

CHAPTER 3

CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF A NEARLY KAEHLER MANIFOLD

The results of this chapter are generalized from the works of Bejancu and Chen. Bejancu [3] proved that under certain conditions, the normal subbundle ν of a CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold does not admit any D-parallel section. Chen [7] showed that if M is a mixed foliate CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold N then for any unit vector fields $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$,

$$\tilde{H}_B(X, Z) = -2\|A_{JZ}X\|^2.$$

Using this fact, some results on mixed foliate CR-submanifolds are obtained.

We will first discuss some integrability conditions of the distributions D and D^\perp , and some geometrical properties of their leaves in the first section. In Section 2 we extend the result of Bejancu to nearly Kaehler manifold. In the last section we will prove that one of Chen's result is also true when N is a nearly Kaehler manifold.

3.1 Integrability of Distributions on a CR-submanifold of a Nearly Kaehler Manifold

In this section we will discuss the integrability condition for the distributions D and D^\perp as well as the geometry of their leaves. We first start with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Bejancu [4], p.27)

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then the distribution D is integrable if and only if

$$h(X, JY) = h(JX, Y)$$

and

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y \in \Gamma(D)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.

Kon-Tan [19] proved a necessary and sufficient condition for D to be integrable and its leaves to be totally geodesic in M .

Theorem 3.2 (Kon-Tan [19])

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold

N. Then the distribution D is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in M if and only if

$$h(X, JY) = Jh(X, Y) \quad (3.1)$$

and $(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y \in \Gamma(D)$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.

We have the following simple result on CR-submanifolds of a nearly Kaehler manifold.

Lemma 3.1

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N. Then we have

$$(i) \quad \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, \xi \rangle = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

$$(ii) \quad \langle h(X, JY) - Jh(X, Y), \xi \rangle = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$.

Proof:

For $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$, we have $JX, JY \in \Gamma(D)$ since D is holomorphic, and so all $[X, Y]$, $[JX, JY]$, $[X, JY]$ and $[JX, Y]$ are in $\Gamma(TM)$. Hence

$$\langle [J, J](X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle [JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY], \xi \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle [JX, Y], J\xi \rangle + \langle [X, JY], J\xi \rangle \\
&= 0, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \Gamma(\nu).
\end{aligned}$$

By using Proposition 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
4\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, \xi \rangle &= \langle 4J(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, J\xi \rangle \\
&= -\langle [J, J](X, Y), J\xi \rangle \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$. Moreover, from (3.2) and taking account of (2.19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle h(X, JY) - Jh(X, Y), \xi \rangle &= \langle fh(X, \phi Y) - Ch(X, Y) + f\tilde{\nabla}_X^\perp \omega Y, \xi \rangle \\
&= \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, \xi \rangle \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$. ■

The following corollary is derived from Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.1

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then the distribution D is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in M if and only if

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y \in \Gamma(D)$$

$$\text{and} \quad Bh(X,Y) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.

Proof:

It suffices to show that the equation (3.1) and (3.4) are equivalent. Suppose (3.1) is satisfied. Then by taking the tangential part of (3.1) we obtain (3.4).

Now suppose that $Bh(X,Y) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. After applying ω to the above equation, from Proposition 2.4 we obtain

$$-th(X,Y) = \omega Bh(X,Y) = 0$$

which means $h(X,Y) \in \Gamma(\nu)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. It follows that both $h(X,JY)$ and $Jh(X,Y)$ are in $\Gamma(\nu)$ since ν is invariant by J . Hence, by Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$h(X,JY) - Jh(X,Y) = 0, \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in \Gamma(D).$$

Thus, the corollary is proved. ■

The integrability of the distribution D^\perp of a CR-submanifold is characterized in the following theorems.

Theorem 3.3 (Bejancu [4], p.28)

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then the distribution D^\perp is integrable if and only if

$$\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W, X \rangle = 0$$

for $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $W, Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

Theorem 3.4 (Bejancu [4], p.28)

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then the distribution D^\perp is integrable if and only if

$$\langle h(Z, X), JW \rangle = \langle h(W, X), JZ \rangle$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

Theorem 3.5 (Bejancu [4], p.28)

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . If D^\perp is integrable then each leaf of D^\perp is immersed in M as a totally geodesic submanifold if and only if

$$\langle h(Z, X), JW \rangle = 0 \tag{3.5}$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

We note that if equation (3.5) is satisfied, then D^\perp is

integrable follows by Theorem 3.4. Together with Theorem 3.5 we have the following analog of a result of Chen ([7], Lemma 3.5).

