

CHROMATOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF PETROLEUM-BASED CONTAMINANTS IN EDIBLE OILS AND OLEOCHEMICALS

BY FELIX MOH MEE HO DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY FACULTY OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY MALAYA

DISSERTATION PRESENTED FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITY MALAYA KUALA LUMPUR 2003



ACKNOWLEGMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof.

Dr. Tan Guan Huat from the Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, and
my consultant Mr. Tang Thin Sue from the Malaysian Palm Oil Board for their
guidance and encouragement throughout my research and writing of this thesis.

I would also like to thank the following colleagues at the Malaysian Palm Oil Board for their contributions: Dr. Salmiah Ahmad, Dr. Ooi Tian Lye, Dr. Kang Yew Beng and Dr. Hazimah Abu Hasan for their invaluable advice; Ms. Khosatum Telepok and Mdm. Bahriah who helped in HPLC and GC analyses, respectively; and Dr. Cheah Kien Yoo for the privilege of using his hydrogenation apparatus. Mr. Siew Yau Foo of the University of Malaya is also acknowledged for his assistance in carrying out the GC-mass spectrometric analysis.

Special thanks are also due to the Malaysian Palm Oil Board for sponsoring this research and to the Director-General of Malaysian Palm Oil Board, Datuk Dr. Yusof Basiron for his approval.

Heartfelt appreciation undoubtedly also goes to my parents, sisters and brothers whom I shall forever recognize for their encouragement and understanding.

Lastly, but first in my thoughts, I would like to thank my wife, Yang Yang, for her encouragement, understanding, patience for bearing with my extended hours of work and for lending her ears in allowing me to share the excitement and tribulation of research.

I wish to dedicate this thesis to my beloved wife and daughter Jamie.

ABSTRACT

The main objective of this work is to explore the potential of highperformance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) and gas chromatographic (GC) techniques for the determination of petroleum-based organic contaminants in vegetable oils and related products. In this research, 2 types of contaminants namely thermal heating fluids and diesel fuel, which are still the issues of interest to the nalm oil trade, have been addressed.

The 3 types of thermal heating fluids selected in this study were (1) the eutectic mixtures of diphenyl oxide and biphenyl, (2) partially hydrogenated terphenyls, and (3) synthetic hydrocarbons. It was found that by coupling a fluorescence detector to a HPLC system, the determination of the eutectic mixtures in vegetable oil and basic oleochemical samples could be carried out directly without any need of a pre-treatment step before the sample solutions were injected onto a reversed-phase HPLC column. This method has also been validated through an interlaboratory study, and accepted by the American Oil Chemists' Society (AOCS) as Recommended Practice Cd 25a-00.

Even though the direct HPLC method was good for the analysis of the partially hydrogenated terphenyls in oleochemical samples, saponification of vegetable oils was required for removing the interfering fluorescent components prior to analysis.

In order to determine the synthetic hydrocarbons in vegetable oil and basic oleochemical samples, a preparative column chromatography was incorporated to concentrate the fluid before the extract was subjected to further analysis. The study showed that while reversed-phase HPLC with fluorescence detection is suitable for oleochemical samples, the quantitative analysis of the synthetic hydrocarbons in vegetable oils could only be carried out by GC due to the presence of interfering fluorescent components that co-eluted with the vegetable oil extracts. Finally, the determination of diesel fuel in vegetable oil samples was achieved by using a normal-phase HPLC with fluorescence detection.

All the methods developed are relatively simple, which are ideal for quality assurance purposes in the palm oil industry.

ABSTRAK

Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menguji potensi teknik HPLC dan GC dalam menentukan kehadiran bahan pencemar organik berasaskan petroleum di dalm minyak sayuran dan hasilan berkaitan. Dua jenis bahan pencemar, iaitu bendalir pemanas terma dan bahan bakar disel yang diberi perhatian buat masa ini, telah dijadikan bahan penyelidikan.

