

CONTENT	PAGE
Title	i
Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Contents	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION, AIM AND OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Aim	3
1.3 Objectives	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Dental resin composite	5
2.1.1 Definition	5
2.1.2 Background	5
2.1.3 Composition	6
2.1.3.1 Resin matrix	6
2.1.3.2 Inorganic fillers	7
2.1.3.3 Coupling agent	8
2.1.4 Classification	9
2.1.4.1 Microfilled composites	9

2.1.4.2	Hybrid composite	10
2.1.4.3	Nanocomposite	10
2.1.5	Polymerization of composite	11
2.1.6	Finishing and polishing of composite resin restorations	12
2.1.7	Hardness of composite	14
2.1.8	Vickers microhardness test	15
2.1.9	Factors affecting microhardness of composite	17
2.1.9.1	Filler fraction and chemical composition of resin composite	17
2.1.9.2	Polymerization degree	19
2.1.9.3	Polishing and finishing	21
2.1.9.4	The oral environment	22
2.1.10	Surface analysis	24
2.2	Mouthrinses	25
2.2.1	Composition	25
2.2.2	Types of mouthrinses	26
2.2.3	The effect of alcohol-containing mouthrinses on restorative materials.	26
2.2.4	Plant extract and herbal base mouthrinses	28
CHAPTER 3:	MATERIAL AND METHODS	29
3.1:	Fabrication of specimen	30
3.2	Polishing of specimens	34
3.3	Microhardness test	34
3.4	Surface analysis	39

3.5	Research design and data analysis procedure	
3.5.1	Research design	40
3.5.2	Data analysis procedure	40
CHAPTER 4:	RESULTS	41
4.1	Surface microhardness	
4.1.1	Control group	42
4.1.2	Test groups compared with control group	43
4.2	Statistical analysis	
4.2.1	Preliminary analysis of control group	44
4.2.2	Statistical analysis of control group	45
4.2.3	Randomizing of data for the control group	46
4.2.4	Statistical analysis of test group against the control group	47
4.3	Surface analysis	50
CHAPTER 5:	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	55
	Discussion	56
	Surface analysis	65
	Clinical implication	68
	Limitation of the study	68
	Recommendation for further study	69
CHAPTER 6:	CONCLUSION	70
	REFERENCES	72

APPENDIX I:	LIST OF MATERIALS USED IN THE STUDY	84
APPENDIX II:	LIST OF EQUIPMENTS / INSTRUMENTS USED IN THE STUDY	85
APPENDIX III:	MEAN VHN AND STANDARD DEVIATION OF COMPOSITES IN CONTROL GROUP	86
APPENDIX IV:	POSTER PRESENTATION AT IADR (MALAYSIA SECTION) 10.3.2007.	88

LIST OF TABLE

Table 3.1	Resin composites restorative material used in the study	31
Table 3.2	Mouthrinses used in the study	36
Table 4.1	Mean VHN and standard deviation for composites before and after immersion in different mouthrinses	43
Table 4.2	Skewness and kurtosis value of VHN of different groups of composites before immersion	44
Table 4.3	Mean VHN and standard deviation of composites in control group (before immersion)	45
Table 4.4	One-way ANOVA for composites in control group	45
Table 4.5	Levene's Test of Homogeneity of Variances	46
Table 4.6	Games-Howell test for multiple comparisons between composites in control group	46
Table 4.7	p value for each composite (ANOVA)	47
Table 4.8	Dunnet t- 2 sided to compare mean VHN of control group and different mouthrinses	47
Table 4.9	Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	48
Table 4.10	Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances	48
Table 4.11	Games-Howell test for multiple comparisons of VHN between composites	49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 (a)	Diagrammatic illustration of diamond indentation made by microhardness tester.	
	(b) Diamond indentation under magnification	16
Figure 3.1	Resin composites restorative materials	32
Figure 3.2	Perspex split mould	32
Figure 3.3	Matrix strip	32
Figure 3.4	Procedure of specimen fabrication	33
Figure 3.5	Sof-lex polishing discs	35
Figure 3.6	Polishing the specimen using sof-lex polishing disc with slow speed handpiece	35
Figure 3.7	Mouthrinses used in the study	37
Figure 3.8	Specimens in mouthrinses	37
Figure 3.9	Shimadzu Vickers microhardness testing machine	38
Figure 3.10	Indenter on the specimen	38
Figure 3.11	Universal-Scanning Probe Microscope (USPM™)	39
Figure 4.1	Mean VHN of composites in control group	42
Figure 4.2	Profile plot of composites in different mouthrinses	50
Figure 4.3	Surface analysis of TPH Spectrum after immersion in different mouthrinses	51
Figure 4.4	Surface analysis of Filtek Z350 after immersion in different mouthrinses	52
Figure 4.5	Surface analysis of Ceram•X Mono after immersion in different mouthrinses	53
Figure 4.6	Surface analysis of Ceram•X Duo-enamel shade after immersion in different mouthrinses	54