Corollary 3.2

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then the distribution D^\perp is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in M if and only if

$$\langle h(Z, X), JW \rangle = 0$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

As an immediate consequence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3

Let M be an anti-holomorphic submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold. Then M is mixed geodesic if and only if D^\perp is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in M .

3.2 D -parallel Normal Sections on a CR-submanifold

The main purpose of this section is to obtain a

generalization of a result of Bejancu [3] on CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold to the setting of nearly Kaehler manifold. We begin with the following definition.

Definition

A normal section ξ ($\neq 0$) is said to be *D-parallel* if $\nabla_X^\perp \xi = 0$, for each $X \in \Gamma(D)$.

We now give some simple results that will be needed later.

Lemma 3.2

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then

$$\phi A_\xi X = PA_{J\xi} X$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$.

Proof:

For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$,

$$\langle \phi A_\xi X - PA_{J\xi} X, Y \rangle = \langle JA_\xi X, Y \rangle - \langle A_{J\xi} X, Y \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\langle A_{\xi} X, JY \rangle - \langle h(X, Y), J\xi \rangle \\
&= -\langle h(X, JY), \xi \rangle + \langle Jh(X, Y), \xi \rangle \\
&= -\langle h(X, JY) - Jh(X, Y), \xi \rangle \\
&= 0, \quad \text{by Lemmn 3.1.}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\phi A_{\xi} X = PA_{J\xi} X. \blacksquare$$

Lemma 3.3

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then

$$\phi A_{\xi} X = -PA_{J\xi} JX$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$.

Proof:

For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$ and by using Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi A_{\xi} X + PA_{J\xi} JX, Y \rangle &= \langle JA_{\xi} X, Y \rangle + \langle A_{\xi} JX, Y \rangle \\
&= -\langle A_{\xi} X, JY \rangle + \langle h(Y, JX), \xi \rangle \\
&= -\langle h(X, JY), \xi \rangle + \langle h(Y, JX), \xi \rangle \\
&= -\langle Jh(Y, X), \xi \rangle + \langle Jh(Y, X), \xi \rangle \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\phi A_{\xi} X = -PA_{J\xi} JX. \blacksquare$$

Lemma 3.4

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Suppose that $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$ is a D-parallel normal section then

$$QA_{\xi} JX = -2QA_{J\xi} X$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$.

Proof:

For any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D)^{\perp}$, since N is nearly Kaehler we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)X, \xi \rangle &= -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Z, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)\xi, Z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that ξ is D-parallel and equation (2.19) and (2.21), we obtain

$$\langle fh(Z, \phi X), \xi \rangle - \langle Ch(Z, X), \xi \rangle = -\langle QA_{C\xi} X, Z \rangle$$

$$\langle h(Z, JX), \xi \rangle - \langle Jh(Z, X), \xi \rangle = -\langle QA_{J\xi} X, Z \rangle$$

$$\langle A_{\xi} JX, Z \rangle + \langle h(Z, X), J\xi \rangle = -\langle QA_{J\xi} X, Z \rangle$$

$$\langle A_{\xi} JX, Z \rangle + \langle QA_{J\xi} X, Z \rangle = -\langle QA_{J\xi} X, Z \rangle$$

$$\text{or,} \quad \langle QA_{\xi} JX, Z \rangle = -2\langle QA_{J\xi} X, Z \rangle.$$

$$\text{Thus,} \quad QA_{\xi} JX = -2QA_{J\xi} X. \blacksquare$$

From Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we are able to

prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Suppose the distribution D is integrable. If there exists a unit vector field $X \in \Gamma(D)$ such that for all normal sections $\xi \in \Gamma(\nu)$, the holomorphic bisectional curvatures $\tilde{H}_B(X, \xi)$ are positive, then the normal subbundle ν does not admit D -parallel normal section.