3 jenis bendalir pemanas terma telah dipilih untuk kajian ini (1) campuran eutektik difenil oksida dan bifenil, (2) terfenil dihidrogenat secara separa, dan (3) hidrokarbon sintetik. Garbungan pengesan pendarfluor dengan sistem HPLC boleh menentukan campuran eutektik dalam minyak sayuran dan oleokimia asas secara terus tanpa melakukan sebarang langkah pra-rawatan sebelum sampel dimasukkan ke sistem HPLC fasa-terbalik. Di samping itu, kaedah HPLC ini telah pun diterima oleh AOCS sebagai AOCS Recommended Practice Cd 25a-00.

Walaupun kaedah HPLC juga sesuai untuk menkaji terfenil separa dihidrogenat yang hadir didalam sebatian oleokimia, sampel minyak sayuran perlu disaponifikasikan untuk membuang komponen-komponen yang berpendarfluor yang boleh mengganggu analisis.

Bagi penentuan hidrokarbon sintektik dalam minyak sayuran dan oleokimia asas, kromatografi turus secara preparatif telah digunakan untuk memekatkan bendalir tersebut sebelum dibuat kajian lanjutan. Penemuan kajian menunjukkan HPLC fasa-terbalik dengan pengesan pendarfluor sesuai untuk sampel oleokimia. Sementara analisis kuantitatif bagi hidrokarbon sintektik dalam minyak sayuran boleh dilakukan dengan kaedah GC. Kaedah HPLC kurang sesuai bagi hidrokarbon

sintektik kerana kehadiran komponen berpendarfluor yang turut mengelusi bersama ekstrak minyak campuran.

Penentuan bahan bakar disel dalam minyak sayuran juga berjaya dilakukan melalui kaedah HPLC fasa-normal dengan pengesan pendarfluor.

Semua kaedah yang dikembangmaju adalah mudah dan sangat sesuai untuk tujuan penentuan kualiti.

CONTENTS

ACK	NOWLEDGMENTSiii
ABST	RACTiv
LIST	OF TABLESxii
LIST	OF FIGURESxiv
ABBF	REVIATIONSxx
CHAI	PTER ONE GENERAL INTRODUCTION
1.1	Contamination of edible oils and fats1
	1.1.1. Dioxin 1.1.2. Toxic oil syndrome 1.1.3. PCB-based thermal heating fluid 1.1.4. Petroleum fuel
1.2.	Background of the study5
CHAI	PTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW7
2.1.	Chromatographic techniques for analyses of edible oils and fats7
	2.1.1. Introduction 2.1.2. GC 2.1.2.1. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) 2.1.2.1. Tri- and partial glycerides 2.1.3. HPLC 2.1.3.1. Lipid classes 2.1.3.2. Free fatty acids 2.1.3.3. Partial glycerides 2.1.3.4. Tri-glycerides
2.2.	Trace analyses of contaminants in edible oils and fats17
	2.2.1. Inorganic contaminant 2.2.1.1. Metals

	2.2.2. Organic contaminants 2.2.2.1. PAHs 2.2.2.2. Organic solvents 2.2.2.3. Mineral oils 2.2.2.4. Thermal heating fluids 2.2.2.4.1. PCB-based thermal heating fluid 2.2.2.4.2. Eutectic mixtures of diphenyl oxide and biphenyl			
СНАР	TER T	THREE EXPERIMENTAL25		
3.1.	Appara	atus and instrumentation		
	3.1.1.	Spectrofluorometry		
	3.1.2.			
		3.1.2.1. Mass spectrometry (MS)		
		3.1.2.2. Flame ionization detection (FID)		
	3.1.3.	HPLC		
3.2.	Quanti	tative analysis		
	3.2.1.	Eutectic mixtures of diphenyl oxide and biphenyl 3.2.1.1. Reference standards 3.2.1.2. Working standards		
		3.2.1.3. Sample matrices		
		3.2.1.4. Recovery studies		
		3.2.1.5. Collaborators		
		3.2.1.6. HPLC conditions		
		3.2.1.7. GC-MS conditions		
	3.2.2.	Partially hydrogenated terphenyls 3.2.2.1. Materials		
		3.2.2.2. Hydrogenation of terphenyls		
		3.2.2.3. Analysis of glycerin and fatty acids		
		3.2.2.4. Analysis of vegetable oils		
		3.2.2.5. HPLC conditions		
		3.2.2.6. GC-MS conditions		
	3.2.3.	Synthetic hydrocarbons		
		3.2.3.1. Materials		
		3.2.3.2. Preparation of glassware 3.2.3.3. Glass column chromatography		
		3.2.3.4. HPLC conditions		
		3.2.3.5. GC-FID conditions		
		3.2.3.6. Quantitation		
		5.2.5.o. Quantitation		

