherless classrooms. In M. Leask (Ed.), *Issues in teaching using ICT* (pp. 83-95). London: Routledge.
- Schank, O., & Kozma, R. (2005). Learning chemistry through the use of is presentation-based knowledge building environment. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 21(3), 253-279. Retrieved from http://robertkozma.com/pdf
- Shulman, L. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57, 1-22.
- Silverman, D. (1993). *Interpreting qualitative data*. London: Sage.
- Smith, A. (1999). *Interactive whiteboard evaluation*. Retrieved from www.mirandanet.ac.uk
- Smith, H. J., Higgins, S., Wall, K., & Miller, J. (2005). Interactive whiteboards: Boon or bandwagon? A critical review of the literature. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21, 91-101. Retrieved from http://www.faculty.ksu.edu.sa/-pdf
- Snowman, J., & Biehler, R. (2000). *Psychology applied to teaching* (9th ed.) Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin.

- Solomon, C. (1986). Computer environments for children: A reflection on theories of learning and education. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Songer, N. B. (1998). Can technology bring students closer to science? In K. Tobin & B. Fraser (Eds.), The international handbook of science education (pp. 333-348). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Squires, D., & McDougall, A. (1994). *Choosing and using educational software: A teachers' guide*. London: Falmer.
- Statistical Book. (2006). Tehran: Human Resource Development Organization, Minstry of Education.
- Stamovlasis, D., & Tsaparlis, G. (2000). Non-linear analysis of the effect of working-memory capacity on organic-synthesis problem solving. *Research and Practice in Europe*, 1(3), 375-380. Retrieved from http://www.uoi.gr/conf-sem/cerapie
- Symonds, W. C. (2000). High school will never be the same. *Business Week*. Retrieved from http://www.businessweek.com/html
- Tabak, I., & Reiser, B. J. (1999). Complementary roles of software-based scaffolding and teacher-student interactions in inquiry learning. Presented at conference on computer support for collaborative learning, December 10-14, 1997, Toronto, Canada.
- Taber, K. S. (2001). Constructing chemical concepts in the classroom? Using research to inform practice. *Research and Practice in Europe*, 2(1), 43-51. Retrieved from http://www.uoi.gr/conf-sem/ Cerapie
- Tapper, J. (1999). Topics and manner of talk in undergraduate practical laboratories. *International Journal of Science Education*, 21(4), 447-464.
- Tashakkori, A., & C. Teddlie, C. (Eds.). (2002). *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Taylor, R. P. (1980). *The computer in schools as tutor, tool and tutee*. Retrieved from http://www.citejournal.org/articles/ v3i2seminal1.pdf
- Terwel, J. (1999). Constructivism and its implications for curriculum theory and practice. *Curriculum Studies*, 31(2), 195-199. Retrieved from http://dare.ubvun/ bitstream.pdf
- Thao Lê., & Quynh Lê. (2007). *Using computers to promote literacy development*. Retrieved from http://eprients.utas. edu.au/pdf
- Tinker, R. F. (1996). *Microcomputer-based labs: Educational research and standards*. Berlin: Springer.

- Tsai, C. C. (2005). Preferences toward Internet-based learning environments: High school students' perspectives for science learning. *Educational Technology & Society*, 8(2), 203-213. Retrieved from http://adsabs.harvard.Edu
- Tsaparlis, G. (2001). Theories in science education at the threshold of the third millennium. *Research and Practice in Europe*, 2(1), 1-4. Retrieved from http://www.uoi.gr/confsem/cerapie
- Turoff, M. (1975). The policy Delphi. In H. A. Linstone & M. Turoff (Eds.), *The Delphi method: Techniques and applications*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- UNESCO. (2002). Information and Communication Technology in Education: A Curriculum for Schools and Program of Teaching Development. Retrieved from http://unesdoc.unesco.org/pdf
- UNESCO. (2006). *ICT and Education Indicators: Suggested Core Indicators Based on Meta-analysis of Selected International School Surveys*. Retrieved from http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/ict/partn- ership/pdf
- Van Damme, G. (n.d.). (2003). *ICT in Practice for Physical Education & Sports*. Retrieved from http://www.sports-media.org/Sport- tapolisnewsletter5.htm
- Van den Akker, J., Keursten, P., & Plomp, T. (1992). The integration of computer use in education. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 17, 65-76. Retrieved from http://doc.utwente.nl/ pdf
- Venezky, R. L., & Davis, C. (2002). Que Vademus? The Transformation of Schooling In a Networked World. OECD/CERI. Retrieved from www.oecd.org/dataoecd/pdf
- Volman, M. (1997). Gender-related effects of information and computer literacy education. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, 1995.
- Von Glasersfeld, E. (1989). Cognition, construction of knowledge, and teaching. *Synthese*, 80(1), 121-140.
- Voogt, J., & Pelgrum, H. (2005). ICT and curriculum change. *An Interdisciplinary Journal on Humans in ICT Environments*, 1(2), 157-175. Retrieved from http://www.humantechnology.jyu.fi/ht/pdf
- Voogt, J., & Van den Akker, J. (2002). Computer-assisted instruction. In P. B. Baltes, & N. J. Smelser, *International encyclopediae for the social and behavioral sciences* (4: 2473-2477). Oxford: Elsevier.
- Vygotsky, L. (1978). Mind in society: *The development of higher psychological processes*. (M. Cole, V. John-Steiner, S. Scribner, & E. Souberman, (Eds.), and Trans.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Waddington, D. J. (2001). *Molecular visualization in science education*. Report from the molecular visualization in science education workshop NCSA access center Arlington, VA, January 12-14. Retrieved from http://pro 3. chem. pitt.edu/work- shop/workshop-report
- Watson, D. M. (2001). Pedagogy before technology: Re-thinking the relationship between ICT and teaching. *Education and Information Technology*, 6(4), 251-266. Retrieved from http://www.springerlink.com/content
- Webb, M. (2005). Affordances of ICT in science learning: Implications for an integrated pedagogy. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(6), 705-731.
- White, B. Y., & Shimoda, T. A. (1999). Enabling students to construct theories of collaborative inquiry and reflective learning: Computer support for metacognitive development. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 10, 151-182. Retrieved from http://hal.archivesouvertes.fr/docs/pdf
- Wicklein, R. C. (1993). Identifying critical issues and problems in technology education using a modified-Delphi technique. *Journal of Technology Education*, 5(1). Retrieved from http://borg.lib.vt.edu/ejournals/JTE/v5nl.html
- Willis, J. (1996). A flexible framework for task-based learning. In J. Willis & D. Willis (Eds.), *Challenge and change in language teaching* (p. 53). Oxford, UK: Heinemann English Language Teaching.
- Wu, Z., & Glaser, R. E. (2004). Software for the synergistic integration of science with ICT education. *Journal of Information Technology Education*, 3. Retrieved from http:jite/org/docume- nts.pdf
- Zahraei, R. (1993). Fundamental change at education and training. Human Resource Development Department library. (NSB 1-10). Tehran: Ministry of Education.
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (1998). *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: Theory, research, and practice*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Zohar, A., & Dori, Y. J. (2003). Higher order thinking skills and low achieving students: Are they mutually exclusive? *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 12(2), 145-181.