Proof:

Suppose ξ is a D -parallel normal section in ν . For any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ we have

$$R^\perp(X, JX)\xi = \nabla_X^\perp \nabla_{JX}^\perp \xi - \nabla_{JX}^\perp \nabla_X^\perp \xi - \nabla_{[X, JX]}^\perp \xi = 0.$$

From the Ricci equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{R}(X, JX)\xi, J\xi \rangle &= \langle R^\perp(X, JX)\xi, J\xi \rangle + \langle [A_{J\xi}, A_\xi]X, JX \rangle \\ &= \langle [A_{J\xi}, A_\xi]X, JX \rangle \\ &= \langle A_{J\xi} A_\xi X, JX \rangle - \langle A_\xi A_{J\xi} X, JX \rangle \\ &= \langle A_\xi X, A_{J\xi} JX \rangle - \langle A_{J\xi} X, A_\xi JX \rangle \\ &= \langle PA_\xi X, PA_{J\xi} JX \rangle + \langle QA_\xi X, QA_{J\xi} JX \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle PA_{J\xi} X, PA_\xi JX \rangle - \langle QA_{J\xi} X, QA_\xi JX \rangle. \quad (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle PA_{\xi} X, PA_{J\xi} JX \rangle &= \langle PA_{J\xi} X, PA_{\xi} JX \rangle \\
 &= \langle PA_{\xi} X, \phi A_{\xi} JX \rangle - \langle PA_{J\xi} X, -\phi A_{\xi} X \rangle \\
 &= \langle PA_{\xi} X, -PA_{\xi} J^2 X \rangle - \langle PA_{J\xi} X, -PA_{J\xi} X \rangle \\
 &= \|PA_{\xi} X\|^2 + \|PA_{J\xi} X\|^2. \quad (3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, by using Lemma 3.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle QA_{\xi} X, QA_{J\xi} JX \rangle &= \langle QA_{J\xi} X, QA_{\xi} JX \rangle \\
 &= \langle QA_{\xi} X, -\frac{1}{2} QA_{\xi} J^2 X \rangle - \langle -\frac{1}{2} QA_{\xi} JX, QA_{\xi} JX \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \|QA_{\xi} X\|^2 + \|QA_{\xi} JX\|^2 \}. \quad (3.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

By substituting (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \tilde{R}(X, JX)_{\xi}, J\xi \rangle &= \|PA_{\xi} X\|^2 + \|PA_{J\xi} X\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \{ \|QA_{\xi} X\|^2 + \|QA_{\xi} JX\|^2 \} \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{H}_B(X, \xi) &= \langle \tilde{R}(X, JX)_{J\xi}, \xi \rangle \\
 &= -\langle \tilde{R}(X, JX)_{\xi}, J\xi \rangle \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

But this contradicts the hypothesis. Thus, the theorem is proved. ■

Remark: When M is mixed geodesic and N is a Kaehler manifold, then Theorem 3.6 becomes [3, Theorem 2.1].

3.3 Mixed Foliate CR-submanifolds of a Nearly Kaehler Manifold

The results of this section are straightfoward generalizations from the case of mixed foliate CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold to that of a nearly Kaehler manifold. The definition of a mixed foliate CR-submanifold is given by

Definition

A CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold is *mixed foliate* if it is mixed geodesic and D is integrable.

Firstly, we have the following simple result.

Lemma 3.5

Let M be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . If D is integrable then

$$P\nabla_X Z = \phi A_{JZ} X$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

Proof:

For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, since D is integrable we have $(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y \in \Gamma(D)$ from Theorem 3.1. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Z, Y \rangle &= -\langle (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y, Z \rangle = 0 \\ \langle P\nabla_X \phi Z - P A_{\omega Z} X - \phi \nabla_X Z, Y \rangle &= 0 \quad \text{by (2.16)} \\ -\langle A_{JZ} X, Y \rangle - \langle J \nabla_X Z, Y \rangle &= 0 \\ -\langle J A_{JZ} X, JY \rangle + \langle \nabla_X Z, JY \rangle &= 0 \\ -\langle \phi A_{JZ} X, JY \rangle + \langle P\nabla_X Z, JY \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle P\nabla_X Z - \phi A_{JZ} X, JY \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$P\nabla_X Z = \phi A_{JZ} X. \blacksquare$$

Next, we will generalize a result on mixed foliate CR-submanifold (see [6] Lemma 3).