		3.2.4.2. Standard solutions 3.2.4.3. Test samples 3.2.4.4. Validation 3.2.4.5. Glass column chromatography 3.2.4.6. HPLC conditions 3.2.4.7. GC-MS conditions
СНАР	TER F	OUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION40
4.1.	Eutect	ic mixtures of diphenyl oxide and biphenyl40
	4.1.1.	Analytical assessment 4.1.1.1. Spectrofluorometric analysis 4.1.1.2. HPLC-UV analysis 4.1.1.3. HPLC-fluorescence analysis 4.1.1.4. GC-MS analysis
	4.1.2.	Determination of the eutectic mixtures in spiked matrices 4.1.2.1. Optimization of HPLC-fluorescence detection 4.1.2.2. Quantitative analysis of the eutectic mixtures in vegetable oils and oleochemicals 4.1.2.3. Inter-laboratory study of the HPLC method for the determination of the eutectic mixtures
4.2.	Partial	ly hydrogenated terphenyls
	4.2.1.	Analytical assessment 4.2.1.1. Spectrofluorometric analysis 4.2.1.2. HPLC analysis 4.2.1.3. GC-MS analysis
	4.2.2.	Quantitation of the partially hydrogenated terphenyls in spiked matrices 4.2.2.1. Spiked fatty acid and glycerin samples 4.2.2.2. Spiked edible oil samples
4.3.	Synthe	etic oil80
	4.3.2. 4.3.3.	Instrumental assessment Column chromatography HPLC analysis of glycerin and fatty acids Analysis of vegetable oils 4.3.4.1. HPLC 4.3.4.2. GC-FID

3.2.4. Diesel fuel

3 2 4 1 Reference chemicals

4.4.	Diesel	fuel	99	
	4.4.1.	Spectrofle	orometric analysis	
	4.4.2.	GC-MS a	nalysis	
	4.4.3.	Reversed	phase HPLC-fluorescence detection	
	4.4.4.	Normal-p	hase HPLC-fluorescence detection	
		4.4.4.1. O	ptimization of wavelengths	
		4.4.4.2. A	nalysis of spiked vegetable oils	
		4.4.4.3. A	nalysis of commercial crude palm oil	
СНА	PTER F	IVE	CONCLUSIONS117	
REF	ERENC	ES		,
APP	ENDIX.		127	7

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Optimization of fluorescence wavelengths for quantitation of biphenyl and diphenyl oxide	45
4.2	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	51
4.3	Recovery studies of Dowtherm \boldsymbol{A}^{TM} from spiked glycerin samples.	52
4.4	Recoveries of Dowtherm A TM from various spiked samples based on 3 readings each	52
4.5	Inter-laboratory study on the recoveries of Dowtherm \mathbf{A}^{TM} from various spiked samples (n=6)	56
4.6	Absorption characteristics of hydrogenated products of terphenyls reported by Scola <i>et al.</i> ¹²⁰	63
4.7	Typical MS fragmentation patterns of terphenyl and its hydrogenated products	67
4.8	Linearity and recovery results of Therminol 66^{TM} in spiked glycerin obtained by measuring the 4-cyclohexylbiphenyl peak	76
4.9	Precision and accuracy of the HPLC method for the determination of Therminol 66^{TM} in spiked fatty acids	76
4.10	Intra-day precision obtained from the analysis of spiked palm olein with Therminol 66^{TM}	79
4.11	Inter-day precision obtained from the analysis of spiked palm olein with Therminol 66^{TM}	79
4.12	Recovery studies of Therminol 66^{TM} at 0.2 $\mu g/g$ obtained from various spiked vegetable oils (n=3)	79
4.13	Recovery of Therminol 55^{TM} in basic oleochemicals using HPLC-UV and -fluorescence detections (n=6)	89
4.14	Recovery of Therminol 55 TM in vegetable oils using HPLC-fluorescence detection (n=6)	93

4.15	Palm olein (n=3)	97
4.16	Recovery of Therminol 55^{TM} in vegetable oils (n=3)	98
4.17	Calibration data obtained from spiked crude palm oil solutions	110
4.18	Recovery results of diesel from various spiked samples (n=3)	112

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
4.1	Chemical structures of biphenyl and diphenyl oxide molecules $% \label{eq:chemical} % \label{eq:chemical} $	40
4.2	Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of (a) biphenyl, (b) diphenyl oxide and (c) Dowtherm A^{TM} solutions in hexane. All solutions are 1 μ g/mL concentration	41
4.3	HPLC chromatograms of (a) diphenyl oxide and biphenyl standard solution of the same concentration (100 μ g/mL each) and (b) Dowtherm Λ^{TM} solution (100 μ g/mL) plotted on similar scale. Peak identification: I , diphenyl oxide; and 2 , biphenyl. HPLC conditions: $column$, GL C_{18} 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.; $mobile$ $phase$, methanol and water (90:10, vol/vol); $oven\ temperature$, 40° C; and $UV\ detection$, 254 nm	43
4.4	Calibration graph of the eutectic mixture with concentrations plotted against peak areas of diphenyl oxide (◆) and biphenyl (■)	43
4.5	HPLC fluorescence chromatograms of Dowtherm A^{TM} (10 $\mu g/mL$) plotted on the same scale. The wavelengths used were (a) 247 nm (excitation) and 310 nm (emission), and (b) 272 nm (excitation) and 300 nm (emission). Peak identification: I , diphenyl oxide; and 2 , biphenyl. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.3	44
4.6	Changes in retention times of diphenyl oxide (\blacksquare) and biphenyl (\spadesuit) with respect to ratios of methanol and water mixture. Interfering fluorescent components labeled A (\spadesuit) and B (\bullet) are indicated in Figure 4.7	47
4.7	Stacked chromatograms of control blank samples of (a) fatty acid, (b) palm olein, (c) com oil, (d) sunflower oils, and (e) fatty alcohol. No fluorescent components were observed at 6.6 min as indicated by an arrow that might interfere with the quantitation of biphenyl for the determination of Dowtherm A TM . Labels A and B are interfering components present naturally in matrices. HPLC conditions: column, GL C18 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.; mobile phase, methanol and water (90:10, vol/vol); oven temperature, 40°C; and fluorescence detector, 247 nm (emission) and 310 nm (emission)	49