Lemma 3.6

Let M be a mixed foliate CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then we have

$$A_{\xi} \phi U + \phi A_{\xi} U = 0$$

for any $U \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$.

Proof:

From the hypothesis and by using Theorem 3.1, we have

$$h(X, \phi Y) = h(\phi X, Y)$$

and

$$h(X, Z) = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$.

Moreover, we know that $PU \in \Gamma(D)$ and $QU \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$ for any vector field $U \in \Gamma(TM)$. Consequently, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(TM)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(U, \phi V) &= h(PU, \phi V) + h(QU, \phi V) \\ &= h(PU, \phi PV) \\ &= h(\phi PU, PV) \\ &= h(\phi U, PV) \\ &= h(\phi U, V). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $U, V \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_{\xi} \phi U + \phi A_{\xi} U, V \rangle &= \langle A_{\xi} \phi U, V \rangle - \langle A_{\xi} U, \phi V \rangle \\ &= \langle h(\phi U, V), \xi \rangle - \langle h(U, \phi V), \xi \rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly,

$$A_{\xi} \phi U + \phi A_{\xi} U = 0. \blacksquare$$

The following lemma will also be needed for our investigation. This result generalizes [7, Lemma 9.1].

Lemma 3.7

Let M be a mixed foliate CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold N . Then for any unit vector fields $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, we have

$$\tilde{H}_B(X, Z) = -2 \|A_{JZ}X\|^2.$$

Proof:

Consider unit vector fields $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

From the Codazzi equation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, JX)Z^\perp &= (\nabla_X h)(JX, Z) - (\nabla_{JX} h)(X, Z) \\ &= \nabla_X^\perp h(JX, Z) - h(\nabla_X JX, Z) - h(JX, \nabla_X Z) \\ &\quad - \nabla_{JX}^\perp h(X, Z) + h(\nabla_{JX} X, Z) + h(X, \nabla_{JX} Z) \\ &= h(\nabla_{JX} X - \nabla_X JX, Z) - h(JX, \nabla_X Z) + h(X, \nabla_{JX} Z) \\ &= h([JX, X], Z) - h(JX, \nabla_X Z) + h(X, \nabla_{JX} Z). \quad (3.9) \end{aligned}$$

Since M is mixed geodesic and D is integrable, we have

$$h([JX, X], Z) = h(JX, Q\nabla_X Z) = h(X, Q\nabla_{JX} Z) = 0.$$

Thus, equation (3.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}(X, JX)Z^\perp &= -h(JX, P\nabla_X Z) + h(X, P\nabla_{JX} Z) \\
&= -h(JX, \phi_{A_{JZ}} X) + h(X, \phi_{A_{JZ}} JX) \quad \text{by Lemma 3.5} \\
&= -h(JX, \phi_{A_{JZ}} X) + h(X, -A_{JZ} \phi JX) \quad \text{by Lemma 3.6} \\
&= -h(JX, \phi_{A_{JZ}} X) + h(X, A_{JZ} X). \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that D is integrable and Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(JX, \phi_{A_{JZ}} X) &= h(X, J\phi_{A_{JZ}} X) \\
&= -h(X, P A_{JZ} X) \\
&= -h(X, A_{JZ} X).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, equation (3.10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R}(X, JX)Z^\perp &= h(X, A_{JZ} X) + h(X, A_{JZ} X) \\
&= 2h(X, A_{JZ} X).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_B(X, Z) &= \langle \tilde{R}(X, JX)JZ, Z \rangle \\
&= -\langle \tilde{R}(X, JX)Z, JZ \rangle \\
&= -2\langle h(X, A_{JZ} X), JZ \rangle \\
&= -2\|A_{JZ} X\|^2. \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem can now be obtained easily and generalizes [7, Theorem 9.2].

Theorem 3.7

Let N be a nearly Kaehler manifold with $\tilde{H}_B > 0$. Then N admits no mixed foliate proper CR-submanifold.

Proof:

Suppose that such a CR-submanifold M does exist. By Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\tilde{H}_B(X, Z) = -2 \|A_{JZ}X\|^2 \leq 0$$

for any unit vector fields $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. But this is a contradiction and thus the theorem is proved. ■