4.8	HPLC chromatograms showing samples spiked with 0.1 $\mu g/g$ Dowtherm A^{TM} . (a) $C_{12:0}$ fatty acid, (b) $C_{12:0}$ fatty alcohol, (c) $C_{12:0}$ methyl ester, (d) $C_{14:0}$ fatty acid, (e) $C_{14:0}$ fatty alcohol, and (f) $C_{14:0}$ methyl ester. Diphenyl oxide eluted at 5.5 min and biphenyl, as indicated by an arrow, at 6.6 min. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.7	53
4.9	Partial hydrogenation pathways of terphenyls proposed by Scola et. al. 120	58
4.10	Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of (a) Therminol 66 TM , (b) o-, (c) m-, (d) p-terphenyl and (e) 1,4-dicyclohexylbenzene in tetrahydrofuran-methanol (50:50, vol/vol)	60
4.11	Calibration graphs derived from o - (\spadesuit), m - (\blacksquare) and p -terphenyl (\spadesuit) solutions showing the linearity of the UV detector. r^2 was > 0.997. HPLC conditions: $column$, GL C_{18} 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.; $mobile$ $phase$, methanol and water (90:10, vol/vol); $oven$ $temperature$, 40°C; and UV $detector$, 254 nm	61
4.12	HPLC chromatograms of (a) terphenyls and (b) Therminol 66^{TM} solution. Peak identification: I , o -; 2 , m -; 3 , p -terphenyl and 4 , 3 -; 5 , 4 -cyclohexylbiphenyl; and 6 , 1 , 4 -dicyclohexylbenzene. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.11	62
4.13	HPLC fluorescence chromatograms of hydrogenation products of (a) o-, (b) m- and (c) p-terphenyl. The hydrogenation was carried out according to the procedure reported by Scola et. al. Peak identification: 1, 1,2-diphenylcyclohexane; 2, 2-cyclohexylbiphenyl; 3, m-terphenyl; 4, 3-cyclohexylbiphenyl; 5, p-terphenyl; 6, 4-cyclohexylbiphenyl; and 7, 1,4-dicyclohexylbenzene. HPLC conditions: column, GL C ₁₈ 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.; mobile phase, methanol and water (90:10, vol/vol); oven temperature, 40°C; and fluorescence detector, 257 nm (excitation) and 320 nm (emission)	64
4.14	HPLC fluorescence detection of Therminol 66^{TM} . Peak identification: l , m -; 2 , 3-cyclohexylbiphenyl; 3 , 4-cyclohexylbiphneyl; and 4 , 1,4-dicyclohexylbenzene. HPLC conditions: $column$, GL C_{18} 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.; $mobile$ $phase$, methanol and water (88:12, vol/vol); $oven$ $temperature$, 40° C; and $fluorescence$ $detector$, 257 nm (excitation) and 320 nm (emission)	65
4.15	Total ion chromatogram of Therminol 66 TM from GC-MS. GC conditions: oven temperature, 150°C to 280°C at the rate of 10°C/min, and hold at 280°C for 20 min; injector, 280°C;	

	m x 0.32 mm i.d.; and helium flow, 0.8 mL/min	66
4.16	$\label{thm:condition} Total \ \ ion \ \ chromatogram \ \ of \ \ 3- \ \ and \ \ \ 4-cyclohexylbiphenyl \\ collected from HPLC. For GC conditions see Figure 4.15$	68
4.17	Mass spectra of 3-cyclohexylbiphenyl	68
4.18	Mass spectra of 4-cyclohexylbiphenyl	68
4.19	Calibration graphs derived by plotting peak areas of 3- (\diamondsuit) and 4-cyclohexylbiphenyl (\Box) against the concentrations of the Therminol 6^{TM} over the range of 0.01-1 μ g/mL. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.14	69
4.20	Stacked fluorescence chromatograms of spiked (a and b) $C_{8:0}$ and (c and d) $C_{10:0}$ with Therminol 66^{TM} at 0.1 and 1.0 $\mu g/g$ levels, respectively, showing the changes of elution times of 3-and 4-cyclohexylbiphenyls as indicated by arrows on different rations of mobile phase Chromatograms (a) and (c) were obtained with 90:10 (vol/vol) of methanol and water whereas chromatograms (b) and (d) were obtained with 88:10 (vol/vol) of methanol and water. For other HPLC conditions see Figure 4.14.	71
4.21	Fluorescence chromatograms of blank palm olein (a) before, (b) after saponification and (c) spiked palm olein with Therminol 66^{TM} (1.0 $\mu g/g$) analyzed after saponification. Peaks labeled I to I were fluorescent components present naturally in palm olein, and peaks labeled I and I were I and I and I and I and I were I and I and I and I and I and I and I are I and I and I and I are I and I and I are I and I and I are I and I and I and I are I and I and I are I and I and I are I	73
4.22	Typical fluorescence chromatograms of (a) spiked glycerin with Therminol 66^{TM} at $1.0~\mu g/g$ level, and (b) blank glycerin. Peak identification: 1 , 3- and 2, 4-cyclohexylbiphenyl. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.14	74
4.23	Enlarged fluorescence chromatograms of blank and spiked (a) $C_{8:0}$, (b) $C_{10:0}$, (c) $C_{12:0}$, (d) $C_{14:0}$, (e) $C_{16:0}$ and (f) $C_{18:0}$ fatty acids with 0.1 μ g/g Therminol 66^{TM} . Peak identification: 1, 3- and 2, 4-cyclohexylbiphenyl. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.14	75
4.24	Fluorescence chromatograms showing blank and spiked vegetable oils with Therminol 66^{TM} . (a) Sunflower oil was spiked at 0.1 µg/g level, (b) soybean oil and (c) canola oil were spiked at 0.2 µg/g level. Peak identification: 1 , 3 - and 2 , 4-cyclohexylbiphenyl. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.14	78
4.25	Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of Therminol 55 TM .	80

4.26	Typical HPLC chromatograms of Therminol 55 TM analyzed using (a) UV detection at 262 nm, and (b) fluorescence detection at 270 nm (excitation) and 320 nm (emission). HPLC conditions: column, GL C ₁₈ 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.; mobile phase, ethanol and water (95:5, vol/vol); oven temperature, 40°C	81
4.27	Linearity of the calibration graphs derived from Therminol 55 [™] using (a) UV detection at 262 nm and (b) fluorescence detection at 270 nm (excitation) and 320 nm (emission). For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.26	82
4.28	Elution profile of n -eicosane (\bullet), o -terphenyl (\blacksquare) and Therminol 55^{TM} (\triangle) by column chromatography with 50 g alumina. Hexane was used as the mobile phase	83
4.29	HPLC-UV chromatograms of blank and spiked basic oleochemicals with 50 $\mu g/g$ Therminol 55^{TM} (arrow) (a) Glycerin, (b) $C_{12:0}$, (c) $C_{14:0}$, (d) $C_{16:0}$ and (e) $C_{18:0}$ fatty acids. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.26	86
4.30	HPLC-fluorescence chromatograms of blank and spiked basic oleochemicals with 50 μ g/g Therminol 55^{TM} (arrow). (a) Glycerin, (b) $C_{12:0}$, (c) $C_{14:0}$, (d) $C_{16:0}$ and (e) $C_{18:0}$ fatty acids. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.26	87
4.31	HPLC-UV chromatograms of blank and spiked vegetable oils with 50 μ g/g Therminol 55 TM . (a) Palm olein, (b) soybean oil, (c) sunflower oil, and (d) canola oil. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.26	92
4.32	HPLC-fluorescence chromatograms of blank and spiked vegetable oils with 50 μ g/g Therminol 55 TM (arrow) (a) Palm olein, (b) soybean oil, (c) sunflower oil, and (d) canola oil. For HPLC conditions see Figure 4.26	93
4.33	GC-FID chromatogram of Therminol 55 TM	96
4.34	GC-FID chromatogram of blank palm olein	96
4.35	Enlarged GC-FID chromatograms of (a) blank and (b-d) spiked palm oleins with 20, 50 and 100 μ g/g Therminol 55 TM , respectively	97
4.36	GC-FID chromatograms of (a) soybean oil, (b) sunflower oil, and (c) canola oil sniked with 100 µg/g Therminol 55 TM	98

4.37	(1000 µg/mL) as supplied from local petrol stations	99
4.38	A typical total ion chromatogram of n -alkanes (-C) in diesel. Peak identification: a , 1,2,3,4-tetrahydo-5-methylnaphthalene; b , 1,4-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene; c , 2-methylnaphthalene; d , 1-methylnaphthalene; and e , 1,7-dimethylnaphthalene. GC conditions: $oven temperature$, 70° C for first 5 min, then programmed at 15 $^{\circ}$ C/min to 280 $^{\circ}$ C and hold at 280 $^{\circ}$ C for 20 min; $injector$, 250 $^{\circ}$ C; $detector$, 250 $^{\circ}$ C; $capillary column$, 5% phenyl methylsiloxane 30 m x 0.32 mm i.d.; and $helium flow$, 0.8 ml/min	100
4.39	Mass spectra of <i>n</i> -heptadecane, <i>n</i> -octadecane and <i>n</i> -nanodecane of diesel. For GC conditions see Figure 4.38	101
4.40	Mass spectra of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydo-5-methylnaphthalene, 1,4-dimethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene of diesel. For GC conditions see Figure 4,38	102
4.41	Overlaid fluorescence chromatograms of diesel solutions analyzed using different ratios of acetonitrile (ACN), dichloromethane (DCM) and water (H ₂ O) mixture as mobile phase. (a) ACN:DCM; 50:50, (b) ACN:DCM; 70:30, (c) ACN; 100, and (d) ACN:H ₂ O; 70:30 (vol/vol). HPLC conditions: column, GL C ₁₈ 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.; oven temperature, 30°C; and fluorescence detector, 309 nm (excitation) and 344 nm (emission)	104
4.42	Overlaid fluorescence chromatograms of (a) diesel solution, (b) blank and spiked saponified crude palm oil with (c) 50 μ g/g and (d) 100 μ g/g diesel. HPLC conditions: column, GL C ₁₈ 250 x 4.6 mm i.d.; mobile phase; methanol and water (90:10, volvol); oven temperature, 30°C; and fluorescence detector, 309 mm (excitation) and 344 nm (emission)	105
4.43	HPLC chromatograms of diesel solutions analyzed using excitation and emission wavelengths of (a) naphthalene; 268 nm and 321 nm, (b) diesel; 309 nm and 344 nm, (c) anthracene; 365 nm and 400 nm, and (d) benzene; 205 nm and 278 nm. Twenty μL diesel solution (1000 μg/mL) was injected. HPLC conditions: column, Diol. Il 250 x 4.6 nm i.d.; mobile phase, heptane and isopropanol (94:6, vol/vol); and oven temperature, 30°C	106
4.44	HPLC of blank crude palm oil analyzed using (a) ELSD showing that the oil is eluted completely; tri- (TG), di- (DG), and monoglyceride (MG) and free fatty acid (FFA), and (b)	

heptane and isoprop 30°C		109
fluorescent compon eluted slightly after using spiked sample	m showing the presence of background ents in blank crude palm oil. Diesel was the fluorescent components as demonstrated as (50, 100, and 500 μg/g diesel). For HPLC e 4.44	110
μg/g), groundnut o sunflower oil (50 μ	scence detection of spiked corn oil (1000 il (500 µg/g), palm olein (100 µg/g), and g/g). Crude palm oil was used as blank. For e Figure 4.44	112
palm oil samples : Diesel was observe	cence detection of some commercial crude suspected to be contaminated with diesel. ed at 3.10 min. For HPLC conditions see	113
alkane (-C), and n-a	chromatogram of blank crude palm oil. n-alkene (=C). For GC conditions see Figure	115
be contaminated wi	thromatogram of crude palm oil suspected to th diesel. <i>n</i> -alkane (-C), and <i>n</i> -alkene (=C). ee Figure 4.38	116

ABBREVIATIONS

AOAC Association of Official Analytical Chemists' International

AOCS American Oil Chemists' Society

CV Coefficient of variations

ECI. Equivalent chain length

ELSD Evaporative light scattering detector

FAME Fatty acid methyl ester

FID Flame ionization detector

GC Gas chromatography

HPLC High-performance liquid chromatography

ISO International Organization for Standardization

IUPAC International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry

LOD Limit of detection

LOO Limit of quantitation

MIBK Methyl isobutyl ketone

MPOB Malaysian Palm Oil Board

MS Mass spectrometry

PAH Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

PCB Polychlorinated biphenyl

PORLA Palm Oil Registration and Licensing Association

PORIM Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia

c² Correlation coefficient

RI Refractive index

SD Standard deviation

TLC Thin-layer chromatography

UV Ultra-violet

VERNOF Dutch Seed Crushers and Oil Processing Association